







# **Environmental Management**Advisory Board

J. E. Surash, P.E.

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Acquisition and Contract Management

March 31, 2010



# Procurement and Contract Management Initiative

• **Purpose:** Identify meaningful, impactful, and measureable reform, to improve the way contracts are selected and administered.

#### Approach:

- EM-wide survey to key Federal and contractor staff to identify specific contract management issues and barriers
- Two targeted workshops completed:
  one for key contract executives (March 3) and one for key EM contract managers (March 4)

#### Major Findings:

- 1. RFPs are not strategic decision-making tools.
- 2. Lack of consistency across the complex; each RFP is a singular event.
- 3. Adversarial relationships across both sides of the fence.
- 4. The "Danger-Zone", contract true-up, requires major reform.



# Consensus Recommendations and Next Steps

- In collaboration with industry; streamline, standardize, and inculcate across the EM community a streamlined RFP process.
- Develop guidelines for "partnering" (as opposed to "partnerships") with industry for mutual success.
- Revisit, revamp and clarify the true-up phase of the post-award process.



# EFCOG Acquisition Working Group Task

# -EM moved from M&O to FAR Part 15 CPAF and CPIF contracts over 10 years ago

### Issue: Adherence to appropriate business rules

- -Federal change control
- -Management Reserve in contract mods
- -Contract change proposals not timely
- -Contract change proposals not auditable by DCAA
- -Confusion with DOE 413 Project Critical Decision versus contract change
- -Submitting total costs vice cost delta for changes

#### What are reasons and action to rectify

