### **Document Details**

Docket ID:	DOE-HQ-2018-0007 (\$
Docket Title:	Applications to Export Liquefied Natural Gas: Freeport LNG Expansion, L.P., et al. *
Document File:	нтиц
Docket Phase:	Advanced Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANOPR)
Phase Sequence:	1
Original Document ID:	DOE_FRDOC_0001-DRAFT-0725
<b>Current Document ID:</b>	DOE-HQ-2018-0007-DRAFT-0005
Title:	Comment on FR Doc # 2018-01896 (\$)
Number of Attachments:	0
Document Type:	PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS *
Document Subtype:	Public Comment (§
Comment on Document ID:	DOE-HQ-2018-0007-0001 (\$
Comment on Document Titles	Applications to Export Liquefied Natural Gas: Freeport LNG Expansion, L.P., et al. S
Status:	Pending_Post (\$
<b>Received Date:</b>	02/06/2018 *
Date Posted:	0
Posting Restriction:	No restrictions (§
Submission Type:	Web
Number of Submissions:	1 *

### **Document Optional Details**

## **Submitter Info**

**Comment:** 

Added U.S. LNG exports result in higher levels of economic output, as measured by real gross domestic product as (GDP). Increased energy production spurs investment, increases in production from shale gas resources that provide most of the natural gas used to increase LNG exports also increase the projected domestic supply of natural gas liquids such as ethane and propane that are important feedstock for some energy-intensive industries. Since FERC is responsible for authorizing the siting and construction of onshore and near-shore LNG import or export facilities under Section 3 of the Natural Gas Act. we need them to decrease time to approve

	applications. The Commission, under Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, also issues certificates of public convenience and necessity for LNG facilities engaged in interstate natural gas transportation by pipeline. As required by the National Environmental Policy Act, the FERC prepares environmental assessments or impact statements for proposed LNG facilities under its jurisdiction. There are more than 110 LNG facilities operating in the U.S. performing a variety of services. Some facilities export natural gas from the U.S., some provide natural gas supply to the interstate pipeline system or local distribution companies, while others are used to store natural gas for periods of peak demand. There are also facilities which produce LNG for vehicle fuel or for industrial use. Depending on location and use, an LNG facility may be regulated by several federal agencies and by state utility regulatory agencies. PROPOSED TO FERC Pending Applications: 1. Pascagoula, MS: 1.5 Bcfd(Gulf LNG Liquefaction) (CP15-521) 2. Cameron Parish, LA: 1.41 Bcfd(Venture Global Calcasieu Pass) (CP15-550) 3. Brownsville, TX: 0.55 Bcfd(Texas LNG Brownsville) (CP16-116) 4. Brownsville, TX: 3.6 Bcfd(Rio Grande LNG -NextDecade) (CP17-20) 7. Jacksonville, FL: 0.132 Bcfd (Eagle LNG Partners) (CP17-41) 8. Plaquemines Parish, LA: 3.40 Bcfd(Venture Global LNG) (CP17-66) 9. Calcasieu Parish, LA: 4.0 Bcfd(Driftwood LNG) (CP17-470) Projects in Pre-filing: 12. Corpus Christi, TX: 1.4 Bcfd(Cheniere -Corpus Christi LNG) (PF15-26) 13. Cameron Parish, LA: 1.84 Bcfd(G2 LNG) (PF16-2) 14. Coos Bay, OR:1.08 Bcfd(Jordan Cove) (PF17-4) 15. Cameron Parish, LA: 1.18 Bcfd(Commonwealth, LNG) (PF17-8) 16. LaFourcheParish, LA:0.65 Bcfd(Port FourchonLNG) (PF17-9) Bring the tax dollars to America and Export these LNG plants. <b>*</b>
First Name:	r *🕄
Middle Name:	0
Last Name:	r *🔇
Mailing Address:	r *🔇
Mailing Address 2:	r *🕄
City:	r *🛇
Country:	United States 🔇
State or Province:	Colorado 🔇
ZIP/Postal Code:	r *🕄
Email Address:	0
Phone Number:	0
Fax Number:	$\bigcirc$

Organization Name:	$\bigcirc$
Submitter's Representative:	٩
Government Agency Type:	3
Government Agency:	3
Cover Page:	HTTML

# **Document Optional Details**

Status Set Date:	04/09/2018	
Current Assignee:	Bacon, Cuttie (DOE)	
Status Set By:	Freeman, Yohanna (DOE)	
<b>Comment Start Date:</b>	0	
Comment Due Date:	0	
Legacy ID:		
Tracking Number:	1k2-91cj-ct2n 🔇	
Total Page Count Including Attachments:	1	

### **Submitter Info**

**Comment:** 

Added U.S. LNG exports result in higher levels of economic output, as measured by real gross domestic product as (GDP). Increased energy production spurs investment, increases in production from shale gas resources that provide most of the natural gas used to increase LNG exports also increase the projected domestic supply of natural gas liquids such as ethane and propane that are important feedstock for some energy-intensive industries. Since FERC is responsible for authorizing the siting and construction of onshore and near-shore LNG import or export facilities under Section 3 of the Natural Gas Act. we need them to decrease time to approve applications. The Commission, under Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, also issues certificates of public convenience and necessity for LNG facilities engaged in interstate natural gas transportation by pipeline. As required by the National Environmental Policy Act, the FERC prepares environmental assessments or impact statements for proposed LNG facilities under its jurisdiction. There are more than 110 LNG facilities operating in the U.S. performing a variety of services. Some facilities export natural gas from the U.S., some provide natural gas supply to the interstate pipeline system or local distribution companies, while others are used to store natural gas for periods of peak demand. There are also facilities which produce LNG for vehicle fuel or for industrial use. Depending on location and use, an LNG facility may be regulated by several federal agencies and by state utility regulatory agencies. PROPOSED TO FERC Pending Applications: 1. Pascagoula, MS: 1.5 Bcfd(Gulf LNG Liquefaction) (CP15-521) 2.

	Cameron Parish, LA: 1.41 Bcfd(Venture Global Calcasieu Pass) (CP15-550) 3. Brownsville, TX: 0.55 Bcfd(Texas LNG Brownsville) (CP16-116) 4. Brownsville, TX: 3.6 Bcfd(Rio Grande LNG -NextDecade) (CP16-454) 5. Brownsville, TX: 0.9 Bcfd(AnnovaLNG Brownsville) (CP16-480) 6. Port Arthur, TX: 1.86 Bcfd(Port Arthur LNG) (CP17-20) 7. Jacksonville, FL: 0.132 Bcf/d (Eagle LNG Partners) (CP17-41) 8. Plaquemines Parish, LA: 3.40 Bcfd(Venture Global LNG) (CP17-66) 9. Calcasieu Parish, LA: 4.0 Bcfd(Driftwood LNG) (CP17-117) 10.Nikiski, AK: 2.63 Bcfd(Alaska Gasline) (CP17-178) 11. Freeport, TX: 0.72 Bcfd(Freeport LNG Dev) (CP17-470) Projects in Pre-filing: 12. Corpus Christi, TX: 1.4 Bcfd(Cheniere -Corpus Christi LNG) (PF15-26) 13. Cameron Parish, LA: 1.84 Bcfd(G2 LNG) (PF16-2) 14. Coos Bay, OR:1.08 Bcfd(Jordan Cove) (PF17-4) 15. Cameron Parish, LA:1.18 Bcfd(Commonwealth, LNG) (PF17-8) 16. LaFourcheParish, LA:0.65 Bcfd(Port FourchonLNG) (PF17-9) Bring the tax dollars to America and Export these LNG plants. *©
First Name:	r *©
Middle Name:	©
Last Name:	r *③
Mailing Address:	r *©
Mailing Address 2:	r *🔇
City:	r *🔇
Country:	United States (S)
State or Province:	Colorado 🕓
ZIP/Postal Code:	r *🕄
Email Address:	0
Phone Number:	©
Fax Number:	©
Organization Name:	©
Submitter's Representative:	0
Government Agency Type:	©
Government Agency:	©
Cover Page:	нтиц

# **Document Optional Details**

# **Submitter Info**

**Comment:** 

Added U.S. LNG exports result in higher levels of economic output, as measured by real gross domestic product as (GDP).

First Name:	r *🕄	
Middle Name:	3	
Last Name:	r *©	
Mailing Address:	r *©	
Mailing Address 2:	r *©	
City:	r *©	
Country:	United States	
State or Province:	Colorado 🔇	

 $\bigcirc$ 

ZIP/Postal Code:	r *🔇
Email Address:	0
Phone Number:	0
Fax Number:	3
Organization Name:	0
Submitter's Representative:	0
Government Agency Type:	3
Government Agency:	3
Cover Page:	HTML