PMC-ND

(1.08.09.13)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



RECIPIENT: Georgia Institute of Technology / Georgia Tech Research Corporation

PROJECT TITLE: A comprehensive approach to innovative refining, paper forming, and drying to eliminate CO2

emissions from paper machines

Procurement Instrument Number NEPA Control Number CID Number **Funding Opportunity Announcement Number** DE-FOA-0002997

STATE: GA

DE-EE0011225 GFO-0011225-001

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Policy 451.1), I have made the following determination:

CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

A9 Information gathering, analysis, and dissemination

B3.6 Small-scale research and development, laboratory operations, and pilot projects

Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) frequently conducted to verify a concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide federal funding to the Georgia Institute of Technology/Georgia Tech Research Corporation (GIT/GTRC) for the development of an innovative and comprehensive approach to eliminate carbon dioxide emissions from papermaking machines.

This project would include the design of electrified paper drying technology, and design of its integration into conventional papermaking operations as well as integration with new innovations in stock preparation and forming. These activities would occur at GIT's facilities in Atlanta, Georgia. Project efforts at this location would also include the use of a refiner and a humidification/drying pilot chamber where experimental trials on the installed equipment would be completed to understand performance, energy savings, and operational characteristics. The development and refinement of techno-economic assessment and life cycle analysis tools would occur at the National Renewable Energy Laboratory in Golden, Colorado.

The project would involve the use and handling of some solvents for analytical preparatory or cleaning procedures. Project personnel would also operate machinery with potential exposures to rotating and heated parts, energized with high voltages (208 volts and 480 volts). All such activities would occur in a laboratory setting. Federal, state and local hazardous material handling, disposal practices and environmental regulations would be followed. The operation of project-related machinery would occur according to the supplier's guidelines and according to a standard operating procedure that would be developed with the help of Georgia Tech's Office of Environmental Health and Safety (GT-EHS). Existing GT-EHS policies and procedures would be followed, including the implementation of employee training, as well as the use of proper protective equipment, engineering controls, monitoring, and internal assessments. Additional policies and procedures would be implemented as necessary as new health and safety risks are identified.

No outdoor equipment installations or modifications to existing facilities, no ground disturbances and no change in mission to existing facilities would occur as part of this award. None of the project activities require applying for new or modified permits. licenses, or authorizations.

DOE has considered the scale, duration, and nature of proposed activities to determine potential impacts on resources, including those of an ecological, historical, cultural, and socioeconomic nature, DOE does not anticipate impacts on these resources which would be considered significant or require DOE to consult with other agencies or stakeholders.

Any work proposed to be conducted at a federal facility may be subject to additional NEPA review by the cognizant federal official and must meet the applicable health and safety requirements of the facility.

NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination.	
Notes:	
Industrial Efficiency & Decarbonization Office NEPA review completed by Chris Akios, 09/11/2024	4

FOR CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATIONS

The proposed action (or the part of the proposal defined in the Rationale above) fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D. To fit within the classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, a proposal must be one that would not: (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders; (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities; (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to, those listed in paragraph B(4) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B; (5) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those listed in paragraph B(5) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposed action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposed action has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. This proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211 concerning limitations on actions during preparation of an environmental impact statement.

The proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

510	SNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM (CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION	i•		
NE	PA Compliance Officer Signature:	Electronically Signed By: Andrew Montano	Date:	9/12/2024	
		NEPA Compliance Officer			
FII	ELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINA	ATION			
~	Field Office Manager review not required Field Office Manager review required				
BA	SED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WIT	TH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO:			
Field Office Manager's Signature:			Date:		

Field Office Manager