PMC-ND

(1.08.09.13)

# U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



RECIPIENT: NREL STATE: CO

PROJECT TITLE: NREL- 24-017 Accelerate Wind Turbine CIP - Spanish Fork, UT

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number Procurement Instrument Number NEPA Control Number CID Number

DE-AC36-08GO28308 GFO-NREL-24-017 GO28308

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Policy 451.1), I have made the following determination:

### CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

B5.18 Wind turbines The installation, modification, operation, and removal of a small number (generally not more than 2) of commercially available wind turbines, with a total height generally less than 200 feet (measured from the ground to the maximum height of blade rotation) that (1) are located within a previously disturbed or developed area; (2) are located more than 10 nautical miles (about 11.5 miles) from an airport or aviation navigation aid; (3) are located more than 1.5 nautical miles (about 1.7 miles) from National Weather Service or Federal Aviation Administration Doppler weather radar; (4) would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on bird or bat populations; and (5) are sited or designed such that the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts to persons (such as from shadow flicker and other visual effects, and noise). Covered actions would be in accordance with applicable requirements (such as local land use and zoning requirements) in the proposed project area and would incorporate appropriate control technologies and best management practices. Covered actions include only those related to wind turbines to be installed on land.

#### Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE) National Renewable Energy Laboratory is proposing to provide federal funding to Accelerate Wind to complete prototype testing of a 12-kW wind turbine system for commercial building rooftops. The proposed project is part of the DOE Wind Energy Technologies Office 2024 Competitiveness Improvement Project (CIP) which seeks to support small businesses that design and manufacture small or medium wind turbine technology.

The purpose of this project is to test commercial wind turbine systems for application on the commercial market.

Assembly of the prototype wind turbine would take place at the XFlow Energy facility in Seattle, Washington. The turbine would consist of two, 3-meter blades in diameter that have 6-meter-long crossflow rotors. After assembly and initial testing is complete, the turbine would be transported to the Windward Engineering test site, a Regional Testing Center, in Spanish Fork, Utah via flatbed truck. The support frame, nacelle, and shaft would remain assembled while the blades and struts would be removed during transport and reassembled on site. Installation and operation of the turbine would occur at the Windward test site. The wind turbine would be mounted to the top of a mock 24-foot-high building. The frame of the turbine would be bolted to the rail along the front and back edge of the shipping container. The frame of the spoiler would be bolted to the rail along the front edge of the container roof and along the roof panel. The mock building would be constructed using three shipping containers (40 feet x 8 feet x 8.5 feet) stacked on top of each other. The shipping containers would be hoisted and stacked into place by a crane and fastened together using double-ended twist locks. The shipping containers would be placed directly onto the ground and secured with ground anchors that would fasten to the top corners of the mock building and connected with hoist rings. The total height of the shipping containers and wind turbine would be approximately 33 feet.

The project timeline proposes turbine building, installation, and operation starting during the Spring of 2025. Prototype testing would occur for at least one year following installation. Once testing is complete, the prototype turbine would be decommissioned and removed from the site. The turbine would be relocated to a nearby commercial facility. The mock building would be disassembled at the termination of the project.

#### **Impacts**

There are 178 historical, archaeological, or cultural resources located in Utah County, UT that are on the National Register of Historic Places; the resources are mostly comprised of historic buildings and houses. DOE has determined that currently no additional Section 106 review is required per 36 § 800.3(a)(1); this federal legal citation addresses no potential to cause effects to historic properties assuming such historic properties are present within a project's area of potential effect. If the scope of work changes, additional Section 106 review, pursuant to the National Historic

Preservation Act, may be required for this project. If the Recipient anticipates a scope of work change, they are required to contact their DOE Project Officer prior to initiating any construction activities which have not been reviewed by DOE NEPA staff for potential impacts to natural and cultural resources.

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's (USFWS) Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC) tool was used and identified no endangered species, three threatened species, and nine migratory birds that could occur in the proposed project area. There are no critical habitats in the project area. The three threatened species include the Yellow-billed Cuckoo bird, the Monarch butterfly, and the Ute Ladies'-tresses flowering plant. There are 22 migratory birds within the proposed project area. Potential impacts to these and other species found in the project area include temporary displacement of wildlife during testing and bird collisions with the turbine blades and guy lines. The short duration of the project would minimize the potential for impacts. Noise would be generated by the operation of the turbines, but the impact to wildlife from this level of noise is not expected to have an adverse effect as it is likely that wildlife has become habituated to noise in the area due to other wind turbine testing operations in the immediate project vicinity.

A previous NEPA determination for this location was completed by DOE to establish a regional testing center and conduct wind turbine testing at the existing facility in conjunction with Windward Engineering (please see NEPA Control Number: NREL-10-027; signed by the DOE NEPA Compliance Officer on 9/2/2010). DOE initiated informal consultation with U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service in accordance with Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act, the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, and the Golden and Bald Eagle Protection Act on 6/21/2010. USFWS concurred with DOE's determination on 8/24/2010 that the proposed project would not likely adversely affect listed species in Utah County. Furthermore, Windward would continue to conduct their informal monitoring of the site.

The proposed project would not affect wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmland. The proposed location is approximately 6 miles away from the Spanish Fork-Springville Airport; however, the proposed project would not be subject to FAA restrictions as the structure would not exceed 150 feet nor is the site within an airport approach zone. All proposed testing activities would occur at facilities that perform such work. No change in the use, mission, or operation of existing facilities would result from the proposed project. All waste generated would be reused, recycled, or disposed of in accordance with local municipal solid waste disposal requirements. Mobile air emissions would be de minimis. Noise impacts would consist of a short-term, intermittent increase in ambient noise levels. Noise generated during testing would not affect sensitive wildlife or human receptors.

Individuals working on this project could be exposed to physical and electrical hazards during the project. Existing corporate health and safety policies and procedures would be followed including employee training, work/worker authorization, proper protective equipment, engineering controls, and monitoring.

## NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination.

Include the following condition in the financial assistance agreement:

All required permits, notifications, and permissions shall be obtained prior to commencing work.

Notes:

**NREL** 

Brandon Bammel, 10/09/2024

## FOR CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATIONS

The proposed action (or the part of the proposal defined in the Rationale above) fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D. To fit within the classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, a proposal must be one that would not: (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders; (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities; (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to, those listed in paragraph B(4) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B; (5)

involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those listed in paragraph B(5) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposed action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposed action has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. This proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211 concerning limitations on actions during preparation of an environmental impact statement.

The proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM	CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.		
NEPA Compliance Officer Signature:	Signed By: Nicole Serio	Date:	10/9/2024
	NEPA Compliance Officer		
FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINA	ATION		
<ul><li>✓ Field Office Manager review not required</li><li>☐ Field Office Manager review required</li></ul>	d		
BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WIT	TH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO:		
Field Office Manager's Signature:		Date:	
	Field Office Manager		