

WEST VALLEY DEMONSTRATION PROJECT ANNUAL SITE ENVIRONMENTAL REPORT CALENDAR YEAR 2002



WEST VALLEY NUCLEAR SERVICES COMPANY AND URS GROUP, INC.



Prepared by: West Valley Nuclear Services Co. and URS Group, Inc.
Prepared for: U.S. Department of Energy
Ohio Field Office OH/WVDP

Under: Contract DE-AC24-81NE-44139

August 2003
10282 Rock Springs Road
West Valley, New York 14171-9799



Department of Energy
Ohio Field Office
West Valley Demonstration Project
10282 Rock Springs Road
West Valley, NY 14171-9799

To The Reader:

This report, prepared by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Ohio Field Office West Valley Demonstration Project (OH/WVDP), summarizes the environmental protection program at the West Valley Demonstration Project (WVDP) for calendar year 2002. As has been the practice in prior years, this report is being made available to the public as soon as possible in advance of DOE's required release date of October 1, 2003.

Monitoring and surveillance of the WVDP facilities are conducted in order to verify that public health and safety and the environment are protected. The quality assurance protocols applied to the environmental monitoring program by the DOE ensure the validity and accuracy of the monitoring data. Also included in this report are groundwater and ambient air data from the New York State Energy Research and Development Authority's (NYSERDA) New York State-licensed Disposal Area (SDA).

Air, surface water, groundwater, soil, and biological samples collected and analyzed for radiological and nonradiological constituents are evaluated for indication of potential effects of activities at the WVDP. Monitoring of treated water effluents and facility ventilation system emissions verified that the dose received by off-site residents continues to be minimal.

Calculated doses to the hypothetical maximally exposed off-site individual from airborne and waterborne radiological releases in 2002 were less than one (1) percent of the DOE limit. Radionuclide concentrations in biological samples were at levels near or statistically identical to background concentrations.

Nonradiological liquid effluent discharges are controlled and permitted through the New York State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (SPDES). Discharges in 2002 were below regulatory limits without exception.

The Project's continuing commitment to safety was reaffirmed in 2003 when it was again awarded the DOE Voluntary Protection Program Star of Excellence Award. The WVDP is one of the few DOE sites to be awarded both the VPP Star and to be a National Environmental Performance Track member.

In early September 2002, the Project completed vitrification of high-level radioactive waste and shut down the glass melter. This marks the first vitrification program of its kind in the nation to be successfully completed.

If you have any questions or comments about the information in this report, please contact the West Valley Nuclear Services Company (WVNSCO) Communication Department at (716) 942-4555 or complete and return the enclosed survey.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Alice C. Williams".

Alice C. Williams, Director
West Valley Demonstration Project



SUMMARY OF CHANGES TO THE 2002 WVDP SITE ENVIRONMENTAL REPORT FROM THE 2001 SITE ENVIRONMENTAL REPORT

This report, prepared by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) West Valley Demonstration Project office, summarizes the environmental protection program at the West Valley Demonstration Project (WVDP) for calendar year (CY) 2002. Monitoring and surveillance of the facilities used by the DOE for the WVDP are conducted in order to protect public health and safety and the environment. The quality assurance protocols applied to the environmental monitoring program by the DOE ensure the validity and accuracy of the monitoring data. Also included in this report are groundwater and ambient air data from the New York State Energy Research and Development Authority's (NYSERDA) New York State-licensed Disposal Area (SDA).

Changes in content for the 2002 annual Site Environmental Report are summarized below.

REVISIONS AND ADDITIONS:

- The Executive Summary, Introduction, and Environmental Compliance Summary were updated to describe implementation of the environmental monitoring program, special topics of importance, and status of regulatory compliance in CY 2002. A table summarizing WVDP migratory bird nest depredation episodes during the year was added to the Environmental Compliance Summary. The table of permits at the end of the Environmental Compliance Summary was updated to reflect those in effect in CY 2002.
- Data and text were updated throughout the report to reflect results from the CY 2002 environmental monitoring program. Tables, graphs, maps, supplemental information sections, and references also were updated.
- Chapters were reorganized to conform more closely with the suggested format for Annual Site Environmental Reports as provided in DOE guidance for CY 2002. Modifications are described below.
- Chapter 1 summarizes 2002 activities at the WVDP, including the completion of vitrification, tank cleaning and characterization, ongoing decontamination of site facilities, constructing the remote-handled waste facility, and environmental management of waste, liquid releases, and airborne emissions. All graphs were updated to include 2002 performance results.
- Chapter 2 was renamed "Environmental Radiological Program Information" (from "Environmental Monitoring") and the discussion of radiological dose assessment, formerly found in Chapter 4, was moved into this chapter. Results from air, water, soil, sediment, and biological samples taken from on-site, near-site, community, and background locations for CY 2002 were evaluated and discussed. Doses to the maximally-exposed individual and doses to the population were estimated and compared with applicable limits. Dose to biota was evaluated per the DOE standard issued in 2002.
- Chapter 3 was renamed "Environmental Nonradiological Program Information" (from "Groundwater Monitoring") and information on monitoring for nonradiological parameters, formerly included in Chapter 2, was moved to this chapter. Additional detail on nonradiological standards and limits was added.

- Chapter 4 was renamed “Site Hydrology and Groundwater Monitoring” (from “Radiological Dose Assessment”) and material from last year’s Chapter 3 (“Groundwater Monitoring”) was moved into Chapter 4.
- The environmental monitoring program is modified as site activities and conditions change, monitoring data are assessed and conclusions reached, and new regulatory or permit requirements take effect. In 2002, monitoring at location ANLAUNV (laundry air emissions) was discontinued. Also, monitoring at location WNSP01B (wastewater effluent from mercury abatement system) was added, and the monitoring parameter list for WNSP001 (Lagoon 3 water effluent) was modified in accordance with the modified SPDES permit issued in 2002. These changes are summarized in Appendix B.
- Appendix C, formerly “Summary of Water, Sediment, and Soil Monitoring Data,” was split into two new appendices: Appendix C, “Summary of Water Monitoring Data,” with five sub-appendices, and Appendix G, “Summary of Soil and Sediment Data,” with two sub-appendices.
- There were no errata or corrigenda from the 2001 Site Environmental Report.

ACTIVITY UPDATES FOR CY 2002:

- Vitrification was completed and the melter was shut down.
- Facility characterization (e.g., the waste tank farm and process cells) continued.
- Decontamination and clean-up of facilities (e.g., waste tanks, fuel receiving and storage pool, general purpose cell, process mechanical cell) continued.
- Low-level radioactive waste shipments continued.
- Construction of the Remote-Handled Waste Facility continued.

West Valley Demonstration Project
Annual Site Environmental Report
for
Calendar Year 2002

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy

Ohio Field Office

West Valley Demonstration Project Office

under contract DE-AC24-81NE-44139

August 2003

West Valley Nuclear Services Company and URS Group, Inc.

10282 Rock Springs Road

West Valley, New York 14171-9799

This page intentionally left blank

Preface

Environmental monitoring at the West Valley Demonstration Project (WVDP) is conducted by the West Valley Nuclear Services Company (WVNSCO), under contract to the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). The data collected provide an historical record of radionuclide and radiation levels and chemical data from natural and man-made sources in the survey area. The data also document the chemical and radiological quality of the groundwater on and around the WVDP and of the air and water released by the WVDP. Meteorological data are also presented.

It is the policy of the WVDP to conduct all activities, including design, construction, testing, start-up, commissioning, operation, maintenance, and decontamination and decommissioning, in a manner that is appropriate to the nature, scale, and environmental effects of these activities. The WVDP is committed to full compliance with applicable federal and New York State laws and regulations for the protection of the environment, to continual improvement, to the prevention and/or minimization of pollution, and to public outreach, including stakeholder involvement.

This report represents a single, comprehensive source of off-site and on-site environmental monitoring data collected during 2002 by environmental monitoring personnel. The environmental monitoring program and results are discussed in the body of this report. Additional monitoring information is presented in the appendices. Appendix A contains maps of on-site and off-site sampling locations. Appendix B is a summary of the site environmental monitoring schedule. Appendices C through J contain summaries of data obtained during 2002 and are intended for those readers interested in more detail than is provided in the main body of the report. Appendix K lists laws and regulations pertaining to the WVDP. Appendix L provides groundwater monitoring data from the New York State-licensed Disposal Area.

Requests for additional copies of the 2002 Annual Site Environmental Report and questions regarding the report should be referred to the WVDP Communications Department, 10282 Rock Springs Road, West Valley, New York 14171 (telephone: 716-942-4555). Additional Project information is available on the internet at <http://www.wv.doe.gov>.

This page intentionally left blank

Table of Contents

PREFACE	iii
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	EXE-1
Purpose of This Report	EXE-1
Project Description	EXE-1
Compliance	EXE-2
Environmental Monitoring Program	EXE-3
Surface Water Monitoring	EXE-3
Soil and Stream Sediments	EXE-4
Groundwater Monitoring	EXE-4
Air Monitoring	EXE-5
Vegetation, Beef, and Milk	EXE-6
Game Animals	EXE-6
Program Quality	EXE-6
Safety and Environmental Management	EXE-6
Notable 2002 Events	EXE-7
Conclusion	EXE-7
INTRODUCTION	INT-1
Information in This Report	INT-1
History of the West Valley Demonstration Project	INT-2
Description of the West Valley Demonstration Project	INT-3
General Environmental Setting	INT-5
Location of the West Valley Demonstration Project	INT-5
Socioeconomics	INT-5
Climate	INT-6
Biology	INT-6
Geology and Groundwater Hydrology	INT-6
Environmental Monitoring Program	INT-7
Monitoring and Sampling	INT-7
Permits and Regulations	INT-7
Exposure Pathways Monitored at the West Valley Demonstration Project	INT-8
Surface Water and Sediment Pathways	INT-8
Groundwater Pathways	INT-9
Air Pathways	INT-9
Atmospheric Fallout	INT-9

Table of Contents

Introduction (concluded)

Food Pathways _____	INT-10
Direct Radiation Measurement _____	INT-10
Meteorological Monitoring _____	INT-10
Quality Assurance and Control _____	INT-10
Safety Management System _____	INT-11
ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE SUMMARY _____	ECS-1
Compliance Program _____	ECS-1
Compliance Status _____	ECS-2
Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment (DOE Order 5400.5) _____	ECS-2
Radioactive Waste Management (DOE Order 435.1) _____	ECS-2
Resource Conservation and Recovery Act _____	ECS-2
Clean Air Act _____	ECS-7
Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act _____	ECS-8
Clean Water Act _____	ECS-9
Safe Drinking Water Act _____	ECS-13
Toxic Substances Control Act _____	ECS-14
National Environmental Policy Act _____	ECS-14
Decommissioning and/or Long-Term Stewardship at the WVDP and WNYNSC _____	ECS-15
Migratory Bird Treaty Act _____	ECS-15
Endangered Species Act _____	ECS-16
Current Achievements and Program Highlights _____	ECS-16
Phase II Vitrification _____	ECS-16
Integrated Safety Management System _____	ECS-16
STAR Status _____	ECS-16
EPA National Environmental Performance Track _____	ECS-16
Environmental Management System _____	ECS-17
Environmental Issues and Actions _____	ECS-17
Closed Landfill Maintenance _____	ECS-17
Release of Materials Containing Residual Radioactivity _____	ECS-17
Decommissioning and/or Long-Term Stewardship at the WVDP and WNYNSC _____	ECS-18
Project Assessment Activities in 2002 _____	ECS-18

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 1. ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAM INFORMATION _____	1-1
Introduction _____	1-1
Radiation and Radioactivity _____	1-1
Measurement of Radioactivity _____	1-3
Measurement of Dose _____	1-3
Environmental Monitoring Program Overview _____	1-4
Data Reporting _____	1-4
Changes in the 2002 Environmental Monitoring Program _____	1-6
Vitrification Overview _____	1-6
2002 Activities at the WVDP _____	1-8
Completion of Vitrification _____	1-8
Tank Cleaning and Characterization _____	1-8
Decontamination _____	1-8
Preparation for Spent Fuel Shipping _____	1-9
Remote-Handled Waste Facility Construction _____	1-9
Environmental Management of Aqueous Radioactive Waste _____	1-9
Environmental Management of Airborne Radioactive Emissions _____	1-9
Environmental Management of Radiological Exposure _____	1-11
Unplanned Radiological Releases _____	1-11
NRC-Licensed Disposal Area Interceptor Trench and Pretreatment System _____	1-11
Waste Minimization Program _____	1-11
Pollution Prevention Awareness Program _____	1-11
Waste Management _____	1-12
Low-Level Radioactive Waste Shipping Program _____	1-12
National Environmental Policy Act Activities _____	1-12
Self-Assessments _____	1-14
Occupational Safety and Environmental Training _____	1-14
Voluntary Protection Program STAR Status _____	1-15
Environmental Management System Implementation _____	1-15
Integrated Safety Management System Implementation _____	1-16
Performance Measures _____	1-16
Radiation Doses to the Maximally Exposed Off-Site Individual _____	1-16
State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit Limit Exceptions _____	1-17
Waste Minimization and Pollution Prevention _____	1-18
Spills and Releases _____	1-18
Vitrification _____	1-19

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 2. ENVIRONMENTAL RADIOLOGICAL PROGRAM INFORMATION _____	2-1
ROUTINE MONITORING PROGRAM _____	2-1
Sources of Radiation _____	2-1
Exposure Pathways _____	2-1
Land Use Survey _____	2-2
Radiological Sampling Program Overview _____	2-2
Overview of Water Effluent and Ambient Surface Water Monitoring _____	2-4
Low-Level Waste Treatment Facility Effluent _____	2-4
Northeast Swamp and North Swamp Drainage _____	2-6
Other North Plateau Surface Waters and Water Effluent _____	2-7
South Plateau Surface Water and NDA Interceptor Trench _____	2-8
Ponded (Standing) Waters _____	2-10
Off-Site Surface Water _____	2-10
Overview of Drinking Water Monitoring _____	2-12
On-Site Tap Water _____	2-12
Off-Site Drinking Water Wells _____	2-12
Overview of Aquatic Sediment Monitoring _____	2-12
On-Site Aquatic Sediments _____	2-13
Off-Site Aquatic Sediments _____	2-13
Overview of Air Emission Standards and Guidelines _____	2-15
Ventilation and Emission Systems _____	2-15
Perimeter and Remote Ambient Air Monitoring _____	2-18
Atmospheric Deposition and Soil Monitoring _____	2-21
On-Site Fallout Pots _____	2-21
Off-Site Surface Soil _____	2-21
Overview of Food Chain Monitoring _____	2-21
Fish _____	2-21
Venison _____	2-22
Beef _____	2-23
Milk _____	2-23
Vegetables, Fruit, and Forage _____	2-23
Direct Environmental Radiation Monitoring _____	2-23
On-Site Radiation Monitoring _____	2-24
Perimeter and Off-Site Radiation Monitoring _____	2-25
Confirmation of Results _____	2-25
Meteorological Monitoring _____	2-25
Special Monitoring _____	2-26

Table of Contents

Chapter 2 (concluded)

RADIOLOGICAL EFFLUENTS AND DOSE _____	2-27
Dose to the Public _____	2-27
Dose Assessment Methodology _____	2-29
Measurement of Radionuclide Concentrations in Liquid and Air Releases _____	2-29
Measurement of Radionuclide Concentrations in Food _____	2-30
Predicted Dose From Airborne Emissions _____	2-30
Maximum Dose to an Off-Site Individual _____	2-32
Collective Population Dose _____	2-32
Iodine Emissions From the Main Stack _____	2-32
Predicted Dose From Waterborne Releases _____	2-32
Maximum Dose to an Off-Site Individual _____	2-35
Collective Dose to the Population _____	2-35
Calculated Dose From Local Foodstuff Tests _____	2-36
Fish _____	2-36
Venison _____	2-36
Beef _____	2-36
Milk _____	2-36
Produce (Corn, Beans, and Apples) _____	2-36
Predicted Dose From All Pathways _____	2-36
Risk Assessment _____	2-37
Dose to Biota: Aquatic and Terrestrial Wildlife _____	2-38
Summary _____	2-39
CHAPTER 3. ENVIRONMENTAL NONRADIOLOGICAL PROGRAM INFORMATION _____	3-1
Overview of New York State Water Classifications, Water Quality Standards, and Water Effluent Limits _____	3-1
Surface Water, Subsurface Drainage Water, and Water Effluent Monitoring _____	3-2
SPDES Permit-Required Monitoring _____	3-2
Mercury Analytical Method Study _____	3-2
South Plateau Surface and Subsurface Water _____	3-3
Other On-Site and Off-Site Surface Water Monitoring _____	3-4
Drinking Water Monitoring _____	3-5
Soil and Aquatic Sediment Monitoring _____	3-5
Air Emission Monitoring _____	3-6

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 4. SITE HYDROLOGY AND GROUNDWATER MONITORING _____	4-1
Groundwater Monitoring Program Overview _____	4-1
Geologic History of the West Valley Site _____	4-1
Surface Water Hydrology of the West Valley Site _____	4-2
Hydrogeology of the West Valley Site _____	4-2
Kent Recessional Sequence _____	4-2
Lavery Till _____	4-4
Sand and Gravel and Till-Sand Units _____	4-4
Routine Groundwater Monitoring Program _____	4-5
Monitoring Well Network _____	4-5
Groundwater Monitoring Program Highlights 1982 Through 2002 _____	4-9
Annual Analytical Trigger Level Review _____	4-10
Results of Routine Groundwater Monitoring _____	4-10
Long-Term Trends of Gross Beta and Tritium at Selected Groundwater Monitoring Locations _____	4-12
North Plateau Seeps _____	4-14
North Plateau Well Points _____	4-14
Results of Radioisotopic Sampling _____	4-14
Results of Monitoring at the NDA _____	4-15
Volatile and Semivolatile Organic Compounds Sampling _____	4-15
1,1-Dichloroethane _____	4-15
Dichlorodifluoromethane _____	4-15
Total 1,2-Dichloroethylene _____	4-16
1,1,1-Trichloroethane _____	4-16
Tributyl Phosphate _____	4-16
Off-Site Groundwater Monitoring _____	4-16
Special Groundwater Monitoring _____	4-16
Gross Beta Plume on the North Plateau _____	4-16
Permeable Treatment Wall _____	4-17
Northeast Swamp Drainage Monitoring _____	4-17
North Plateau Groundwater Quality Early Warning Monitoring _____	4-18
Investigation of Chromium and Nickel in the Sand and Gravel Unit and Evaluation of Corrosion in Groundwater Monitoring Wells _____	4-19
Ten-Year Sampling Pump Inspections _____	4-19

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 5. QUALITY ASSURANCE _____	5-1
Organizational Responsibilities _____	5-1
Program Design _____	5-1
Responsibility _____	5-1
Planning _____	5-1
Control of Design, Procedures, Items, and Documents _____	5-2
Documentation _____	5-2
Corrective Action _____	5-2
Audits _____	5-2
Procedures _____	5-2
Quality Control in the Field _____	5-2
Field Duplicates _____	5-3
Field Blanks _____	5-3
Trip Blanks _____	5-3
Environmental Background Samples _____	5-3
Quality Control in the Laboratory _____	5-3
Standards _____	5-4
Spikes _____	5-4
Blanks _____	5-4
Duplicates _____	5-4
Crosschecks _____	5-5
Personnel Training _____	5-5
Recordkeeping _____	5-5
Chain-of-Custody Procedures _____	5-6
Audits and Appraisals _____	5-6
Self-Assessments _____	5-6
Lessons Learned Program _____	5-6
Data Management _____	5-7
Data Verification and Validation _____	5-7
Data Assessment and Reporting _____	5-7
Summary _____	5-8

Table of Contents

APPENDIX A. <i>Maps</i> _____	A-1
APPENDIX B. <i>2002 Environmental Monitoring Program</i> _____	B-i
APPENDIX C. <i>Summary of Water Monitoring Data</i> _____	C-1
APPENDIX C-1. <i>Summary of Water Limits, Guidelines, and Standards</i> _____	C-3
APPENDIX C-2. <i>Process Effluent Data</i> _____	C-11
APPENDIX C-3. <i>Site Surface Drainage, Subsurface Drainage, and Contained Water</i> _____	C-27
APPENDIX C-4. <i>Ambient Surface Water Data</i> _____	C-35
APPENDIX C-5. <i>Potable Water (Drinking Water) Data</i> _____	C-43
APPENDIX D. <i>Summary of Air Monitoring Data</i> _____	D-1
APPENDIX E. <i>Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data</i> _____	E-1
APPENDIX F. <i>Summary of Biological Data</i> _____	F-1
APPENDIX G. <i>Summary of Soil and Sediment Data</i> _____	G-1
APPENDIX G-1. <i>Summary of Soil and Aquatic Sediment Guidelines and Standards</i> _____	G-3
APPENDIX G-2. <i>Soil and Sediment Data</i> _____	G-7
APPENDIX H. <i>Summary of Direct Radiation Monitoring Data</i> _____	H-1
APPENDIX I. <i>Summary of Meteorological Data</i> _____	I-1
APPENDIX J. <i>Summary of Quality Assurance Crosscheck Analyses</i> _____	J-1
APPENDIX K. <i>Environmental Laws, Regulations, Standards, and Orders</i> _____	K-1
APPENDIX L. <i>Summary of New York State Energy Research and Development Authority Groundwater Monitoring Data</i> _____	L-1
REFERENCES and BIBLIOGRAPHY _____	R&B-1
GLOSSARY _____	GLO-1
ACRONYMS and ABBREVIATIONS _____	A&A-1
UNITS OF MEASURE and UNIT PREFIXES _____	UOM-1
SCIENTIFIC NOTATION and CONVERSION CHART _____	UOM-2
DISTRIBUTION _____	DST-1
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS _____	ACK-1

Table of Contents

List of Figures

INT-1. Location of the Western New York Nuclear Service Center _____	INT-4
ECS-1. WVDP SPDES Permit Limit Exceptions _____	ECS-12
1-1. Annual Average Exposure at the CPC-WSA (DNTLD24) _____	1-10
1-2. Annual Average Exposure at the Drum Cell (DNTLD36) _____	1-10
1-3. Annual Effective Dose Equivalent to the Maximally Exposed Off-Site Individual _____	1-17
1-4. Yearly SPDES Permit Exceptions _____	1-18
1-5. Percentage of Waste-Reduction-Exceeding Goals _____	1-19
1-6. Number of Immediately Reportable Spills or Releases _____	1-19
1-7. Number of Curies Transferred to the Vitrification Facility _____	1-20
2-1. Sixteen-Year Trends of Gross Alpha, Gross Beta, and Tritium Concentrations at Sampling Location WNSP006 _____	2-7
2-2. Sixteen-Year Trends of Gross Alpha, Gross Beta, and Tritium Concentrations at Sampling Location WNNADR _____	2-9
2-3. Sixteen-Year Trends of Gross Alpha, Gross Beta, and Tritium Concentrations at Sampling Location WFFELBR _____	2-12
2-4. Seventeen-Year Trends of Cesium-137 in Stream Sediments at Two Locations Upstream and Three Locations Downstream of the WVDP _____	2-14
2-5. Comparison of Cesium-137 With Naturally-Occurring Potassium-40 Concentrations in 2002 at Downstream Sampling Location SFTCSED _____	2-14
2-6. Sixteen-Year Trends of Gross Alpha and Gross Beta Activity at the Main Stack Sampling Location (ANSTACK) _____	2-16
2-7. Sixteen-Year Trends of Gross Alpha and Gross Beta Concentrations at the Rock Springs Road Sampling Location (AFRSPRD) _____	2-20
2-8. Eighteen-Year Trends of Environmental Radiation Levels at Perimeter TLDs _____	2-25
2-9. Comparison of Annual Background Radiation Dose to the Dose From 2002 WVDP Effluents _____	2-27
2-10. Estimated Iodine-129 Releases From the WVDP Main Plant Stack _____	2-32
2-11. Effective Dose Equivalent From Liquid and Airborne Effluents to a Maximally Exposed Individual Residing Near the WVDP _____	2-37
2-12. Collective Effective Dose Equivalent From Liquid and Airborne Effluents to the Population Residing Within 50 Miles (80 km) of the WVDP _____	2-38

Table of Contents

List of Figures (continued)

4-1. Geologic Cross Section Through the North Plateau _____	4-3
4-2. Geologic Cross Section Through the South Plateau _____	4-3
4-3. North Plateau Gross Beta Plume Area: Fourth-Quarter 2002 Results _____	4-13
4-4. Annualized Average Strontium-90 Concentrations at WNSWAMP _____	4-18
4-5. Twelve-Year Trends of Averaged Gross Beta Concentrations at Selected Locations in the Sand and Gravel Unit (Central Area of Plateau) _____	4-20
4-6. Twelve-Year Trends of Averaged Gross Beta Concentrations at Selected Locations in the Sand and Gravel Unit (Northeast Area of Plateau) _____	4-20
4-7. Seventeen-Year Trends of Averaged Gross Beta Concentrations at Selected Locations in the Sand and Gravel Unit _____	4-21
4-8. Twelve-Year Trends of Averaged Tritium Concentrations at Selected Locations in the Sand and Gravel Unit (Central Area of Plateau) _____	4-21
4-9. Twelve-Year Trends of Averaged Tritium Concentrations at Selected Locations in the Sand and Gravel Unit (Northeast Area of Plateau) _____	4-22
4-10. Seventeen-Year Trends of Averaged Tritium Concentrations at Selected Locations in the Sand and Gravel Unit _____	4-22
4-11. Twelve-Year Trends of 1,1-DCA at Selected Locations in the Sand and Gravel Unit _____	4-23
4-12. Twelve-Year Trends of Dichlorodifluoromethane at Selected Locations in the Sand and Gravel Unit _____	4-23
4-13. Twelve-Year Trends of 1,2-DCE-t and 1,1,1-TCA at Well 8612 in the Sand and Gravel Unit _____	4-24
4-14. Trends of Tributyl Phosphate at Selected Locations in the Sand and Gravel Unit _____	4-24
A-1. West Valley Demonstration Project Base Map _____	A-3
A-2. On-Site Surface Water and Soil/Sediment Sampling Locations _____	A-4
A-3. Off-Site Surface Water and Soil/Sediment Sampling Locations _____	A-5
A-4. On-Site Air Monitoring and Sampling Locations _____	A-6
A-5. Off-Site Air and Fallout Sampling Locations _____	A-7
A-6. Active WVDP Groundwater Monitoring Locations _____	A-8
A-7. North Plateau On-Site Groundwater Monitoring Network _____	A-9
A-8. South Plateau On-Site Groundwater Monitoring Network _____	A-10
A-9. Near-Site Drinking Water and Biological Sampling Locations _____	A-11
A-10. Location of On-Site Thermoluminescent Dosimeters (TLDs) _____	A-12
A-11. Location of Off-Site Thermoluminescent Dosimeters (TLDs) Within 5 Kilometers of the WVDP _____	A-13
A-12. Environmental Sampling Locations Between 5 and 10 Kilometers From the WVDP _____	A-14

Table of Contents

List of Figures (continued)

A-13. Environmental Sampling Locations More Than 10 Kilometers From the WVDP _____	A-15
A-14. Projected 2002 Population by Sector Within 80 Kilometers of the WVDP _____	A-16
E-1. pH in Groundwater Samples From the Sand and Gravel Unit _____	E-24
E-2. Conductivity of Groundwater Samples From the Sand and Gravel Unit _____	E-24
E-3. Gross Alpha in Groundwater Samples From the Sand and Gravel Unit _____	E-25
E-4. Gross Beta in Groundwater Samples From the Sand and Gravel Unit _____	E-25
E-4a. Gross Beta in Groundwater Samples From the Sand and Gravel Unit (Magnified Scale of Fig. E-4) _____	E-26
E-4b. Gross Beta in Groundwater Samples From the Sand and Gravel Unit (Magnified Scale of Fig. E-4a) _____	E-26
E-5. Tritium in Groundwater Samples From the Sand and Gravel Unit _____	E-27
E-6. pH of Groundwater Samples From the Till-Sand Unit _____	E-27
E-7. Conductivity of Groundwater Samples From the Till-Sand Unit _____	E-27
E-8. Gross Alpha in Groundwater Samples From the Till-Sand Unit _____	E-28
E-9. Gross Beta in Groundwater Samples From the Till-Sand Unit _____	E-28
E-10. Tritium in Groundwater Samples From the Till-Sand Unit _____	E-28
E-11. pH of Groundwater Samples From the Weathered Lavery Till Unit _____	E-28
E-12. Conductivity of Groundwater Samples From the Weathered Lavery Till Unit _____	E-29
E-13. Gross Alpha in Groundwater Samples From the Weathered Lavery Till Unit _____	E-29
E-14. Gross Beta in Groundwater Samples From the Weathered Lavery Till Unit _____	E-29
E-14a. Gross Beta in Groundwater Samples From the Weathered Lavery Till Unit (Magnified Scale of Fig. E-14) _____	E-29
E-15. Tritium in Groundwater Samples From the Weathered Lavery Till Unit _____	E-30
E-16. pH of Groundwater Samples From the Unweathered Lavery Till Unit _____	E-30
E-17. Conductivity of Groundwater Samples From the Unweathered Lavery Till Unit _____	E-30
E-18. Gross Alpha in Groundwater Samples From the Unweathered Lavery Till Unit _____	E-30
E-19. Gross Beta in Groundwater Samples From the Unweathered Lavery Till Unit _____	E-31
E-20. Tritium in Groundwater Samples From the Unweathered Lavery Till Unit _____	E-31
E-21. pH of Groundwater Samples From the Kent Recessional Sequence _____	E-31
E-22. Conductivity of Groundwater Samples From the Kent Recessional Sequence _____	E-31
E-23. Gross Alpha in Groundwater Samples From the Kent Recessional Sequence _____	E-32
E-24. Gross Beta in Groundwater Samples From the Kent Recessional Sequence _____	E-32
E-25. Tritium in Groundwater Samples From the Kent Recessional Sequence _____	E-32

Table of Contents

List of Figures (concluded)

H-1.	2002 Average Yearly Gamma Exposure Rates Around the WVDP _____	H-6
H-2.	2002 Average Yearly Gamma Exposure Rates on the WVDP _____	H-6
I-1.	Wind Frequency Rose: 10-Meter at the Regional Monitoring Station _____	I-3
I-2.	Wind Frequency Rose: 10-Meter at the Primary Monitoring Station _____	I-4
I-3.	Wind Frequency Rose: 60-Meter at the Primary Monitoring Station _____	I-5
I-4.	Calendar Year 2002 Weekly Precipitation _____	I-6
I-5.	Calendar Year 2002 Cumulative Precipitation _____	I-6

Table of Contents

List of Tables

Compliance Tables:

ECS-1. West Valley Demonstration Project 2002 Air Quality Noncompliance Episodes _____	ECS-20
ECS-2. West Valley Demonstration Project 2002 NPDES/SPDES Permit Limit Noncompliance Episodes _____	ECS-20
ECS-3. Release of Property Containing Residual Radioactive Material _____	ECS-20
ECS-4. West Valley Demonstration Project Migratory Bird Nest Depredation Episodes in 2002 _	ECS-20
ECS-5. Status of EPCRA Reporting in 2002 _____	ECS-21
ECS-6. Reportable Chemicals Above Threshold Planning Quantities Stored at the WVDP in 2002 _____	ECS-21
ECS-7. West Valley Demonstration Project Environmental Permits _____	ECS-22
2-1. Potential Local Off-Site Exposure Pathways Under Existing WVDP Conditions _____	2-2
2-2. 2002 Gross Alpha Concentrations at Surface Water Sampling Locations _____	2-5
2-3. 2002 Gross Beta Concentrations at Surface Water Sampling Locations _____	2-5
2-4. 2002 Gross Alpha Concentrations at Off-Site, Perimeter, and On-Site Ambient Air Sampling Locations _____	2-19
2-5. 2002 Gross Beta Concentrations at Off-Site, Perimeter, and On-Site Ambient Air Sampling Locations _____	2-19
2-6. Summary of Annual Effective Dose Equivalents to an Individual and Population From WVDP Releases in 2002 _____	2-31
2-7. Evaluation of Dose to Aquatic and Terrestrial Biota _____	2-41
2-8. WVDP Radiological Dose and Release Summary _____	2-42
4-1. 2002 Groundwater Sampling and Analysis Agenda _____	4-6
4-2. Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Program by Geographic Area, Monitoring Year 2002 _____	4-8
4-3. Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Program by Monitoring Purpose, Monitoring Year 2002 _____	4-8

Table of Contents

List of Tables (continued)

C-1A. West Valley Demonstration Project State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Sampling Program _____	C-5
C-1B. New York Water Quality Standards and Guidelines _____	C-7
C-1C. New York State Department of Health/U.S. Environmental Protection Agency MCLs, MCLGs, and Raw Water Standards _____	C-8
C-1D. U.S. Department of Energy Derived Concentration Guides _____	C-9
C-2A. Total Radioactivity of Liquid Effluents Released From Lagoon 3 (WNSP001) in 2002 _____	C-13
C-2B. Comparison of 2002 Lagoon 3 (WNSP001) Liquid Effluent Radioactivity Concentrations With U.S. Department of Energy Guidelines _____	C-14
C-2C. 2002 SPDES Results for Outfall 001 (WNSP001): Water Quality _____	C-15
C-2D. 2002 SPDES Results for Outfall 001 (WNSP001): Metals _____	C-17
C-2E. 2002 SPDES Results for Outfall 001 (WNSP001): Organics _____	C-19
C-2F. 2002 SPDES Results for Outfall 007 (WNSP007): Water Quality and Iron _____	C-21
C-2G. 2002 SPDES Results for Outfall 008 (WNSP008): Water Quality _____	C-22
C-2H. 2002 SPDES Results for Sums of Outfalls 001, 007, 008, and 116: Water Quality _____	C-23
C-2I. 2002 Quarterly/Semiannual/Annual SPDES Results for Outfall 001 (WNSP001): Water Quality, Metals, and Organics _____	C-24
C-2J. 2002 Annual SPDES Results for Outfall 007 (WNSP007): Water Quality _____	C-24
C-2K. 2002 Annual SPDES Results for Outfall 008 (WNSP008): Water Quality _____	C-24
C-2L. 2002 SPDES Results for Outfall 01B (WNSP01B): Water Quality _____	C-25
C-2M. 2002 Radioactivity Concentrations in Surface Water at Sewage Treatment (WNSP007) _____	C-25
C-3A. 2002 Radioactivity Concentrations and pH in Surface Water at Facility Yard Drainage (WNSP005) _____	C-29
C-3B. 2002 Radioactivity Concentrations in Surface Water at French Drain (WNSP008) _____	C-29
C-3C. 2002 Radioactivity Concentrations and Chemical Constituents in Surface Water at the North Swamp (WNSW74A) as Compared to Background Location (WFBCBKG) _____	C-30
C-3D. 2002 Radioactivity Concentrations and Chemical Constituents in Surface Water at the Northeast Swamp (WNSWAMP) as Compared to Background Location (WFBCBKG) _____	C-31
C-3E. 2002 Radioactivity Concentrations and Water Quality Parameters: Storage and Disposal Area Drainage (WNNADR) _____	C-32
C-3F. 2002 Radioactivity Concentrations, NPOC, and TOX in Subsurface Water at the NDA Interceptor Trench (WNNATR) _____	C-32

Table of Contents

List of Tables (continued)

C-3G. 2002 Radioactivity Concentrations and pH in Surface Water at SDA Drainage (WNSDADR) _____	C-33
C-3H. 2002 Radioactivity Concentrations and pH in Surface Water at Cooling Tower Basin (WNCOOLW) _____	C-33
C-4A. 2002 Radioactivity Concentrations and pH in Surface Water Downstream of the WVDP in Cattaraugus Creek at Felton Bridge (WFFELBR) as Compared to Surface Water Upstream of the WVDP in Cattaraugus Creek at Bigelow Bridge (WFBIGBR) _____	C-37
C-4B. 2002 Radioactivity Concentrations and Water Quality Parameters in Surface Water Downstream of the WVDP in Buttermilk Creek at Thomas Corners Bridge (WFBCTCB) as Compared to Surface Water Upstream of the WVDP in Buttermilk Creek at Fox Valley (WFBCBKG) _____	C-37
C-4C. 2002 Radioactivity Concentrations and Chemical Constituents in Surface Water Downstream of the WVDP at Frank’s Creek (WNSP006) as Compared to Background Location (WFBCBKG) _____	C-38
C-4D. 2002 Results From Outfall WNSP116 _____	C-39
C-4E. 2002 Radioactivity Concentrations and pH in Surface Water at Erdman Brook (WNERB53) _____	C-39
C-4F. 2002 Radioactivity Concentrations and pH in Surface Water at Frank’s Creek East of the SDA (WNFRC67) _____	C-40
C-4G. 2002 Radioactivity Concentrations and pH in Surface Water at Drum Cell Drainage (WNDCELD) _____	C-40
C-4H. 2002 Radioactivity Concentrations and Water Quality Parameters in Surface Water at the Standing Water (WNSTAW-Series) Sampling Locations as Compared to Background Locations _____	C-41
C-5A. 2002 Radioactivity Concentrations, pH, and Conductivity in Potable Well Water Around the WVDP _____	C-45
C-5B. 2002 Radioactivity Concentrations, pH, and Conductivity in Main Plant Potable Water (WNDNKMP), Environmental Laboratory Potable Water (WNDNKEL), and Maintenance Shop Potable Water (WNDNKMS) _____	C-46
C-5C. 2002 Radioactivity Concentrations and Water Quality Parameters in Utility Room Potable Water (WNDNKUR) _____	C-47
C-5D. 2002 Total Iron and Total Dissolved Solids in Utility Room Raw (Untreated) Water _____	C-47
C-5E. Tap Water Sample Results From WVDP Conference Room - Rest Room (Analyzed by by Cattaraugus County Department of Health) _____	C-47

Table of Contents

List of Tables (continued)

D-1.	2002 Airborne Radioactive Effluent Totals Released From the Main Ventilation Stack (ANSTACK) _____	D-3
D-2.	Comparison of 2002 Main Stack (ANSTACK) Exhaust Radioactivity Concentrations With U.S. Department of Energy Guidelines _____	D-4
D-3.	2002 Airborne Radioactive Effluent Totals From the Vitrification System (HVAC) Ventilation Stack (ANVITSK) _____	D-5
D-4.	2002 Airborne Radioactive Effluent Totals From the Seismic Sampler (ANSEISK) for the Vitrification System (HVAC) Ventilation Stack _____	D-6
D-5.	2002 Airborne Radioactive Effluent Totals From the 01-14 Building Ventilation Exhaust (ANCSSTK) _____	D-7
D-6.	2002 Airborne Radioactive Effluent Totals From the Contact Size-Reduction Facility Ventilation Stack (ANCSRFK) _____	D-8
D-7.	2002 Airborne Radioactive Effluent Totals From the Supernatant Treatment System Ventilation Stack (ANSTSTK) _____	D-9
D-8.	2002 Airborne Radioactive Effluent Totals From the Container Sorting and Packaging Facility Ventilation Stack (ANCSPFK) _____	D-10
D-9.	2002 Airborne Radioactive Effluent Totals From the Former Low-Level Waste Treatment Facility Hot Side Ventilation (ANLLWTVH) _____	D-11
D-10.	2002 Airborne Radioactive Effluent Totals From the New Low-Level Waste Treatment Facility Ventilation (ANLLW2V) _____	D-11
D-11.	2002 Airborne Radioactive Effluent Totals From the Laundry Change Room (ANLAUNV) _____	D-12
D-12.	2002 Radioactivity Concentrations in Airborne Particulates at the Lag Storage Air Sampler (ANLAGAM) _____	D-13
D-13.	2002 Radioactivity Concentrations in Airborne Particulates at the NDA Air Sampler (ANNDAAM) _____	D-14
D-14.	2002 Airborne Radioactivity Concentrations at the SDA Trench 9 Air Sampler (ANSDAT9) _____	D-15
D-15.	2002 Airborne Radioactive Effluent Totals From Outdoor Ventilation Enclosures/Portable Ventilation Units _____	D-16
D-16.	2002 Airborne Radioactivity Concentrations at the Rock Springs Road Air Sampler (AFRSPRD) _____	D-17
D-17.	2002 Radioactivity Concentrations in Airborne Particulates at the Dutch Hill Air Sampler (AFBOEHN) _____	D-18
D-18.	2002 Radioactivity Concentrations in Airborne Particulates at the Fox Valley Air Sampler (AFFXVRD) _____	D-18

Table of Contents

List of Tables (continued)

D-19.	2002 Radioactivity Concentrations in Airborne Particulates at the Bulk Storage Warehouse Air Sampler (AFBLKST) _____	D-19
D-20.	2002 Radioactivity Concentrations in Airborne Particulates at the Route 240 Air Sampler (AFRT240) _____	D-19
D-21.	2002 Radioactivity Concentrations in Airborne Particulates at the Thomas Corners Road Air Sampler (AFTCORD) _____	D-20
D-22.	2002 Radioactivity Concentrations in Airborne Particulates at the West Valley Air Sampler (AFWEVAL) _____	D-20
D-23.	2002 Radioactivity Concentrations in Airborne Particulates at the Springville Air Sampler (AFSPRVL) _____	D-21
D-24.	2002 Airborne Radioactivity Concentrations at the Great Valley Background Air Sampler (AFGRVAL) _____	D-22
D-25.	2002 Radioactivity Concentrations in Airborne Particulates at the Nashville Background Air Sampler (AFNASHV) _____	D-23
D-26.	2002 Radioactivity and pH in Fallout: Dutch Hill (AFDHFOP) _____	D-24
D-27.	2002 Radioactivity and pH in Fallout: Rain Gauge (ANRGFOP) _____	D-24
D-28.	2002 Radioactivity and pH in Fallout: Route 240 (AF24FOP) _____	D-25
D-29.	2002 Radioactivity and pH in Fallout: Thomas Corners Road (AFTCFOP) _____	D-25
D-30.	2002 Radioactivity and pH in Fallout: Fox Valley Road (AFFXFOP) _____	D-26
E-1.	Groundwater Monitoring Network: Super Solid Waste Management Units _____	E-3
E-2.	2002 Contamination Indicator and Radiological Indicator Results From the Sand and Gravel Unit _____	E-7
E-3.	2002 Contamination Indicator and Radiological Indicator Results From the Lavery Till-Sand Unit _____	E-11
E-4.	2002 Contamination Indicator and Radiological Indicator Results From the Weathered Lavery Till Unit _____	E-12
E-5.	2002 Contamination Indicator and Radiological Indicator Results From the Unweathered Lavery Till Unit _____	E-13
E-6.	2002 Contamination Indicator and Radiological Indicator Results From the Kent Recessional Sequence _____	E-14
E-7.	2002 Contamination Indicator and Radiological Indicator Results From the North Plateau Seep Monitoring Locations _____	E-14
E-8.	2002 Contamination Indicator and Radiological Indicator Results From the North Plateau Well Points _____	E-15

Table of Contents

List of Tables (continued)

E-9.	2002 Detections of Volatile Organic Compounds at Selected Groundwater Monitoring Locations _____	E-15
E-10.	2002 Tributyl Phosphate Sampling Results From Selected Groundwater Monitoring Locations _____	E-16
E-11.	2002 Metals Sampling Results _____	E-16
E-12.	2002 Sampling Parameters for Early Warning Monitoring Wells _____	E-17
E-13.	2002 Alpha-, Beta-, and Gamma-Emitting Radioisotopic Results From Selected Groundwater Monitoring Locations _____	E-18
E-14.	Practical Quantitation Limits _____	E-20
F-1.	2002 Radioactivity Concentrations in Milk _____	F-3
F-2.	2002 Radioactivity Concentrations in Meat _____	F-4
F-3.	2002 Radioactivity Concentrations in Food Crops _____	F-5
F-4.	2002 Radioactivity Concentrations in Fish Flesh From Cattaraugus Creek _____	F-6
G-1A.	Eastern U.S.A. Background Concentrations for Soils _____	G-5
G-1B.	Screening Concentrations for Contaminated Sediments _____	G-6
G-1C.	Screening Thresholds for In-Water and Riparian Management of Sediment and Dredge Material _____	G-6
G-2A.	2002 Radioactivity Concentrations and Metals Concentrations in On-Site Soils Downstream of the WVDP at Frank's Creek (SNSP006) _____	G-9
G-2B.	2002 Radioactivity Concentrations and Metals Concentrations in On-Site Soils From North Swamp (SNSW74A) _____	G-10
G-2C.	2002 Radioactivity Concentrations and Metals Concentrations in On-Site Soils From Northeast Swamp (SNSWAMP) _____	G-11
G-2D.	2002 Radioactivity Concentrations in Surface Soils Collected at Air Stations Around the WVDP as Compared to Background Locations _____	G-12
G-2E.	2002 Radioactivity Concentrations in Stream Sediments Around the WVDP as Compared to Background Locations _____	G-14

Table of Contents

List of Tables (concluded)

H-1.	Summary of 2002 Quarterly Averages of Off-Site TLD Measurements _____	H-3
H-2.	Summary of 2002 Quarterly Averages of On-Site TLD Measurements _____	H-4
H-3.	3rd-Quarter 2002 TLD Results and Instantaneous Exposure Rate Readings With a High-Pressure Ion Chamber (HPIC) at Each Monitoring Location _____	H-5
I-1.	2002 Site Precipitation Collection Data _____	I-7
I-2.	2002 Annual Temperature Summary at the 10-Meter Primary Meteorological Tower _____	I-8
I-3.	2002 Annual Barometric Pressure Summary _____	I-8
J-1.	Comparison of Radiological Results With Known Results of Crosscheck Samples From the DOE Environmental Measurements Laboratory Quality Assessment Program 56; EML-617 QAP 0203; June 2002 _____	J-3
J-2.	Comparison of Radiological Results With Known Results of Crosscheck Samples From the DOE Environmental Measurements Laboratory Quality Assessment Program 57; EML-618 QAP 0209; December 2002 _____	J-5
J-3.	Comparison of Results From Crosscheck Samples Analyzed for Water Quality Parameters as Part of the EPA's 2002 Discharge Monitoring Report - Quality Assurance Study 22 for the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System _____	J-7
K-1.	U.S. Department of Energy Radiation Protection Standards and Derived Concentration Guides _____	K-3
K-2.	Environmental Laws, Regulations, Standards, and Orders _____	K-4
L-1.	2002 Contamination Indicator Results From SDA Monitoring Wells _____	L-3
L-2.	2002 Radiological Indicator Results From SDA Monitoring Wells _____	L-5
L-3.	2002 Radioisotopic Results From SDA Monitoring Wells _____	L-7

Table of Contents

This page intentionally left blank

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Purpose of This Report

This annual environmental monitoring report for the West Valley Demonstration Project (WVDP or Project) is published to inform those with interest about environmental conditions at the WVDP. In accordance with U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Order 231.1, Environment, Safety, and Health Reporting, the report summarizes calendar year (CY) 2002 environmental monitoring data so as to describe the performance of the WVDP's environmental management system, confirm compliance with standards and regulations, and highlight important programs.

Project Description

In 2002, the West Valley Demonstration Project, the site of a DOE environmental cleanup activity operated by West Valley Nuclear Services Co. (WVNSCO), was in the final stages of stabilizing high-level radioactive waste (HLW) that remained at the site after commercial nuclear fuel reprocessing had been discontinued in the early 1970s. The Project is located in western New York State, about 30 miles south of Buffalo, within the New York State-owned Western New York Nuclear Service Center (WNYNSC). The WVDP is be-

ing conducted in cooperation with the New York State Energy Research and Development Authority (NYSERDA).

Ongoing work activities at the WVDP during 2002 included:

- completing HLW solidification and melter shutdown
- shipping low-level radioactive waste off-site for disposal
- constructing a facility where large high-activity components can be safely packaged for disposal
- packaging and removing spent materials from the vitrification facility
- preparing environmental impact statements for future activities

A reader opinion survey has been inserted in this report. If it is missing, please contact the Communications Department at (716) 942-4555. Additional Project information is available on the internet at <http://www.wv.doe.gov>.

- removing as much of the waste left behind in waste tanks 8D-1 and 8D-2 as was reasonably possible
 - removing storage racks, canisters, and debris from the fuel receiving and storage pool, decontaminating pool walls, and beginning shipment of debris for disposal
 - ongoing decontamination in the general purpose cell and the process mechanical cell (also referred to as the head end cells)
 - planning for cleanup of waste in the plutonium purification cell (south) and extraction cell number 2 in the main plant
 - ongoing characterization of facilities such as the waste tank farm and process cells
 - monitoring the environment and managing contaminated areas within the Project facility premises
 - flushing and rinsing HLW solidification facilities.
- The WVDP continued to successfully monitor specific waste management areas at the site in order to comply with the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act §3008(h) Administrative Order on Consent.
 - The Project also met the requirements of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act by collecting information about hazardous materials used at the Project and making this information available to the local community.
 - The New York State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (SPDES) permit currently identifies five permitted liquid outfalls (for example, a pipe where treated water flows into a stream) at the Project. A SPDES permit application was submitted to NYSDEC in 2000 to cover process changes and storm water runoff. A permit modification for process changes was received in 2002; the modifications for storm water runoff are expected in 2003.
 - In fulfilling the requirements of the Site Treatment Plan developed under the Federal Facility Compliance Act, all important events in calendar year 2002 for the characterization, treatment, and disposition of mixed waste at the WVDP were completed.

Compliance

Management at the WVDP continued to provide strong support for environmental compliance in 2002. DOE Orders and applicable state and federal statutes and regulations are integrated into the Project's compliance program. Highlights of the 2002 compliance program were:

- No notices of violation or inspection findings from any environmental regulatory agencies were received by the WVDP in 2002.
- Inspections by the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) and the local department of health verified Project compliance with the applicable environmental and health regulations.
- Among other pollution-prevention accomplishments, waste minimization goals for 2002 were met or exceeded in three of five specified waste categories. Although low-level radioactive waste generation did not decline by the targeted 70% reduction set in the one-year goals statement, generation was reduced by 69%. Sanitary waste generation was reduced by 68%, whereas the goal was 70%.

Environmental Monitoring Program

Throughout the six years of vitrification, specific and continued attention was given to environmental monitoring and assessment of effluents (for example, water or air released from a facility and entering the environment) from changing site operations. In 2002, Project environmental scientists continued to sample and monitor effluent air and water, groundwater, surface streams, soil, sediment, vegetation, meat, milk, and game animals, and to record environmental radiation measurements. More than 13,000 samples were collected in 2002 in order to assess the effect of site activities on public health, safety, and the environment.

The Project's environmental monitoring network is evaluated and updated to ensure that all the locations and sample types that would be sensitive to process-related changes are monitored. Samples are tested for radioactivity and/or nonradioactive substances using approved laboratory procedures. Both the laboratory test results and direct measurement data are reviewed at several stages for quality and are compared with historical data from the same locations, with background data, and with data from similar locations.

The environmental data are entered into a controlled database and are automatically matched against high and low values in a normal range. Data points above or below these values are brought to the attention of WVDP scientists for further investigation. WVDP scientists periodically assess all data points and decide the importance of trends at each location.

Doses to the public are calculated using approved computer modeling codes. Dose calculations predict the impacts of air and water releases and the potential effects from consumption of game animals and locally grown food.

Surface Water Monitoring. Surface water is routinely sampled on the Project premises by four automatic samplers: Timed composite samples are collected at Frank's Creek where it exits the Project, at two other on-site points where water flows off-site, and at a surface drainage point near the former radioactive waste disposal areas. Individual on-site samples also are collected periodically by hand at nine other points of drainage from facility areas. The data from these samples are used to determine the type, amount, and probable origin of both radiological and nonradiological contaminants.

Radiological Releases. The largest single, treated effluent source of radioactivity released to surface waters from the WVDP is the discharge from the low-level waste treatment facility through the lagoon 3 outfall. The treated effluent water flows into Erdman Brook, which joins Frank's Creek just before exiting the Project's fenced area. Seven treated batches totaling approximately 13.7 million gallons (52.0 million liters) were released periodically over the course of forty-one days in 2002. In 2001, 8.42 million gallons (31.9 million liters) were released. The difference can be attributed to an increase in water from facility cleanup processes, as well as more precipitation in 2002 than in 2001.

The combined average concentration of all radionuclides in liquid releases from lagoon 3 in 2002 was approximately 34% of the DOE derived concentration guide (DCG), which is used to evaluate liquid process discharges. The average radioactivity concentrations from 1998 through 2001 were 23%, 32%, 34%, and 33% of the DCGs, respectively. The major dose contributors in the total combined liquid effluent in 2002 were strontium-90, cesium-137, and (to a lesser extent) uranium-232.

Seepage of contaminated groundwater from the north plateau was another source of strontium-90

radioactivity in surface water. Drainage from the north plateau eventually flows into Frank's Creek. This drainage point, sample location WNSWAMP, has been carefully monitored since the contaminated seep, which originates from pre-Project operations, was identified in 1993. A groundwater recovery and treatment system is currently being used to reduce the migration of strontium-90 to surface water on the north plateau. The average strontium-90 concentration at WNSWAMP decreased in 2002 from the concentration in 2001. The decrease in the strontium-90 concentrations at this northeast swamp drainage is thought to be linked to increased groundwater dilution due to more precipitation in 2002. Nonetheless, because of increased total flow, the total quantity of radioactivity released, and the associated dose to an off-site individual from this radioactivity, were higher than in 2001. Even so, the dose in 2002 was still far below 1% of the applicable limit.

In 2002 the WVDP evaluated a permeable treatment wall that was installed in 1999 to treat strontium-90-contaminated groundwater. The evaluation concluded that complex hydrogeologic conditions and disturbances from the installation are influencing groundwater flow into and around the treatment wall.

Dose Assessment. The dose to the maximally exposed off-site individual from the liquid pathway in 2002 was estimated to be 0.057 millirem (mrem)– 0.026 mrem attributable to Project effluents from lagoon 3 and an additional 0.031 mrem from the north plateau drainage. In international units, these values would be 0.00057 millisieverts (mSv), 0.00026 mSv, and 0.00031 mSv, respectively.

Nonradiological Releases. Nonradiological contaminants, measured at three outfalls and calculated at one monitoring point, were below the WVDP SPDES permit limits.

Soil and Stream Sediments. Surface soil is collected annually near the ten air sampler locations in order to track long-term deposition. Sediments from off-site creeks are collected annually from three downstream and two upstream locations. Soil and sediment from three on-site drainage channels is also sampled annually in order to track waterborne movement of contaminants.

Surface soil samples in 2002 showed little change from previous years. Except for one area that historically has shown average cesium-137 concentrations higher than background values, the concentrations of radioactive atoms normally present in soil from both worldwide fallout and from Project air emissions at near-site locations are indistinguishable from background concentrations found in the region away from the WVDP.

Because of pre-Project releases from nuclear fuel reprocessing activities, the concentrations of cesium-137 in downstream creek sediments have been historically higher than concentrations in the upstream sediments. Results from 2002 were consistent with historical results. Monitoring results for cesium-137 in sediments over seventeen years show no upward trends at either upstream or downstream points.

Groundwater Monitoring. Groundwater samples were collected as scheduled from sixty-five on-site locations in 2002. Computerized screening of the groundwater data accelerated identification and evaluation of changes. More monitoring wells had been installed in 2001 to provide additional subsurface and groundwater information in the area of the north plateau strontium-90 plume. Monitoring activities in 2002 included gathering more detailed information about the north plateau strontium-90 contamination. The 2002 groundwater program continued to indicate that strontium-90 is still the major contributor to elevated gross beta values (from indicator measure-

ments) in the underground contamination area plume on the north plateau. The concentrations of other isotopes were below the DCG levels usually applied to surface water.

In addition to collecting samples from wells, groundwater was routinely collected from seeps on the bank above Frank's Creek along the northeastern edge of the north plateau. Results of radiological analyses indicate that gross beta activity from the north plateau plume has not migrated to these seepage areas.

Site groundwater also is tested for a number of nonradiological constituents. In 2002 there were no statistically remarkable changes in the concentrations measured.

Calendar year 2002 sample results from near-site residential water-supply wells were indistinguishable from results at background wells.

Air Monitoring. The WVDP airborne radiological monitoring program in 2002 included emissions from six routinely operated permitted exhaust points and four exhausts excluded from permitting because of their low emission potential.

Six air samplers on the perimeter of the WNYNSC and four in more distant locations continuously collect samples of air at the average human breathing height. These samples are tested for radioactivity carried by airborne particles. Samples also are collected for measurement of tritium and iodine-129 at two of the ten locations – the Rock Springs Road sampler near the site and the Great Valley background sampler.

Radiological Releases. As anticipated, radioactive releases from the Project in 2002 were far below the most restrictive limits that ensure public health and safety. Operating the vitrification process at a reduced capacity, and melter shutdown at

the end of 2002, resulted in radiological air releases that were less in 2002 than those noted in 2001.

Although several fission products contribute to airborne radiological releases, the most significant continues to be iodine-129, a long-lived radionuclide that exists in gaseous form at the high temperatures of the vitrification process. Iodine-129 is not fully removed during treatment of the air effluent. The CY 2002 levels of gaseous iodine-129 emissions were lower than 2001 levels.

Gross radioactivity in air samples (airborne particulate) from around the site's perimeter was within the historical range of radioactivity measured at remote background locations or nearby communities. Concentrations in samples from three on-site outdoor air samplers and two portable samplers located near waste storage facilities operated during 2002 also were far below any applicable limits.

Dose Assessment. The dose from air emissions in calendar year 2002 was about 0.04% of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) radionuclide emissions standard of 10 millirem (mrem) per year effective dose equivalent to the maximally exposed off-site individual. (In 2000 the dose from these emissions was about 0.08% and in 2001 the dose was about 0.05%.) Approximately 98% of the total 2002 calculated airborne dose to the maximally exposed off-site individual from main plant stack emissions was from iodine-129 emissions.

Nonradiological Releases. Nitrogen oxides, nonradiological by-products of the vitrification process, were monitored in 2002 as part of the emission-control process. The WVDP monitored nitrogen oxides and sulfur dioxide emissions as a condition of the New York State Facility Air permit. The monitoring demonstrated that emissions were well below the 99-ton cap for each. No opac-

ity (visual smoke density) or permit limits were exceeded in 2002. When melter operations came to an end, the vitrification process source of nitrogen oxides and sulfur dioxides was permanently shut down.

Vegetation, Beef, and Milk. Test results from near-site samples of beans, apples, corn, hay, beef, and milk in 2002 were consistent with results noted in previous years. When near-site samples were compared with background samples, minimal or no site-related effects were noted for hay, beef, or milk. Near-site beans, apples, and corn had strontium-90 levels above background levels in 2002. (These results were not unusual when compared with historical results from the same locations.) If these foods had been consumed in normal quantities by the same person in one year, that individual would have received 0.10 mrem (0.0010 mSv), the equivalent of about three hours of background radiation. No upward trends were determined.

Game Animals. Test results for fish taken from Cattaraugus Creek in 2002, both upstream and downstream of the point where the Creek receives effluents from the WVDP, were what would be expected compared to historical results. When values for downstream fish were compared with those from upstream fish, elevated strontium-90 concentrations were noted below the site and elevated cesium-137 concentrations were noted below the Springville dam. The estimated individual dose attributed to these differences is 0.02 mrem (0.0002 mSv) in 2002, also within the range of historical results.

Radionuclide results for venison from near-site whitetail deer in 2002 were statistically indistinguishable from results for venison taken from background locations more than thirty miles from the site. Although results vary from year to year, data from the last eleven years show no statistical

differences between near-site and control venison samples. Public access to the WNYNSC for deer hunting was resumed in 2002 after having been curtailed in 2001 due to security restrictions.

Program Quality

The WVDP environmental monitoring program is designed to produce high-quality, reliable results. To maintain this standard, each scientist must give continuous attention to the details of sample handling, following approved collection and analysis procedures, and data review and evaluation. Formal self-assessments were performed, and the WVDP Environmental Laboratory also continued the practice of analyzing radiological crosscheck samples sent from a national laboratory. Approximately 97% of radiological and nonradiological crosscheck analyses performed at both the on-site WVDP laboratory and the off-site commercial service laboratories were within acceptance limits.

Test results from the crosscheck program, self-assessments, and comparisons of co-located sample measurements taken by independent agencies such as the New York State Department of Health and NYSDEC indicate that high quality standards are being met.

The WVNSCO Environmental Affairs and Quality Assurance Departments also periodically conducted and documented reviews of program activities in 2002. Off-site laboratories addressed data deficiencies under approved quality assurance programs.

Safety and Environmental Management

In 2002 the WVDP continued to demonstrate its commitment to an all-inclusive approach to safety through implementation of its Integrated Safety

Management System (ISMS). As a key component of the ISMS, the sitewide work review group reviewed work plans, identified environmental safety and health concerns, and specified practices to ensure that work was performed safely. The Environmental Management System, or EMS, an integral part of the WVDP ISMS, was coordinated with other safety management and work planning processes through the integrated environmental, health, and safety management program.

Notable 2002 Events

On June 10, 1998, the WVDP marked completion of the Project's production phase (Phase I) of high-level waste processing, during which 210 canisters were filled with vitrified waste. Phase II, vitrifying the high-level waste residuals, began in 1998 and continued through September 2002. The vitrification process was shut down on September 5, 2002.

In 1999 WVNSCO was recommended for STAR status, the highest safety award given within the DOE. This award, received in early 2000, was granted in recognition of superior health and safety performance by contractor management and employees. In 2001 DOE reconfirmed this award by bestowing the Star of Excellence on WVNSCO for an outstanding safety record. In early 2003 the WVDP was informed that, as a result of a 2002 evaluation, the STAR status was reconfirmed.

The WVDP also was recognized as a top environmental leader in 2000 and was accepted into the EPA's National Environmental Performance track. The WVDP was awarded charter member status as one of the first group of applicants.

To qualify for the award, the WVDP had to demonstrate that it voluntarily has adopted and imple-

mented an environmental management system, has attained previous specific environmental achievements, has made a commitment to achieve four future goals, and has a sustained record of environmental compliance.

The WVDP is one of the first DOE sites to hold both the EPA's highest award for environmental achievement and the DOE's STAR award for excellence in safety and health.

Conclusion

The West Valley Demonstration Project conducts extensive monitoring of on-site facilities and the surrounding environment. This program fulfills federal and state requirements to assess the effect of WVDP activities on public health and safety and the environment. In 2002 the maximum predicted dose to a member of the public from the Project via all pathways was 0.06 mrem (0.0006 mSv), or 0.06% of the 100-mrem DOE limit. In comparison, the typical dose to a member of the public from natural background sources is 295 mrem per year.

The dose to the population within a 50-mile (80-kilometer) radius of the WVDP from DOE activities was 0.24 person-rem. This same population would have received approximately 453,000 person-rem from natural background radiation in 2002.

In addition to demonstrating compliance with environmental regulations and directives, evaluation of data collected in 2002 continued to indicate that WVDP activities pose no threat to public health or safety, or to the environment.

This page intentionally left blank

INTRODUCTION

Information in This Report

Individual chapters in this report provide information on compliance with regulations, general information about the monitoring program and significant activities in calendar year (CY) 2002, summaries of the results of radiological and non-radiological monitoring, calculations of radiation doses to the population within 50 miles (80 kilometers [km]) of the site, and information about practices that ensure the quality of environmental monitoring data. Graphs and tables illustrate important trends and concepts. The bulk of the supporting data is found in the appendices following the text. Page numbers refer the reader to the appendices, figures, and graphs cited in the text.

Appendix A contains maps showing on-site and off-site sampling locations.

Appendix B summarizes the CY 2002 environmental monitoring program at the on-site (i.e., on the Western New York Nuclear Service Center [WNYNSC]) and off-site locations. Samples are designated by a coded abbreviation indicating sample type and location. (A complete listing of the codes is found in the index to Appendix B [pp. B-v through B-vii].) Appendix B lists the kinds of samples taken, the frequency of collection, the pa-

rameters analyzed, the location of the sampling points, the monitoring and reporting requirements, and a brief rationale for the monitoring activities conducted at each location.

Appendices C through I summarize radiometric, chemical analytical, and physical data from air, surface water, groundwater, fallout in precipitation, sediment, soils, biological samples (meat, milk, food crops, and fish), and direct radiation measurements and meteorological monitoring.

Appendix J provides data from the comparison of results of analyses of identically prepared samples (crosscheck samples) by both the West Valley Demonstration Project (WVDP or Project) and independent laboratories. The data include radiological concentrations and chemical parameters in crosscheck samples of air, water, soil, and vegetation.

Appendix K provides a list of radiation protection standards set by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) that are most relevant to the operation of the WVDP. It also lists federal and state laws and regulations that affect the WVDP.

Appendix L contains groundwater monitoring data for the New York State-licensed Disposal Area

(SDA), provided by the New York State Energy Research and Development Authority (NYSERDA).

Acronyms, often used in technical documents to streamline the reading process, are listed in a separate section at the back of this report. (See the Acronyms and Abbreviations section.) Although using acronyms can be a practical way of referring to agencies or systems with unwieldy names, having to look up rarely used acronyms can defeat the purpose of using them. Accordingly, full names of agencies and systems have been used in this report where it will help the reader. However, acronyms that the reader is apt to recognize (e.g., DOE, EPA [U.S. Environmental Protection Agency], NRC [U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission], NYSEDA) or that are used often in this report (e.g., WVDP, WNYNSC) are usually spelled out only at the beginning of sections. Other information that may be helpful is found in the References and Bibliography, Glossary, Units of Measure and Unit Prefixes, Scientific Notations, and Conversion Chart sections at the back of this report.

History of the West Valley Demonstration Project

In the early 1950s, interest in promoting peaceful uses of atomic energy led to the passage of an amendment to the Atomic Energy Act that allowed the Atomic Energy Commission to encourage commercialization of nuclear fuel reprocessing as a way of developing a civilian nuclear industry. The Atomic Energy Commission made its technology available to private companies and invited proposals for the design, construction, and operation of reprocessing plants.

In 1961 the New York State Office of Atomic Development acquired 3,345 acres (1,354 hectares [ha]) near West Valley, New York and established the Western New York Nuclear Service

Center. Davison Chemical Co., together with the New York State Atomic Research and Development Authority (which later became NYSEDA), constructed and began operating a nuclear fuel reprocessing plant under a co-license issued by the Atomic Energy Commission (which later became the NRC and DOE). Nuclear Fuel Services, Inc. (NFS) was formed by Davison Chemical Co. to operate the plant as a commercial facility. NFS leased the property at the WNYNSC and in 1966 began operations to recycle fuel from both commercial and federally owned reactors.

In 1972, while the plant was closed for modifications and expansion, new and more rigorous safety regulations were imposed. Most of the changes concerned the disposal of liquid high-level radioactive waste (HLW) and the prevention of earthquake damage to the facilities. NFS decided that compliance with the new regulations was not economically feasible and in 1976 notified NYSEDA that it would not continue in the fuel-reprocessing business.

Following this decision, the reprocessing plant was shut down. Under the original agreement between the NFS and New York State, the state was ultimately responsible for both the radioactive wastes and the facility.

Numerous studies followed the closing, leading eventually in 1980 to the passage of Public Law 96-368, the West Valley Demonstration Project Act. This Act authorized the DOE to demonstrate a method for solidifying the 600,000 gallons (2.3 million liters) of liquid HLW that remained at the West Valley site. Congress anticipated that the technologies developed at West Valley would be used at other facilities in the United States.

DOE and NYSEDA entered into a Cooperative Agreement on October 1, 1980 (amended September 18, 1981). The Cooperative Agreement

between the DOE and NYSERDA established the cooperative framework for implementation of the Project.

The WVDP Act specifically provides that the facilities and the high-level radioactive waste on-site shall be made available (by the state of New York) to the DOE without the transfer of title for as long as required to complete the Project. The facility's NRC license was amended in 1981 to allow the DOE to proceed at the Project under a Memorandum of Understanding. Although the lead agency for the WVDP is the DOE, under the Memorandum of Understanding the DOE and the NRC each have specific responsibilities related to the WVDP.

The former West Valley Nuclear Services Company, Inc., a subsidiary of Westinghouse Electric Corporation, was chosen by the DOE to be the management and operating contractor for the West Valley Demonstration Project. Site operations began at the WVDP in March 1982. The West Valley Nuclear Services Company (WVNSCO), a unit of the Westinghouse Environmental Services Company (in 2002, the Energy and Environmental Division of Washington Group International), is the contractor for the WVDP.

The high-level waste, contained in underground storage tanks, had separated into two layers – a liquid supernatant and a settled sludge layer. Various subsystems were constructed that permitted the successful startup in May 1988 of the integrated radwaste treatment system (IRTS). The system removed most of the radioactivity from the liquid supernatant by ion exchange. The ion exchange zeolite material then was stored in a spare underground tank for later processing. This allowed the major portion of the liquid to be treated as low-level radioactive waste. Treatment of the supernatant liquid from the high-level waste tanks through the IRTS was completed in 1990.

The next step in the process, washing the sludge with water to remove soluble constituents, began in late 1991 and was completed in 1994. (See Vitrification Overview [p. 1-6] in Chapter 1 for a more detailed description.) In 1995, the contents of the HLW tanks were combined and the subsequent mixture was washed a final time. Vitrification of the high-level waste residues began in July 1996. In June 1998 the WVDP successfully completed the first phase of the vitrification campaign. By late 2002, the WVDP was conducting the final phase of the campaign by removing as much of the waste left behind in tanks 8D-1 and 8D-2 as was reasonably possible. Vitrification was completed and the melter was shut down in September 2002. Activities for decontaminating the vitrification and support facilities were then initiated.

Description of the West Valley Demonstration Project

The purpose of the WVDP is to solidify the high-level radioactive waste that was left at the site from the original nuclear fuel reprocessing activities, develop suitable containers for holding and transporting the solidified waste, arrange transportation of the solidified waste to a federal repository, dispose of any low-level and transuranic radioactive waste resulting from the solidification of HLW, and decontaminate and decommission Project facilities used for solidification of radioactive waste.

By mid-August 2002, the high-level waste had been vitrified into 275 stainless-steel canisters and stored in a shielded cell. The slurry-fed ceramic melter was shut down in September 2002. In order to continue the Project mission, several projects are under way:

Spent Fuel Shipping. The original operator at the site, NFS, had accepted 750 spent fuel assemblies for reprocessing before discontinuing opera-

Introduction

I:\gis\laser.apr fig 1 location of wnyjsc, r0 (02/10/03) - fig




Figure INT-1. Location of the Western New York Nuclear Service Center

tions in 1975. These spent fuel assemblies were stored in the on-site fuel pool. During an early 1980s shipping campaign, 625 of the spent fuel assemblies were returned to the utilities that owned them. During 2001, the remaining 125 assemblies, which continued to be stored at the WVDP, were prepared for transport to the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory for interim storage. After removing the spent fuel, the WVDP initiated work to decontaminate and drain the fuel pool.

In 2002, the assemblies remained staged at the WVDP, awaiting transport. Storage racks, canisters, and debris were removed from the fuel pool. Following completion of these activities, drainage and decontamination of the pool commenced.

Waste Management. Part of the DOE's cleanup mission at the West Valley site is the disposal of low-level radioactive waste that is generated through WVDP operations.

Vitrification Expended Materials Processing. As materials used in vitrification were expended, they were inventoried, identified, sorted, classified, cleaned, size-reduced and packaged (as needed), and removed from the facility.

High-Level Waste Tank Closure. Liquid high-level waste processing was completed in 2002. Disposition of the underground waste tanks will follow decisions on long-term site management.

Remote-Handled Waste. An on-site remote-handled waste facility is under construction. It will be used to prepare higher-activity wastes for shipment and disposal.

Environmental Monitoring. Sitewide environmental monitoring and management of contaminated areas will continue to ensure the safety of the public and the environment.

Facility Closure Projects. To complete the West Valley Demonstration Project Act, the facilities used to solidify the high-level waste will be decontaminated and decommissioned in accordance with long-term management decisions.

General Environmental Setting

The geography, socioeconomics, climate, ecology, and geology of the region are principal factors in assessing possible effects of site activities on the surrounding population and environment, and are an integral consideration in the design and structure of the environmental monitoring program.

Location of the West Valley Demonstration Project. The WVDP is located in northern Cattaraugus County about 30 miles (50 km) south of Buffalo, New York (Fig. INT-1 [*facing page*]). The WVDP facilities occupy a security-fenced area of about 164 acres (66 ha) within the WNYNSC. This fenced area is referred to as the Project premises.

The WVDP is situated on New York State's Allegheny plateau at an approximate average elevation of 1,300 feet (400 m). The communities of West Valley, Riceville, Ashford Hollow, and the village of Springville are located within approximately 5 miles (8 km) of the Project. Several roads and a railway approach or pass through the WNYNSC, but the public does not have access to the WNYNSC. Hunting, fishing, and human habitation on the WNYNSC generally are prohibited. A NYSERDA-sponsored program to control the deer population, initiated in 1994, continued through 2002. Limited access to the WNYNSC was given to local hunters, and community response has been favorable.

Socioeconomics. The WNYNSC lies primarily within the town of Ashford in Cattaraugus County.

The nearby population, approximately 9,200 residents within 6.2 miles (10 km) of the Project, relies largely on an agricultural economy. No major industries are located within this area. The WVDP is one of the largest employers in Cattaraugus County.

The land immediately adjacent to the WNYNSC is used principally for agriculture and arboriculture. Cattaraugus Creek is used locally for swimming, canoeing, and fishing. Although some water to irrigate nearby golf course greens and tree farms is taken from Cattaraugus Creek, no public potable water supply is drawn from the creek downstream of the WNYNSC before the creek flows into Lake Erie south of Buffalo, New York. Water from Lake Erie is used as a public drinking-water supply.

Climate. Although there are recorded extremes of 98.6°F (37°C) and -43.6°F (-42°C) in western New York, the climate is moderate, with an average annual temperature (1971–2000) of 48°F (8.9°C). Rainfall is relatively high, averaging about 41 inches (104 cm) per year. Precipitation in 2002 totaled about 40 inches (102 cm), just slightly lower than average. Precipitation is evenly distributed throughout the year and is markedly influenced by Lake Erie to the west and, to a lesser extent, by Lake Ontario to the north. Regional winds are generally from the west and south at about 9 mph (4 m/sec).

Biology. The WNYNSC lies within the northern deciduous forest biome, and the diversity of its vegetation is typical of the region. Equally divided between forest and open land, the site provides a habitat especially attractive to white-tailed deer and various indigenous birds, reptiles, and small mammals. No species on the federal endangered-species list are known to be present on the WNYNSC.

Geology and Groundwater Hydrology. The WVDP site is bordered by two stream valleys (Frank's Creek and Quarry Creek) and divided by a third stream valley (Erdman Brook) into two portions, the north and south plateaus. (See Figs. A-6 through A-8 [pp. A-8 through A-10] in Appendix A.) The WVDP site is located on the west shoulder of a steep-sided, glacially scoured bedrock valley that is filled with a sequence of glacial sediments. (See Figs. 4-1 and 4-2 [p. 4-3] in Chapter 4, Site Hydrology and Groundwater Monitoring.)



*A Killdeer (*charadrius vociferus*) protects her nest*

The uppermost layer of glacial sediments on the south plateau consists of a silty clay till, the Lavery till. The Lavery till does not allow much water to drain through it except where it is exposed at the ground surface, where weathering has fractured the near-surface sediments. Groundwater flows through the weathered till in both a vertical and a horizontal direction, downward to the northeast. Groundwater flow in the unweathered portion of the till, beneath the weathered till, is predominantly downward.

On the north plateau, a permeable alluvial sand and gravel layer overlies the less-permeable glacial sequence of sediment layers (i.e., the Lavery till, the Kent recessional sequence, and the Kent till). Groundwater flow in the sand and gravel unit of the north plateau is predominantly horizontal, toward the northeast, discharging to seeps and streams along the plateau's edge and via evapotranspiration. (See *evapotranspiration* in the Glossary [p. GLO-4].)

Within the Lavery till on the north plateau is a silty, sandy layer of limited extent, the Lavery till-sand. Gradients indicate that groundwater in this layer flows east-southeast. Surface discharge points have not been observed.

The Kent recessional sequence that underlies the Lavery till beneath both north and south plateaus is composed of silt and silty sand with localized pockets of gravel. Groundwater flow in the Kent recessional sequence is also toward the northeast with discharge to Buttermilk Creek.

Environmental Monitoring Program

The WVDP's environmental monitoring program began in February 1982. The primary program goal is to detect and evaluate changes in the environment resulting from Project or pre-Project activities and to assess the effect of any such changes on the human population.

The monitoring network and sample collection schedule have been structured to accommodate specific biological and physical characteristics of the area. Among the several factors considered in designing the environmental monitoring program were the kinds of wastes and other by-products resulting from the processing of HLW; possible routes that radiological and nonradiological contaminants could follow into the environment; geologic, hydrologic, and meteorologic

site conditions; quality assurance standards for monitoring and sampling procedures and analyses; and the limits and standards set by federal and state governments and agencies. When new processes and systems become part of the Project, appropriate additional monitoring is provided. As processes are completed, unnecessary monitoring are eliminated from the program.

Monitoring and Sampling. The environmental monitoring program consists of on-site effluent monitoring and on- and off-site environmental surveillance to measure both radiological and nonradiological constituents. (See the Glossary for more detailed definitions of *effluent monitoring* [p. GLO-3] and *environmental surveillance* [p. GLO-4].) Monitoring and surveillance include both the continuous recording of data and the collecting of soil, sediment, water, air, and other samples at specific times.

Monitoring and sampling of environmental media provide two ways of assessing the effects of Project or pre-Project activities. Monitoring generally is a continuous (or periodic) process of measurement that allows rapid detection of any changes in the levels of constituents that could affect the environment. Sampling is the collection of media at specific times; sampling is slower than direct monitoring to indicate changes in constituent levels because the samples must be analyzed in a laboratory. However, sample analysis allows much smaller quantities of radioactivity or chemical concentrations to be detected.

Permits and Regulations. Data gathering, analysis, and reporting to meet stringent federal and state requirements and standards are an integral part of the monitoring program. The 2002 program met the requirements of DOE Orders 5400.1 (General Environmental Protection Program), 5400.5 (Radiation Protection of the Public and Environment), and 231.1 (Environment, Safety, and

Health Reporting), and DOE Regulatory Guide DOE/EH-0173T (Environmental Regulatory Guide for Radiological Effluent Monitoring and Environmental Surveillance). The environmental monitoring program also supports requirements of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act 3008(h) Administrative Order on Consent.

The WVDP holds a New York State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (SPDES) permit as required by the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, which regulates liquid effluent discharges containing nonradiological pollutants. The SPDES permit identifies the outfalls where liquid effluents are released to surface water drainage systems and specifies the sampling and analytical requirements for each outfall.

For more information about air and SPDES permits see the Environmental Compliance Summary (pp. ECS-7 through ECS-12). Environmental permits are listed at the back of the Environmental Compliance Summary (Table ECS-7 [pp. ECS-22 and ECS-23]).

Radiological air emissions must comply with the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants regulations. Depending upon the potential to emit radionuclides, some radiological emission points must be permitted by the EPA.

In addition, the site operates under state-issued air discharge permits for nonradiological plant emissions.

Exposure Pathways Monitored at the West Valley Demonstration Project

The major pathways for potential near-term movement of contaminants away from the site are surface water drainage and airborne transport. For

this reason, the environmental monitoring program emphasizes the collection of air and surface water samples.

Samples are collected on-site from locations such as plant ventilation stacks, various water effluent points, and surface water drainage locations. Analyses of samples of air, water, soils, and biota from the environment surrounding the site would detect radioactivity that might reach the public from site releases. (Extensive groundwater monitoring addresses the relatively slower subsurface pathways and provides surveillance of potential releases from solid waste management units.)

Surface Water and Sediment Pathways. Process waters are treated by filtration and ion-exchange in a liquid-treatment facility, the LLW2. The treated water is sent to a series of on-site holding lagoons for testing before being discharged through a single outfall. (The locations of the lagoons are noted on Fig. A-2 [p. A-4] in Appendix A.) Samples of this process water and the effluent at two other discharge points are collected in accordance with permit requirements.

The samples are analyzed for radiological parameters, including gross alpha and gross beta, tritium, strontium-90, and gamma-emitting radionuclides, and for nonradiological parameters, including pH. Additional analyses of composite samples determine metals content, solids, biochemical oxygen demand, nitrates, nitrites, ammonia, sulfate, organic chemicals, and specific radionuclides.

In general, surface water samples are collected regularly and analyzed, at a minimum, for gross alpha and gross beta radioactivity, tritium, and pH. A smaller number of samples are analyzed for conductivity, chlorides, metals, volatile organic compounds, and other parameters. Potable water on the site is analyzed monthly for radioactivity and annually for chemical constituents.

Off-site surface waters, primarily from Cattaraugus Creek and Buttermilk Creek, are sampled upstream of the Project for background radioactivity and downstream to measure possible Project contributions. Sediments deposited downstream of the facility and at upstream background locations are collected annually and analyzed for gross alpha, gross beta, and specific radionuclides. (See Appendix C [pp. C-11 through C-47] and Appendix G [pp. G-7 through G-14] for water and sediment data summaries.)

Groundwater Pathways. Groundwater discharge at the WVDP site occurs as springs or seeps along stream channels, direct discharge to streams, evapotranspiration, vertical groundwater migration to underlying strata, and discharge to artificial draining systems and lagoons. All of these discharges vary with the seasons. Discharge from springs and seeps is highest during the spring. Evapotranspiration is at a maximum during the summer. Groundwater discharge is, in general, lowest during the winter because the ground surface is frozen, which minimizes recharge.

Routine monitoring of groundwater includes sampling for contamination and radiological indicator parameters (pH and conductivity, and gross alpha, gross beta, and tritium) and for specific analytes of interest such as volatile organic compounds, semivolatile organic compounds, metals, and radionuclides at selected monitoring locations. (See Table E-1 [pp. E-3 through E-6] in Appendix E.)

Residential drinking water wells located near the site are sampled annually and analyzed for gross alpha and gross beta radioactivity, tritium, gamma-emitting radionuclides, pH, and conductivity.

Air Pathways. Permitted effluent air emissions are continuously monitored for alpha and beta activity. Alarms indicate any unusual rise in radioactivity. Air particulate sampling filters, which are retrieved

and analyzed weekly for gross radioactivity, are also composited quarterly and analyzed for strontium-90 and specific gamma- and alpha-emitting radionuclides.

Iodine-129 and tritium also are measured in effluent ventilation air at some locations. Silica gel-filled columns are used at two of the effluent locations to collect water vapor that is then distilled from the drying agent and analyzed for tritium. These distillates are analyzed weekly. Six permanent samplers at effluent locations contain activated charcoal adsorbent that is analyzed for iodine-129; the charcoal is collected weekly and composited for quarterly analysis.

Off-site sampling locations include those considered most representative of background conditions and those most likely to be downwind of airborne releases. Among the criteria used to position off-site air samplers are prevailing wind direction, land usage, and the location of population centers.

Off-site air is continuously sampled at ten locations. Background samplers are located far from the site in Great Valley and Nashville, New York. Nearby-community samplers are in Springville and West Valley, New York. (See Figs. A-12 and A-13 [pp. A-14 and A-15] in Appendix A for these four off-site air sampling locations.) Six samplers are located on the perimeter of the WNYNSC. (See Fig. A-5 [p. A-7] in Appendix A.) Samples from these locations are analyzed for parameters similar to the effluent air samples. (See Appendix D [pp. D-3 through D-23] for air monitoring data summaries.)

Atmospheric Fallout. An important contributor to environmental radioactivity is atmospheric fallout. Sources of fallout include earlier atmospheric testing of nuclear weapons and residual radioactivity from accidents such as that which occurred at Chernobyl in the Ukraine.

Four site perimeter locations and one on-site location currently are monitored for fallout using pot-type collectors that are sampled every month. Long-term fallout is assessed by analyzing soil collected annually at each of the six perimeter and four off-site air samplers. Three additional on-site soil samples are taken annually. (See Appendix D [pp. D-24 through D-26] for fallout data summaries and Appendix G [pp. G-9 through G-13] for soil data summaries.)

Food Pathways. A potentially significant pathway for radioactivity to reach humans is through consuming produce, meat, and milk from domesticated farm animals raised near the WVDP and game animals and fish that live in the vicinity of the WVDP. Animal and fish samples from potentially affected areas are gathered and analyzed for radionuclide content in order to reveal any long-term trends. Fish are collected along Cattaraugus Creek at several locations downstream of the WVDP. Venison is sampled from deer whose range includes the WNYNSC. Control samples of both fish and venison are collected from background areas outside WVDP influence. Beef, milk, hay, and produce samples also are collected at nearby farms and at selected locations well away from WVDP influence. (See Appendix F [pp. F-3 through F-8] for biological data summaries.)

Direct Radiation Measurement. Direct penetrating radiation is measured using thermoluminescent dosimeters (TLDs) located on- and off-site. Measurement points within the site are placed near selected waste management units and around the inner security fence. Other locations are around the site perimeter and access road and at background locations remote from the WVDP. Forty-three measurement points were used in 2002. The TLDs are retrieved quarterly and are processed by an off-site service to obtain the integrated gamma exposure. (See Appendix H [pp. H-3 through H-6] for a summary of the direct radiation data.)

Meteorological Monitoring

Meteorological data are continuously gathered and recorded at meteorological towers on-site and a nearby regional location south of the WNYNSC. Wind speed and direction, barometric pressure, temperature, dewpoint, and rainfall are measured on-site. Wind speed and direction are measured at the regional tower. These data are valuable for modeling both airborne dispersion and long-term hydrologic trends. In the event of an emergency, immediate access to the most recent meteorological data is indispensable for predicting the path and concentration of any materials that become airborne. (See Appendix I [pp. I-3 through I-8] for meteorological data summaries.)

Quality Assurance and Control

The work performed by and through the on-site WVDP Environmental Laboratory is regularly reviewed by several agencies for accuracy and compliance with applicable regulations. Assessments of the laboratory routinely focus on proper recordkeeping and reporting, timely calibration of equipment, training of personnel, adherence to accepted procedures, and general laboratory safety.

The Project's Environmental Laboratory also participates in quality assurance crosscheck programs administered by federal agencies. (See Appendix J [pp. J-3 through J-7] for a summary of crosscheck performance.) The performance of outside laboratories contracted to analyze WVDP samples also is regularly assessed.

Environmental monitoring management continues to strengthen the formal self-assessment program by developing and implementing new strategies and procedures for ensuring high-quality data. Experienced senior scientists and specialists in rel-

evant disciplines follow an annual schedule of self-assessments, produce formal reports with recommended corrective actions, and track the actions as they are completed.

Safety Management System

The WVDP safety management system has been validated by the DOE Ohio Field Office. The safety management system integrates all safety programs, including the site's environmental management system, to ensure that Project work is safely and efficiently performed. As a continuation of this effort, the WVDP applied for the DOE Voluntary Protection Program STAR designation, reserved for companies that have demonstrated sustained excellence in their safety and health program. STAR status for the WVDP was announced in early 2000 and reconfirmed in 2003.

The WVDP also was recognized as a top environmental leader in 2000 and was accepted into the EPA's National Environmental Performance Track. The WVDP was awarded charter member status as one of the first group of applicants.

To qualify for the award, the WVDP had to demonstrate that it voluntarily has adopted and implemented an environmental management system, has attained previous specific environmental achievements, has made a commitment to achieve four future goals, and has a sustained record of environmental compliance.

The WVDP is one of the first DOE sites to hold both the EPA's highest award for environmental achievement and the DOE's STAR award for excellence in safety and health.

In 2002 the WVDP continued the achievement level and practices worthy of a National Environmental Performance Track and STAR-designated site.

This page intentionally left blank

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE SUMMARY

CALENDAR YEAR 2002

Compliance Program

The West Valley Demonstration Project (WVDP) is currently focusing on several goals that will lead to completion of the WVDP Act. Processing of the liquid high-level radioactive waste (HLW) into durable, solid glass was completed in 2002. The WVDP is now processing residual radioactive wastes, decontaminating former reprocessing cells within the main process building, and constructing a remote-handled waste facility for processing and packaging project waste into shipment containers. Additionally, the WVDP is dismantling the apparatus and removing waste from within the fuel receiving and storage pool facility, and actively managing on-site groundwater contamination.

The activities in progress at the WVDP are regulated by various federal and state laws that protect the public, workers, and the environment.

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), the agency that oversees the WVDP, established its policy concerning environmental protection in DOE Order 5400.1, General Environmental Protection Program. This Order lists the regulations, laws, and required reports that are applicable to DOE-operated facilities. DOE Order 231.1, Environment, Safety, and Health Reporting, requires the preparation of this Annual Site Environmental Report, which is intended

to summarize environmental data gathered during the calendar year, describe significant environmental programs, and document WVDP compliance with environmental regulations.

(New DOE Order 450.1, Environmental Protection Program, was issued on January 15, 2003. This Order specifies that a site must establish an environmental management system [EMS] as part of its integrated safety management system [ISMS]. In regard to compliance with the new Order, the WVDP has had an EMS in place as part of its ISMS for a number of years. [See pp. ECS-16 and ECS-17 for a discussion of the EMS and ISMS at the WVDP.] DOE Order 450.1 also canceled DOE Order 5400.1, discussed above. However, per DOE Order 450.1, compliance with DOE Order 5400.1 is required as long as DOE Order 5400.1 remains in the West Valley Nuclear Services Company [WVNSCO] contract. The deadline for implementing the requirements of DOE Order 450.1 is December 31, 2005.)

The major federal environmental laws and regulations that apply to the West Valley Demonstration Project are the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), the Clean Air Act (CAA), the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA, enacted as Title III of the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act

[SARA]), the Clean Water Act (CWA), the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA), the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA), and the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). These laws are administered primarily by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) through state programs and regulatory requirements for permitting, reporting, inspecting, self-monitoring, and audits.

In addition, because some release of radiological and nonradiological materials from an active facility cannot be completely prevented, the EPA, NYSDEC, and the DOE have established standards for such emissions and discharges that are intended to protect human health and the environment. The WVDP applies to NYSDEC and the EPA for permits that allow the site to release limited amounts of radiological and nonradiological constituents through controlled and monitored effluent releases into water and air in concentrations that have been determined to be safe for humans and the environment. In general, the permits describe the release points, specify management and reporting requirements, list the limits on those pollutants likely to be present, and define the sampling and analysis schedule. A summary of permits may be found in Table ECS-7 (pp. ECS-22 and ECS-23).

Compliance Status

The following summary describes WVDP compliance with DOE Orders 5400.5 and 435.1 and federal and state laws and regulations that are applicable to the Project.

Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment (DOE Order 5400.5). DOE Order 5400.5 was issued in February of 1990 to establish standards and requirements for protection of the public and the environment against undue risk

from radiation resulting from activities of the DOE and DOE contractors. The objectives of the Order were to ensure that (1) operations are conducted so that radiation exposures to members of the public are maintained within the limits established in the Order, (2) potential exposures to members of the public are as far below the limits as is reasonably achievable, (3) routine and non-routine releases are monitored and dose to the public is assessed, and (4) the environment is protected from radioactive contamination to the extent practical.

This report summarizes radiological releases from the WVDP in 2002, presents estimates of dose to the public and the environment in 2002, and compares these values with release and dose standards established by DOE Order 5400.5. (See Appendix K [p. K-3].) In 2002, both releases and estimates of dose to the public were well within applicable limits.

Radioactive Waste Management (DOE Order 435.1). DOE Order 435.1 was issued in July of 1999 to ensure that all DOE radioactive waste – including HLW, transuranic waste, low-level radioactive waste (LLW), and the radioactive component of mixed waste – is managed in a manner that (1) protects the public from exposure to radiation from radioactive materials, (2) protects the environment, (3) protects workers, and (4) complies with applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations, as well as applicable Executive Orders and other DOE directives. The WVDP Radioactive Waste Acceptance Program, a formal document describing how radioactive waste is managed at the WVDP, was issued in July of 2000. The Radioactive Waste Acceptance Program was updated in 2002.

Resource Conservation and Recovery Act. RCRA was enacted to ensure that hazardous wastes are managed in a manner that protects human health and the environment. RCRA and

its implementing regulations govern the generation, treatment, storage, and disposal of hazardous waste. RCRA regulations mandate that generators take responsibility for ensuring the proper treatment, storage, and disposal of their wastes. The EPA is the federal agency responsible for issuing guidelines and regulations for the proper management of solid and hazardous waste (including mixed [radioactive and hazardous] waste).

In New York, the EPA has delegated the authority to enforce these regulations to NYSDEC. In addition, the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) is responsible for issuing guidelines and regulations for labeling, packaging, and spill-reporting for hazardous and mixed wastes while in transit.

A RCRA Part A Permit Application (for interim status) is required for a facility that treats or stores large quantities of hazardous waste for more than 90 days or disposes of hazardous waste at that facility. The facility must apply for a permit from the EPA (or authorized state). The Part A Permit Application defines the treatment processes to be used, the design capacities, the location of hazardous waste storage units, the design and operating criteria for disposal units, and the hazardous wastes to be managed.

In 1984 the DOE notified the EPA of hazardous waste activities at the WVDP and identified the WVDP as a generator of hazardous waste. In June 1990 the WVDP filed a RCRA Part A Hazardous Waste Permit Application with NYSDEC for storage and treatment of hazardous wastes and has been operating under interim status since then.

The WVDP updates its Part A Permit Application as changes to the site's interim-status waste-management operations occur. An updated Part A Permit Application was submitted to NYSDEC on March 6, 2001. On November 13, 2001,

NYSDEC responded that the RCRA Part A Permit modifications met the requirements for changes to interim status treatment and storage operations at the WVDP. Additionally, supplementary information concerning operational changes for mixed waste treatment and solidification of the sodium-bearing waste was submitted to NYSDEC on September 4, 2002.

Hazardous Waste Management Program. Hazardous wastes at the WVDP are managed in accordance with Title 6 of the Official Compilation of Codes, Rules, and Regulations of the State of New York (6 NYCRR Parts 370–374 and 376). To dispose of hazardous wastes generated from on-site activities, the WVDP uses New York State-permitted transporters (pursuant to 6 NYCRR Part 364) to ship RCRA-regulated wastes to permitted or authorized treatment, storage, or disposal facilities (TSDFs). Using these services, the WVDP shipped approximately 0.30 tons (0.27 metric tons) of nonradioactive hazardous waste to off-site TSDFs in 2002.

Off-site hazardous waste shipments and their receipt at designated TSDFs are documented by signed manifests that accompany the shipment. If the signed manifest is not returned by the TSDF to the WVDP within the regulatory limit of forty-five days from shipment, an exception report must be filed with NYSDEC and it must be confirmed that the waste was received by the TSDF. No exception reports were required during 2002.

Hazardous waste activities must be reported to NYSDEC each year through the submittal of the facility's annual Hazardous Waste Report. This report summarizes the hazardous waste activities for the previous year, specifies the quantities of waste generated, treated, and/or disposed, and identifies the TSDFs used. The annual Hazardous Waste Report for calendar year (CY) 2002 was submitted to NYSDEC on February 27, 2003.

In addition, a hazardous waste reduction plan must be filed every two years and updated annually. This plan documents efforts to minimize the generation of hazardous waste and was first submitted to NYSDEC in 1990. The most recent Annual Status Report for the Hazardous Waste Reduction Program was updated in June 2002 and submitted to NYSDEC.

An annual inspection to assess compliance with hazardous waste regulations was conducted by NYSDEC on March 29, 2002. No deficiencies were noted.

Nonhazardous, Regulated Waste Management Program. The WVDP shipped approximately 36 tons (33 metric tons) of nonradioactive, nonhazardous material off-site to solid waste management facilities in 2002. Of this amount, 1.4 tons (1.3 metric tons) were recycled or reclaimed. Some of the recycled materials were lead-acid batteries and spent lamps, which were recycled at off-site authorized reclamation and recycling facilities. Lead-acid batteries and spent lamps are managed as universal wastes. (See *universal wastes* [p. GLO-11].) The WVDP also shipped approximately 437 tons (396 metric tons) of digested sludge and treated wastewater from the site sanitary and industrial wastewater treatment facility to the Buffalo Sewer Authority for disposal.

Mixed Waste Management Program. Mixed waste contains both a radioactive component, regulated under the Atomic Energy Act, and a hazardous component, regulated under RCRA. Both the EPA and NYSDEC oversee mixed waste management at the WVDP.

The Federal Facility Compliance Act (FFC Act) of 1992, an amendment to RCRA, required DOE facilities to prepare plans for treating their mixed waste inventories and to update these plans annu-

ally to account for development of treatment technologies, capacities, and changes in mixed waste inventories. Each plan was approved by the respective state agency or the EPA after consultation with other affected states and after consideration of public comments.

The WVDP's plan comprises two volumes: The Background Volume provides information on each mixed waste stream and information on the preferred treatment method for the waste, and the Plan Volume contains proposed schedules for treating the mixed waste to meet the land disposal restriction (LDR) requirements of RCRA.

The DOE and NYSDEC entered into a Consent Order on August 27, 1996 that requires the completion of the milestones identified in the Plan Volume. The WVDP began implementing its Site Treatment Plan (STP) immediately and updates it annually to bring waste stream, inventory, and treatment information current to September 30, the end of the DOE fiscal year. A draft of the WVDP Site Treatment Plan update for fiscal year 2002 was submitted to DOE for review and comments in January 2003. The final version was submitted to NYSDEC on February 14, 2003.

Shipments of mixed waste to off-site TSDFs for treatment and disposal are documented via uniform hazardous waste manifests. In 2002 the WVDP made one mixed waste shipment. A total of 0.27 tons (0.24 metric tons) was shipped off-site for treatment and disposal. The waste consisted of polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB)-contaminated liquids and solids shipped to the DOE-operated TSCA incinerator at Oak Ridge, Tennessee. This represented the first shipment of PCB waste from the WVDP to the Oak Ridge incineration facility.

RCRA §3008(h) Administrative Order on Consent. The DOE and the New York State Energy Research and Development Authority

(NYSERDA) entered into a RCRA §3008(h) Administrative Order on Consent with NYSDEC and the EPA on March 15, 1992. The Consent Order required NYSERDA and the DOE's West Valley Demonstration Project Office (OH/WVDP) to conduct RCRA-facility investigations (RFIs) at on-site solid waste management units (SWMUs) to determine if there had been a release or if there is a potential for release of RCRA-regulated hazardous constituents from SWMUs. The final RFI reports were submitted in 1997, completing the investigative activities associated with the Consent Order. No corrective actions were required as a result of the RFIs. Groundwater monitoring, as specified in the RFI reports, continued to be a significant portion of the WVDP groundwater monitoring program during 2002. The WVDP also continued to monitor SWMUs and to comply with the requirements of the RCRA §3008(h) Administrative Order on Consent. (Groundwater monitoring results are detailed in Chapter 4.)

Waste Minimization and Pollution Prevention.

The WVDP continued a long-term program to minimize the generation of low-level radioactive waste, mixed waste, hazardous waste, industrial waste, and sanitary waste, and to promote affirmative procurement as directed by Executive Order 13101 (Greening the Government Through Waste Prevention, Recycling, and Federal Acquisition) and Executive Order 13148 (Greening the Government Through Leadership in Environmental Management), which promote the Affirmative Procurement Program and RCRA §6002, Federal Procurement. The Affirmative Procurement Program specifies responsibilities and direction for federal agencies in acquiring recycled and environmentally preferable products and services designated by the EPA in Title 40, Protection of Environment, Code of Federal Regulations (40 CFR) Part 247, Comprehensive Procurement Guideline for Products Containing Recovered Material. WVNSCO reports its challenges and

successes associated with the purchase and use of these materials and services to the DOE each year.

For purposes of waste-reduction tracking, on-site waste streams are separated into either waste from sources directly associated with the vitrification process or from nonvitrification sources. See Chapter 1 (p. 1-11) for further discussion of waste minimization activities from all sources in 2002.

Underground Storage Tanks Program. RCRA regulations also cover the use and management of underground storage tanks and establish minimum design requirements to protect groundwater resources from releases. The regulations, specified in 40 CFR Part 280, require underground storage tanks to be equipped with overfill protection, spill prevention, corrosion protection, and leak detection systems. New tanks must comply with regulations at the time of installation.

New York State also regulates underground storage tanks through two programs – petroleum bulk storage (6 NYCRR, Parts 612–614) and chemical bulk storage (6 NYCRR, Parts 595–599). The state registration and minimum design requirements are similar to those of the federal program except that petroleum tank fill ports must be color-coded using American Petroleum Institute standards to indicate the product being stored.

A 550-gallon, double-walled, steel underground storage tank, upgraded in 1998 to bring it into compliance with the most recent EPA requirements (40 CFR Part 280.21), is used to store diesel fuel for the supernatant treatment system/permanent ventilation system standby power unit. This tank is equipped with aboveground piping, an upgraded interstitial leak detection system, and a high-level warning device and meets the state requirements of 6 NYCRR Parts 612–614. This is the only un-

derground petroleum-storage tank currently in use at the WVDP.

A former underground petroleum-storage tank, closed in place before the New York State underground storage tank program closure requirements were implemented in 1985, was removed in 1997. Testing of soils from the tank excavation had shown evidence of earlier petroleum leakage, and on March 19, 1999 the DOE and NYSDEC executed a Stipulation Agreement Pursuant to Section 17-0303 of the Environmental Conservation Law and Section 176 of the Navigation Law for mitigation of the petroleum contamination.

A soil bioventing system was installed in August 1999 to remediate localized petroleum-contaminated soils in the vicinity of the former underground petroleum storage tank. The system stimulates natural in-situ biodegradation of petroleum hydrocarbons in the soil by providing an abundant oxygen supply to existing soil microorganisms within the contaminated soil zone. Soil and groundwater samples were collected in 2002 to evaluate whether an adequate level of remediation has been achieved. Sample results have been reviewed by NYSDEC.

There are no underground chemical bulk storage tanks at the WVDP.

New York State-Regulated Aboveground Storage Tanks. The state of New York regulates aboveground petroleum bulk storage under 6 NYCRR Parts 612–614, and aboveground hazardous bulk chemical storage under 6 NYCRR Parts 595–599. These regulations require secondary containment, external gauges to indicate the content levels, monthly visual inspections of petroleum tanks, and documented daily, annual, and five-year inspections of chemical tanks. Documentation relating to these periodic inspections is maintained by the WVDP and is available for regulatory

agencies to review. Petroleum tank fill ports also must be color-coded and chemical tanks must be labeled to indicate the product stored.

WVDP registration at the end of 2002 included nine aboveground petroleum tanks and eleven aboveground chemical storage tanks. Three of the petroleum tanks contain No. 2 fuel oil, one contains unleaded gasoline, and the others contain diesel fuel. WVNSCO Quality Assurance Department personnel inspect the aboveground petroleum tanks every month.

Nine of the chemical storage tanks were used as needed to contain nitric acid or nitric acid mixtures, and sodium hydroxide and anhydrous ammonia were stored in another two tanks. All eleven tanks were emptied in the fall of 2002 after vitrification operations were completed. Plans for the future use or closure of these tanks are being developed.

All of the tanks are equipped with gauges and secondary containment systems except the anhydrous ammonia tank, which does not require secondary containment. (Any release of the contents of the anhydrous ammonia tank would be in gaseous form; thus, secondary containment of liquids is unnecessary.) The WVDP is in compliance with the most recent requirements to upgrade chemical bulk storage tanks. These requirements went into effect in December 1999. An inspection by NYSDEC was performed in December of 2002 and it was determined that all chemical bulk storage tanks were in compliance with New York State regulations.

Medical Waste Tracking. Medical waste poses a potential to expose humans to infectious diseases and pathogens from contact with bodily fluids. Medical evaluations, inoculations, and laboratory work at the on-site Health Services office regularly generate potentially infectious medical wastes

that must be tracked in accordance with NYSDEC requirements (6 NYCRR Part 364.9).

The WVDP has retained the services of a permitted waste hauler and disposal firm to manage these medical wastes. Medical wastes are sterilized with an autoclave by the disposal firm to remove the associated hazard and then disposed. Thirty pounds (14 kg) of medical waste consisting of dressings, protective clothing such as rubber gloves, and needles, syringes, and other sharps were generated and disposed in 2002.

Clean Air Act. The CAA, including Titles I through VI, establishes a framework for the EPA to regulate air emissions from both stationary and mobile sources. These amendments mandate that each state establish a program to permit the operation of sources of air pollution. In 1996 NYSDEC amended 6 NYCRR Parts 200, 201, 231, and 621 to implement the requirements of the new EPA Clean Air Act Title V permitting processes.

In New York State, NYSDEC issues permits for stationary sources that emit regulated pollutants, including hazardous air pollutants. Sources requiring permits are those that emit regulated pollutants from a particular source (e.g., a stack, duct, vent, or other similar opening) if the pollutants are in quantities above a predetermined threshold. WVDP radiological emissions are regulated by the EPA.

Radiological Emissions. Air emissions of radionuclides at the WVDP are regulated by the EPA under the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) regulations, 40 CFR Part 61, Subpart H, National Emission Standards for Emission of Radionuclides Other Than Radon From Department of Energy Facilities. The WVDP currently has permits for six radionuclide sources, including the slurry-fed ceramic melter

and the vitrification heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) system.

Other less significant sources of radionuclide emissions, such as those from the on-site laundry, do not require permits. Non-point radiological sources of air emissions such as open-air lagoons also do not require permits. The WVDP reports the radionuclide emissions from its non-permitted and permitted sources to the EPA annually in accordance with NESHAP regulations. The annual NESHAP report is submitted to EPA by June 30th of the following calendar year. Calculations to demonstrate compliance with NESHAP radioactive dose limits showed calendar year 2002 doses to be approximately 0.04% of the 10 millirem standard. (See Table 2-6 [p. 2-31].)

Nonradiological Emissions. Nonradiological point sources of air emissions are regulated by NYSDEC. Major-source facilities are required by 6 NYCRR Part 201 to file a Title V Permit Application unless emissions are capped below operating limits. The WVDP submitted – and has received NYSDEC approval of – a capping plan for oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) and sulfur dioxide (SO₂).

The WVDP opted to file a State Facility Permit Application for the site. A State Facility Permit modification to incorporate sitewide air emission sources was submitted in December 1997 and approved June 1, 2000. Annual NO_x and SO₂ emissions under the updated permit are capped at 99 tons each.

The permit describes the conditions of the NO_x and SO₂ capping plan and the operational conditions for the boilers, melter, cold chemical facility, and the vitrification HVAC system. In July 1999 NYSDEC granted the WVDP a waiver of quarterly submissions of NO_x and SO₂ emission totals. The WVDP is required to submit only an annual site emission report (in January) that con-

tains NO_x and SO₂ emission totals. The 2002 certification reported 5.68 tons of NO_x and 0.05 tons of SO₂, which were well below the 99-ton cap for each category.

The WVDP also conducted cylinder gas audits (CGA) for the first three quarters of 2002 but was not required to conduct relative accuracy test audits of the melter off-gas NO_x analyzers. A summary of quarterly cylinder gas audit results is incorporated in the annual site emission report. Because the vitrification process was shut down in September 2002, a request was made of NYSDEC to cancel the melter off-gas and canister welding permits and discontinue performing CGA and submittal of annual NO_x and SO₂ reports. NYSDEC agreed to the request in November 2002.

The air permits that were in effect at the WVDP in 2002 are included in Table ECS-7, West Valley Demonstration Project Environmental Permits (pp. ECS-22 and ECS-23). There were no air permit or regulatory exceedances in 2002. (See also Table ECS-1, West Valley Demonstration Project 2002 Air Quality Noncompliance Episodes [p. ECS-20].)

Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act. EPCRA was designed to create a working partnership between industry, business, state and local governments, public health and emergency response representatives, and interested citizens. The Act is intended to address concerns about the effects of chemicals used, stored, and released in local communities.

Executive Order 12856, Federal Compliance with Right-to-Know Laws and Pollution Prevention Requirements, requires all federal agencies to comply with the following EPCRA provisions: planning notification (Sections 302–303), extremely hazardous substance (EHS) release notification

(Section 304), material safety data sheet (MSDS)/chemical inventory (Sections 311–312), and toxic release inventory (TRI) reporting (Section 313). The WVDP continued to comply with these provisions in 2002. (See also Table ECS-5, Status of EPCRA Reporting in 2002 [p. ECS-21].)

- WVDP representatives participated in semi-annual meetings of the Cattaraugus County Local Emergency Planning Committee (EPCRA Sections 302–303). WVDP representatives also attended meetings held by the Cattaraugus and Erie County Emergency Management Services concerning WVDP and other local emergency planning activities. Area hospitals and the West Valley Volunteer Hose Company continued to participate in on-site briefings and emergency response exercises and in information exchanges concerning hazardous-substance management at the WVDP. The WVDP continues to interface with off-site organizations with which Memoranda of Understanding or Letters of Agreement exist. These organizations are annually provided an opportunity to participate in a site tour and update to better understand on-site hazards for emergency response.

- Compliance with all EPCRA reporting requirements was maintained and all required reports were submitted within the required time frame. There were no releases of extremely hazardous substances at the WVDP that triggered the release notification requirements of Section 304 of EPCRA.

- Under EPCRA Section 311 requirements, the WVDP reviews information about reportable chemicals every quarter. If a hazardous chemical that was not previously reported is present on-site in an amount exceeding the threshold planning quantity, a Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS) and an updated hazardous chemical list are submitted to the state and local emergency response

groups. This supplemental reporting ensures that the public and the emergency responders have current information about hazardous chemicals at the WVDP. No new chemicals were added to the hazardous chemicals list in 2002 and no additional EPCRA Section 311 notifications were required.

- Under EPCRA Section 312 regulations, the WVDP submits annual reports to state and local emergency response organizations and fire departments that specify the quantity, location, and hazards associated with chemicals stored on-site. Thirteen reportable chemicals above threshold planning quantities were stored at the WVDP in 2002. (A list of reportable chemicals is provided in Table ECS-6 [p. ECS-21].)
- Under EPCRA Section 313, the WVDP provides information about releases to all environmental media of EPA-listed toxic release inventory chemicals that are used at or above specified regulatory thresholds at the WVDP. TRI reports are filed for the preceding year. In 2002 the WVDP used one chemical above the regulatory reporting threshold amount of 10,000 pounds: nitric acid. Accordingly, the TRI report for this chemical will be filed with the EPA by July 1, 2003.

Clean Water Act. Section 404 of the CWA regulates the development of areas in and adjacent to the waters of the United States. Supreme Court interpretations of Section 404 have resulted in the inclusion of certain non-isolated wetlands in the regulatory definition of waters of the United States. Section 404 regulates the disposal of solids, in the form of dredged or fill material, into these areas by granting the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (ACOE) the authority to designate disposal areas and issue permits for these activities. Executive Order 11990, Protection of Wetlands, directs federal agencies to “avoid to the extent possible the long- and short-term adverse impacts associated with the destruction or modification of wetlands and to avoid

direct or indirect support of new construction in wetlands wherever there is a practical alternative.” (Article 24 of the New York State Environmental Conservation Law also contains requirements for the protection of freshwater wetlands.)

Also, Section 401 of the CWA requires applicants for a federal license or permit pursuant to Section 404 to obtain certification from the state that the proposed discharge complies with effluent- and water-quality-related limitations, guidelines, and national standards of performance identified under Sections 301–303, 306–307, and 511(c) of the CWA. The EPA has delegated administration of this program to New York State.

Wetlands. Jurisdictional wetlands are defined in Section 404 of the CWA as those satisfying specific technical criteria related to vegetation, soils, and hydrologic conditions. The WVDP notifies the ACOE and NYSDEC of proposed actions that could affect wetland units not specifically exempted from regulation or notification.

A wetlands assessment in August 1998 identified and delineated jurisdictional wetlands regulated under the Clean Water Act, Section 404, and/or those wetlands that may be regulated by the state of New York under Article 24 of the Environmental Conservation Law. The 375-acre (152-ha) assessment area covered a portion of the Western New York Nuclear Service Center (WNYNSC), including the entire 164-acre (66-ha) WVDP and adjacent parcels north, south, and east of the WVDP premises. The assessment also supported the requirements of Executive Order 11990 and updated a 1993 investigation. Fifty-nine jurisdictional wetlands ranging in size from 0.01 to 8.6 acres, a total of approximately 39 acres (16 ha) of wetland, were identified. This wetland delineation was submitted to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers for verification of the wetland boundaries. Verification was obtained in November 1999.

Additional jurisdictional wetlands were assessed in a 150-foot (46-m) corridor along both sides of the railroad spur from the southern fenced boundary of the Project premises to the intersection with Fox Valley Road in August and September 1999. Twenty-three separate wetland units ranging in size from 0.01 to 4.7 acres, a total of approximately 12 acres (5 ha), were identified. An additional wetland unit, approximately 1.7 acres (0.7 ha), at the foot of the Lake No. 1 dam was delineated in August 2000 to verify permitting requirements for improvements to the dam.

In December 1999, a Joint Application for Permit was submitted to NYSDEC and the ACOE for activities in Buttermilk Creek and in or near the wetlands associated with the railroad spur. These activities included repairs to the culvert that carries the railroad over Buttermilk Creek and improvements to portions of the raiiside storm water drainage system. In April 2000 an Individual Dredge and Fill Permit was obtained from the ACOE and a Water Quality Certification and Freshwater Wetlands permit was obtained from NYSDEC for these activities.

Storm Water Discharge Permit. Section 402 of the CWA generally regulates disposal of liquids and, as amended, authorizes the EPA to regulate discharges of pollutants to surface water through a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program. The EPA has delegated this authority to the state of New York, which issues State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (SPDES) permits for discharges to surface water.

Surface water runoff from precipitation can become contaminated with pollutants from industrial process facilities, material storage and handling areas, access roads, or vehicle parking areas. To protect the environment, aquatic resources, and public health, Section 402(p) of the CWA requires

that a storm water discharge permit application containing facility-specific information be submitted to the permitting authority. NYSDEC, the permitting authority in New York State, uses this information to ascertain the potential for pollution from storm water collection and discharge systems and to determine appropriate permitting requirements.

In April 1996, the WVDP obtained storm water characterization data through sampling and analysis and submitted an application for a modification of the SPDES permit to address overall site storm water discharges. A Notice of Complete Application from NYSDEC was then followed by issuance of a draft SPDES permit for public comment in June 1997.

A permit application that updates the site storm water and process water discharges, including those associated with the construction and operation of the new remote-handled waste facility (RHWF) and with the operation of the site's refurbished railroad spur, was submitted to NYSDEC in September 2000.

NYSDEC SPDES Inspection. On March 22, 2002, NYSDEC completed its annual facility inspection of the WVDP with observations of the SPDES outfalls, the site sanitary and industrial wastewater treatment facility (WWTF), low-level waste treatment facility (LLWTF), and discharge monitoring records. The inspection concluded with NYSDEC requesting an investigation of the process wastewater sewer system integrity. Investigation of this sewer system was requested after an unplanned release that occurred in September 2001, when boiler wastewater was released through a suspected leaking sanitary sewer. In June 2002, the WVDP issued a report that assesses the condition of the process sewer system, with a plan for additional inspection of process sewer lines between the main process building and

the LLWTF using video camera technology. According to this plan, which was approved by NYSDEC in September 2002, completion of the camera inspection and the final evaluation report is expected by the second half of calendar year 2004.

SPDES Permit Modifications. In March 1996, a SPDES permit application was submitted to NYSDEC to increase the average flow of effluent from the north plateau groundwater recovery system from approximately 2.6 million gallons (9.8 million liters) per year to approximately 10.5 million gallons (39.7 million liters) per year. (See North Plateau Groundwater Recovery System [p. ECS-12].)


In 1999, increasing concentrations of total mercury were observed in process water collected in the LLWTF. The source of the mercury was determined to be process water from the liquid waste treatment system evaporator. (The evaporator is used to reduce the volumes of liquid waste generated during processing of liquid radioactive waste.) A draft permit addressing both increasing flow and mercury was issued for public comment in December 2001.

A final SPDES permit was issued by NYSDEC on July 15, 2002. This modified permit includes a new effluent limit for mercury and action levels for boron and bromide at outfall 001, internal operational limits for mercury, and authorization for increased flows from the north plateau groundwater treatment project. (See SPDES-Permitted Outfalls [below].)

SPDES-Permitted Outfalls. Point-source liquid effluent discharges to surface waters of New York State are permitted through the New York SPDES program. The WVDP has five SPDES-permitted compliance points for discharges to Erdman Brook and Frank's Creek.

- Outfall 001 (WNSP001) discharges treated wastewater from the LLWTF and the north plateau groundwater recovery system. (See North Plateau Groundwater Recovery System [p. ECS-12] and Chapter 4, Special Groundwater Monitoring [p. 4-16].) The treated wastewater is held in lagoon 3, sampled and analyzed, and periodically released after notifying NYSDEC. In 2002, the treated wastewater from the LLWTF was discharged at WNSP001 in seven batches totaling 13.7 million gallons (52.0 million liters) for the year. The annual average concentration of radioactivity at the point of release was approximately 34.4% of the DOE derived concentration guides (DCGs). None of the individual releases exceeded the DCGs. (See *derived concentration guide* in the Glossary [p. GLO-3] and in Chapter 1 [p. 1-5].)

- Outfall 01B (WNSP01B) is an internal process compliance point established by the final SPDES permit modification issued on July 15, 2002. This internal outfall receives effluent from the liquid waste treatment system (LWTS) evaporator process after passing through a mercury pretreatment system. The LWTS is used to pretreat residual radioactive wastes from the main process building and the former HLW storage tanks before final polishing treatment at the LLWTF. Effluent is sampled and tested at this location to determine compliance with Federal Great Lakes Initiative and SPDES permit requirements for total mercury. As required by the SPDES permit, samples from this location and outfall 001 are analyzed using the proven EPA Method 245.1, with a duplicate sample analyzed using the relatively new "ultra-clean" Method 1631. Testing with Method 1631, which was issued by the EPA in 1999, is conducted as part of a required study to verify effectiveness of this method on radioactively contaminated effluent. A total flow of 47,200 gallons (179,000 liters) was measured at outfall 01B from the effective date of permit modification through the end of CY 2002.



determining compliance with SPDES permit limits during discharge of lagoon 3. Before discharge of lagoon 3, sample data for total dissolved solids (TDS) and flow measurements from upstream sources are used to calculate the amount of augmentation water and flow needed to maintain compliance with SPDES-permitted TDS limits.

As shown in Figure ECS-1 (at left), the annual number of exceptions to the discharge concentration limits specified in the site's SPDES permit

have been substantially reduced, especially when compared to the peak of thirty-five exceptions noted in 1986. As indicated in this figure and Table ECS-2 (p. ECS-20), there were no permit limit exceptions recorded during 2002.

North Plateau Groundwater Recovery System. In November 1995 the WVDP installed a groundwater recovery system to mitigate the movement of strontium-90 contamination in the groundwater and reduce groundwater seepage northeast of the process building. Three recovery wells, installed near the leading edge of the groundwater plume, collect contaminated groundwater from the underlying sand and gravel unit. The groundwater is then treated at the low-level waste treatment building (LLW2) using ion-exchange to remove strontium-90. After the groundwater is processed, it is discharged to lagoon 4 or 5 of the LLWTF. Approximately 29 million gallons (110 million liters) of groundwater have been processed through the system since its inception, including about 4.3 million gallons (16.3 million liters) in 2002.

In 1999 the Project installed a pilot-scale permeable treatment wall (PTW) to test this in-situ passive technology for treating contaminated groundwater. Analytical data collected from within

- Outfall 007 (WNSP007) discharges the effluent from the Wastewater Treatment Facility (WWTF), which treats sewage and various non-radioactive wastewaters from physical plant systems (e.g., water plant production residuals and boiler blowdown). The average daily flow at WNSP007 in 2002 was approximately 19,000 gallons (72,000 liters).
- Outfall 008 (WNSP008) formerly discharged groundwater and surface water runoff directed from the northeast side of the site's LLWTF lagoon system through a french drain to Erdman Brook. This outfall was capped off in May 2001 after elevated concentrations of total recoverable lead were observed. The elevated lead concentration was believed to be caused by silt accumulation in the pipe and reduced flow typical of an aging groundwater drain system.
- Monitoring point 116, located in Frank's Creek, represents the confluence of discharge from outfalls 001, 007, and 008; base stream flow; wet weather flows (e.g., surface water runoff); groundwater seepage; and augmentation water (untreated water from the site reservoirs). This is not a physical outfall but a location where the combination of source-flow inputs is used to calculate values for

and around the wall indicate that a portion of the contaminated groundwater in this area is entering and being treated by the PTW. The hydrogeologic evaluation of the pilot test was completed in 2002. The evaluation concluded that complex hydrogeologic conditions and disturbances from the installation are influencing groundwater flow into and around the pilot PTW.

Petroleum- and Chemical-Product Spill Reporting. The WVDP has a Spill Notification and Reporting Policy to ensure that all spills are properly managed, documented, and remediated in accordance with applicable regulations. This policy identifies the departmental responsibilities for spill management and the proper spill-control procedures. The policy stresses the responsibility of each employee to notify the plant systems operations shift supervisor upon discovery of a spill. This first-line reporting requirement helps to ensure that spills are properly evaluated and managed.

Under a 1996 agreement with NYSDEC regarding petroleum spill-reporting protocol, the WVDP is not required to report spills of petroleum products of 5 gallons (19 liters) or less onto an impervious surface that are cleaned up within two hours of discovery. Petroleum-product spills of 5 gallons or less onto the ground are entered in a monthly petroleum spill log, which is submitted to NYSDEC by the fifteenth day of the following month. Spills of any amount that travel to waters of the state must be reported within two hours to the NYSDEC spill hotline and also are entered in the monthly log. Spills of petroleum products that enter navigable waters of New York State are reported to the National Response Center within two hours of discovery. There were no spills to waters of the state at the WVDP in 2002.

The WVDP also reports spills or releases of hazardous substances in accordance with the reporting requirements of RCRA, the Comprehensive

Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) if a reportable quantity has been exceeded, and the CAA, EPCRA, the CWA, and the TSCA. No chemical spills or releases exceeded reportable quantities and, thus, no reporting during calendar year 2002 was required.

In the event of a spill or release, all spills are cleaned up in a timely manner in accordance with the WVDP Spill Notification and Reporting Policy, thereby minimizing any effects on the environment. Debris generated during cleanup is characterized and dispositioned appropriately.

Safe Drinking Water Act. The SDWA requires that each federal agency having jurisdiction over a federally owned or maintained public water system must comply with all federal, state, and local requirements regarding safe drinking water. Compliance with regulations promulgated under the SDWA in the state of New York is overseen by the New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH) through county health departments.

The WVDP obtains its drinking water from surface water reservoirs on the WNYNSC and is considered a non-transient, noncommunity public water supplier. The WVDP's drinking water treatment facility purifies the water by clarification, filtration, and chlorination before it is distributed on-site.

As an operator of a drinking water supply system, the WVDP routinely collects and analyzes drinking water samples to monitor water quality. The results of these analyses are reported to the Cattaraugus County Health Department, which also independently analyzes a monthly sample of WVDP tap water to determine bacterial and residual chlorine content and an annual WVDP tap water sample for nitrate (as nitrogen). Analysis of the microbiological samples collected in 2002 produced satisfactory results and the free chlo-

rine residual measurements taken throughout the distribution system were positive on all occasions, indicating proper disinfection.

The WVDP regularly tests the site's drinking water for lead and copper in accordance with EPA and NYSDOH regulations. NYSDOH regulations allow a facility to reduce sampling from once a year to once every three years if three consecutive annual sampling campaigns produce results below the action level. All results for samples obtained in 2002 were below action levels for lead and copper.

The Cattaraugus County Health Department conducted its annual inspection of the WVDP water supply system on December 16, 2002. No deficiencies were issued.

Toxic Substances Control Act. TSCA regulates the manufacture, processing, distribution, and use of chemicals, including asbestos-containing materials (ACM) and PCBs.

Asbestos-Containing Material. In 2002, the WVDP continued to maintain compliance with all TSCA requirements pertaining to asbestos by managing asbestos-containing material at the site in accordance with the Asbestos Management Plan (West Valley Nuclear Services Co., revised December 6, 2002). The plan was prepared to ensure compliance with TSCA requirements and includes requirements for limiting worker exposure to ACM and for asbestos-abatement projects, maintenance activities, and periodic surveillance inspections (at least once every three years). The plan also identifies the inventory and status of on-site ACM.

Activities in 2002 included the repair or abatement of damaged/friable ACM, removal of approximately 30 linear feet (9 m) of ACM insulation from abandoned lines, removal of approximately 2,063

square feet (192 m²) of ACM insulation from abandoned tanks, and the maintenance of signs and labels to warn workers of asbestos-containing material. All activities associated with ACM are completed by personnel who are certified by the New York State Department of Labor (NYSDOL). WVNSCO maintains an asbestos-handling license issued by NYSDOL.

Polychlorinated Biphenyls. Because PCBs are regulated as a hazardous waste in New York State, the WVDP continued in 2002 to manage radioactively contaminated PCB waste as mixed waste and nonradioactive PCB waste as hazardous waste. Details concerning PCB-contaminated radioactive waste management, including a description of the waste and proposed treatment technologies and schedules, can be found in Section 3.1.5 of the Site Treatment Plan, Fiscal Year 2002 Update (West Valley Nuclear Services Co., February 13, 2003).

To comply with TSCA and the PCB regulations, all operations associated with PCBs comply with the PCB and PCB-Contaminated Material Management Plan. The WVDP also maintains an annual document log that details PCB use and appropriate storage on-site and any changes in storage or disposal status. The WVDP complies with the regulations for the disposal of PCBs, which conditionally allow radioactive and nonradioactive PCBs to be stored for more than one year (40 CFR Parts 750 and 761).

National Environmental Policy Act. NEPA, as amended, establishes a national policy to ensure that protection of the environment is included in federal planning and decision making (Title I). Its goals are to prevent or to eliminate potential damage to the environment that could arise from federal legislative actions or proposed federal projects.

Nationwide Management of Waste. In May 1997, DOE Headquarters issued the Final Waste Management Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to evaluate nationwide management and siting alternatives for the treatment, storage, and disposal of five types of radioactive and hazardous waste. The alternatives address waste generated, stored, or buried over the next twenty years at fifty-four sites in the DOE complex.

The Final Waste Management Programmatic EIS was issued with the intent of developing and issuing separate records of decision for each type of waste analyzed. In 1998 the DOE issued records of decision for transuranic and non-wastewater hazardous waste. In 1999 the DOE issued the record of decision for high-level radioactive waste. This decision specifies that the WVDP high-level vitrified waste will remain in storage on-site until it is accepted for disposal at a geologic repository.

On February 25, 2000 the DOE issued its record of decision for the management of low-level radioactive waste and mixed low-level waste, including West Valley's wastes. Hanford and the Nevada Test Site (NTS) were identified as the designated national DOE disposal sites for these waste types (Volume 65, Federal Register [FR], p. 10061 [65 FR 10061]). In 2001, West Valley successfully completed the program approval process for access to the NTS, and on July 17, 2001 received approval to ship. The WVDP subsequently completed two LLW shipments to the NTS in 2001. Four LLW shipments were completed in 2002.

Decommissioning and/or Long-Term Stewardship at the WVDP and WNYNSC. DOE published a Federal Register Notice of Intent (NOI) on March 26, 2001 (66 FR 16447) formally announcing its rescoping plan and preparation of the waste management EIS. A draft EIS for waste management is being prepared for public review and comment. DOE also published an Advance

NOI on November 6, 2001 (66 FR 56090) announcing its commitment to begin work, in cooperation with NYSERDA, on the Decommissioning and/or Long-Term Stewardship EIS. DOE and NYSERDA are joint lead agencies on this EIS. The DOE and NYSERDA continued negotiations during 2002 in an effort to reach agreement on a preferred alternative and agency responsibilities for decommissioning and/or long-term stewardship at the WVDP and WNYNSC.

On February 1, 2002, the NRC issued its *Decommissioning Criteria for the West Valley Demonstration Project (M-32) at the West Valley Site; Final Policy Statement* in the Federal Register (67 FR 5003). The Final Policy Statement applies the NRC's License Termination Rule (10 CFR Part 20, Subpart E) as the decommissioning criteria for the WVDP and as the decommissioning goal for the entire WNYNSC.

In October 2002, DOE invited the EPA, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), and NYSDEC to be formal cooperating agencies on the Decommissioning and/or Long-Term Stewardship EIS. All three agencies accepted DOE's invitation.

During 2002, DOE continued to prepare the draft of the Waste Management EIS. DOE also prepared the draft of the Notice of Intent for the Decommissioning and/or Long-Term Stewardship EIS which, when issued jointly with NYSERDA, will begin the public scoping process for that EIS in accordance with NEPA.

Migratory Bird Treaty Act. The WVDP monitors wildlife activity near WVDP work areas and, where possible, implements controls to prevent and minimize nesting of migratory birds within radiologically contaminated areas of the site. In 2002, the WVDP obtained a depredation permit from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for the removal

of active migratory bird nests. Also in 2002, the NYSDEC renewed the bird depredation license for the removal of inactive and abandoned bird nests at the WVDP. (See Table ECS-4 [p. ECS-20] for a summary of the bird depredation action taken at the WVDP during 2002.)

Endangered Species Act. The WVDP periodically updates its information about the potential for federally listed or proposed endangered or threatened species to be in the vicinity of Project activities. This was last done via correspondence with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service in June 1999. Their reply on June 21, 1999 reconfirmed that, “except for occasional transient individuals,” no plant or animal species protected under the Endangered Species Act were known to exist at the WVDP.

Current Achievements and Program Highlights

The WVDP’s successful high-level radioactive waste vitrification program is the first program to reach completion in the nation.

Phase II Vitrification. Phase II of vitrification, processing the HLW residuals (heels) in storage tank 8D-2, was completed in 2002. Eleven glass canisters were filled during 2002, bringing the final total number processed to 275 canisters of HLW since operations began in 1996. Two final canisters, generated when the melter was evacuated, are classified as low-level radioactive waste.

Integrated Safety Management System. In August 2002 a self-assessment was conducted to confirm that the WVDP’s integrated environmental, safety, and health management (ISMS) system continued to function. Results from the self-assessment were verified in the DOE’s annual review, conducted in November 2002. The WVDP continues to demonstrate its commitment to an all-inclu-

sive approach to safety through its safety programs and through ongoing efforts to strengthen its integrated safety management program by worker involvement in the safety program.

STAR Status. WVNSCO has reaffirmed its commitment to DOE’s Voluntary Protection Program (VPP). During the reporting period, the VPP was reviewed as part of the annual ISMS review. The DOE completed an on-site review of the VPP and the WVDP has been recertified as a DOE-VPP STAR site. At the annual VPP Participants National Conference, WVNSCO was awarded the DOE’s Star of Excellence Award, which is given to sites with outstanding safety programs. WVNSCO is the only site to receive this award two years in a row.

EPA National Environmental Performance Track. The WVDP was recognized as a top environmental leader in 2000 and was accepted into the EPA’s National Environmental Performance Track. The WVDP was awarded Charter Member status as part of the first group of applicants.

To qualify for the award, the WVDP had to demonstrate that it voluntarily has adopted and implemented an environmental management system, has attained previous specific environmental achievements, has made a commitment to achieve four future goals, has a public outreach program, and has a sustained record of environmental compliance.

The WVDP’s four commitments include:

- achieving a 62% reduction in hazardous waste generated over a three-year period from a baseline of 6,805 kg/year down to 2,545 kg/year;
- reducing the generation of oily condensate wastewater from 1,600 gal/year to less than 100 gal/year;

- removing 2,000 linear feet of asbestos; and
- reducing natural gas usage from 909,000 cubic feet per year to 800,000 cubic feet per year, and reducing electrical usage from 2,008,679 kilowatt hours per month to 1,800,000 kilowatt hours per month.

In 2001, the WVDP completed the asbestos-reduction goal in its entirety.

In 2002, the WVDP continued to make significant progress in the remaining commitments by:

- achieving a hazardous waste reduction equivalent of 710 pounds (89% from the baseline of 6,805 kg);
- electrical usage was slightly higher (1,952,946 kW hr/month) than the goal as a result of a more severe winter than expected.

Environmental Management System.

WVNSCO's environmental management system comprises procedures that provide the basic policy and direction for accomplishing work through proactive management, environmental stewardship, and the integration of appropriate technologies across all Project functions. Environmental management is integrated with other safety management and work planning processes at the WVDP through the integrated environmental, health, and safety management program, as required in new DOE Order 450.1.

The WVNSCO EMS satisfies the requirements of both the Code of Environmental Management Principles (CEMP) for federal agencies and the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) 14001, Environmental Management Systems: Specifications for Guidance and Use, which are the two major frameworks for environmental management systems. The CEMP was developed by the EPA in response to Executive Order 12856,

Federal Compliance with Right-to-Know Laws and Pollution Prevention Requirements. It embodies the principles and underlying performance objectives that are the basis for responsible environmental management. ISO 14001 is an EMS comparable to the CEMP.

Environmental Issues and Actions

Closed Landfill Maintenance. Closure of the on-site nonradioactive construction and demolition debris landfill (CDDL) was completed in August 1986. The landfill area was closed in accordance with NYSDEC requirements for this type of landfill, following a closure plan (Standish, 1985) approved by NYSDEC. To meet routine post-closure requirements, the CDDL cover was inspected twice in 2002 and found to be in generally good condition. The grass cover on the clay and soil cap is routinely maintained and cut, and drainage is maintained to ensure that no obvious ponding or soil erosion occurs.

Release of Materials Containing Residual Radioactivity. The release of property containing residual radioactivity from DOE facilities is carefully controlled by DOE guidelines and procedures. In two special memoranda issued in January and July of 2000, the Secretary of Energy placed a moratorium on the release of contaminated materials and on the unrestricted release, for recycling, of metal from radiological areas within DOE facilities. The moratorium will remain in effect until directives clarifying the release criteria have been developed and implemented. Any transfer that places property (real property, structures, equipment, or scrap metal) containing radioactivity into public use is classified as a type of environmental release.

In keeping with DOE initiatives to expand environmental information provided to the public, cer-

tain details of transfers of property containing residual radioactivity are to be included in Annual Site Environmental Reports. The information provided should include, among other things, the type of material and the amount of residual radioactivity, the basis for releasing the property for public use (including release limits and when the property was released), the end use and cost savings associated with release of the property, and potential doses to individuals and the potential collective dose to the public associated with each release. As indicated in Table ECS-3 [p. ECS-20], the WVDP did not release any property classified per DOE Order 5400.5 as material containing residual radioactivity in 2002.

Decommissioning and/or Long-Term Stewardship at the WVDP and WNYNSC. Although ongoing negotiations conducted between the DOE and NYSERDA to date have not resulted in agreement on long-term cleanup responsibilities, both parties remain committed to accomplishing important goals. These include shipping the 125 spent fuel assemblies to the Idaho National Laboratory (INL) and completing environmental impact statement analyses to support decisions on waste management, site decommissioning, and/or long-term stewardship. (See also p. ECS-15.) Other important Project goals include safely managing low-level radioactive waste, constructing the remote-handled waste facility, and managing contaminated groundwater on the north plateau.

is used to identify issues or potential problems that can be corrected immediately.

The local DOE Project office and other agencies with responsibilities for the WVDP also independently review various aspects of the environmental and waste management programs, as discussed in preceding sections. In 2002, overall results reflected continuing, well-managed environmental programs at the WVDP.

Project Assessment Activities in 2002

As the primary contractor for the DOE at the WVDP, WVNSCO maintains a comprehensive review program for proposed and ongoing operations. Assessments are conducted through formal surveillances and informal programs. Formal surveillances monitor compliance with regulations, directives, and DOE Orders. The informal program

Compliance Tables

DOE Headquarters uses environmental compliance summary information from sites across the DOE complex to compile national environmental summary reports. The tables on the following pages were prepared to assist in this compilation.

**Table ECS-1
West Valley Demonstration Project 2002 Air Quality
Noncompliance Episodes**

Permit Type	Facility	Parameter	Date(s) Exceeded	Description/Solutions
EPA NESHAP	All	All	None	None
NYSDEC Air	All	All	None	None

There were no episodes of noncompliance in 2002.

**Table ECS-2
West Valley Demonstration Project 2002 NPDES/SPDES*
Permit Limit Noncompliance Episodes**

Permit Type	Outfall	Parameter	No. of Permit Exceedances	No. of Samples Taken	No. of Compliant Samples	Percent Compliance Samples	Description/Solutions
SPDES	All	All	0	1,046	All	100%	None

* Radionuclides are not regulated under the site's SPDES permit. However, special requirements in the permit specify that the concentration of radionuclides in the discharge is subject to requirements in DOE Orders 5400.1 and 5400.5.

**Table ECS-3
Release of Property Containing Residual Radioactive Material**

Approved Limit	Rationale	Date of Approval	Type of Material	Basis for Release	End Use	Volume of Material	Total Activity	Maximum Individual Dose	Collective Dose
NA	NA	NA	None	NA	NA	0	0	0	0

No property containing residual radioactivity was released in 2002.

**Table ECS-4
West Valley Demonstration Project Migratory Bird Nest Depredation Episodes
in 2002**

Permit/License Type	Parameter	Permit/License Limit	Total Removed in 2002
U.S. Fish and Wildlife - Bird Depredation Permit	Removal of Active Barn Swallow Nests	15	0
U.S. Fish and Wildlife - Bird Depredation Permit	Removal of Active American Robin Nests	15	0
U.S. Fish and Wildlife - Bird Depredation Permit	Removal of Active Eastern Phoebe Nests	5	0
NYSDEC - Bird Depredation License	Removal of Abandoned/Inactive Migratory Bird Nests	Not limited	3

Table ECS-5
Status of EPCRA Reporting in 2002

EPCRA Section	Description of Reporting	Status*
<i>EPCRA 302-303</i>	<i>Planning Notification</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>EPCRA 304</i>	<i>Extremely Hazardous Substance Release Notification</i>	<i>Not Required</i>
<i>EPCRA 311-312</i>	<i>Material Safety Data Sheet/Chemical Inventory</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>EPCRA 313</i>	<i>Toxic Release Inventory Reporting</i>	<i>Yes</i>

* "Yes" indicates that the site reported under the provision.
 "No" indicates that the site should have reported but did not.
 "Not Required" indicates that the site was not required to report under the provision.

Table ECS-6
Reportable Chemicals Above Threshold Planning Quantities Stored at the WVDP in 2002

<i>Anhydrous ammonia*</i>	<i>Diesel fuel #2</i>
<i>Ferric hydroxide slurry</i>	<i>Gasoline</i>
<i>Hydrogen peroxide solution (35%)</i>	<i>Ion-exchange media</i>
<i>Liquid nitrogen</i>	<i>Nitric acid</i>
<i>Oils - various grades</i>	<i>Sodium hydroxide</i>
<i>Portland cement</i>	<i>Sulfuric acid</i>
<i>Silicon dioxide</i>	

* Storage at the WVDP discontinued in late 2002

Table ECS-7
West Valley Demonstration Project Environmental Permits

Permit Name and Number	Agency/Permit Type	Description	2002 Changes	Status
West Valley Demonstration Project RCRA Part A Permit Application	NYSDEC/Hazardous Waste	Provides interim status under RCRA for treatment and storage of hazardous waste	None - Supplementary information concerning operational changes for stabilization of sodium-bearing waste submitted on 9/4/02.	No expiration date.
Article 19 State Facility Air Permit (9-0422-00005/00091)	NYSDEC/Air Emissions	Site-wide permit includes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1 boiler • cold chemical solids transfer system • cold chemical vessel vent system • cold chemical vessel dust-collection hood 	Vitrification facility activities removed from the permit due to the shutdown of the vitrification process	Effective 6/1/00. No expiration date.
Slurry-fed ceramic melter (modification to WVDP-687-01) process building ventilation	EPA/NESHAP	Slurry-fed ceramic melter radionuclide emissions — main plant stack modified 2/18/97	None	Permit approved 2/18/97. No expiration date. Request to modify submitted to the EPA 8/99.
Vitrification facility HVAC system	EPA/NESHAP	Vitrification facility HVAC system for radionuclide emissions	None	Permit approved 2/18/97. No expiration date.
01-14 building ventilation system (WVDP-187-01)	EPA/NESHAP	Liquid waste treatment system ventilation of radionuclide emissions in the 01-14 building	None	Issued 10/5/87. Modified 5/25/89. No expiration date.
Contact size-reduction facility (WVDP-287-01)	EPA/NESHAP	Contact size-reduction and decontamination facility radionuclide emissions	None	Issued 10/5/87. No expiration date.
Supernatant treatment system/Permanent ventilation system (WVDP-387-01)	EPA/NESHAP	Supernatant treatment system ventilation for radionuclide emissions	None	Revised 1/1/197. No expiration date.
Outdoor ventilated enclosures (WVDP-587-01)	EPA/NESHAP	Ten portable ventilation units for removal of radionuclides	None	Issued 12/22/87. No expiration date.
State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NY0000973)	NYSDEC/Water	Covers discharges to surface waters from various on-site sources	A permit modification was issued on July 15, 2002 for groundwater recovery system discharge increase and other activities	Permit terms for NYSERDA and DOE responsibilities related to storm water discharges are being negotiated with NYSDEC.

Table ECS-7 (concluded)
West Valley Demonstration Project Environmental Permits

Permit Name and Number	Agency/Permit Type	Description	2002 Changes	Status
Buffalo Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (02-05-TR096)	Buffalo Sewer Authority/Sanitary sewage and sewage sludge disposal	Permit issued to hauler of waste from the wastewater treatment facility	Renewed 6/30/02.	Hauler must renew permit by 6/30/03.
Fill Discharge Permit (94-973-29(4))	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers/Water	Buttermilk Creek culvert repairs and railroad spur improvements	None	Issued 4/27/00. Expires 4/27/05.
Freshwater Wetlands Permit and Water Quality Certification (9-0422-00005/00093)	NYSDEC/Water	Buttermilk Creek culvert repairs and railroad spur improvements	None	Issued 3/31/00. Expires 4/1/05.
Chemical Bulk Storage (9-000158)	NYSDEC/Chemical bulk storage tank	Registration of bulk storage tanks used for listed hazardous chemicals	None	Permit expires 7/5/03. Will be renewed before expiration.
Petroleum Bulk Storage (9-008885)	NYSDEC/Petroleum bulk storage tank registration	Registration of bulk storage tanks used for petroleum	None	Registration expires 9/2/06. Will be renewed before expiration.
Bird depredation license (DWP02-026)	New York State Division of Fish and Wildlife	State license for the removal of inactive nests of migratory birds	License renewed on 1/16/03.	CY 2002 NYS license expired 12/31/02. License renewed on 1/16/03.
Bird depredation permit (MB747595-0)	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	Federal permit for the limited taking of migratory birds and active bird nests	Permit issued on 5/18/02.	Permit expires 4/30/03. Renewal application filed on 12/30/02.

This page intentionally left blank

ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAM INFORMATION

Introduction

The vitrified high-level radioactive waste (HLW) presently stored at the Western New York Nuclear Service Center (WNYNSC) on the West Valley Demonstration Project (WVDP or Project) premises is the by-product of the reprocessing of spent nuclear fuel during the late 1960s and early 1970s, when the WNYNSC was leased by Nuclear Fuel Services, Inc. (NFS) for a commercial nuclear fuel reprocessing facility.

As the WNYNSC is no longer an active nuclear fuel reprocessing facility, the environmental monitoring program focuses on measuring radioactivity and chemicals associated with the residual by-products of NFS operations and the Project's HLW treatment and low-level radioactive waste (LLW) management operations. The following information about the operations at the WVDP and about radiation and radioactivity will be useful in understanding the activities of the Project and the terms used in reporting the results of environmental testing measurements.

Radiation and Radioactivity. Radioactivity is a characteristic of some elements that have unstable atomic nuclei which spontaneously disintegrate or "decay" into atomic nuclei of another isotope or element. (See *isotope* [p. GLO-5] in the Glos-

sary.) The nuclei decay until only a stable, nonradioactive isotope remains. Depending on the isotope, this process can take anywhere from less than a second to billions of years.

As atomic nuclei decay, radiation is released in three main forms: alpha particles, beta particles, and gamma rays. By emitting energy or particles, the nucleus moves toward a less energetic, more stable state.

Alpha Particles. An alpha particle, released by decay, is a fragment of a much larger nucleus. It consists of two protons and two neutrons (similar to the nucleus of a helium atom) and is positively charged. Compared to beta particles, alpha particles are relatively large and heavy and do not travel very far when ejected by a decaying nucleus. Alpha radiation, therefore, is easily stopped by a thin layer of material such as paper or skin. However, if radioactive material is ingested or inhaled, the alpha particles released inside the body can damage soft internal tissues because all of their energy is absorbed by tissue cells in the immediate vicinity of the decay. An example of an alpha-emitting radionuclide is the uranium isotope with an atomic weight of 232 (uranium-232). Uranium-232 was in the HLW mixture at the WVDP as a result of a thorium-based nuclear fuel reprocessing campaign conducted by NFS and has been previously detected in liquid waste streams.

Radioactivity

Atoms that emit radiation are called radionuclides. Radionuclides are unstable isotopes of an element that have the same number of protons but different numbers of neutrons, resulting in different atomic masses. For example, the element hydrogen has two stable isotopes, hydrogen-1 (H^1) and hydrogen-2 (H^2) (deuterium), and one radioactive isotope, hydrogen-3 (H^3) (tritium). The numbers following the element's symbol identify the atomic mass, which is the number of protons plus neutrons in the nucleus. Thus, H^1 has one proton and no neutrons, H^2 has one proton and one neutron, and H^3 has one proton and two neutrons.

When radioactive atoms decay by emitting radiation, the daughter products that result may be either radioactive or stable. Generally, radionuclides with high atomic numbers, such as uranium-238 and plutonium-239, have many generations of radioactive progeny. For example, the radioactive decay of plutonium-239 creates uranium-235, thorium-231, protactinium-231, and so on through 11 progeny until only the stable isotope lead-207 remains.

Radionuclides with lower atomic numbers often have no more than one daughter. For example, strontium-90 has one radioactive daughter, yttrium-90, which finally decays into stable zirconium; cobalt-60 decays directly to stable nickel with no intermediate nuclide.

The time required for half of the radioactivity of a radionuclide to decay is referred to as the radionuclide's half-life. Each radionuclide has a unique half-life; both strontium-90 and cesium-137 have half-lives of approximately 30 years while plutonium-239 has a half-life of 24,400 years. Knowledge of radionuclide half-lives is often used to estimate past and future inventories of radioactive material. For example, a 1.0-millicurie source of cesium-137 in 2000 would have measured 2.0 millicuries in 1970 and will be 0.5 millicuries in 2030.

Radiation emitted by radionuclides may consist of electromagnetic rays, such as x-rays and gamma rays, or charged particles, such as alpha and beta particles. A radionuclide may emit one or more of these radiations at characteristic energies that can be used to identify them.

Background Radiation

Background radiation is always present, and everyone is constantly exposed to low levels of such radiation from both naturally-occurring and man-made sources. In the United States the average total annual exposure to low-level background radiation is estimated to be about 360 millirem (mrem) or 3.6 millisieverts (mSv). Most of this radiation, approximately 295 mrem (2.95 mSv), comes from natural sources. The rest comes from medical procedures, consumer products, and other man-made sources (NCRP Report 93, 1987). (See Figure 2-9 [p. 2-27] in Chapter 2, Environmental Radiological Program Information.)

Background radiation includes cosmic rays, the decay of natural elements, such as potassium, uranium, thorium, and radon, and radiation from sources such as chemical fertilizers, smoke detectors, and televisions. Actual doses vary depending on such factors as geographic location, building ventilation, and personal health and habits.

Beta Particles. A beta particle is an electron that results from the breakdown of a neutron in a radioactive nucleus. Beta particles are small compared with alpha particles, travel at a higher speed (close to the speed of light), and can be stopped by a material such as wood or aluminum less than an inch thick. If beta particles are released inside the body they do much less damage than an equal number of alpha particles. This is because beta particles are smaller, faster, and have less of a charge; beta particles deposit energy in tissue cells over a larger volume than alpha particles. Strontium-90, a fission product, is an example of a beta-emitting radionuclide. (See *fission* [p. GLO-4] in the Glossary.) Strontium-90 is found in the stabilized supernatant.

Gamma Rays. Gamma rays are high-energy “packets” of electromagnetic radiation, called photons, that are emitted from the nucleus. They are similar to x-rays but generally have a shorter wavelength and therefore are more energetic than x-rays. If the alpha or beta particle released by the decaying nucleus does not carry off all the energy generated by the nuclear disintegration, the excess energy may be emitted as gamma rays. If the released energy is high, a very penetrating gamma ray is produced that can be effectively reduced only by shielding consisting of several inches of a dense material, such as lead, or of water or concrete several feet thick. Although large amounts of gamma radiation are dangerous, gamma rays are also used in many lifesaving medical procedures. An example of a gamma-emitting radionuclide is barium-137m, a short-lived daughter product of cesium-137. Both barium-137m and its precursor, cesium-137, are major constituents of the WVDP high-level radioactive waste.

Measurement of Radioactivity. The rate at which radiation is emitted from a disintegrating nucleus can be described by the number of decay events or nuclear transformations that occur in a

radioactive material over a fixed period of time. This process of emitting energy, or radioactivity, is measured in curies (Ci) or becquerels (Bq).

The curie is based on the decay rate of the radionuclide radium-226 (Ra-226). One gram of radium-226 decays at the rate of 37 billion nuclear disintegrations per second ($3.7E+10$ d/s), so one curie equals 37 billion nuclear disintegrations per second. One becquerel equals one decay, or disintegration, per second. (See the Scientific Notation section at the back of this report [UOM-2] or p. 1-5 of this chapter for information on exponentiation [i.e., the use of “E” to mean the power of 10].)

Very small amounts of radioactivity are sometimes measured in picocuries. A picocurie is one-trillionth ($1E-12$) of a curie, equal to $3.7E-02$ disintegrations per second ($3.7E-02$ Bq), or 2.22 disintegrations per minute.

Measurement of Dose. The amount of energy absorbed by the receiving material is measured in rads (radiation absorbed dose). A rad is 100 ergs of radiation energy absorbed per gram of material. (An erg is the approximate amount of energy necessary to lift a mosquito one-sixteenth of an inch.) “Dose” is a means of expressing the amount of energy absorbed, taking into account the effects of different kinds of radiation.

Alpha, beta, and gamma radiation affect the body to different degrees. Each type of radiation is given a quality factor that indicates the extent of human cell damage it can cause compared with equal amounts of other ionizing radiation energy. Alpha particles cause twenty times as much damage to internal tissues as x-rays, so alpha radiation has a quality factor of 20, compared to gamma rays, x-rays, or beta particles, all of which have a quality factor of 1.

The unit of dose measurement to humans is the rem (roentgen-equivalent-man). (See *roentgen*

and *rem* [p. GLO-9] in the Glossary.) The number of *rem* are equal to the number of *rad* multiplied by the quality factor for each type of radiation. Dose can also be expressed in sieverts. One sievert equals 100 *rem*.

Environmental Monitoring Program Overview

Exposure of human beings to radioactivity would be primarily through air, water, and food. At the WVDP all three pathways are monitored, but air and surface water pathways are the two primary near-term means by which radioactive material can move off-site.

The geology of the site (types of soil and bedrock), the hydrology (location and flow of surface water and groundwater), and meteorological characteristics of the site (wind speed, patterns, and direction) are all considered in evaluating potential exposure through the major pathways.

The on-site and off-site monitoring program at the WVDP includes measuring the concentration of alpha and beta radioactivity, conventionally referred to as “gross alpha” and “gross beta,” in air and water effluents. Measuring the total alpha and beta radioactivity from key locations, which can be done within a matter of hours, produces a comprehensive picture of on-site and off-site levels of radioactivity from all sources. For a U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) site such as the WVDP, frequent updating and tracking of the overall levels of radioactivity in effluents is an important tool in maintaining acceptable operations.

More detailed measurements are also made for specific radionuclides. Strontium-90 and cesium-137 are measured because they have been previously detected in WVDP waste materials. Radiation from other important radionuclides such as tritium or iodine-129 is not sufficiently ener-

getic to be detected by gross measurement techniques, so these must be analyzed separately using methods with greater sensitivity. Heavy elements such as uranium, plutonium, and americium require special analysis to be measured because they exist in such small concentrations in the WVDP environs.

The radionuclides monitored at the Project are those that might produce relatively higher doses or that are most abundant in air and water effluents. Because man-made sources of radiation at the Project have been decaying for more than thirty years, the monitoring program does not routinely include short-lived radionuclides, that is, isotopes with a half-life of less than two years, which would have less than 1/1,000 of the original radioactivity remaining. (See Appendix B [pp. B-1 through B-47] for the schedule of samples and radionuclides measured and Appendix K, Table K-1 [p. K-3] for a listing of the half-lives of radionuclides measured in WVDP samples and related DOE protection standards, such as the derived concentration guides [DCGs]. See also the discussion of DCGs [*facing page*].)

Data Reporting. Because the decay of radioactive atoms is a random process, there is an inherent uncertainty associated with all measurements of environmental radioactivity. This can be demonstrated by repeatedly measuring the number of atoms that decay in a radioactive sample over some fixed period of time. The result of such an experiment would be a range of values for which the average value would provide the best indication of how many radioactive atoms were present in the sample.

However, in actual practice an environmental sample usually is measured for radioactivity only once. The inherent uncertainty of the measurement, then, stems from the fact that it cannot be known whether the result that was obtained from one measurement is higher or lower than the “true” value.

Derived Concentration Guides

A derived concentration guide (DCG) is defined by the DOE in DOE Order 5400.5 as the concentration of a radionuclide in air or water that, under conditions of continuous exposure by one exposure mode (i.e., ingestion of water, immersion in air, or inhalation) for one year, would result in an effective dose equivalent of 100 mrem (1 mSv) to a “reference man.” (See “reference man” in the Glossary [p.GLO-9].) These concentrations – DCGs – are used as reference screening levels to enable WVDP personnel reviewing effluent and environmental data to decide if further investigation is needed. (See Table K-1, Appendix K [p. K-3] for a list of DCGs.)

For liquid effluent screening purposes, the percentages of the DCGs for all radionuclides present are summed. If the total is less than 100%, then the effluent released complies with the DOE guideline. DCGs are also compared with radionuclide concentrations from these sources to verify that Best Available Technology (BAT) standards for treatment of water are being met.

The DOE provides DCGs for airborne radionuclides in locations where members of the public could, over an extended period of time, breathe air containing contaminants. DCGs are only applicable to radionuclides in air breathed by members of the public. DCGs may be used as a basis for screening concentrations from air emission points.

DOE Orders and federal regulations require that the hypothetical dose to the public from facility effluents be estimated using specific computer codes. (See Dose Assessment Methodology [p. 2-29] in Chapter 2, Environmental Radiological Program Information.) Doses estimated for WVDP activities are calculated using actual site data and are not related directly to summed DCG values. Dose estimates for liquid effluents are based on the product of radionuclide quantities released and the site-specific dose equivalent effects for that radionuclide. Although airborne DCGs are used for comparison purposes, the more stringent U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) regulate Project airborne effluents at the point of release. For a consistent guide to relative concentrations, both air and water sampling results are compared with DCGs throughout this report.

The term *confidence interval* is used to describe the range of measurement values above and below the test result within which the “true” value is expected to lie. (See *confidence interval* in the Glossary [p. GLO-2].) This interval is derived statistically. The width of the interval is based primarily on a predetermined confidence level, that is, the probability that the confidence interval actually encompasses the “true” value. The WVDP environmental monitoring program uses a 95% confidence level for all radioactivity measurements and calculates confidence intervals accordingly.

The confidence interval around a measured value is indicated by the plus-or-minus (\pm) value following the result (e.g., $5.30 \pm 3.6E-09$ $\mu\text{Ci/mL}$), with the exponent of 10^{-9} expressed as “E-09.” Expressed in decimal form, the result $5.30 \pm 3.6E-09$ would be $0.0000000530 \pm 0.000000036$ $\mu\text{Ci/mL}$. A sample measurement expressed this way is correctly interpreted to mean “there is a 95% probability that the concentration of radioactivity in this sample is between $1.7E-09$ $\mu\text{Ci/mL}$ and $8.9E-09$ $\mu\text{Ci/mL}$.” (See also Scientific Notation [p. UOM-2] at the end of this report.) If the confidence in-

terval for the measured value includes zero (e.g., $5.30 \pm 6.5E-09$ $\mu\text{Ci/mL}$), the value is considered to be below the detection limit. The values listed in tables of radioactivity measurements in the appendices include the confidence interval regardless of the detection limit value.

In general, the detection limit is the minimum amount of constituent or material of interest detected by an instrument or method that can be distinguished from background and instrument noise. Thus, the detection limit is the lowest value at which a sample result shows a statistically positive difference from a sample in which no constituent is present. (Maximum and minimum values in data sets showing positive results have been set in boldface type in the data appendices at the back of this report; the key to this convention is described at the beginning of each appropriate appendix.)

Nonradiological data conventionally are presented without an associated uncertainty and are expressed by the detection limit prefaced by a “less-than” symbol (<) if that analyte was not measurable. (See also Data Assessment and Reporting [p. 5-7] in Chapter 5, Quality Assurance.)

Units of measure, as used in this document, are listed on p. UOM-1. In the text, traditional radiological units (e.g., rem, rad, curie, roentgen) are presented first, followed by Systeme Internationale (S.I.) units. Nonradiological measurements are presented in English units, with the metric equivalent noted in parentheses. A conversion chart for comparing traditional and S.I. radiological units and English and metric nonradiological units is presented on p. UOM-2.

Changes in the 2002 Environmental Monitoring Program. Several modifications to the environmental sampling and surveillance network were made in 2002 to better reflect current facility status.

- Sampling at air emission point ANLAUNV, the contaminated clothing laundry, was discontinued.
- The modified (July 2002) State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (SPDES) permit for the site included analytical changes for sampling point WNSP001 and added monitoring requirements for internal monitoring point WNSP01B.

See Appendix B for a detailed summary of the program changes (p. B-iv) and the sample points and parameters measured in 2002 (pp. B-1 through B-47).

Vitrification Overview

High-level radioactive waste from NFS operations was originally stored in two of four underground tanks (tanks 8D-2 and 8D-4). The waste in 8D-2, the larger of the active tanks, had settled into two layers: a liquid (the supernatant) and a precipitate layer on the tank bottom (the sludge). To solidify the HLW, WVDP engineers designed and developed a process of pretreatment and vitrification.

Pretreatment Accomplishments. The supernatant (in tank 8D-2) was composed mostly of sodium and potassium salts dissolved in water. Radioactive cesium in solution accounted for more than 99% of the total radioactivity in the supernatant. During pretreatment, sodium salts and sulfates were separated from the radioactive constituents in both the liquid portion of the high-level waste and the sludge layer in the bottom of the tank.

Pretreatment of the supernatant began in 1988. The integrated radwaste treatment system (IRTS) reduced the volume of the HLW needing vitrification by producing low-level radioactive waste stabilized in cement. The supernatant was passed through zeolite-filled ion exchange columns in the supernatant treatment system (STS) to remove more than 99.9% of the radioactive cesium. The

resulting liquid was then concentrated by evaporation in the liquid waste treatment system (LWTS). This low-level radioactive concentrate was blended with cement in the cement solidification system (CSS) and placed in 71-gallon (269-liter) steel drums. The cement-stabilized waste form has been accepted by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

The steel drums are stored in an on-site aboveground vault, the drum cell. (See Fig. A-1 [p. A-3].) Processing of the supernatant was completed in 1990, with approximately 20,000 drums of cement-stabilized waste produced.

The sludge that remained was composed mostly of iron hydroxide. Strontium-90 accounted for most of the radioactivity in the sludge. Pretreatment of the sludge layer in HLW tank 8D-2 began in 1991. Five specially designed 50-foot-long pumps were installed in the tank to mix the sludge layer with water to produce a uniform sludge blend and to dissolve the sodium salts and sulfates that would interfere with vitrification. After mixing and allowing the sludge to settle, processing of the wash water through the IRTS began. Processing removed radioactive constituents for later solidification into glass, and the wash water containing salt was then stabilized with cement in the CSS.

Sludge washing was completed in 1994 after approximately 765,000 gallons (2.9 million liters) of wash water had been processed. About 8,000 drums of cement-stabilized wash water were produced. In January 1995, high-level radioactive waste liquid stored in tank 8D-4 was transferred to tank 8D-2. (Tank 8D-4 contained thorium reduction extraction [THOREX] high-level radioactive waste, which had been produced by a single reprocessing campaign of a special fuel containing thorium that had been conducted from November 1968 to January 1969 by NFS.) The resulting mixture was washed and the wash wa-

ter was processed. The IRTS processing of the combined wash waters was completed in May 1995.

In all, through the supernatant treatment process and the sludge wash process, more than 1.7 million gallons (6.4 million liters) of liquid had been processed by the end of 1995, producing a total of 19,877 drums of cemented LLW. These drums are stored in the drum cell.

As one of the final steps, the ion-exchange material (zeolite) used in the IRTS to remove radioactivity was blended with the washed sludge before being transferred to the vitrification facility for blending with the glass-formers. In 1995 and early 1996 final waste transfers to HLW tank 8D-2 were completed in preparation for vitrification.

Preparation for Vitrification. Nonradioactive testing of a full-scale vitrification system was conducted from 1984 to 1989. In 1990 all vitrification test equipment was removed to allow installation of shield walls for remote radioactive operations. The walls and shielded tunnel connecting the vitrification facility to the former reprocessing plant were completed in 1991. The slurry-fed ceramic melter was assembled, bricked, and installed in 1993, and the cold chemical building was completed, as was the sludge mobilization system that transferred the high-level waste to the melter. This system was tested in 1994. Several additional major systems components also were installed in 1994: the canister turntable, which positioned the stainless steel canisters as they were filled with molten glass; the submerged bed scrubber, which cleaned gases produced by the vitrification process; and the transfer cart, which moved filled canisters to the storage area.

Nonradiological testing (“cold” operations) of the vitrification facility began in 1995, and the first canister of nonradiological glass was produced. The

WVDP declared its readiness to proceed with the necessary equipment tie-ins of the ventilation and utility systems to the vitrification facility building and tie-ins of the transfer lines to and from the HLW tank farm and the vitrification facility. In this closed-loop system, the transfer lines connected to multiple common lines so that material could be moved among all the points in the system.

High-level waste vitrification began in 1996. Phase I, which saw the majority of the high-level liquid waste vitrified, was completed in mid-1998. Phase II, removing and vitrifying residual radioactivity, was completed during 2002. (See Completion of Vitrification [below].)

2002 Activities at the WVDP

The WVDP's environmental management system is an important factor in the environmental monitoring program and the accomplishment of its mission. Significant components, initiatives, and pertinent information about the work accomplished at the WVDP in 2002 are summarized below.

Completion of Vitrification. In 2002, eleven high-level waste canisters were produced. Since the beginning of vitrification in 1996 through calendar year 2002, more than 12.2 million cesium/strontium curies were transferred to the vitrification facility and 275 high-level waste canisters were filled. Two additional low-level canisters were generated as a result of evacuating the melter. The slurry-fed ceramic melter was shut down in September 2002.

Tank Cleaning and Characterization. West Valley Nuclear Services Company (WVNSCO) has successfully concluded the characterization project for the waste tanks 8D-1 and 8D-2 and prepared the final radionuclide inventory report. This project encompassed the application of many new and innovative technologies to perform in-situ charac-

terization of radionuclide inventories for the tanks, including the gamma camera, beta gamma detector, solid-state track recorder neutron dosimetry, and a fixed-waste burnishing sampler. Mathematical modeling activities performed by Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL) and URS, which incorporated all newly collected field data and instrument calibration results, were completed in 2002. This characterization program focused on the quantification of residual activity within tank 8D-2 following wall-washing activities and developing an inventory of the STS components that are located within tank 8D-1.

Additionally, radioisotopic characterization of the residual waste heels remaining in tanks 8D-3 and 8D-4 and the STS valve aisle was completed in 2002. Radioisotopic inventory reports were prepared that provide a curie inventory for use with performance assessment modeling.

The successes and lessons learned from the characterization project have been shared with the DOE's Office of Environmental Management (DOE-EM) through the Tank Focus Area (TFA) program.

Decontamination. Initial decontamination efforts in the main plant are focusing on the process mechanical cell (PMC) and the general purpose cell (GPC) to place the cells in a safer configuration for future facility decommissioning. After a readiness assessment was completed, decontamination work began in the PMC during September 2001.

In 2002, the DOE completed its readiness assessment for work to cleanup the head-end cells. During this period, a shield window in the GPC was refurbished and cleanup operations in this cell were initiated. Removal of waste from the PMC also continued and upgrades to the scrap removal room (SRR) were completed to support the cleanup operations.

Planning was also started for cleanup of waste in the product purification cell (PPC)-south and extraction cell No. 2 (XC-2). Work to gain access to the PPC-south began in 2002.

Fuel storage racks, canisters, and debris were removed from the fuel receiving and storage (FRS) pool. Draining of the pool, decontamination of the pool walls, and shipment of the FRS debris for disposal were also initiated. Water drained from the pool was treated prior to discharge at outfall WNSP001 in accordance with DOE Order 5400.5 and SPDES permit requirements.

Preparation for Spent Fuel Shipping. During 2001, the spent nuclear fuel stored at the West Valley site was safely loaded for shipment to the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory (INEEL). In 2002, the fuel was monitored and maintained in a secure transport-ready state awaiting shipment.

Remote-Handled Waste Facility Construction. As part of project operations, various contaminated materials/components have been removed from the former process building and are in storage awaiting disposal. In addition, as efforts increase toward eventual decommissioning, additional materials and components will be removed from the waste tank farm and the former process building. Before these waste materials can be shipped for disposal, they have to be characterized, sorted, processed as necessary, and packaged to meet regulatory requirements for transportation. The remote-handled waste facility (RHWF), currently under construction, will be used to process and package these highly contaminated, high-activity, solid radioactive wastes. Construction of the RHWF started in September 2000 and continued throughout 2002. The facility is scheduled to begin operations by calendar year 2004.

Environmental Management of Aqueous Radioactive Waste. Water containing radioactive material from site process operations is collected and treated in the low-level waste treatment facility (LLWTF). (Water from the sanitary system, which does not contain added radioactive material, is managed in a separate system.)

The treated process water is held, sampled, and analyzed before it is released through a New York SPDES-permitted outfall. In 2002, over 13.7 million gallons (52.0 million liters) of water were treated in the LLWTF system, which includes the low-level waste treatment building (LLW2) and associated holding lagoons, and discharged through outfall 001, the lagoon 3 weir. The discharge waters contained an estimated 24.7 millicuries of gross alpha plus gross beta radioactivity. Comparable releases during the previous seventeen years averaged about 33 millicuries per year. The 2002 release was about 75% of this average. (See Overview of Water Effluent and Ambient Surface Water Monitoring [p. 2-4] in Chapter 2.)

Approximately 0.13 curies of tritium were released in WVDP liquid effluents in 2002 – about 11% of the seventeen-year average of 1.23 curies.

Environmental Management of Airborne Radioactive Emissions. Ventilated air from the various WVDP facilities is sampled continuously during operation for both particulate matter and for gaseous radioactivity. In addition to monitors that alarm if particulate matter radioactivity increases above pre-set levels, the sample media are analyzed in the laboratory for the specific radionuclides that are present in the radioactive materials being handled.

Air used to ventilate the facilities where radioactive material cleanup processes are being conducted is passed through filtration devices before being emitted to the atmosphere. These filtration




Figure 1-1. Annual Average Exposure at the CPC-WSA (DNTLD24)




Figure 1-2. Annual Average Exposure at the Drum Cell (DNTLD36)

devices are generally more effective for particulate matter than for gaseous radioactivity. For this reason, facility air emissions tend to contain a greater amount of gaseous radioactivity (e.g., tritium and iodine-129) than radioactivity associated with particulate matter (e.g., strontium-90 and cesium-137). However, gaseous radionuclide emissions still remain so far below the most restrictive regulatory limit for public safety that ad-

ditional treatment technologies beyond those already provided by, for example, the vitrification off-gas treatment system, are not necessary.

Gaseous radioactivity emissions from the main plant in 2002 included approximately 70.9 millicuries of tritium (as hydrogen tritium oxide [HTO]) and 0.45 millicuries of iodine-129. (See Chapter 2 [p. 2-32] for a discussion of iodine-129 emissions

from the main plant stack.) As expected, these 2002 values are quite low in comparison to values from 1997, a year in which the vitrification system was in operation for the entire year at a relatively high rate of production and tritium and iodine-129 emissions were 140 millicuries and 7.43 millicuries, respectively.

Particulate matter radioactive emissions from the main plant in 2002 included approximately 0.07 millicuries of gross beta-emitting radioactivity and 0.002 millicuries of gross alpha-emitting radioactivity. In 1997, beta-emitting and alpha-emitting radioactivity emissions were 0.4 millicuries and 0.001 millicuries, respectively.

Environmental Management of Radiological Exposure. Radiological exposures measured at on-site monitoring locations DNTLD24, located near the chemical process cell waste storage area (CPC-WSA), and DNTLD36, located near the drum cell, have shown steady decreases for several years. (See Fig. A-10 [p. A-12] for the locations of these two monitoring points.) Exposure data for these two monitoring locations are shown in Figures 1-1 and 1-2 (p. 1-10).

The beginning of the long-term steady decrease in exposure at DNTLD24 correlates well with the cessation of placement of waste containers in the CPC-WSA in 1987 and with the decay of the mix of isotopes in the stored waste. The decreases noted at DNTLD36 can be attributed to the cessation of the placement of waste drums in the drum cell as well as the decay of the mix of isotopes in the stored waste over time and to the revised stacking plan initiated in 1990, which changed the arrangement of waste and shield drums in the drum cell.

Unplanned Radiological Releases. There were no unplanned airborne or liquid radiological releases on-site or to the off-site environment from the Project in 2002.

NRC-Licensed Disposal Area (NDA) Interceptor Trench and Pretreatment System.

Radioactively contaminated n-dodecane in combination with tributyl phosphate (TBP) was discovered at the northern boundary of the NDA in 1983, shortly after the DOE assumed control of the WVDP site. Extensive sampling and monitoring through 1989 revealed the possibility that the n-dodecane/TBP could migrate. To contain migration of this subsurface radioactive organic contaminant, an interceptor trench and liquid pretreatment system (LPS) were built.

As in previous years, no water containing n-dodecane/TBP was encountered in the trench and no water or n-dodecane/TBP was treated by the LPS in 2002. Approximately 433,000 gallons (1,640,000 liters) of radiologically contaminated water were collected from the interceptor trench and transferred to the LLWTF for treatment during the year. Results of surface and groundwater monitoring in the vicinity of the trench are discussed in Chapter 2 under South Plateau Surface Water and NDA Interceptor Trench (p. 2-8) and in Chapter 4 under Results of Monitoring at the NDA (p. 4-15).

Waste Minimization Program. The WVDP formalized a waste minimization program in 1991 to reduce the generation of LLW, mixed waste, and hazardous waste. This program is a comprehensive and continual effort to prevent or minimize pollution, with the overall goal of reducing health and safety risks, protecting the environment, and complying with all federal and state regulations. (See also the Environmental Compliance Summary, Waste Minimization and Pollution Prevention [p. ECS-5] and p. 1-18 of this chapter.)

Pollution Prevention Awareness Program.

The WVDP's Pollution Prevention (P2) Awareness Program is a significant part of the Project's waste minimization program. The goal of the program is to make all employees aware of the im-

portance of pollution prevention both at work and at home.

A crucial component of the P2 Awareness Program at the WVDP is the Pollution Prevention Coordinators group. This group communicates, shares, and publicizes prevention, reduction, reuse, and recycling information to all departments at the WVDP. The P2 Coordinators identify and facilitate the implementation of effective source-reduction, reuse, recycling, and procurement of recycled products. The WVDP employs an incentive-based program to encourage waste stream reduction/elimination, energy savings, and affirmative procurement. This program has fostered cost savings and avoidances resulting from waste minimization and P2 activities.

Waste Management. The WVDP continued accomplishments in reducing and eliminating waste generated by site activities. Reductions in the generation of low-level radioactive waste, mixed waste, hazardous waste, industrial wastes, and sanitary waste such as paper, plastic, wood, and scrap metal were targeted.

Waste minimization and recycling activities during 2002 resulted in approximately \$10,000,000 in savings. To accomplish this, the following items were recycled:

- toner cartridges - 1.17 tons (1.06 metric tons)
- batteries - 2.46 tons (2.23 metric tons)
- paper and paper products - 72.4 tons (65.7 metric tons)
- scrap metals - 323 tons (293 metric tons)

Low-Level Radioactive Waste Shipping Program. The WVDP initiated the LLW shipping program in 1997 to reduce the inventory of legacy

waste stored on-site. More than 145,000 cubic feet (4,110 cubic meters) of radioactive waste have been safely shipped for off-site disposal since the program was instituted. In the past, most of the LLW shipped from the WVDP was sent to commercial disposal facilities. However, in July 2001 the WVDP was approved to ship LLW to the Nevada Test Site (NTS), a DOE facility.

The LLW planned for shipment during CY 2002 included 19 boxes of Fuel Receiving and Storage Facility (FRS) debris, 13 resin containers from the old LLWTF (O2 building), and 2 vitrification expended materials processing (VEMP) boxes to NTS. A shipment of approximately 7,500 cubic feet (212 cubic meters) of LLW from FRS facility decontamination to Envirocare of Utah was also planned. However, work to ensure the integrity of FRS debris containers caused the waste shipments to be pushed to the end of CY 2002 and the beginning of CY 2003. A total of 3,900 cubic feet (111 cubic meters) of FRS debris was shipped to NTS for disposal in CY 2002.

National Environmental Policy Act Activities. Under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the DOE is required to consider the overall environmental effects of its proposed actions or federal projects. The President's Council on Environmental Quality established a screening system of analyses and documentation that requires each proposed action to be categorized according to the extent of its potential environmental effect. The levels of documentation include categorical exclusions (CXs), environmental assessments (EAs), and environmental impact statements (EISs).

Categorical exclusions evaluate and document actions that will not have a significant effect on the environment. Environmental assessments evaluate the extent to which the proposed action will affect the environment. If a proposed action

West Valley Citizen Task Force

In addition to the public comment process required by the National Environmental Policy Act, the New York State Energy Research and Development Authority (NYSERDA), with participation from the DOE, formed the West Valley Citizen Task Force in January 1997. The mission of the Task Force is to provide advice on the completion of the West Valley Demonstration Project and cleanup, closure, and/or long-term management of the facilities at the site. The Task Force process has helped illuminate the various interests and concerns of the community, increased the two-way flow of information between the site managers and the community, and provided an effective way for the Task Force members to establish mutually agreed upon recommendations for the site managers to consider in their decision-making process.

has the potential for significant effects, an environmental impact statement is prepared that describes proposed alternatives to an action and explains the effects.

Facility maintenance, decontamination activities, and minor projects that support HLW vitrification are documented and submitted for approval as CXs, although EAs occasionally are necessary for larger-scale activities.

In December 1988 the DOE published a joint Notice of Intent with the New York State Energy Research and Development Authority (NYSERDA) to prepare an environmental impact statement for the completion of the WVDP and closure of the facilities at the WNYNSC.

The draft EIS, which describes the potential environmental effects associated with Project comple-

tion and various site closure alternatives, was completed in 1996 and released without a preferred alternative for a six-month public review and comment period. Having met throughout 1997 and 1998 to review alternatives presented in the draft EIS, the Task Force (see inset [*at left*]) issued the West Valley Citizen Task Force Final Report (July 29, 1998). This report provided recommendations and advice on the development of a preferred alternative. The Task Force continues to meet and discuss issues related to Project completion and site closure decision making.

Because the NRC is authorized by the West Valley Demonstration Project Act to prescribe decommissioning criteria for the WVDP, from 1998 until early 2002 the NRC worked to develop those decommissioning criteria through a series of draft policy papers and public meetings.

After the federal administration change in 2001, the DOE and NYSERDA continued efforts to reach agreement on a preferred alternative and agency responsibilities for decommissioning and/or long-term stewardship at the WVDP and the WNYNSC. Also in 2001, DOE formally initiated its plan to revise the scope of the 1996 draft EIS by splitting that scope into two separate documents. The decision-making process has been separated into two phases by revising the scope of the 1996 draft EIS. Re-scoping will allow two separate environmental impact statements – one for near-term waste management decision making and one for final decommissioning and/or long-term stewardship decision making.

DOE published a Federal Register Notice of Intent (NOI) on March 26, 2001 (66 FR 16447) formally announcing its rescoping plan and preparation of the waste management EIS. A draft EIS for waste management is being prepared for public review and comment.

DOE also published an Advance NOI on November 6, 2001 (66 FR 56090) announcing its commitment to begin work, in cooperation with NYSERDA, on the Decommissioning and/or Long-Term Stewardship EIS. DOE and NYSERDA are joint lead agencies on this EIS.

In January 2002 the NRC announced that it was issuing its final policy statement establishing the criteria of its existing license termination rule as the decommissioning criteria for the WVDP.

On February 1, 2002, the NRC issued its *Decommissioning Criteria for the West Valley Demonstration Project (M-32) at the West Valley Site; Final Policy Statement* in the Federal Register (67 FR 5003). The Final Policy Statement applies the NRC's License Termination Rule (10 CFR Part 20, Subpart E) as the decommissioning criteria for the WVDP and as the decommissioning goal for the entire WNYNSC.

In October 2002, DOE invited the EPA, the NRC, and the New York Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) to be formal cooperating agencies on the Decommissioning and/or Long-Term Stewardship EIS. All three agencies accepted the DOE's invitation.

The DOE and NYSERDA continued negotiations during 2002 in an effort to reach agreement on a preferred alternative and agency responsibilities for decommissioning and/or long-term stewardship at the WVDP and WNYNSC.

Self-Assessments. Self-assessments continued to be conducted in 2002 to review the management and effectiveness of the WVDP environmental protection and monitoring programs. Results of these self-assessments are evaluated and corrective actions are tracked through to completion. Overall results of these self-assessments found that the WVDP continued to imple-

ment quality requirements and in some cases improve the quality of the environmental protection and monitoring program. (See the Environmental Compliance Summary [p. ECS-18] and Chapter 5, Quality Assurance [p. 5-6].)

Occupational Safety and Environmental Training. The safety of personnel who are involved in industrial operations under DOE cognizance is protected by standards mandated by DOE Order 440.1A, Worker Protection Management for DOE Federal and Contractor Employees, which directs compliance with specific Occupational Safety and Health Act (OSHA) requirements. This act governs diverse occupational hazards ranging from electrical safety and protection from fire to the handling of hazardous materials. The purpose of OSHA is to maintain a safe and healthy working environment for employees.

Hazardous waste operations and emergency response regulations require that employees at treatment, storage, and disposal facilities, particularly those who may be exposed to health and safety hazards during hazardous waste operations, receive training appropriate to their job function and responsibilities. The WVDP environmental, health, and safety training matrix identifies the specific training requirements for such employees.

The WVDP provides a basic twenty-four-hour hazardous waste operations and emergency response training. (Emergency response training includes spill response measures and controlling contamination of groundwater.) In 2002, the WVDP trained decontamination workers and their supervisors according to the 40-hour program for hazardous waste operations and emergency response to meet the additional OSHA training requirements of a cleanup site. The additional training will provide workers with information and techniques for decontamination operations.

Training programs also contain information on waste minimization, pollution prevention, and the WVDP environmental management program. Besides this standard training, employees working in radiological areas receive additional training on subjects such as understanding radiation and radiation warning signs, dosimetry, and respiratory protection. In addition, qualification standards for specific job functions at the site are required and maintained. These programs have evolved into a comprehensive curriculum of knowledge and skills necessary to maintain the health and safety of employees and ensure the continued compliance of the WVDP.

Medical emergencies on-site are handled by the WVDP Emergency Medical Response Team. This team consists of on-site professional medical staff, volunteer New York State-certified emergency medical technicians, and main plant operators who are First Responders.

Any person working at the WVDP who has a personal photo badge receives general employee training that covers health and safety, emergency response, and environmental compliance issues. All visitors to the WVDP receive a site-specific briefing on safety and emergency procedures before being admitted to the site.

Voluntary Protection Program STAR Status. On May 5, 2000 the WVDP received Voluntary Protection Program (VPP) STAR status, the highest safety award given within OSHA or the DOE. This prestigious award was granted in recognition of the WVDP's excellent worker safety and health programs. (See also the Environmental Compliance Summary [p. ECS-16].)

WVNSCO has reaffirmed its commitment to DOE's VPP. During the 2002 reporting period, the VPP was reviewed as part of the annual Integrated Safety Management System (ISMS) review and the DOE completed an on-site review



The National Environmental Performance Track is designed to recognize and encourage top environmental performers – those who go beyond compliance with regulatory requirements to attain levels of environmental performance and management that benefit people, communities, and the environment.

The logo identifies those facilities that qualify for Achievement Track membership. Achievement Track facilities can participate in a peer exchange network to share experience, benchmark each other's performance, share information on successful practices and strategies, and receive recognition for their work at state and local levels. The WVDP was awarded charter membership in this program.

of the VPP program and has been recertified as a DOE-VPP STAR site. At the annual VPP Participants National Conference, WVNSCO was awarded the DOE's Star of Excellence Award. The WVDP is the only site to receive this award for two consecutive years. This award is given to sites with outstanding safety programs.

Environmental Management System (EMS) Implementation. The Project's environmental management system provides the basic policy and direction for work at the WVDP through procedures that support proactive management, environmental stewardship, and the integration of appropriate technologies throughout all aspects of the work at the WVDP.

The WVDP EMS satisfies the requirements of the new DOE Order 450.1, Environmental Protection Program. (See the discussion of new DOE Order 450.1 in the Compliance Summary [p. ECS-1].) The EMS is also in compliance with the Code of Environmental Management Principles (CEMP) for federal agencies and International Organization for Standardization (ISO) 14001, Environmental Management Systems: Specification for Guidance and Use, which is being implemented worldwide. The CEMP was developed by the EPA in response to Executive Order 12856, Federal Compliance with Right-to-Know Laws and Pollution Prevention Requirements, in order to serve as the basis for responsible environmental management. Following the principles and performance objectives of the CEMP helps to ensure that a federal facility's environmental performance is proactive, flexible, cost-effective, and sustainable. The WVDP has maintained its charter membership in the EPA's National Environmental Performance Track program for implementation of this EMS. (See inset on p. 1-15.) The most recent self-assessment by WVNSCO, performed in August 2002, verified that the EMS continues to be effectively implemented at the WVDP.

Integrated Safety Management System (ISMS) Implementation. A plan to integrate environmental, safety, and health (ES&H) management programs at the WVDP was developed and initiated at the WVDP during 1998. During development of the ISMS, the enhanced work planning program (EWP) was identified as an integral part of the ISMS and a sitewide work review group was established to review work plans, identify ES&H concerns, and specify practices that ensure that work is performed safely.

Implementation of an ISMS at the WVDP, including the EWP, was verified by the DOE Ohio Field Office in November 1998. The most recent self-assessment by WVNSCO, performed in August

2002, verified that the ISMS continues to be effectively implemented at the WVDP. An annual ISMS review by the DOE occurred in November 2002 and confirmed the results of the WVNSCO self-assessment.

Performance Measures

Performance measures can be used to evaluate effectiveness, efficiency, quality, timeliness, productivity, safety, or other areas that reflect achievements related to organization or process goals, and can be used as a tool to identify the need to institute changes.

The performance measures applicable to operations conducted at the WVDP, discussed here, reflect process performance related to wastewater treatment in the LLW treatment facility, the identification of spills and releases, the reduction in the generation of wastes, the potential radiological dose received by the maximally exposed off-site individual, and the transfer of HLW to the vitrification system.

Radiation Doses to the Maximally Exposed Off-Site Individual. One of the most important pieces of information derived from environmental monitoring program data is the potential radiological dose to an off-site individual from on-site activities. As an overall assessment of Project activities and the effectiveness of the As-Low-As-Reasonably-Achievable (ALARA) concept, the effective radiological dose to the maximally exposed off-site individual is an indicator of well-managed radiological operations. The effective dose equivalents for air effluent emissions, liquid effluent discharges, and other liquid releases (such as swamp drainage) from 1993 through 2002 are graphed in Figure 1-3 (*facing page*). Note that the sum of these values is well below the DOE standard of 100 mrem per year. These consistently low results indicate that radiological activities at

the site are well-controlled. (See also Table 2-6 [p. 2-31] in Chapter 2, Environmental Radiological Program Information.)


State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (SPDES) Permit Limit Exceptions. Effective operation of the site wastewater treatment facilities is indicated by compliance with the applicable discharge permit limitations. Approximately sixty parameters are monitored regularly as part of the SPDES permit requirements. The analytical results are reported to NYSDEC via Discharge Monitoring Reports, required under the SPDES program.


Although the goal of the low-level waste treatment facility and wastewater treatment facility operations is to maintain effluent water quality consistently within the permit requirements, occasionally SPDES permit limit exceptions do occur. All SPDES permit limit exceptions are evaluated to determine their cause and to identify corrective measures.

A Water Task Team, composed of WVDP personnel with expertise in wastewater engineering, treatment plant operations and process monitoring, and National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES)/SPDES permitting and compliance, was formed in 1995 to address the causes of these exceptions.

The Water Task Team's efforts produced three consecutive years with no permit limit exceptions. In 2001, one permit limit exception for total recoverable lead occurred at outfall 008 – the french drain for the LLWTF lagoon system. There were no SPDES exceptions during 2002. (See Fig. 1-4 [p. 1-18].)

Although exceptions are not always related to operating deficiencies, corrective actions may include improved operation or treatment techniques. In 1997 the WVDP notified NYSDEC of the presence of mercury in the influent wastewater to the LLWTF and of its likely presence at outfall 001 at concentrations below the detectable level of 0.2 µg/L. In 1998 and 1999 an increase in the mer-





cury concentration was observed in process wastewater from the LWTS evaporator, water that is eventually treated at the LLWTF. The LWTS evaporator processes residual radioactive wastewater from the HLW-processing and supernatant treatment operations.

During 2000, an engineering report and plans and specifications for a mercury pretreatment system, designed to remove mercury from the LWTS process water, were prepared by the WVDP and approved by NYSDEC. The system was subsequently installed and processing of LWTS wastewater through this system began in January 2001.

Waste Minimization and Pollution Prevention. In 2002 the WVDP continued its program of reducing and eliminating the amount of waste generated from site activities. Emphasis on good business practices, source-reduction, and recycling continued to reduce the generation of low-level radioactive waste, mixed waste, hazardous waste, industrial wastes, and sanitary wastes such as paper, glass, plastic, wood, and scrap metal.

To demonstrate the effectiveness of the waste minimization program, a graph of the percentage of waste reduction achieved above the annual goal for each category is presented in Figure 1-5 (*facing page*) for CYs 1995 through 2002.


The WVDP set the following cumulative nonvitrification waste-reduction goals for fiscal year 2002: a 70% reduction in the generation of LLW, a 75% reduction in the generation of mixed waste, a 65% reduction in the generation of hazardous waste, a 55% reduction in the generation of industrial waste, and a 70% reduction in the generation of sanitary waste. These goals were based on quantities of routine waste generated in 1993. (As of fiscal year 2002, all WVDP pollution prevention goals are

in alignment with the DOE's pollution prevention goals, which are now based on a federal fiscal year.)

All but two of these goals were exceeded during fiscal year 2002. LLW generation was reduced by 69%, missing the established goal of 70% by just 1%. Mixed waste generation was reduced by 99%, hazardous waste by 93%, industrial waste by 70%, and sanitary waste generation was reduced by 68%, slightly below the goal of 70%.

A number of waste streams have been tracked over this period. Note that the low-level radioactive waste figures from 1995 include the volume of drummed waste produced in the cement solidification system. Hazardous waste and industrial waste volumes have been tracked separately for vitrification-related and nonvitrification-related waste streams since vitrification began in 1996. To maintain historical comparability, the percentages in Figure 1-5 include only the nonvitrification portions of these two waste streams.

Spills and Releases. Chemical spills greater than the applicable reportable quantity must be reported immediately to NYSDEC, the National Response Center, and other agencies as required. There were no reportable chemical spills during 2002.




Petroleum spills greater than 5 gallons – or of any amount that travel to waters of the state – must be reported immediately to the NYSDEC spill hotline and entered in the WVDP’s monthly log. There were no reportable petroleum spills in 2002. Figure 1-6 (*below*) is a bar graph of immediately reportable spills from 1995 to 2002.

Prevention is the best means of protection against oil, chemical, and hazardous substance spills or releases. WVDP employees are trained in applicable standard operating procedures for equipment that they use, and best management practices have been developed that identify potential spill sources and preventive measures that will reduce the likelihood of releases. Spill training, notification, and reporting policies have also been developed to emphasize the responsibility of each employee to report spills immediately upon discovery. This first-line reporting helps to ensure that spills will be properly documented and mitigated in accordance with applicable regulations.

Vitrification. To safely solidify the HLW in borosilicate glass, the high-level waste sludge was transferred in batches from the storage tank to the vitrification facility. After transfer, the waste was solidified into a durable glass as it was cast into stainless-steel canisters for safe storage and future transport to a federal repository.

On June 10, 1998, the WVDP marked completion of the Project’s production phase (Phase I) of high-level waste processing, during which 210 canis-




ters were filled with vitrified waste. Phase II, vitrifying the HLW residuals, began in 1998 and continued through September 2002.

An additional 65 canisters have been filled in Phase II, eleven of which were filled in 2002. A total of 275 canisters of immobilized waste, containing more than 12.2 million curies of strontium and cesium, have been generated.

Figure 1-7 (*below*) shows the number of curies transferred to the vitrification facility from 1996 through 2002.

In addition to the 275 high-level waste canisters, two low-level radioactive waste canisters were generated while evacuating the melter. The vitrification process was shut down on September 5, 2002.



ENVIRONMENTAL RADIOLOGICAL PROGRAM INFORMATION

Routine Monitoring Program

Sources of Radiation

Members of the public are routinely exposed to various types (different sources) of ionizing radiation from both natural and man-made sources. The National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP) Report 93 (1987b) estimates that the average annual effective dose equivalent received by an individual living in the United States is about 360 millirem (mrem) (3.6 millisieverts [mSv]) from both natural and man-made sources of radiation.

While most of the radiation dose received by the general public is natural background radiation, man-made sources of radiation also contribute to the average dose. Such sources include diagnostic and therapeutic x-rays, nuclear medicine, fallout residues from atmospheric nuclear weapons tests, effluents from nuclear fuel-cycle facilities, and consumer products such as smoke detectors and cigarettes.

Routine activities at the West Valley Demonstration Project (WVDP or Project) can lead to the release of radioactive or hazardous substances that could affect the environment.

Exposure Pathways

The radionuclides present at the WVDP site are residues from the reprocessing of commercial nuclear fuel during the 1960s and early 1970s. A very small fraction of these radionuclides is released off-site during the year through ventilation systems and liquid discharges. These releases make a negligible contribution to the radiation dose to the surrounding population through several exposure pathways.

An exposure pathway consists of a way for a source of contamination or radiation to be transported by environmental media to a receptor where exposure to contaminants may occur. For example, a member of the public could be exposed to low concentrations of radioactive particulates carried by prevailing winds.

The potential pathways of exposure from Project emissions are inhalation of gases and particulates, ingestion of locally grown food products, ingestion of fish, beef, and deer tissues, and exposure to external penetrating radiation emitted from contaminated materials. The drinking water pathway is excluded from calculations of potential maximum dose to individuals because surveys revealed

Table 2-1
Potential Local Off-Site Exposure Pathways Under Existing WVDP Conditions

Exposure Pathway and Transporting Medium	Reason for Inclusion/Exclusion
Inhalation: gases and particulates in air (included)	Off-site transport of contaminants from WVDP stacks or resuspended particulates from soils or water
Ingestion: cultivated crops (included)	Local agricultural products irrigated with potentially contaminated surface or groundwater; foliar deposition and uptake of deposited airborne contaminants
Ingestion: surface and groundwater (excluded)	No documented use of local surface water or downgradient groundwater wells as drinking water by local residents
Ingestion: fish, beef, venison, and milk (included)	Fish exposed to contaminants in water or sediments may be consumed; beef, venison, and milk consumption following deposition of transported airborne and surface water contaminants
External exposure: radiation emanating from particulates and gases directly from air or surface water or indirectly from surface deposition (included)	Transport of air particulates and gases to off-site receptors; transport of contaminants in surface water and direct exposure during stream use and swimming

that local residents do not use Cattaraugus Creek as a source of drinking water. Table 2-1 (above) summarizes the potential exposure pathways for the local off-site population.

Land Use Survey

Periodic surveys of local residents provide information about local family sizes, sources of food, and gardening practices. In early 2003, census information from calendar year (CY) 2000 was used to update population files used for dose assessment. Information from the most recent land use survey, conducted in early 2002, was used to confirm the locations of the nearest residences. These parameters are required for computer models that are used for the annual dose assessments. (See the discus-

sion of Dose Assessment Methodology [p. 2-29] for more information on the computer models used.)

Radiological Sampling Program Overview

The complete environmental monitoring schedule is delineated in Appendix B. This schedule provides information on monitoring and reporting requirements and the types and extent of sampling and monitoring at each location. An explanation of the codes that identify the sample medium and the specific sampling or monitoring location is also found in Appendix B (p. B-iii). For example, a sample location code such as AFGRVAL indicates an air sample (A), off-site (F), at the Great Valley (GRVAL) sampling station. These codes are used throughout this report for

ease of reference and to be consistent with the data reported in the appendices.

The food pathway is monitored by collecting samples of beef, hay, milk, and produce at near-site and remote locations, samples of fish upstream and downstream of the site, and venison samples from near-site deer and deer taken from background locations. Stream sediments are sampled upstream and downstream of the WVDP, and both on-site groundwater and off-site drinking water are routinely sampled. Direct radiation is monitored on-site, at the perimeter of the site, in communities near the site, and at background locations.

The primary focus of the monitoring program, however, is on surface water and air pathways, as these are the principal means of transport of radionuclides from the WVDP.

Liquid and air effluents are monitored on-site by collecting samples at locations where radioactivity or other regulated substances are released or might be released. Release points include water effluent outfalls and plant ventilation stacks.

Surface water samples are collected within the Project area from ponds, swamps, seeps, and drainage channels that flow through the Western New York Nuclear Service Center (WNYNSC) and then off-site into Cattaraugus Creek.

Both surface water and air samples are collected at site perimeter locations where the highest off-site concentrations of transported radionuclides might be expected. Samples are also collected at remote locations to provide background concentration data for comparison with data from on-site and near-site samples.

Surface Water Sampling Locations. Automatic samplers collect surface water at points along drainage channels within the WNYNSC that are



Collecting a Sample at a Stream Sampling Location

most likely to show any radioactivity released from the site. These automatic samplers collect 50 milliliters (mL) of water (about one-quarter of a cup) every half-hour. The water is pumped into a large container where it is accumulated and mixed, and from which the composited sub-samples are then collected.

The samplers operate on-site at four locations: WNSP006, the point in Frank's Creek where Project drainage leaves the security-fenced area; WNNADR, the drainage point downstream of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC)-licensed disposal area (NDA); WNSWAMP, the northeast swamp drainage; and WNSW74A, the north swamp drainage.

Off-site automatic samplers collect surface waters from Buttermilk Creek at a background sta-

tion upstream of the site (WFBCBKG), from Buttermilk Creek downstream of the site at Thomas Corners Road bridge (WFBCTCB, which is the last monitoring point before Buttermilk Creek leaves the WNYNSC), and from Cattaraugus Creek at Felton Bridge (WFFELBR). Grab samples are collected at several other surface water locations both on-site and off-site, including a background location on Cattaraugus Creek at Bigelow Bridge (WFBIGBR).

Figure A-2 (p. A-4 in Appendix A) shows the locations of the on-site surface water monitoring points. Figure A-3 (p. A-5) shows the locations of the off-site surface water monitoring points.

Air Sampling Locations. Air samplers are located on-site, at the perimeter of the site, and at points remote from the WVDP. Figure A-4 (p. A-6) shows the locations of the on-site air effluent monitors and samplers and the on-site ambient air samplers; Figures A-5, A-12, and A-13 (pp. A-7, A-14, and A-15) show the locations of the perimeter and remote air samplers.

Methods for monitoring and sampling air emission points and for sampling ambient air are described later in this chapter. (See Ventilation and Emission Systems [p. 2-15] and Perimeter and Remote Ambient Air Monitoring [p. 2-18].)

Overview of Water Effluent and Ambient Surface Water Monitoring

The WVDP site is drained by several small streams. (See Surface Water Hydrology of the West Valley Site in Chapter 4 [p. 4-2] and Figs. A-2 [p. A-4] and A-3 [p. A-5].) Frank's Creek flows along and receives drainage from the south plateau. As Frank's Creek flows northward, it is joined by a tributary, Erdman Brook, which receives effluent from the low-level waste treatment facility

(LLWTF). On the north plateau, beyond the Project fence line, the north and northeast swamp areas and Quarry Creek drain into Frank's Creek.

Frank's Creek continues past the WVDP perimeter and flows across the WNYNSC, where it enters Buttermilk Creek. Radionuclide concentrations in Buttermilk Creek are monitored upstream and downstream of the WVDP. Further downstream, Buttermilk Creek leaves the WNYNSC and enters Cattaraugus Creek, which is also monitored for radionuclide concentrations both upstream and downstream of the point where the creek receives effluents from the WVDP.

Liquid effluents from three locations (one process release point from the LLWTF and two natural drainages from the northeast and north swamps) are primary contributors to site dose estimates. (See Predicted Dose From Waterborne Releases [p. 2-32] for an estimate of the dose attributable to these waterborne effluents.)

Low-Level Waste Treatment Facility Effluent. The discharge from the LLWTF through the lagoon 3 weir (WNSP001 on Fig. A-2 [p. A-4]) into Erdman Brook, a tributary of Frank's Creek, is the largest single source of radioactivity released to surface waters from the Project. There were seven batch releases totaling about 13.7 million gallons (52.0 million liters) in 2002. Composite samples were collected near the beginning and end of each discharge and one effluent grab sample was collected during each day of discharge. Samples were analyzed for gross alpha and gross beta radioactivity, for gamma-emitting radionuclides, and for specific radionuclides as noted in Appendix B (p. B-7).

The total amounts of radioactivity from specific radionuclides in the lagoon 3 effluent are listed in Appendix C, Table C-2A (p. C-13). The annual average concentration of each radionuclide is di-

Table 2-2
2002 Gross Alpha Concentrations at Surface Water Sampling Locations

Location	Number of Samples	Range		Annual Average	
		($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$)	(Bq/L)	($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$)	(Bq/L)
<i>Off-Site</i>					
WFBCBKG	12	<3.76E-10 to 1.48E-09	<1.39E-02 to 5.48E-02	5.76 \pm 7.34E-10	2.13 \pm 2.71E-02
WFBCTCB	12	<6.85E-10 to 1.59E-09	<2.53E-02 to 5.89E-02	5.25 \pm 8.19E-10	1.94 \pm 3.03E-02
WFBIGBR	12	<7.56E-10 to 1.62E-09	<2.80E-02 to 5.99E-02	7.03 \pm 9.68E-10	2.60 \pm 3.58E-02
WFFELBR	12	<9.11E-10 to 1.15E-08	<3.37E-02 to 4.26E-01	2.47 \pm 1.34E-09	9.15 \pm 4.95E-02
<i>On-Site</i>					
WNNDADR	12	<9.89E-10 to 2.60E-09	<3.66E-02 to 9.62E-02	0.73 \pm 1.36E-09	2.70 \pm 5.04E-02
WNSP006	52	<7.26E-10 to 3.02E-09	<2.69E-02 to 1.12E-01	0.69 \pm 1.44E-09	2.54 \pm 5.32E-02
WNSW74A	52	<1.09E-09 to 2.67E-09	<4.05E-02 to 9.86E-02	0.05 \pm 2.56E-09	0.19 \pm 9.48E-02
WNSWAMP	52	<1.12E-09 to 1.62E-09	<4.16E-02 to 6.01E-02	0.33 \pm 2.05E-09	1.23 \pm 7.60E-02

Table 2-3
2002 Gross Beta Concentrations at Surface Water Sampling Locations

Location	Number of Samples	Range		Annual Average	
		($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$)	(Bq/L)	($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$)	(Bq/L)
<i>Off-Site</i>					
WFBCBKG	12	<1.17E-09 to 3.64E-09	<4.32E-02 to 1.35E-01	2.38 \pm 1.19E-09	8.81 \pm 4.42E-02
WFBCTCB	12	4.62E-09 to 1.06E-08	1.71E-01 to 3.91E-01	8.14 \pm 1.53E-09	3.01 \pm 0.56E-01
WFBIGBR	12	9.89E-10 to 5.19E-09	3.66E-02 to 1.92E-01	2.67 \pm 1.21E-09	9.88 \pm 4.47E-02
WFFELBR	12	2.56E-09 to 9.14E-09	9.49E-02 to 3.38E-01	4.69 \pm 1.61E-09	1.74 \pm 0.60E-01
<i>On-Site</i>					
WNNDADR	12	1.41E-07 to 1.99E-07	5.22E+00 to 7.35E+00	1.73 \pm 0.07E-07	6.42 \pm 0.24E+00
WNSP006	52	1.72E-08 to 1.83E-07	6.36E-01 to 6.76E+00	4.52 \pm 0.37E-08	1.67 \pm 0.14E+00
WNSW74A	52	7.30E-09 to 1.85E-08	2.70E-01 to 6.85E-01	1.22 \pm 0.34E-08	4.50 \pm 1.27E-01
WNSWAMP	52	5.58E-07 to 6.99E-06	2.07E+01 to 2.59E+02	3.49 \pm 0.03E-06	1.29 \pm 0.01E+02

vided by its corresponding U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) derived concentration guide (DCG) in order to determine what percentage of the DCG was released. (DOE standards and DCGs for radionuclides of interest at the WVDP are found in Appendix K, Table K-1 [p. K-3].) As a DOE policy, the sum of the percentages calculated for all radionuclides released should not exceed 100%.

The combined annual average of radionuclide concentrations from the lagoon 3 effluent discharge weir in 2002 was approximately 34.4% of the DCGs. (See Table C-2B [p. C-14].) This is comparable to the average concentration over the last seven years of approximately 32%.

The low-level waste treatment facility was designed to efficiently remove strontium-90 and cesium-137, the more prevalent of the long-lived fission products in WVDP wastewaters. Other radionuclides are also removed to a lesser extent by the LLWTF. For example, one other major contributor to the total combined DCG in lagoon 3 effluent is uranium-232, which averaged about 9% of its DCG in 2002. Uranium-232 and other uranium isotopes are found in WVDP liquid waste because they were present in the nuclear fuel that was once reprocessed at the site. Variations in liquid effluent radionuclide ratios continue to reflect the dynamic nature of the waste streams being processed through the LLWTF.

(Outfall WNSP001, the lagoon 3 weir, is also monitored for nonradiological parameters under the New York State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System [SPDES] program. See Chapter 3, Environmental Nonradiological Program Information.)

Northeast Swamp and North Swamp Drainage. The northeast and north swamp drainages on the site's north plateau conduct surface water and emergent groundwater off-site.

The northeast swamp sampling location (WNSWAMP) monitors surface water drainage from the northeastern portion of the site's north plateau. The north swamp sampling point (WNSW74A) monitors drainage to Quarry Creek from the northern portion of the north plateau. (See Fig. A-2 [p. A-4].) Waters from the northeast swamp drainage run into Frank's Creek downstream of location WNSP006, the point in Frank's Creek where Project drainage leaves the security-fenced area. (See Other North Plateau Surface Waters and Water Effluent [p. 2-7].)

Samples from WNSWAMP and WNSW74A are collected weekly and analyzed for radiological parameters. Concentrations of all radioisotopic parameters detected at WNSWAMP and WNSW74A, other than strontium-90, were less than 1% of the respective DCGs for these parameters. The maximum and minimum gross alpha and gross beta indicator results from WNSWAMP and WNSW74A are noted on Tables 2-2 and 2-3 (p. 2-5). Data summaries from these two locations are found in Tables C-3C and C-3D (pp. C-30 and C-31 in Appendix C). Elevated gross beta concentrations at WNSWAMP, first noted in 1993, continued to be elevated through 2002. The average concentration in 2002 was lower than that measured in 2001. Gross beta activity at this location is largely attributable to strontium-90. (See Special Groundwater Monitoring [p. 4-16].)

Strontium-90 concentrations at WNSWAMP in 2002 averaged $1.77\text{E-}06$ $\mu\text{Ci/mL}$ (65.5 Bq/L). (See Chapter 4, Fig. 4-4 [p. 4-18] for a graph of annualized average strontium-90 concentration at WNSWAMP in 2002.) Even though waters with elevated strontium-90 concentrations drain from WNSWAMP into Frank's Creek, waters collected from Cattaraugus Creek downstream at the first point of access by the general public (WFFELBR) were not significantly different from those at the background location, WFBIGBR, which is upstream of the location where

site drainage enters Cattaraugus Creek. (See Off-Site Surface Water [p. 2-10].)

Other North Plateau Surface Waters and Water Effluent. Samples taken from a point in Frank's Creek (WNSP006) and from the sanitary and industrial wastewater treatment facility discharge (WNSP007) are routinely monitored for radiological parameters. Discharges from WNSP001 and WNSP007 leave the site through point WNSP006. Radiological results of analyses from WNSP006 and WNSP007 are summarized in Table C-4C and (p. C-38) and Table C-2M (p. C-25). Samples from these points also are monitored for nonradiological parameters as part of the site's SPDES program. (See Chapter 3, Environmental Nonradiological Program Information.)

WNSP006. WNSP006 is located more than 2.5 miles (4.0 km) upstream from Thomas Corners Road, which is the last monitoring point before Buttermilk Creek leaves the WNYNSC and before the public has access to the creek waters.

Samples from WNSP006 are retrieved weekly and composited both monthly and quarterly and are analyzed for the same radionuclides as the effluent samples from WNSP001.

The average gross alpha and gross beta data from location WNSP006 and the maximum and minimum results are noted in Tables 2-2 and 2-3 (p. 2-5) for comparison with sampling results from other on- and off-site surface water locations. As shown in Table 2-3, gross beta results for WNSP006 are generally higher than those at downstream and background locations. Figure 2-1 (*below*) shows the sixteen-year trends of gross alpha, gross beta, and tritium concentrations at location WNSP006. Fluctuations over this period reflect variable concentrations in treated WVDP liquid effluent being released from the site.

Many of the constituents detected at low levels in effluent from WNSP001 were not detectable downstream at location WNSP006. Except for strontium-90, all constituents that were detected were found at concentrations lower than 1% of




Figure 2-1. Sixteen-Year Trends of Gross Alpha, Gross Beta, and Tritium Concentrations at Sampling Location WNSP006

the respective DCG. The highest monthly concentration of strontium-90 at WNSP006 in 2002 was $3.31\text{E-}08$ $\mu\text{Ci/mL}$ (1.22 Bq/L), which is less than 4% of its DCG ($1\text{E-}06$ $\mu\text{Ci/mL}$).

Average concentrations in 2002 for the radiological parameters monitored at WNSP007 (gross alpha [as americium-241], gross beta [as strontium-90], tritium, and cesium-137) were also at small percentages of their respective DCGs.

Concentrations observed farther downstream at Felton Bridge (WFFELBR), the sampling location that represents the first point of public access to surface waters leaving the WVDP site, continue to be close to or indistinguishable from background.

WNSP005 and WNCoolW. Sampling point WNSP005, which monitors overland drainage and groundwater seepage on the east side of the main plant, and WNCoolW, which monitors coolant water from a contained basin within the facility, are sampled monthly for gross alpha, gross beta, and tritium concentrations. WNCoolW also is sampled quarterly for gamma isotopes, including cesium-137. Summaries of radiological data for WNSP005 and WNCoolW are found in Tables C-3A (p. C-29) and C-3H (p. C-33).

Average gross alpha and tritium concentrations for both locations were below detection levels in 2002. Average gross beta concentrations at WNSP005 and WNCoolW were considerably lower than the strontium-90 DCG (<14% and <1%, respectively). Average cesium-137 concentrations at WNCoolW were below detection levels in 2002. (Cesium-137 is not specifically measured at WNSP005.)

South Plateau Surface Water and NDA Interceptor Trench. Two inactive underground radioactive waste disposal areas, the NDA and the state-licensed disposal area (SDA), lie on the south

plateau of the site. (The SDA is managed by the New York State Energy and Research Development Authority [NYSERDA].) The drum cell, an aboveground structure used to store approximately 19,000 drums of processed low-level radioactive waste (LLW), is located nearby. Surface waters, which flow from the south to the north, are routinely monitored at several points around these areas. (See Fig. A-2 [p. A-4].) In addition to the routine samples collected by the WVDP, samples are collected and analyzed by the New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH) at the two stream sampling points that receive drainage from the south plateau, WNFRC67 and WNERB53.

NRC-Licensed Disposal Area. Sampling point WNNDATR is a sump at the lowest point in the collection trench system constructed along the northeastern and northwestern sides of the NDA that intercepts groundwater from the NDA. Water collected underground at this location is pumped to the LLWTF for treatment prior to discharge at outfall WNSP001. (See p. 1-11 for an explanation of the NDA Interceptor Trench and Pretreatment System.) If radiological or nonradiological contamination were to migrate through the NDA, it would most likely be first detected in samples from WNNDATR. Monthly samples from WNNDATR are taken under the auspices of the environmental monitoring program and quarterly samples (sample point NDATR) under the auspices of the groundwater monitoring program. (See Chapter 3 [p. 3-3] and Chapter 4 [p. 4-15].)

Surface water drainage downstream of the NDA is monitored at WNNADR. Further downstream, water from sampling point WNERB53 in Erdman Brook, which represents surface waters from the NDA before they join with drainage from the main plant and lagoon areas, also is monitored. Some drainage from western and northwestern portions of the SDA also passes through sampling points WNNADR and WNERB53.

Annual concentrations from WNNDATR, the sump in the interceptor trench, are in Table C-3F (p. C-32) and quarterly results are listed under “NDATR” in Tables E-4, E-11, and E-13 (pp. E-12, E-16, and E-18, respectively). Results from WNNDADR, surface water drainage downstream of the NDA, are in Table C-3E (p. C-32), and results from WNERB53, the sampling location even further downstream of the NDA, are in Table C-4E (p. C-39). Parameters monitored at these three NDA sampling locations include gross alpha, gross beta, tritium, iodine-129, and cesium-137.

Gross alpha and gross beta results from WNNDADR are included in Tables 2-2 and 2-3 (p. 2-5) for comparison with results from other surface water locations. In addition, sixteen-year trends of gross alpha, gross beta, and tritium concentrations at WNNDADR are plotted in Figure 2-2 (*below*). Allowing for seasonal variations, gross alpha and gross beta concentrations have been relatively stable over this time period, whereas tritium concentrations have been decreasing.

Gross Alpha. Gross alpha results from water samples taken at WNNDATR, WNNDADR, and WNERB53 in 2002 were indistinguishable from background results from Buttermilk Creek upstream of the site (WFBCBKG).

Gross Beta. Gross beta results at all three above locations were elevated with respect to background, but even the maximum concentration, at WNNDADR, was less than 20% of the DCG for strontium-90 in water ($1E-06 \mu\text{Ci/mL}$). The maximum result at Erdman Brook (WNERB53), further downstream of the NDA, was less than 4% of the strontium-90 DCG. Gross beta activity at these locations is attributable largely to strontium-90. Residual soil contamination from past waste burial activities is thought to be the source of the activity. The NDA is thought to be the predominant source of gross beta activity observed at WNNDATR. Water collected at this location is treated prior to discharge at WNSP001.




Figure 2-2. Sixteen-Year Trends of Gross Alpha, Gross Beta, and Tritium Concentrations at Sampling Location WNNDADR

Tritium. Although tritium concentrations at WNNDATR and WNNDADR were also elevated with respect to background values (those from WNERB53 were not), the maximum concentrations from both WNNDATR and WNNDADR were less than 1% of the DCG for tritium in water ($2E-03 \mu\text{Ci/mL}$). Allowing for seasonal variations, tritium concentrations seem to be generally decreasing at both WNNDATR and WNNDADR. Since the half-life of tritium is slightly longer than twelve years, decreasing tritium concentrations may be partially attributable to radioactive decay.

Iodine-129. A key indicator of possible migration of nonradiological organic contaminants from the NDA would be iodine-129, which is soluble in water and is known to travel with the organic contaminants present in the NDA. Iodine-129 concentrations at WNNDADR and WNNDATR in 2002 were statistically indistinguishable from background concentrations.

Cesium-137. No cesium-137 activity was detected at either WNNDATR or WNNDADR in 2002.

New York State-Licensed Disposal Area. Point WNSDADR is used to monitor drainage from trench covers on the southwestern area of the SDA. Immediately south of the SDA, and upstream of WNSDADR, sampling point WNDCELD is used to monitor surface drainage from the area around the drum cell. (See Fig. A-2 [p. A-4].) To the northeast, sampling point WNFRC67, in Frank's Creek, is used to monitor drainage downstream of the drum cell and the eastern and southern borders of the SDA. A summary of results from WNSDADR, WNDCELD, and WNFRC67 are in Tables C-3G (p. C-33), C-4G (p. C-40), and C-4F (p. C-40), respectively.

Tritium results at WNSDADR were elevated with respect to background measurements at WFBCBKG. Even so, the maximum result was

less than 1% of the tritium DCG ($2E-03 \mu\text{Ci/mL}$). All other radiological results in calendar year 2002 at sampling points WNSDADR, WNDCELD, and WNFRC67 were statistically indistinguishable from background.

Ponded (Standing) Waters. In addition to samples from moving water (streams or seeps), samples from ponds within the WNYNSC are also collected and tested annually for various radiological and water quality parameters to confirm that no major changes are occurring in standing water within the Project environs.

Four ponds near the site were tested in 2002. For comparison, a background pond 8.8 miles (14.1 km) north of the Project was also tested. (See Figs. A-2, A-3, and A-13 [pp. A-4, A-5, and A-15] for the locations of the five ponds and Table C-4H [p. C-41] for a summary of sampling results.) Although the gross beta results from standing water ponds WNSTAW4 and WNSTAW5 were elevated with respect to background, all other gross alpha, gross beta, and tritium results were statistically the same as concentrations in the background pond. If all gross beta activity at the two ponds were attributable to strontium-90, it would constitute less than 1% of the strontium-90 DCG ($1E-06 \mu\text{Ci/mL}$).

Off-Site Surface Water. Samples of surface water are collected at four off-site locations, two on Buttermilk Creek and two on Cattaraugus Creek. Off-site surface water and sediment sampling locations are shown on Fig. A-3 (p. A-5). Tables 2-2 and 2-3 (p. 2-5) list the ranges and annual averages for gross alpha and gross beta activity at off-site surface water locations, which may be compared with data from on-site locations.

Fox Valley Road and Thomas Corners Bridge Sampling Locations. Buttermilk Creek is the major surface drainage from the WNYNSC. Two surface water monitoring stations are located on

Buttermilk Creek, one upstream of the WVDP at Fox Valley Road (WFBCBKG) and one downstream of the WVDP at Thomas Corners Bridge (WFBCTCB). The Thomas Corners Bridge sampling location is also upstream of Buttermilk Creek's confluence with Cattaraugus Creek. The Thomas Corners Bridge sampling location represents an important intercept point in the pathway to humans because dairy cattle have access to the water here.

Samples collected every week are composited monthly and analyzed for tritium, gross alpha, and gross beta radioactivity. A quarterly composite is analyzed for gamma-emitting radionuclides and strontium-90. Quarterly samples from WFBCBKG, the background location, also are analyzed for specific radionuclides as noted in Appendix B (p. B-31) and the results are used as bases for comparison with results of samples from site effluents.

Table C-4B (p. C-37) lists radionuclide concentrations at the Fox Valley Road background location compared with radionuclide concentrations downstream of the site at Thomas Corners Bridge.

Gross alpha, tritium, and cesium-137 concentrations at Thomas Corners Bridge were statistically the same as background concentrations in 2002. Gross beta and strontium-90 concentrations at Thomas Corners Bridge, however, were elevated in comparison to background and may be attributed to small amounts of radioactivity moving from the site, principally during periods of lagoon discharge via Frank's Creek.

The highest gross beta concentration at Thomas Corners Bridge was $1.06E-08$ $\mu\text{Ci/mL}$ (0.39 Bq/L). If compared to the most conservative DOE guideline for beta emitters in water (strontium-90 at $1E-06$ $\mu\text{Ci/mL}$ [37 Bq/L]), gross beta concentrations at Thomas Corners Bridge would be less than 2% of the DOE DCG. The highest strontium-90 concentration was $8.89E-09$ $\mu\text{Ci/mL}$ (0.33 Bq/L), less than 1% of the DOE DCG.

Cattaraugus Creek at Felton Bridge and Bigelow Bridge Sampling Locations. Buttermilk Creek flows through the WNYNSC and then off-site, where it joins with Cattaraugus Creek. An automated sampler is located on Cattaraugus Creek at Felton Bridge (WFFELBR), just downstream of the point where Buttermilk Creek enters. Samples are collected weekly and analyzed for gross alpha, gross beta, and tritium concentrations. A chart recorder registers the stream depth during the sampling period so that a flow-weighted weekly sample can be proportioned into a monthly composite, which is analyzed for gross alpha, gross beta, tritium, strontium-90, and gamma-emitting radionuclides. (See Table C-4A [p. C-37].)

Background samples are collected monthly from Cattaraugus Creek at Bigelow Bridge (WFBIGBR), which is upstream of the point where Buttermilk Creek enters Cattaraugus Creek. These samples are analyzed for concentrations of gross alpha, gross beta, tritium, strontium-90, and gamma-emitting radionuclides. (See Table C-4A [p. C-37].)

No statistically significant differences were noted between results of analyses for gross alpha, tritium, strontium-90, and cesium-137 at either the upstream or downstream water sampling locations. However, gross beta concentrations at Felton Bridge (WFFELBR) were higher than those at both background locations. The highest gross beta concentration at Felton Bridge in 2002 was $9.14E-09$ $\mu\text{Ci/mL}$ (0.34 Bq/L), which is about 1% of the DOE DCG for strontium-90. Figure 2-3 (p. 2-12) shows gross alpha, gross beta, and tritium results over the past sixteen years in Cattaraugus Creek samples taken at Felton Bridge. For the most part, tritium concentrations represent method detection limits and not detected radioactivity. (Method detection limit values are levels below which the analytical measurement could not detect any radioactivity. See Data Reporting in Chapter 1 [p. 1-4].) Taking into account seasonal fluctuations, gross beta activity appears to have remained relatively constant at this location since 1987.




Figure 2-3. Sixteen-Year Trends of Gross Alpha, Gross Beta, and Tritium Concentrations at Sampling Location WWFELBR

Overview of Drinking Water Monitoring

Drinking water (potable water) is sampled both off-site (near the WVDP) and on-site. Off-site drinking water samples are taken from wells that represent the nearest unrestricted use of groundwater near the Project; none of these wells draw from groundwater units underlying the site. Drinking water and utility water for the Project are drawn from two on-site surface water reservoirs.

On-Site Tap Water. On-site drinking water sources were also monitored for radionuclides at four locations: the Environmental Laboratory (WNDNKEL), the maintenance shop (WNDNKMS), the main plant (WNDNKMP), and the utility room (WNDNKUR). Monthly samples were analyzed for gross alpha, gross beta, and tritium concentrations. Results of analyses of samples from site locations were compared with those from the entry point location at the utility room, which serves as a control comparison sampling location for these drinking water samples. No differ-

ences between control values and those from site locations were noted. (See Appendix C, Tables C-5B through C-5E [pp. C-46 and C-47].)

Off-Site Drinking Water Wells. Nine off-site private, residential wells between 0.9 miles (1.5 km) and 4.3 miles (7 km) from the facility were sampled for radiological parameters in 2002. A tenth private well, 18 miles (29 km) south of the site, provides a background sample. Sampling locations are shown in Figures A-9, A-12, and A-13 (pp. A-11, A-14, and A-15) in Appendix A. Results from the sampling are presented in Table C-5A (p. C-45). Radiological results in 2002 were statistically indistinguishable from background.

Overview of Aquatic Sediment Monitoring


Particulate matter in streams can adsorb radiological constituents in liquid effluents, settle on the bottom of the stream as sediment, and subsequently be eroded or resuspended, especially during peri-

ods of high stream flow. These resuspended sediments may provide a pathway for radiological constituents to reach humans either directly via exposure or indirectly through the food pathway.


On-Site Aquatic Sediments. Sediment samples are taken from the same locations as surface water samples and are identified as on-site soil/sediment samples by the “SN” prefix. (See Appendix B [p. B-iii].) Soil or sediments are collected on-site at the three points where liquid effluents leaving the site are most likely to be radiologically contaminated: Frank’s Creek where it leaves the security fence (SNSP006), the north swamp (SNSW74A) drainage swale, and the northeast swamp (SNSWAMP) drainage swale. Figure A-2 (p. A-4) shows the on-site sediment sampling locations. (Note that swamp sediment samples may be partially composed of soils.) Results from radiological analyses of these samples are listed in Tables G-2A through G-2C (pp. G-9 through G-11). As expected, gross beta, cesium-137, strontium-90, and certain alpha isotopic results higher than background were noted at the above three sediment sampling points.

Off-Site Aquatic Sediments. Sediments are collected off-site at three locations downstream of the WVDP: Buttermilk Creek at Thomas Corners Road (SFTCSSED), Cattaraugus Creek at Felton Bridge (SFCCSED), and Cattaraugus Creek at the Springville dam (SFSDSED). The first two sampling points are located at automatic water samplers. The other is behind the Springville dam, where water would be expected to transport and deposit sediments that had adsorbed radionuclides from the site. Locations upstream of the WVDP are Buttermilk Creek at Fox Valley Road (SFBCSED) and Cattaraugus Creek at Bigelow Bridge (SFBISED). The two upstream locations provide background data for comparison with downstream points. Figure A-3 (p. A-5) shows the off-site sediment sampling locations.

Most radiological results from downstream sediment sampling sites were statistically the same as those from background locations. However, sediments from Buttermilk Creek near Thomas Corners, the sampling location immediately downstream of the site, contained cesium-137 concentrations




Springville Dam on Cattaraugus Creek



statistically higher than background. Sediments from Cattaraugus Creek by Felton Bridge also contained elevated cesium-137 concentrations, consistent with historical results at this site. A comparison of annual averaged cesium-137 concentrations from 1986 through 2002 for the five off-site sampling locations is illustrated in Figure 2-4 (above). As the figure indicates, cesium-137 concentrations are relatively stable at the two background locations (SFBCSED and SFBISED) and are either stable or declining at the three locations downstream of the WVDP (SFTCSED, SFCCSED, and SFSDSED).

Although cesium-137 activity historically is elevated in downstream Cattaraugus Creek sediments relative to upstream sediments (Appendix G, Table G-2E [p. G-14]), the levels are far lower than those of naturally-occurring gamma emitters such as potassium-40. (See Fig. 2-5 [at right], which is a graphic comparison of cesium-137 to potassium-40 at the downstream location nearest the WVDP, Buttermilk Creek at Thomas Corners Road – SFTCSED.)



Overview of Air Emission Standards and Guidelines

Permits obtained from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) allow air containing small amounts of radioactivity to be released from plant ventilation stacks during normal operations. The air released must meet criteria specified in the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) regulations to ensure that the environment and the public's health and safety are protected. Dose-based comparisons of WVDP emissions against NESHAP criteria are presented later in this chapter. (See Radiological Effluents and Dose [p. 2-27].)

Unlike NESHAP dose criteria, the DOE DCGs are expressed in units of $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$ and therefore can be directly compared with concentrations of radionuclides in WVDP air emissions. DOE standards and DCGs for radionuclides of interest at the WVDP are found in Appendix K, Table K-1 (p. K-3).

Radiological parameters measured in air emissions include concentrations of gross alpha and gross beta, tritium, strontium-90, cesium-137, and other radionuclides. When isotopic data are not available, gross alpha and beta measurements are assumed to come from americium-241 and strontium-90, respectively, because the DCGs for these radionuclides are the most limiting for major particulate emissions at the WVDP.

Ventilation and Emission Systems. The exhaust from each EPA-permitted ventilation system on-site is continuously filtered and the permanent systems are monitored as air is released to the atmosphere. Because concentrations of radionuclides in air emissions are quite low, a large volume of air must be sampled at each point in order to measure the quantity of specific radionuclides released from the facility. Specially designed sam-

pling nozzles continuously remove a representative portion of the exhaust air, which is then drawn through very fine glass fiber or membrane filters to trap particulates. Sensitive detectors continuously monitor these filters and provide readouts of alpha and beta radioactivity levels.

Separate sampling units on the ventilation stacks of the permitted systems contain another glass fiber filter that is removed every week and tested in the laboratory. These filters are analyzed routinely for the parameters delineated in Appendix B of this report.

Special samples also are collected in order to monitor gaseous (non-particulate) emissions of radioactivity. For example, six of the sampling systems contain an activated carbon cartridge that collects gaseous iodine-129, and at two locations water vapor is collected by trapping moisture in silica gel desiccant columns. The trapped water is distilled from the silica gel desiccant and analyzed for tritium. Figure A-4 (p. A-6) shows the locations of on-site air monitoring and sampling points.

The Main Plant Ventilation Stack. The main ventilation stack (ANSTACK) is the primary source of airborne releases at the WVDP. This stack, which vents to the atmosphere at a height of approximately 200 ft (more than 60 meters), releases ventilation exhaust from several facilities, including the liquid waste treatment system, the analytical laboratories, and off-gas from the vitrification system.

Samples from the main plant stack are collected weekly and analyzed for gross alpha, gross beta, and tritium concentrations. Weekly filters are composited quarterly and analyzed for strontium-90, gamma-emitting radionuclides, total uranium, uranium isotopes, plutonium isotopes, and americium-241. Charcoal cartridges, collected weekly, are composited quarterly and analyzed for iodine-129. In addition, filters from the main plant venti-




Figure 2-6. Sixteen-Year Trends of Gross Alpha and Gross Beta Activity at the Main Stack Sampling Location (ANSTACK)

lation stack sampler are routinely analyzed for strontium-89 and cesium-137 as part of operational-safety monitoring.

Monthly and quarterly total curies released from the main stack in 2002 are summarized in Table D-1 (p. D-3). Total curies released, annual averages, and a comparison of average isotopic concentrations with the applicable DCGs are summarized in Table D-2 (p. D-4). As in previous years, 2002 results show that average radioactivity levels at the point of discharge from the stack were already below concentration guidelines for airborne radioactivity in an unrestricted environment. Airborne concentrations from the stack to the site boundary are further reduced via dispersion by a factor of more than 200,000. Results from air samples taken just outside the site boundary confirm that WVDP operations had no discernible effect on off-site air quality. (See Perimeter and Remote Ambient Air Monitoring [p. 2-18].)

Figure 2-6 (above) shows the gross alpha and gross beta curies released per month from the main stack during the past sixteen years. The figure indicates a steady five-year downward trend in both gross alpha and gross beta activity from 1987 to mid-1992 and a stabilization through mid-1995. Previtrication transfers of cesium-loaded zeolite from waste tank 8D-1 to 8D-2 began in late 1995, and airborne releases increased.

In June 1998 the WVDP completed the first phase of high-level radioactive waste (HLW) vitrification, processing the bulk of the waste in tank 8D-2. In the latter part of 1998 the focus of the vitrification program shifted to the second phase, vitrifying the HLW residuals in the tank. Phase II vitrification continued through August 2002, when the last canister of HLW was poured. The melter was shut down in September 2002.

Since radioactive vitrification operations began in mid-1996, the radionuclide concentrations in air emissions have fluctuated while generally remain-

ing higher than concentrations before vitrification began. In general, average concentrations of gross beta, tritium, iodine-129, and cesium-137 decreased during the second phase of vitrification. Gross alpha, strontium-90, and alpha isotopic concentrations, however, increased slightly during the second phase. This phenomenon is thought to be partially attributable to the changing character of the waste being vitrified (residuals in phase II versus the bulk wastes of phase I). The changing radionuclide distribution may also reflect the increasing contribution to air emissions from decontamination of waste tanks, transfer lines, and main plant cells.

Vitrification Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning (HVAC) Sampling System. Sampling point ANVITSK and the seismically protected backup sample point ANSEISK monitor emissions from the vitrification HVAC system. (Off-gas ventilation from the vitrification system itself is released through the main plant stack.)

Radioactivity concentrations were monitored at ANVITSK and ANSEISK before actual radioactive vitrification began in July 1996. The previtrification levels provide a baseline for comparison with concentrations of radionuclides in emissions during vitrification. Results from 2002 are found in Tables D-3 and D-4 (pp. D-5 and D-6). Concentrations of radionuclides measured during 2002 were indistinguishable from background values.

Other On-Site Air Sampling Systems. Sampling systems similar to those of the main stack monitor airborne effluents from the 01-14 building ventilation stack (ANCSSTK), the contact size-reduction facility ventilation stack (ANCSRFK), the supernatant treatment system ventilation stack (ANSTSTK), and the container sorting and packaging facility ventilation stack (ANCSPPFK). (See Fig. A-4 [p. A-6].)

Tables D-5 through D-8 (pp. D-7 through D-10) show monthly totals of gross alpha and beta radioactivity and quarterly total radioactivity released for specific radionuclides at each of these sampling locations. Samples from these locations (ANCSSTK, ANSTSTK, and ANCSPPFK) occasionally showed detectable concentrations of gross radioactivity as well as specific beta- and alpha-emitting radionuclides, but none approached any DOE effluent limitations. (ANCSRFK did not operate in 2002, therefore no samples were taken.)

Two other operations were routinely monitored for airborne radioactive releases in 2002: the LLWTF ventilation system (ANLLW2V), which came online in 1998, and the contaminated clothing laundry ventilation system (ANLAUNV). Sampling at ANLAUNV was discontinued in August 2002.

The low-level waste treatment facility ventilation point and the laundry ventilation system were sampled for gross alpha and gross beta radioactivity. These emission points are not required to be permitted because the potential magnitude of the emissions is so low. Although only semiannual grab sampling was required in 2002 to verify the low level of emissions, both points were sampled continuously while discharging to the environment. Data for these facilities are presented in Tables D-9 through D-11 (pp. D-11 and D-12). Average results from both locations were below detection levels.

Permitted portable outdoor ventilation enclosures (OVES) are used occasionally to provide the ventilation necessary for the safety of personnel working with radioactive materials in areas outside permanently ventilated facilities or in areas where permanent ventilation needs to be augmented. Air samples from OVES are collected continuously while those emission points are discharging, and data from these portable ventilation units are included in annual airborne emission evaluations. (See Table D-15 [p. D-16].) Average discharges

from OVEs were well below DOE guidelines for alpha and beta radioactivity in an unrestricted environment.

Three on-site air samplers collect samples of ambient air in the vicinity of three on-site waste storage units – the lag storage area (ANLAGAM), the NDA (ANNDAAM), and the SDA (ANSDAT9). (See Fig. A-4 [p. A-6].) These samplers were put in place to monitor potential diffuse releases of radioactivity. Monitoring data from these locations are presented in Appendix D, Tables D-12 through D-14 (pp. D-13 through D-15).

With the exception of tritium results at ANSDAT9, radiological data sets for the three locations are statistically indistinguishable from results for background air monitoring locations at Great Valley (AFGRVAL) and Nashville (AFNASHV). Although tritium results at ANSDAT9 were elevated with respect to background, even the highest result ($3.07\text{E-}12 \mu\text{Ci/mL}$ [$1.14\text{E-}04 \text{Bq/L}$]) was less than 0.1% of the DOE DCG for tritium in air ($1\text{E-}07 \mu\text{Ci/mL}$).

Perimeter and Remote Ambient Air Monitoring. Samples for radionuclides in air are collected continuously at six locations around the perimeter of the site and at four remote locations. Maps of perimeter and remote air sampling locations are found on Figures A-5, A-12, and A-13 (pp. A-7, A-14, and A-15).

The perimeter locations on Fox Valley Road (AFFXVRD), Rock Springs Road (AFRSPRD), Route 240 (AFRT240), Thomas Corners Road (AFTCORD), Dutch Hill Road (AFBOEHN), and at the site's bulk storage warehouse (AFBLKST) were chosen because they provide historical continuity (as former Nuclear Fuel Services, Inc. [NFS] sampling locations) or because they represent the most likely locations for detecting off-site airborne concentrations of radioactivity.

The remote locations provide data from nearby communities – West Valley (AFWEVAL) and Springville (AFSPRVL) – and from more distant background areas. Concentrations measured at Great Valley (AFGRVAL, 19 miles [30.9 km] south of the site) and Nashville (AFNASHV, 25 miles [39.8 km] west of the site in the town of Hanover) are considered representative of regional background air.

At all locations airborne particulates are collected on filters for radiological analysis. Samplers maintain an average flow of approximately $1.4 \text{ft}^3/\text{min}$ (40 L/min) through a 47-millimeter-diameter glass fiber filter. The sampler heads are set above the ground at the height of the average human breathing zone. Filters are collected weekly and analyzed after a seven-day “decay” period to remove interference from short-lived naturally-occurring



Changing an Air Filter at an Air Sampling Station

Table 2-4
2002 Gross Alpha Concentrations at Off-Site, Perimeter, and On-Site Ambient Air Sampling Locations

Location	Number of Samples	Range		Annual Average	
		($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$)	(Bq/m^3)	($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$)	(Bq/m^3)
AFBLKST	52	<5.04E-16 to 3.33E-15	<1.86E-05 to 1.23E-04	0.73±1.18E-15	2.71±4.38E-05
AFBOEHN	52	<7.15E-16 to 5.51E-15	<2.64E-05 to 2.04E-04	0.86±1.30E-15	3.19±4.80E-05
AFFXVRD	52	<7.78E-16 to 4.10E-15	<2.88E-05 to 1.52E-04	0.79±1.21E-15	2.93±4.50E-05
AFGRVAL	52	<7.04E-16 to 2.92E-15	<2.60E-05 to 1.08E-04	0.95±2.00E-15	3.52±7.38E-05
AFNASHV	52	<7.56E-16 to 3.02E-15	<2.80E-05 to 1.12E-04	0.36±3.86E-15	0.13±1.43E-04
AFRSPRD	52	<7.71E-16 to 5.28E-15	<2.85E-05 to 1.95E-04	0.73±1.19E-15	2.71±4.39E-05
AFRT240	52	<8.32E-16 to 3.80E-15	<3.08E-05 to 1.41E-04	0.71±1.21E-15	2.64±4.48E-05
AFSPRVL	52	<7.59E-16 to 3.49E-15	<2.81E-05 to 1.29E-04	0.86±1.27E-15	3.20±4.71E-05
AFTCORD	52	<6.83E-16 to 3.19E-15	<2.53E-05 to 1.18E-04	0.75±1.23E-15	2.78±4.56E-05
AFWEVAL	52	<8.98E-16 to 3.02E-15	<3.32E-05 to 1.12E-04	0.68±1.18E-15	2.53±4.37E-05
ANLAGAM	52	<6.14E-16 to 2.76E-15	<2.27E-05 to 1.02E-04	8.20±9.12E-16	3.03±3.37E-05
ANNDAAAM	52	<5.17E-16 to 2.19E-15	<1.91E-05 to 8.10E-05	9.32±9.27E-16	3.45±3.43E-05
ANSDAT9	52	<8.10E-16 to 3.77E-15	<3.00E-05 to 1.40E-04	0.64±1.24E-15	2.35±4.59E-05

Table 2-5
2002 Gross Beta Concentrations at Off-Site, Perimeter, and On-Site Ambient Air Sampling Locations

Location	Number of Samples	Range		Annual Average	
		($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$)	(Bq/m^3)	($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$)	(Bq/m^3)
AFBLKST	52	1.02E-14 to 3.05E-14	3.76E-04 to 1.13E-03	1.91±0.33E-14	7.07±1.23E-04
AFBOEHN	52	9.65E-15 to 3.81E-14	3.57E-04 to 1.41E-03	1.95±0.35E-14	7.21±1.30E-04
AFFXVRD	52	8.94E-15 to 3.73E-14	3.31E-04 to 1.38E-03	1.96±0.34E-14	7.26±1.26E-04
AFGRVAL	52	9.60E-15 to 4.08E-14	3.55E-04 to 1.51E-03	1.92±0.51E-14	7.10±1.88E-04
AFNASHV	52	7.27E-15 to 7.03E-14	2.69E-04 to 2.60E-03	2.08±0.93E-14	7.68±3.44E-04
AFRSPRD	52	8.44E-15 to 3.22E-14	3.12E-04 to 1.19E-03	1.83±0.33E-14	6.76±1.22E-04
AFRT240	52	9.03E-15 to 3.24E-14	3.34E-04 to 1.20E-03	1.81±0.33E-14	6.71±1.23E-04
AFSPRVL	52	8.28E-15 to 3.30E-14	3.06E-04 to 1.22E-03	1.93±0.35E-14	7.14±1.28E-04
AFTCORD	52	7.97E-15 to 3.14E-14	2.95E-04 to 1.16E-03	1.91±0.34E-14	7.06±1.27E-04
AFWEVAL	52	1.01E-14 to 3.02E-14	3.74E-04 to 1.12E-03	1.91±0.33E-14	7.06±1.24E-04
ANLAGAM	52	6.97E-15 to 3.57E-14	2.58E-04 to 1.32E-03	2.04±0.27E-14	7.57±1.01E-04
ANNDAAAM	52	<1.62E-15 to 3.28E-14	<6.00E-05 to 1.21E-03	1.90±0.26E-14	7.03±0.97E-04
ANSDAT9	52	7.94E-15 to 3.10E-14	2.94E-04 to 1.15E-03	1.89±0.35E-14	6.98±1.30E-04

radionuclides. After weekly sample filters are measured for gross alpha and gross beta concentrations, they are combined in a quarterly composite consisting of thirteen weekly filters. The composite is analyzed for specific alpha-emitting, beta-emitting, and gamma-emitting radionuclides.

At two locations, the nearest perimeter location in the direction of highest potential airborne deposition rate (Rock Springs Road) and the primary background location (Great Valley), desiccant columns are used to collect airborne moisture for tritium analysis and charcoal cartridges are used to collect samples for iodine-129 analysis.

Trends of gross alpha and gross beta concentrations at the Rock Springs Road location are shown in Figure 2-7 (below). Within a range of seasonal and weekly fluctuations, the concentrations have been relatively constant over the past sixteen years. The gross alpha and gross beta ranges and annual averages for each of the off-site sampling points are noted on Tables 2-4 and 2-5 (p. 2-19). All gross

alpha averages were below detection levels. Gross beta results from samples taken at two near-site communities and from the site perimeter were statistically the same as those from the background samplers, suggesting that there is no adverse site influence on the air quality at these near-site locations. Gross beta concentrations at all off-site and perimeter locations averaged about $1.92\text{E-}14 \mu\text{Ci/mL}$, which is about 0.2% of the DCG for strontium-90 in air ($9\text{E-}12 \mu\text{Ci/mL}$). The highest average gross beta concentration ($2.08\text{E-}14 \mu\text{Ci/mL}$ [about 0.23% of the DCG]) was at the Nashville background location.

Additional radionuclide data from these samplers are provided in Tables D-16 through D-25 (pp. D-17 through D-23).

Although low levels of tritium, strontium-90, iodine-129, and cesium-137 were detected in emissions from the main stack on-site, results for these radionuclides at near-site locations were indistinguishable from background values, confirming that




Figure 2-7. Sixteen-Year Trends of Gross Alpha and Gross Beta Concentrations at the Rock Springs Road Sampling Location (AFRSPRD)

site releases have a negligible effect on near-site air quality.

Atmospheric Deposition and Soil Monitoring

On-Site Fallout Pots. Short-term fallout samples are analyzed for radionuclide concentrations each month at four of the perimeter air sampler locations and at one on-site location near the rain gauge outside the Environmental Laboratory. (See Figs. A-4 and A-5 [pp. A-6 and A-7].) Monthly gross alpha, gross beta, potassium-40, and cesium-137 results are reported in nanocuries per square meter (nCi/m^2) and tritium results are reported in $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$. The low levels of tritium and cesium-137 released in main stack emissions did not measurably affect on-site or perimeter fallout pot samples in 2002. The data from these analyses and the pH in precipitation are summarized in Tables D-26 through D-30 (pp. D-24 through D-26).

Off-Site Surface Soil. In order to assess long-term fallout deposition, surface soil near the off-site air samplers is collected annually and analyzed for radioactivity. Samples were collected from ten locations: six near-site points on the perimeter of the WNYNSC, two in nearby communities, and two in locations 19 to 25 miles (30 to 40 km) distant from the Project. Maps of the off-site surface soil sampling locations are on Figures A-3, A-12, and A-13 (pp. A-5, A-14, and A-15).

Concentrations of gross alpha and beta radioactivity, strontium-90, cesium-137, plutonium-239/240, and americium-241 were determined at all ten locations; concentrations of uranium isotopes and total uranium were determined at two perimeter locations and one background location. The measured concentrations of most site-related radionuclides in soils from the perimeter and community locations (Table G-2D [pp. G-12 and G-13]) were statistically indistinguishable from normal re-

gional background concentrations. Elevated gross beta concentrations were noted at Thomas Corners, consistent with historical data from this soil sampling location. In 2002, as in the past, cesium-137 concentrations in surface soil from the Rock Springs Road location – northwest of the site – remained higher than background concentrations.

Overview of Food Chain Monitoring

Each year food and forage samples are collected from locations near the site (Fig. A-9 [p. A-11]) and from remote locations (Figs. A-12 and A-13 [pp. A-14 and A-15] in Appendix A). Fish and deer are collected during periods when they would normally be taken by sportsmen for consumption. Most milk samples are collected monthly; beef is collected semiannually. Hay, corn, apples, and beans are collected annually at the time of harvest.

Fish. Fish are obtained under a collector's permit by electrofishing, a method that temporarily stuns the fish, allowing them to be netted for collection. Electrofishing allows more efficient species-selective control than sport fishing, with unwanted fish being returned to the creek essentially unharmed.

Fish are collected from three locations in Cattaraugus Creek: Two locations are downstream of WNYNSC drainage – one above the Springville dam (BFFCATC) and one below the Springville dam (BFFCATD) – and one location is upstream of the site (BFFCTRL). (See Figs. A-9 and A-13 [pp. A-11 and A-15].)

A total of fifty fish were collected from Cattaraugus Creek in 2002 for testing. Twenty were taken from the control location upstream of the site (BFFCTRL), ten the first half of the year and ten the second half of the year. Twenty were taken immediately downstream of the site (BFFCATC) but above the Springville dam, ten

the first half of the year and ten the second half of the year. The remaining ten fish were taken below the dam (BFFCATD), including species that migrate about 40 miles (more than 60 km) upstream from Lake Erie.

The edible portion of each fish was analyzed for strontium-90 content and the gamma-emitting radionuclide cesium-137. (See Table F-4 [pp. F-6 through F-8] in Appendix F for a summary of the results.)

Strontium-90 results from fish above the Springville dam (at BFFCATC) were elevated in comparison with the background samples (from BFFCTRL), however, results from below the dam (BFFCATD) were not. Strontium-90 concentrations at both locations were within the range of historical results.

Cesium-137 concentrations were higher than background concentrations at downstream sampling location BFFCATD. Again, results were within the range of those noted in previous years.

Venison. Venison from vehicle-deer accidents around the WNYNSC and from deer collected far from the site (in the towns of Friendship, Angelica, and Cold Spring, New York) was analyzed for tritium, naturally-occurring potassium-40, strontium-90, and cesium-137 concentrations. (See Figs. A-9 and A-13 [pp. A-11 and A-15, respectively].) Results from these samples are shown in Table F-2 (p. F-4) in Appendix F.

Data from 2002 (as well as for the last eleven years) show no statistical differences between concentrations of these radionuclides in near-site and control samples.

From 1994 through 2000, during the big-game hunting season, hunters were allowed access to designated areas within the WNYNSC, excluding the WVDP premises, in a controlled hunting program established by NYSERDA. The hunt was canceled in 2001 because of heightened security concerns; hunting was resumed in 2002. Data from previous hunts have shown that concentrations of radioactivity in deer flesh have been very low, in-



Fish Collection in Cattaraugus Creek

dicating that Project activities have little or no effect on the local herd.

Beef. Beef samples are taken semiannually from both near-site and remote locations (Figs. A-9, A-12, and A-13 [pp. A-11, A-14, and A-15, respectively] in Appendix A) and are analyzed for tritium, potassium-40, strontium-90, and cesium-137. Results are presented in Table F-2 (p. F-4) in Appendix F. As with the deer samples, no significant differences were found between results for these radionuclides from near-site and background samples.

Milk. Monthly milk samples were taken from a dairy farm near the site to the north-northwest (BFMREED)—downwind in the prevailing wind direction from the WVDP – and from farms more than 15 miles (25 km) from the site and used as control locations (BFMCTLS and BFMCTLN). Annual milk samples were collected at two near-site farms to the south and east of the site (BFMSCHT and BFMWIDR). The locations of the near-site and remote sampling points are shown in Figs. A-9 and A-13 (pp. A-11 and A-15, respectively) in Appendix A.

The monthly samples from each location were composited into single quarterly samples for analysis. These quarterly composites and annual samples were analyzed for tritium, potassium-40, strontium-90, iodine-129, and cesium-137. Results are presented in Table F-1 (p. F-3) in Appendix F. Near-site sample results were indistinguishable from background control sample results.

Vegetables, Fruit, and Forage. Sweet corn, beans, apples, and hay were collected at near-site and background locations at harvest time. Sampling locations are shown on Figs A-9, A-12, and A-13 (pp. A-11, A-14, and A-15, respectively) in Appendix A. Samples were analyzed for tritium, potassium-40, cobalt-60, strontium-90, and cesium-137. Results are presented in Table F-3 (p. F-5) in Appendix F. Hay results are not directly incorporated into dose assessments, but

are used as an indicator of potential uptake. (See Beef [p. 2-36] and Milk [p. 2-36].)

As has been observed in previous years, low levels of strontium-90 were noted in both background and near-site samples for all sample types. Strontium-90 concentrations in near-site vegetables and fruits were slightly elevated with respect to 2002 background, but results were not unusual as compared with historical results. Other radionuclide results were statistically the same as measurements from background samples.

Direct Environmental Radiation Monitoring

The year 2002 marked the nineteenth full year that environmental direct penetrating radiation was continuously monitored by the WVDP. Thermoluminescent dosimeters (TLDs) are placed at each monitoring location and changed out quarterly (every three months) for processing to obtain the integrated gamma radiation exposure at that location.

Monitoring points are located on-site at the waste management units, at the site security fence, around the WNYNSC perimeter and the access road, and at background locations remote from the WVDP (Figs. A-10 through A-13 [pp. A-12 through A-15]). The identification numbers associated with each location were assigned in chronological order of original installation. (See TLD Locations and Identification Numbers [p. 2-24].)

Quarterly and annual averages of TLD measurements at off-site and on-site locations are noted in Appendix H, Tables H-1 and H-2 (pp. H-3 and H-4). The results of measurements in 2002 show typical seasonal variations and are similar to results from previous years. Data from environmental TLDs for the fourth quarter of 2002 were not usable because, while being shipped for analysis, the package in which they were shipped was exposed to radiation,

either from a security x-ray machine or as a consequence of extended time in high-altitude flight.

On-Site Radiation Monitoring. Table H-2 (p. H-4) shows the average quarterly exposure rate at each on-site TLD. The on-site monitoring point with the highest dose readings was location #24. Sealed containers of radioactive components and debris from the plant decontamination work are stored nearby. This storage area is well within the WNYNSC boundary, just inside the WVDP fenced area, and is not accessible by the public.

The average exposure rate at location #24 was about 545 milliroentgens (mR) per quarter (0.25 mR/hr) during 2002, slightly higher than the exposure rate noted at this location in 2001 (0.24 mR/hr). Although 2001 and 2002 values are basically

the same, exposure rates at this location have been generally decreasing over time because the radioactivity in the materials stored nearby is decaying. (See Fig. 1-1 [p. 1-10] in Chapter 1.)

The average penetrating radiation exposure rate in 2002 at locations 100 to 400 feet (30 to 120 m) distant from the integrated radwaste treatment storage building – the drum cell – including TLDs #18, #32, #34, #35, #36, and #43, was 0.02 mR/hr, about the same as in 2001 and 2000. Exposure rates around the drum cell are above background levels (by approximately 0.01 mR/hr) because the building contains drums filled with decontaminated supernatant mixed with cement. (See also Fig. 1-2 [p. 1-10] in Chapter 1.) The drum cell and the surrounding TLD locations are well within the WNYNSC boundary and are not accessible by the public.

TLD Locations and Identification Numbers

Perimeter of the WNYNSC	1–16, 20
Perimeter of the WVDP security fence	24, 26–34
On-site sources or waste management units <i>(Note: Some TLDs monitor more than one waste management unit.)</i>	18, 32–36, 43 (drum cell) 18, 19, 33, 42, 43 (SDA) 24 (component storage, near WVDP security fence) 25 (maximum measured exposure rate at the closest point of public access) 38 (main plant and, in previous years, the cement solidification system) 39 (parking lot security fence closest to the vitrification facility) 40 (high-level waste tank farm)
Near-site communities	21 (Springville) 22 (West Valley)
Background	17 (Five Points Landfill in Mansfield) 23 (Great Valley) 37 (Nashville) 41 (Sardinia)

Perimeter and Off-Site Radiation Monitoring.

Table H-1 (p. H-3) lists the average quarterly exposure rate at each off-site TLD location. The perimeter TLDs (TLDs #1–16 and #20) are distributed in the sixteen compass sectors around the facility near the WNYNSC boundary. Results from the background and community TLDs were essentially the same as results from the perimeter TLDs. The perimeter TLD quarterly averages (expressed in microrentgen per hour [$\mu\text{R/hr}$]) shown on Figure 2-8 (*below*) indicate seasonal fluctuations but no long-term trends. The quarterly average of the seventeen WNYNSC-perimeter TLDs was 18.0 mR per quarter (8.2 $\mu\text{R/hr}$) in 2002, slightly lower than in 2001.


Confirmation of Results. The performance of the environmental TLDs is confirmed periodically using a portable high-pressure ion chamber (HPIC) detection system. In the third quarter of 2002 the HPIC was taken to twenty-two of the forty-three environmental TLD locations and instantaneous exposure rate readings (in $\mu\text{R/hr}$) were obtained. These readings and the comparable third-quarter

environmental TLD results are listed in Table H-3 (p. H-5). The TLD results include the entire third quarter of 2002; the HPIC results were collected over a period of less than 30 minutes. (HPIC readings were not obtained at all TLD locations due to an instrument failure.)

Since the measurements are made with different systems and over differing periods of time, they are not directly comparable. Even so, the average relative percent difference between the two sets of measurements was less than 10%, indicating good agreement between these two different measurement methods. (Guidance in American National Standards Institute [ANSI] N545-1975, the standard for environmental dosimetry, uses measurement agreement within 30% total uncertainty as a performance specification for TLD measurements.)

Meteorological Monitoring

Meteorological monitoring at the WVDP provides representative and verifiable data that character-





On-Site Meteorological Tower

ize the local and regional climatology of the site. These data are used primarily to assess potential effects of routine and nonroutine releases of airborne radioactive materials and to develop dispersion models used to calculate the effective dose equivalent to off-site residents. Since dispersive capabilities of the atmosphere are dependent upon wind speed, wind direction, and atmospheric stability (which includes a function of the difference in temperature between two elevations), these parameters are closely monitored and are available to the emergency response organization at the WVDP.

The on-site 197-foot (60-m) meteorological tower (Fig. A-1 [p. A-3]) continuously monitors wind speed, wind direction, and temperature at both the 197-foot (60-m) and 33-foot (10-m) elevations. In addition, an independent, remote 33-foot (10-m) meteorological station, located approximately 5

miles (8 km) south of the site on a hillcrest on Dutch Hill Road, continuously monitors wind speed and wind direction. (See Fig. A-12 [p. A-14].) Dewpoint, precipitation, and barometric pressure are also monitored on-site.

The two meteorological locations supply data to the primary digital and analog data acquisition systems located within the Environmental Laboratory. On-site systems are provided with either uninterrupted or standby power backup in case of site power failures. In 2002 the on-site system data recovery rate (the time valid data were logged versus the total elapsed time) was approximately 96.1%. Regional data at the 33-foot (10-m) elevation are shown on Figure I-1 (p. I-3). Figures I-2 and I-3 (pp. I-4 and I-5) illustrate the mean wind speed and wind direction at the 33- and 197-foot (10-m and 60-m) elevations on the on-site tower during 2002.

Weekly and cumulative total precipitation data are illustrated in Figures I-4 and I-5 (p. I-6) in Appendix I. Precipitation in 2002 was approximately 40 inches (102 cm), about 2.5% below the annual average of 41 inches (104 cm).

Documentation such as meteorological system calibration records, site log books, and analog strip charts are stored in protected archives. Meteorological towers and instruments are examined three times per week for proper function and are calibrated semiannually and/or whenever instrument maintenance might affect calibration.

Special Monitoring

Special monitoring comprises sampling and analyses not covered by the routine environmental monitoring program but that address items of environmental interest. Special monitoring programs are used to verify and/or track these items. No special monitoring for radiological parameters was conducted in 2002.

Radiological Effluents and Dose


Dose to the Public

Each year the potential radiological dose to the public that is attributable to operations and effluents from the WVDP is assessed to verify that no individual could credibly have received a dose exceeding the limits established by the regulatory agencies. The results of these conservative dose calculations demonstrate that the potential maximum dose to an off-site resident was well below permissible standards and was consistent with the As-Low-As-Reasonably-Achievable (ALARA) philosophy of radiation protection.

Methods used to estimate the dose to the general public resulting from exposure to radiation and radionuclides originating at the Project during calendar year 2002 are described later in this chapter. (See Dose Assessment Methodology [p. 2-29].) The resulting estimated doses are compared di-

rectly with current radiation standards established by the DOE and the EPA for protection of the public. These values are also compared with the annual dose the average resident of the United States receives from natural background radiation and to doses reported in previous years for the Project. Figure 2-9 (*below*) shows the relative contribution to the annual dose in mrem from natural and man-made sources in comparison with the estimated calendar year 2002 maximum individual dose from the WVDP. (Units of dose measurement are explained in detail on p. 2-33.)

As can be seen in Figure 2-9, natural sources of radiation contribute 295 mrem (2.95 mSv) and man-made sources contribute 65 mrem (0.65 mSv) of the total annual U.S. average dose of 360 mrem (3.60 mSv). The WVDP contributed a very small amount (0.061 mrem [0.00061 mSv]) of the total annual man-made radiation dose to the maximally



Ionizing Radiation

Radiation can be damaging if, in colliding with other matter, the alpha or beta particles or gamma rays knock electrons loose from the absorber atoms. This process is called ionization, and the radiation that produces it is referred to as ionizing radiation because it changes an electrically neutral atom, in which the positively charged protons and the negatively charged electrons balance each other, into a charged atom called an ion. An ion can be either positively or negatively charged. Various kinds of ionizing radiation produce different degrees of damage.

Potential Effects of Radiation

The biological effects of radiation can be either somatic or genetic. Somatic effects are effects to radiation exposure that are limited to the exposed individual. For example, sufficiently high exposure to radiation can cause clouding of the lens of the eye or loss of white blood cells.

Radiation also can cause chromosomes to break or rearrange themselves or to join incorrectly with other chromosomes. These changes may produce genetic effects and may show up in future generations. Radiation-produced genetic defects and mutations in the offspring of an exposed parent, while not positively identified in humans, have been observed in some animal studies.

The effect of radiation depends on the amount absorbed within a given exposure time. The only observable effect of an instantaneous whole-body dose of 50 rem (0.5 Sv) might be a temporary reduction in white blood cell count. An instantaneous dose of 100–200 rem (1–2 Sv) might cause additional temporary effects such as vomiting but usually would have no long-lasting side effects. Assessing biological damage from low-level radiation is difficult because other factors can cause the same symptoms as radiation exposure. Moreover, the body is able to repair damage caused by low-level radiation. There have been no documented effects from exposures of less than 10 rem.

The effect most often associated with exposure to relatively high levels of radiation appears to be an increased risk of cancer. However, scientists have not been able to demonstrate with certainty that exposure to low-level radiation causes an increase in injurious biological effects, nor have they been able to determine if there is a level of radiation exposure below which there are no biological effects.

Health Effects of Low-Level Radiation

Radionuclides entering the body through air, water, or food are distributed in different organs of the body. For example, isotopes of iodine concentrate in the thyroid. Strontium, plutonium, and americium isotopes concentrate in the skeleton. When inhaled, particulate uranium and plutonium isotopes remain in the lungs for a long period of time. Some radionuclides such as tritium, carbon-14, or cesium-137 are distributed uniformly throughout the body. Thus, depending on the radionuclide, some organs may receive quite different doses. Moreover, at the same dose levels, certain organs (such as the breast) are more prone to developing a fatal cancer than other organs (such as the thyroid).

Because of the uncertainty and difficulty in measuring the incidence of increased cancer resulting from exposure to ionizing radiation, to be conservative, a linear model is used to predict health risks from low levels of radiation. This model assumes that there is a risk associated with all dose levels even though the body may effectively repair damage incurred from low levels of alpha, beta, and gamma radiations.

exposed off-site individual (MEOSI) residing near the WVDP. This is much less than the average dose received from using consumer products and is insignificant compared to the federal standard of 100 mrem allowed from any DOE site operations in a calendar year or the 295 mrem received annually from natural sources. The dose from WVDP operations also is small compared to the average additional dose an airline crew member typically receives from cosmic radiation (200 mrem/year).

Dose Assessment Methodology

The potential radiation dose to the general public from activities at the WVDP is evaluated by using a two-part methodology applied in a manner consistent with the requirements in DOE Order 5400.5. The first part uses the measurements of radionuclide concentrations in liquid and air released from the Project to determine annual total effect. The second part uses measurements of radioactivity in food from locations near the Project boundaries to confirm the low impact of the totals.

Radiological dose is evaluated for all major exposure pathways, including external irradiation, inhalation, and ingestion of local food products. The dose contributions from each radionuclide and pathway combination are then summed to obtain the total dose estimates reported in Table 2-6 (p. 2-31).

Measurement of Radionuclide Concentrations in Liquid and Air Releases. Because of the difficulty of distinguishing the health effects of the small amount of radioactivity emitted from the site from that which occurs naturally in the environment using actual measurements of environmental samples, computer codes are used to model the environmental dispersion of radionuclides emitted from on-site monitored ventilation stacks and liquid discharge points.

Radiation Dose

The energy released from a radionuclide is eventually deposited in matter encountered along the path of the radiation. The radiation energy absorbed by a unit mass of material is referred to as the absorbed dose. The absorbing material can be either inanimate matter or living tissue.

Alpha particles leave a dense track of ionization as they travel through tissue and thus deliver the most dose per unit path-length. However, alpha particles are not penetrating and must be taken into the body by inhalation or ingestion to cause harm. Beta and gamma radiation can penetrate the protective dead skin layer of the body from the outside, resulting in exposure of the internal organs to radiation.

Because beta and gamma radiations deposit much less energy in tissue per unit path-length relative to alpha radiation, they produce fewer biological effects for the same absorbed dose. To allow for the different biological effects of different kinds of radiation, the absorbed dose is multiplied by a quality factor to yield a unit called the dose equivalent. A radiation dose expressed as a dose equivalent, rather than as an absorbed dose, permits the risks from different types of radiation exposure to be compared with each other (e.g., exposure to alpha radiation compared with exposure to gamma radiation). For this reason, regulatory agencies limit the dose to individuals in terms of total dose equivalent.

First, actual data from release-monitoring samples are collected, together with annual weather measurements and the most recent demographic information. (See Appendices C, D, and I.) The effective dose equivalent (EDE) to the maximally exposed off-site individual and the collective EDE to the population within a 50-mile (80-km) radius are then calculated using conservative models that

have been approved by the DOE and the EPA to demonstrate compliance with radiation standards. (See insets Radiation Dose [p. 2-29] and Units of Measurement [p. 2-33].)

Measurement of Radionuclide Concentrations in Food. The second part of the dose assessment is based on actual measurements of radioactivity in samples of foodstuffs grown in the vicinity of the WVDP and the comparison of these values with measurements of samples collected from locations well beyond the potential influence of site effluents. These measurements of environmental media show that the concentrations of radioactivity, whether from sites near the WVDP or from distant locations, are small – usually near the analytical detection limits – thereby providing additional assurance that operations at the WVDP are not adversely affecting the public.

If any of the near-site food samples contain radionuclide concentrations that are statistically higher than the concentrations in control samples, separate dose calculations are performed to verify that the calculated foodstuff dose is within the dose range estimated by computer modeling.

The maximum potential dose to nearby residents from the consumption of foods with radionuclide concentrations above background is calculated by multiplying the net concentrations (concentration in a sample minus background concentration) by the maximum adult annual consumption rate for each type of food and the unit dose conversion factor for ingestion of the measured radionuclide. The consumption rates are based on site-specific data and recommendations in NRC Regulatory Guide 1.109 for terrestrial food chain dose assessments (NRC, October 1977). The internal dose conversion factors are obtained from Internal Dose Conversion Factors for Calculation of Dose to the Public (DOE/EH-0071 [DOE, July 1988]).

Note that foodstuffs are weighed when received at the laboratory and the percent moisture is determined from the difference between the mass of the dried sample weighed after preparation for radiological measurement and the original “wet” as-measured mass. Doses are calculated based on the reconstituted “wet” mass of the original sample as it would be before preparation as food.

These calculated doses are not added to the computer-modeled estimates (Table 2-6 [p. 2-31]) because the models already include contributions from all environmental pathways.

Predicted Dose From Airborne Emissions

Airborne emissions of radionuclides are regulated by the EPA under the Clean Air Act and its implementing regulations. DOE facilities are subject to 40 CFR 61, Subpart H, NESHAP. Subpart H contains the national emission standards for emissions of radionuclides other than radon from DOE facilities. The applicable standard for radionuclides is a maximum of 10 mrem (0.1 mSv) EDE to any member of the public in any year.

Releases of airborne radioactive materials from nominal ground level stacks (1 to 24 meters high) and from the main 60-meter-high stack are modeled using the EPA-approved CAP88-PC computer code (Parks, June 1997) or equivalent. This air dispersion code estimates effective dose equivalents for the ingestion, inhalation, air immersion, and ground surface pathways.

Site-specific data for CY 2002 non-radon radionuclide releases in curies per year is listed in Tables D-1 through D-11 and D-15. (See Appendix D [pp. D-3 through D-12 and D-16].) Applicable information from these tables was used as input to the CAP88-PC code.

Table 2-6
Summary of Annual Effective Dose Equivalents to an Individual
and Population From WVDP Releases in 2002

Exposure Pathways	Annual Effective Dose Equivalent	
	Maximally Exposed Off-Site Individual ¹ mrem (mSv)	Collective Effective Dose Equivalent ² person-rem (person-Sv)
Airborne Releases³	4.0E-03 (4.0E-05)	3.4E-02 (3.4E-04)
% EPA standard (10 mrem)	0.04%	NA
Waterborne Releases⁴		
Effluents only	2.6E-02 (2.6E-04)	2.3E-02 (2.3E-04)
Effluents plus north plateau drainage	5.7E-02 (5.7E-04)	2.0E-01 (2.0E-03)
Total from all Pathways	6.1E-02 (6.1E-04)	2.4E-01 (2.4E-03)
% DOE standard (100 mrem) – air and water combined	0.061%	NA
% of natural background (295 mrem; 453,000 person-rem) – received from air and water combined	0.02%	0.00005%
Estimated Radon-220⁵	1.3E-02 (1.3E-04) ⁶	5.1E-01 (5.1E-03)

Exponents are expressed as “E” in this report: a value of 1.2×10^{-4} in scientific notation is reported as 1.2E-04 in the text and tables.

NA - Not applicable. Numerical regulatory standards are not set for the collective EDE to the population.

¹ Modeled data estimates the maximum exposure to air discharges occurs at a residence 1.9 kilometers north-northwest of the main plant.

² Population of 1.54 million within 80 kilometers of the site

³ From atmospheric release non-radon point and diffuse sources. Calculated using CAP88-PC for individual and population. EPA and DOE limits for individual airborne dose are the same.

⁴ Calculated using methodology described in Manual for Radiological Assessment of Environmental Releases at the WVDP (Spector, 2000)

⁵ Estimated releases based on indicator measurements and vitrification processing values: dose estimates calculated using CAP88-PC

⁶ Estimated dose from radon-220 specifically excluded by rule from NESHAP totals (see p. 2-34)

Wind data collected from the on-site meteorological tower during 2002 and current local population distribution numbers were used as input to the CAP88-PC code.

Resulting output from the CAP88-PC code was then used to determine the total EDE from air emissions to a maximally exposed individual and the collective dose to the population within a 50-mile (80-km) radius of the WVDP.

Maximum Dose to an Off-Site Individual. Based on the non-radon airborne radioactivity released from all sources at the site during 2002, it was estimated that a person living in the vicinity of the WVDP could have received a total EDE of 0.0040 mrem (0.000040 mSv) from airborne releases. The computer model estimated that this maximally exposed off-site individual was located 1.2 miles (1.9 km) north-northwest of the site and was assumed to eat only locally produced foods. Approximately 98% of the dose from main plant stack emissions was from iodine-129. (See Iodine Emissions From the Main Stack [*this page*].)

The maximum total EDE of 0.0029 mrem (0.000029 mSv) from the permitted stacks and vents is far below levels that could be directly measured at the exposed individual's residence. This dose is comparable to about six and one-half minutes of natural background radiation received by an average member of the U.S. population and is well below the 10 mrem (0.1 mSv) NESHAP limit promulgated by the EPA and mandated by DOE Order 5400.5.

Collective Population Dose. The CAP88-PC program was used to estimate the collective EDE to the population. Based upon the latest U.S. census population data collected in CY 2000, 1.54 million people were estimated to reside within 50 miles (80 km) of the WVDP. This population received an estimated 0.034 person-rem (0.00034 person-Sv) total EDE from radioactive non-radon airborne effluents released from WVDP point and diffuse

sources during 2002. The resulting average EDE per individual was 0.00002 mrem (0.0000002 mSv).

Iodine Emissions From the Main Stack. When radioactive vitrification operations began in July 1996, emission rates of radioactive isotopes of iodine increased as expected. The increase occurred because gaseous iodine was not as efficiently removed by the vitrification process off-gas treatment system as were most other radionuclides. In the ten-year period before the startup of vitrification, iodine-129, a long-lived radionuclide, was found in main stack emissions at levels of approximately 0.025 to 0.035 mCi/year. In 1996, 1.20 mCi of iodine-129 were released and in 1997, the first full year of vitrification, a maximum release of 7.4 mCi was observed. (See Fig. 2-10 [*below*].) As more HLW was vitrified, iodine-129 levels decreased and in 2002, when vitrification was completed, the total annual release had dropped to 0.45 mCi. As noted above, iodine-129 accounted for the largest proportion of dose to an off-site individual from airborne emissions from the main stack in 2002.




Figure 2-10. Estimated Iodine-129 Releases From the WVDP Main Plant Stack

Predicted Dose From Waterborne Releases

Currently there are no EPA standards establishing limits on the radiation dose to members of the

Units of Measurement

The unit for dose equivalent in common use in the U.S. is the rem, which stands for roentgen-equivalent-man. The international unit of dose equivalent is the sievert (Sv), which is equal to 100 rem. The millirem (mrem) and millisievert (mSv), used more frequently to report the low dose equivalents encountered in environmental exposures, are equal to one-thousandth of a rem or sievert, respectively. Other radioactivity unit conversions are found on p. UOM-2 at the back of this report.

The effective dose equivalent (EDE), also expressed in units of rem or sievert, provides a means of combining unequal organ and tissue doses into a single "effective" whole body dose that represents a comparable risk probability. The probability that a given dose will result in the induction of a fatal cancer is referred to as the risk associated with that dose. The EDE is calculated by multiplying the organ dose equivalent by the organ-weighting factors developed by the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP) in Publications 26 (1977) and 30 (1979). The weighting factor is a ratio of the risk from a specific organ or tissue dose to the total risk resulting from an equal whole body dose. All organ-weighted dose equivalents are then summed to obtain the EDE.

The dose from internally deposited radionuclides calculated for a fifty-year period following intake is called the fifty-year committed effective dose equivalent (CEDE). The CEDE sums the dose to an individual over fifty years to account for the biological retention of radionuclides in the body. The total EDE for one year of exposure to radioactivity is calculated by adding the CEDE to the dose equivalent from external, penetrating radiation received during the year. Unless otherwise specified, all doses discussed here are total EDE values, which include the CEDE for internal emitters.

A collective population dose is expressed in units of person-rem or person-sievert because the individual doses are summed over the entire potentially exposed population. The average individual dose can therefore be obtained by dividing the collective dose by the number of the population.

public from liquid effluents except as applied in 40 CFR 141 and 40 CFR 143, Drinking Water Guidelines (EPA, 1984a; 1984b). Corollary limits for community water supplies are set by the New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH) in the New York State Sanitary Code (10 NYCRR 5-1.52). The private residential potable-water wells sampled for radionuclides are upgradient of the WVDP and therefore do not represent a potential source of exposure to radiation from Project activities.

Since Cattaraugus Creek is not used as a drinking water supply, a comparison of the predicted concentrations and doses with the 4-mrem/year (0.04-mSv/year) EPA and NYSDOH drinking water limits established in 40 CFR 141 and 40 CFR 143, and in 10 NYCRR §5-1.52, respectively, is not truly appropriate (although the values in creek samples are well below the EPA drinking water limits). The estimated radiation dose was compared to the applicable guidelines provided in DOE Order 5400.5. The EDE to the maximally exposed off-site individual (MEOSI) and the collective EDE


Radon-220

Radon-220 is a naturally occurring gaseous decay product of thorium-232 present in the airborne emissions from the WVDP main plant. Radon-220, also known as thoron, is associated with the thorium reduction extraction (THOREX)-related thorium-232 and uranium-232 in the high-level waste.

As reported in Chapter 2 of the 1996 WVDP Site Environmental Report (WVNSCO and Dames & Moore, June 1997), thoron levels were observed to increase during startup of the 1996 high-level waste vitrification process. An estimate of the thoron released during each waste concentration cycle was developed and used to determine a theoretical annual release. During the vitrification phase an average of about 12 curies per day were released. In 2002, because of the substantially reduced number of concentration cycles, the average was less than five curies of thoron released per day.

Although large numbers of curies were released relative to other radionuclides, the calculated dose from thoron is quite small because of its short decay half-life and other characteristics. The NESHAP rule specifically excludes thoron from air emission dose calculations at the WVDP, so a dose estimate using CAP88-PC was calculated separately. The theoretical dose to the maximally exposed off-site individual (MEOSI) located 1.2 miles (1.9 km) north-northwest of the site in 2002 would have been 0.013 mrem, and the collective dose to the population within an 80-kilometer radius would have been 0.51 person-rem. (See Table 2-6 [p. 2-31].) These theoretical doses are within the same range as doses from the man-made radionuclide WVDP effluents.

With vitrification completed, thoron releases are expected to decrease to below pre-vitrification levels. The figure presented here provides a relative indication of recent trends in the estimated annual thoron releases.



to the population due to routine waterborne releases and natural drainage are calculated using dose conversion factors as tabulated in the WVDP Manual for Radiological Assessment of Environmental Releases at the WVDP (WVNSCO, April 15, 2003).

Since the Project's liquid effluents eventually reach Cattaraugus Creek, which is not used directly as a source of drinking water, the most important individual exposure pathway is the consumption of fish from this creek by local sportsmen. It is con-

servatively assumed that a person may consume annually as much as 46 pounds (21 kg) of fish caught in the creek. Exposure to external radiation from shoreline or water contamination also is included in the model for estimating radiation dose. Population dose estimates assume that radionuclides are further diluted in Lake Erie before reaching municipal drinking water supplies.

The computer codes GENII version 1.485 (Pacific Northwest Laboratory, 1988), which implements the models in NRC Regulatory Guide 1.109

(NRC, 1977), and LADTAP II (Simpson and McGill, 1980) were used to calculate the site-specific unit dose factors for routine waterborne releases and dispersion of these effluents. Input data included local stream flow and dilution, drinking water usage, and stream usage factors. A detailed description of GENII is given in the WVDP Manual for Radiological Assessment of Environmental Releases at the WVDP (WVNSCO, April 15, 2003). Seven planned batch releases of liquid radioactive effluents from lagoon 3 occurred during 2002. (See Low-Level Waste Treatment Facility Effluent [p. 2-4].) Measurements of the radioactivity discharged in these effluents, listed in Appendix C, Table C-2A (p. C-13), were combined with the unit dose factors to calculate the EDE to the maximally exposed off-site individual and the collective EDE to the population living within a 50-mile (80-km) radius of the WVDP.

In addition to the batch releases from lagoon 3 (WNSP001), radioactivity measurements from the sewage treatment facility (WNSP007) effluents were included in the EDE calculations. The measured radioactivity concentrations from the sewage treatment facility are presented in Appendix C, Table C-2M (p. C-25). (The french drain at WNSP008, a third release point, has been sealed off since 2001 and was not included in this evaluation.)

Besides the two release points listed above, there are two natural drainage channels originating on the Project premises that have measurable concentrations of radioactivity in the water: the northeast swamp (WNSWAMP) and north swamp (WNSW74A). (See Northeast Swamp and North Swamp Drainage [p. 2-6].) The measured radioactivity from these points is reported in Tables C-3C and C-3D (pp. C-30 and C-31). Radioactivity measured at these drainage sample points is included in the EDE calculations for the MEOSI and the collective population.

There were no unplanned releases of waterborne radioactivity in 2002.

Maximum Dose to an Off-Site Individual.

Based on the radioactivity in liquid effluents discharged from the WVDP (lagoon 3 and the sewage treatment plant) during 2002, an off-site individual could have received a maximum EDE of 0.026 mrem (0.00026 mSv). Approximately 90% of this dose was from cesium-137. This 0.026 mrem (0.00026 mSv) dose is negligible in comparison to the 295 mrem (2.95 mSv) that an average member of the U.S. population receives in one year from natural background radiation.

The maximum off-site individual EDE due to drainage from the north plateau (north swamp and northeast swamp) is 0.031 mrem (0.00031 mSv). (See Northeast Swamp Drainage Monitoring [p. 4-17].) The combined EDE to the maximally exposed individual from liquid effluents and drainage is 0.057 mrem (0.00057 mSv). This annual dose, although an increase from the 2001 estimate, is very small in comparison to the 295 mrem (2.95 mSv) dose that is received by an average member of the U.S. population from natural background radiation.

Collective Dose to the Population.

As a result of radioactivity released in liquid effluents from the WVDP (lagoon 3 and the sewage treatment plant) during 2002, the population living within 50 miles (80 km) of the site received a collective EDE of 0.023 person-rem (0.00023 person-Sv). The collective dose to the population from the north plateau drainage is 0.18 person-rem (0.0018 person-Sv). This estimate is based on a population of 1.54 million living within the 50-mile (80-km) radius. The resulting average EDE from lagoon 3, the sewage treatment plant, and north plateau drainage (north swamp and northeast swamp) per individual is 1.3E-04 mrem (1.3E-06 mSv). This dose of 0.00013 mrem (0.0000013 mSv) is an inconsequential addition to the dose

that an average person receives in one year from natural background radiation.

Calculated Dose From Local Foodstuff Tests

Fish. Samples of fish were collected from Cattaraugus Creek from May 2002 through November 2002. (See Fish [p.2-21].) The calculated maximum dose to an individual from consuming 46 pounds (21 kg) of near-site fish would be approximately 0.02 mrem (0.0002 mSv) in a year. This dose is roughly equivalent to the dose received every 35 minutes from background radiation.

Venison. Meat samples from near-site and control deer were collected during the fall of 2002. (See Venison [p. 2-22].) Individual concentrations of measured radionuclides in near-site venison samples were not statistically different from concentrations at control locations.

Beef. Individual concentrations of measured radionuclides in near-site beef samples were not statistically different from concentrations at control locations. (See Beef [p. 2-23].)

Milk. Results from near-site milk samples were collected and compared with background samples. (See Milk [p. 2-23].) Average values for tritium, strontium-90, iodine-129, and cesium-137 were not statistically different from control concentrations. Naturally-occurring potassium-40, used as an intrinsic reference point for the samples, was at the expected level.

Produce (Corn, Beans, and Apples). Except for strontium-90, individual concentrations of all measured radionuclides in near-site produce samples were not statistically different from concentrations at control locations. Strontium-90 concentrations in near-site vegetables were slightly elevated with respect to background. (See Vegetables, Fruit, and


Forage [p. 2-23].) Strontium-90 in apples collected near the WVDP in 2002 was about twice the level reported in apples at the remote background location. Strontium-90 was elevated at about three and four times the 2002 background levels in near-site beans and corn, respectively. Were these combined near-site foods to have been consumed in 2002 in normal quantities by the same person, that individual would have received about 0.10 mrem (0.0010 mSv), the equivalent of about three hours of natural background radiation. In perspective, the strontium-90 reported in remote background produce analyzed in 2001 was up to eight times higher than strontium-90 in the 2002 background produce, indicating a wide variability in vegetation measurements. There were no measured releases of radioactivity from the WVDP in 2002 that would account for a corresponding increase of radioactivity in produce.

Predicted Dose From All Pathways

The potential dose to the public from both airborne and liquid effluents released from the Project during 2002 is the sum of the individual dose contributions. The calculated maximum EDE from all pathways to a nearby resident was 0.061 mrem (0.00061 mSv). This dose is 0.06% of the 100-mrem (1-mSv) annual limit in DOE Order 5400.5. The estimated dose from radon-220 to the same nearby resident was less than 0.02 mrem.

The total collective EDE to the population within 50 miles (80 km) of the site was 0.24 person-rem (0.0024 person-Sv), with an average EDE of 0.00015 mrem (0.0000015 mSv) per individual. The estimated radon-220 dose to the population, calculated additionally, was approximately 0.5 person-rem.

Table 2-6 (p. 2-31) summarizes the dose contributions from all pathways and compares the individual doses with the applicable standards. The



low doses calculated using computer modeling are corroborated by the low or non-detectable doses calculated from local foodstuff test data.

Figure 2-11 (above) shows the calculated annual dose to the hypothetical maximally exposed individual over the last fifteen years. The estimated dose for 2002 (0.06 mrem) is higher than the annual dose reported for 2001 (0.04 mrem). The decrease in dose fraction from air emissions in 2002 is attributed to the continuing decrease in iodine-129 emissions. The higher dose from the liquid pathway is mostly the result of a higher volume of releases from the water treatment system. This increase includes the continuing effect of the gross beta plume. (See Special Groundwater Monitoring in Chapter 4 [p. 4-16].)

Figure 2-12 (p. 2-38) shows the collective dose to the population over the last fifteen years. (See Fig. A-14 [p. A-16] for a map of the population sectors.) A five-year upward trend, primarily from an increase in vitrification activities, reversed in 1998 and is trending toward previtrification levels.

As with the individual dose, a slight upward trend in collective dose from treated liquid effluents, directly linked to a noticeable increase in the volume of water treated, was noted in 2002.

The overall radioactivity represented by these data confirms the continued inconsequential addition to the natural background radiation dose that the individuals and population around the WVDP receive from Project activities.

Risk Assessment

Estimates of cancer risk from ionizing radiation have been presented by the NCRP (1987b) and the National Research Council's Committee on Biological Effects of Ionizing Radiation (BEIR, 1990).

These reports estimate that the probability of fatal cancer induction to the public, averaged over all ages, ranges from 0.0001 to 0.0005 cancer fatalities per rem. DOE guidance has, in the past, recommended using a risk coefficient of 0.0005 (ICRP, 1991) to estimate risk to a maximally exposed off-site individual. Recent DOE guidance recommends using an even more conservative risk coefficient of 0.0006




Figure 2-12. Collective Effective Dose Equivalent From Liquid and Airborne Effluents to the Population Residing Within 50 Miles (80 km) of the WVDP

provided by the Interagency Steering Committee on Radiation Standards (ISCORS, January 2003). The resulting estimated risk to this hypothetical individual residing near the WVDP from airborne and waterborne releases in 2002 was a 0.000000037 probability of a cancer fatality (1 chance in 27 million). This risk is well below the range of 0.000001 to 0.00001 per year considered by the ICRP in Report Number 26 (1977) to be a reasonable risk for any individual member of the public.

Dose to Biota: Aquatic and Terrestrial Wildlife

Radionuclides from both natural and man-made sources may be found in environmental media such as water, sediments, and soils. In the past, it has been assumed that if radiological controls are sufficient to protect humans, other living things are also likely to be sufficiently protected. This assumption is no longer considered adequate, since populations of plants and animals residing in or near these media or taking food or water from these media may be exposed to a greater extent than are humans. For this reason, the DOE prepared a technical standard which provides methods and guidance to be used to evaluate doses

of ionizing radiation to populations of aquatic animals, terrestrial plants, and terrestrial animals.

Methods in this technical standard, *A Graded Approach for Evaluating Radiation Doses to Aquatic and Terrestrial Biota* (DOE-STD-1153-2002, July 2002), were used in 2002 to evaluate radiation doses to aquatic and terrestrial biota within the confines of the WNYNSC, which includes the WVDP. Doses were assessed for compliance with the limit in DOE Order 5400.5 for native aquatic animal organisms (1 rad per day) and for compliance with the thresholds for terrestrial plants (also 1 rad per day) and for terrestrial animals (0.1 rad per day), as proposed in DOE-STD-1153-2002. Note that the absorbed dose unit (rad) is used for biota instead of the units used for indicating human risk (rem).

RAD-BCG, a calculation tool provided by the DOE for implementing the technical standard, was used to compare existing radionuclide concentration data from environmental sampling with biota concentration guide (BCG) screening values. Data collected from surface waters, sediments, and soils on and around the WNYNSC over a ten-year

period (1991–2000) were used as a baseline. For a more near-term assessment, a second evaluation was completed using surface water data from 2002 and sediment data from 1998–2002. (See Appendices A and B for maps and descriptions of monitoring and surveillance locations. Radionuclides analyzed for each medium at each location are listed in Appendix B. See Appendices C and G for a listing of results from these locations in 2002.)

Concentration data for radionuclides in each medium were entered into the calculation tool. The value for each radionuclide was automatically divided by the BCG in order to calculate a partial fraction for each nuclide for each medium. Partial fractions for each medium were added to produce a sum of fractions.

It was found that the isotopes with the highest sums of fractions – the radionuclides that contributed the largest component of both aquatic and terrestrial dose to biota – were strontium-90 and cesium-137. Per guidance in DOE-STD-1153-2002, the populations of organisms most sensitive to strontium-90 and cesium-137 in this evaluation – that is, those populations residing on the WNYNSC that were most likely to be adversely affected via the aquatic and terrestrial pathways – were determined to be populations of the raccoon (aquatic dose) and the deer mouse (terrestrial dose). As such, this study does not pertain to pathways to humans, which are addressed elsewhere. (See Dose Assessment Methodology [p. 2-29].)

The aquatic dose limit from DOE Order 5400.5 may be assumed to have been met if the sum of fractions for the water medium plus that for the sediment medium is less than 1.0. Similarly, proposed dose limits for both terrestrial plants and animals may be assumed to have been met if the sum of fractions for the water medium plus that for the soil medium is less than 1.0.

In accordance with the approach described in DOE-STD-1153-2002, a general screening was first conducted using the maximum radionuclide concentrations from surface waters, sediments, and soils. Maximum radionuclide concentrations from the ten-year sampling database exceeded applicable general screening BCG limits for both aquatic and terrestrial evaluations, as did the maximum concentrations from the 2002 surface water data and the more recent sediment data.

As recommended in DOE-STD-1153-2002, a site-specific screening was then done using estimates of average radionuclide concentrations derived from measurement series in surface waters, sediments, and soils. Average concentrations for each medium, applicable BCGs, partial fractions, and sums of fractions for the ten-year baseline study are tabulated in Table 2-7 (p. 2-41).

At the site-specific screening level for the full ten-year period, the sums of fractions for the aquatic and terrestrial system evaluations were 0.45 and 0.57, respectively. The comparable sums of fraction using the more near-term data were 0.31 and 0.57, respectively. The sum of fractions for each assessment was less than 1.0, indicating that applicable BCGs were met for both the aquatic and terrestrial evaluations. It was therefore concluded that populations of aquatic and terrestrial biota (both plants and animals) on the WNYNSC are not being exposed to doses in excess of the existing DOE dose standard for aquatic animals and the recommended standards for terrestrial biota.

Summary

Predictive computer modeling of airborne and waterborne releases resulted in estimated hypothetical doses to the maximally exposed individual that were orders of magnitude below all applicable EPA standards and DOE Orders, which place limitations on the release of radioactive materials and

dose to individual members of the public. The collective population dose also was assessed and found to be orders of magnitude below the natural background radiation dose. Additionally, it was determined that biota at the WVDP are exposed at a fraction of the suggested maximum radiation levels.

Based on the overall dose assessment, the WVDP was found to be in compliance with applicable effluent radiological guidelines and standards during calendar year 2002. Table 2-8 (p. 2-42) provides a summary of WVDP releases and calculated doses in specified DOE format.

Table 2-7
Evaluation of Dose to Aquatic and Terrestrial Biota

Based on average radionuclide concentrations in waters, sediments, and soils from ten years of monitoring, the sum of fractions for the aquatic system evaluation was 0.45 and that for the terrestrial system evaluation was 0.57. Evaluations using more recent data – surface water data from 2002 and sediment data from 1998–2002 – resulted in aquatic and terrestrial sums of fractions of 0.31 and 0.57, respectively. Each sum of fractions was less than 1.0, indicating that applicable biota concentration guides (BCGs) were met for both the aquatic and terrestrial evaluations. The calculated sum of fractions for the aquatic system for the near-term assessment was less than the sum of fractions calculated for the 10-year baseline. It was therefore concluded, based on both long-term and near-term results, that populations of aquatic and terrestrial biota on the WNYNSC are not being exposed to doses in excess of the existing DOE limit for native aquatic animal organisms (U.S. Department of Energy, February 1990) and the international standards for terrestrial organisms (International Atomic Energy Agency, 1992).

Aquatic System Evaluation (Near-Term Data Set)

Nuclide	Water BCG* (pCi/L)	Mean Water Value (pCi/L)	Water Partial Fraction	Sediment BCG* (pCi/g)	Mean Sediment Value (pCi/g)	Sediment Partial Fraction	Water and Sediment Sum of Fractions	
Cesium-137	42.6	7.42	1.74E-01	3,120	10.1	3.23E-03	0.18	
Strontium-90	278	36.1	1.30E-01	582	0.899	1.54E-03	0.13	
All Others	NA	NA	1.97E-03	NA	NA	5.03E-04	<0.01	
Sum of Fractions (Near-Term Data)			3.06E-01				5.28E-03	0.31
Sum of Fractions (Long-Term [10-Yr] Data)			4.47E-01				5.65E-03	0.45

Terrestrial System Evaluation (Near-Term Data Set)

Nuclide	Water BCG* (pCi/L)	Mean Water Value (pCi/L)	Water Partial Fraction	Soil BCG* (pCi/g)	Mean Soil Value (pCi/g)	Soil Partial Fraction	Water and Soil Sum of Fractions	
Cesium-137	599,000	7.42	1.24E-05	20.8	5.95	2.87E-01	0.29	
Strontium-90	54,500	36.1	6.63E-04	22.5	6.26	2.78E-01	0.28	
All Others	NA	NA	2.06E-06	NA	NA	1.00E-03	<0.01	
Sum of Fractions (Near-Term Data)			6.77E-04				5.66E-01	0.57
Sum of Fractions (Long-Term [10-Yr] Data)			7.20E-04				5.66E-01	0.57

* The BCGs are calculated values. Except for the sums of fractions, which are rounded to two significant digits, all values are expressed to three significant digits.

**Table 2-8
WVDP Radiological Dose and Release Summary**

WVDP Radiological Dose Reporting Table CY 2002

Dose to the Maximally Exposed Individual		% of DOE 100-mrem Limit	Estimated Population Dose		Population Within 50 Miles (2000 census)	Estimated Natural Radiation Population Dose
0.060 <i>mrem</i>	0.00060 <i>(mSv)</i>	0.060	0.24 <i>person-rem</i>	0.0024 <i>(person-Sv)</i>	1,536,000	453,000 <i>person-rem</i>

WVDP Radiological Atmospheric Emissions⁺ CY 2002 in Curies (Bq)

Tritium	Kr-85	Noble Gases (T _{1/2} <40 dy)	Short-Lived Fission and Activation Products (T _{1/2} <3 hr)	Fission and Activation Products (T _{1/2} >3 hr)	Total Radioiodine	Total Radiostrontium	Total Uranium*	Total Plutonium	Total Other Actinides	Other (Rn-220)
7.10E-02 (2.63E+09)	NA	NA	NA	1.46E-05 (5.38E+05)	4.64E-04 (1.72E+07)	7.58E-06 (2.81E+05)	2.89E-07 (1.07E+04)	6.92E-07 (2.56E+04)	1.12E-06 (4.14E+04)	1.65E+03 (6.10E+13)

WVDP Liquid Effluent Releases⁺ of Radionuclide Material CY 2002 in Curies (Bq)

Tritium	Fission and Activation Products (T _{1/2} >3 hr)	Total Radioiodine	Total Radiostrontium	Total Uranium**	Total Plutonium	Total Other Actinides
1.46E-01 (5.40E+09)	8.30E-03 (3.07E+08)	1.88E-04 (6.95E+06)	2.06E-01 (7.62E+09)	1.03E-03 (3.79E+07)	1.55E-05 (5.47E+05)	2.29E-05 (8.49E+05)

+ The WVDP air and water releases are from point source and controlled liquid effluent releases, respectively.

* Total uranium (grams)= 2.43E-01

** Total uranium (grams)=9.13E+01

Note: These tables have been included to provide a standardized format for data collected from all Department of Energy sites.

ENVIRONMENTAL NONRADIOLOGICAL PROGRAM INFORMATION

Overview of New York State Water Classifications, Water Quality Standards, and Water Effluent Limits

The objective of the Clean Water Act of 1972 (CWA) (as stated in Section 101 of the Act) is to restore and maintain the integrity of the nation's waters and ensure that, wherever attainable, waters be made useful for fishing and swimming. To achieve this goal, New York State is delegated with authority under Sections 118, 303, and 510 of the CWA to (1) classify and designate the best uses for receiving waters, such as streams and rivers, within its jurisdiction; and (2) establish and assign water quality standards – goals for achieving the designated best uses for these classified waters. In addition to achieving CWA goals for fishing and swimming, New York has further classified its jurisdictional waters and established ambient water standards, guidelines, and maximum contaminant levels (MCLs) to achieve objectives under the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) for drinking water. These standards serve as the basis for periodic evaluation of the integrity of the receiving waters and identification of needed controls, such as New York State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (SPDES) permits and effluent limitations.

The definitions for best usage classifications of New York's jurisdictional waters and the water quality standard goals for these classifications are provided in the New York regulations at 6 NYCRR Parts 701–704. Mapping of the Cattaraugus Creek drainage basin and assignment of best usage designations and classification to each receiving water segment within this drainage basin are described in 6 NYCRR Part 838. According to these regulations, Frank's Creek, Quarry Creek, and segments of Buttermilk Creek under the influence of West Valley Demonstration Project (WVDP) water effluents are identified as Class "C" receiving waters with a minimum designated best usage for fishing with conditions suitable for fish survival. Cattaraugus Creek, in the immediate downstream vicinity of the Western New York Nuclear Service Center (WNYNSC), is identified as a Class "B" receiving water with best designated usages for swimming and fishing. All fresh (non-saline) groundwaters within New York are assigned a "GA" classification with a designated best usage as a potable water supply source.

Presented in Appendix C-1 is a summary of the numerical water quality standards, guidelines, and MCLs assigned to these water classifications for those substances and parameters that are included in the WVDP environmental monitoring program for ambient water. Also included in Appendix C-1

are SPDES permit discharge limits for site effluents.

Surface Water, Subsurface Drainage Water, and Water Effluent Monitoring

Appendix C-2 contains process effluent data with SPDES permit limits provided for comparison with these data. Appendices C-3 through C-5 present data for ambient surface water, subsurface drainage water, contained water, and potable water monitoring locations. Also, provided for side-by-side comparison with these data are reference values, where available, including background ambient water monitoring data and/or pertinent ambient water quality standards (AWQS), guidelines, or MCLs.

SPDES Permit-Required Monitoring. Liquid discharges are regulated under the State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System. The WVDP holds a SPDES permit that identifies the outfalls where liquid effluents are released to Erdman Brook (Fig. A-2 [p. A-4]) and specifies the sampling and analytical requirements for each outfall. The current SPDES permit (modification effective July 2002) for the WVDP was administratively renewed without changes by the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) in September 1998 with an effective date of February 1, 1999 and an expiration date of February 1, 2004. The conditions and requirements of the SPDES permit are summarized in Table C-1A (pp. C-5 and C-6) in Appendix C-1. The permit identifies five outfalls:

- outfall WNSP001, discharge from the low-level waste treatment facility (LLWTF)
- outfall WNSP007, discharge from the sanitary and industrial wastewater treatment facility

- outfall WNSP008, groundwater effluent from the perimeter of the LLWTF storage lagoons (closed in May 2001 but still in the permit)

- outfall 116, a sampling location in Frank's Creek that represents the confluence of outfalls WNSP001, WNSP007, and WNSP008 as well as storm water runoff, groundwater surface seepage, and augmentation water. Samples from upstream sources are used to calculate total dissolved solids at this location and to demonstrate compliance with the SPDES permit limit for this parameter. (Outfall 116 is referred to as a "pseudo-monitoring" point on the SPDES permit. See p. GLO-7 in the Glossary.)

- outfall WNSP01B, an internal monitoring point for the liquid waste treatment system evaporator effluent, is being monitored for flow and total mercury.

Some of the more significant features of the SPDES permit are the requirements to report five-day biochemical oxygen demand (BOD₅), total dissolved solids, iron, and ammonia data as flow-weighted concentrations and to apply a net discharge limit for iron. The net limit allows the Project to account for the iron that is naturally present in the site's incoming water. The flow-weighted limits apply to the flow-proportioned sum of the Project effluents.

The SPDES monitoring data for 2002 are displayed in Tables C-2C through C-2M (pp. C-15 through C-25). The WVDP reported one permit exceedance in 2001, but none in 2002.

Mercury Analytical Method Study. The modified SPDES permit received by the WVDP in July 2002 requires a comparison study of mercury determination by two analytical methods: EPA Method 245.1 (or 245.2) with a detection level of 0.2 µg/L (parts per billion [ppb]) and EPA Method

1631, which allows determination of mercury at a minimum level (ML) of 0.5 ng/L (parts per trillion [ppt]). The latter (“ultraclean”) method supports EPA’s effort to make available an additional analytical method capable of measuring mercury accurately at ambient water quality criteria levels.

Since the SPDES permit enforcement compliance limit of 0.2 µg/L for total mercury is several orders of magnitude higher than the AWQS of 0.0007 µg/L for dissolved mercury, the WVDP was requested to conduct a mercury study using both methods (1631 and 245.1) whenever dissolved mercury samples are required under the terms of the SPDES permit. This study was required as Method 1631 is relatively new (issued in 1999) and is unproven for use with effluents containing radiological constituents. A report summarizing the analytical results from these two methods and its findings is required to be submitted quarterly to NYSDEC.

Requirements for the mercury study did not go into effect until mid-July of 2002. Data from mid-July through mid-October were included in a report submitted to NYSDEC in October of 2002. During this period there were no batch discharges from lagoon 3 through outfall WNSP001. However, four sets of sample results were generated using Methods 245.1 and 1631 at internal monitoring point WNSP01B. Results from Method 1631 ranged from 0.00271 to 0.0287 µg/L, consistent with analytical results using Method 245.1, which were all lower than the method detection limit (0.2 µg/L).

South Plateau Surface and Subsurface Water.

An inactive underground radioactive waste disposal site, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC)-licensed disposal area (NDA), lies on the south plateau of the site. Surface waters, which flow from the south to the north, are routinely monitored at several points around this area. (See Fig. A-2 [p. A-4].) Two of these points, WNNDATR

and WNNDADR, are used to monitor (respectively) waters within the NDA water collection trench system and surface runoff and seepage immediately downstream of the NDA. (See a discussion on sampling near the NDA in Chapter 2 under South Plateau Surface Water and NDA Interceptor Trench [p. 2-8].) Sampling point WNNDATR is an underground sump at the lowest point in the collection trench system that intercepts groundwater from the NDA. If radiological or nonradiological contamination were to migrate through the NDA, it would most likely be first detected in samples from WNNDATR. Surface water drainage immediately downstream of the NDA is monitored at WNNDADR.

Interceptor Trench and Pretreatment System. Radioactively contaminated n-dodecane (similar to kerosene) in combination with tributyl phosphate (TBP) was discovered at the northern boundary of the NDA in 1983. To contain migration of this subsurface radioactive organic contaminant, an interceptor trench and a liquid pretreatment system (LPS) were built. (See NRC-Licensed Disposal Area [NDA] Interceptor Trench and Pretreatment System in Chapter 1 [p. 1-11].)

The trench was designed to intercept and collect subsurface water, which could be carrying n-dodecane/TBP, to prevent the material from entering the surface water drainage ditch leading into Erdman Brook and to prevent contamination of downgradient groundwater. The LPS was installed to separate the n-dodecane/TBP from the water and to remove iodine-129 from the collected water before its transfer to the LLWTF. The separated n-dodecane/TBP would be stored for subsequent treatment and disposal.

As in previous years, no water containing n-dodecane/TBP was encountered in the trench or was treated by the LPS in 2002. Results of surface and groundwater monitoring in the vicinity of

the trench are discussed in Chapter 2 (South Plateau Surface Water and NDA Interceptor Trench [p. 2-8]) and in Chapter 4 (Results of Monitoring at the NDA [p. 4-15]).

Total Organic Halides. Total organic halides (TOX) measurements are used as a screening mechanism to detect the presence of certain organic compounds and associated radionuclides. Except for elevated concentrations of TOX at WNNADR in the fall of 2002, which were attributed to an insecticide application, concentrations of TOX at both WNNADR and WNNADR remained within the range of historical values at these locations. (See also Results of Monitoring at the NDA in Chapter 4 [p. 4-15].)

Other On-Site and Off-Site Surface Water Monitoring. As part of the routine monitoring program, one set of grab samples for nonradiological parameters at WNSP006 (Frank's Creek at the security fence), WNSWAMP (northeast swamp drainage), WNSW74A (north swamp drainage), and WFBCBKG (Buttermilk Creek at Fox Valley) were taken in 2002. These samples were screened for organic constituents and selected anions, cations, and metals. Results of measurements for these locations are found in Tables C-4C, C-3C, and C-3D (pp. C-38, C-30, and C-31, respectively).

At surface water monitoring location point WNSWAMP and monitoring location WNSP006, the maximum and average concentrations of total iron exceeded the water quality standard (0.30 mg/L) and background reference values measured at location WFBCBKG. NYSDEC, in its 2002 Clean Water Section (CWA) 303(d) report to U.S. EPA, indicated it found the scientific basis for the 0.30 mg/L standard to be insufficient. NYSDEC also indicated that the upcoming standards review is expected to include a proposed replacement of the 0.30 mg/L with a 1.0 mg/L guidance value, based on 1976 U.S. EPA criteria. Nonetheless,

the elevated concentrations, in particular at WNSWAMP, which also exceeds this replacement guidance value, is indicative of typical concentrations in water runoff from areas where industrial activities are occurring.

At surface water monitoring location WNSP006, the maximum total dissolved solids (TDS) concentration exceeded the water quality standard of 500 mg/L. Elevated TDS can result from runoff containing residuals from application of deicing materials (i.e., road salt). The elevated TDS at WNSP006 is believed to have resulted from runoff from site service roads and walkways where deicing materials are applied.

Monitoring results for the standing water locations (WNSTAW series) are presented in Table C-4H. As was noted for WNSWAMP and WNSP006, discussed above, the total iron concentration at WNSTAW5 (1.16 mg/L) exceeded the 0.3 mg/L standard for class "D" surface waters. The elevated iron concentration at this standing water location is thought to be attributable to naturally elevated background concentrations of iron.

Monitoring results for pH at locations WNSP005, WFFELBR, WFBCTCB, WNFRC67, and WNDCELD are provided in Appendix C, Tables C-3A, C-4A, C-4B, C-4F, and C-4G. Although most pH values were within applicable limits, minimum results at WFFELBR, WFBCTCB, WNFRC67, and WNDCELD in 2002 were all below the minimum pH standard of 6.5.

Low pH of precipitation in the western New York region may suppress pH in ambient surface waters, especially during times of high rainfall when precipitation runoff may account for a large proportion of stream flow. Precipitation pH as measured near and on the WVDP in 2002 ranged from 3.32 to 6.90. (See Appendix D, Tables D-26 through D-30 [pp. D-24 through D-26].)

Drinking Water Monitoring

Site drinking water is monitored to verify compliance with U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH) regulations. (See Safe Drinking Water Act [p. ECS-13] in the Environmental Compliance Summary.) Samples are collected annually and analyzed for nitrate, fluoride, and metals concentrations. Sampling and analysis for copper and lead are conducted according to Cattaraugus County Health Department guidance. The 2002 monitoring results indicated that the Project's drinking water met NYSDOH and EPA, and Cattaraugus County Health Department MCLs and drinking water quality standards.

Conductivity and pH in off-site and on-site drinking water are presented in Tables C-5A and C-5B (pp. C-45 and C-46), respectively. All results were within applicable limits.

Results for inorganic analyses of utility room potable water at the entry point, location WNDNKUR, are listed in Table C-5C (p. C-47). All results were within NYSDOH MCLs and EPA MCLGs. The result for nitrate-nitrogen in a tap water sample from the WVDP conference room rest room, as analyzed by the Cattaraugus County Department of Health (Table C-5E [p. C-47]), was also below the MCL.

Soil and Aquatic Sediment Monitoring

Sediments are found at the bottom of surface waters, including streams located within the WVDP and WNYNSC premises. Sediments provide habitat for a wide variety of benthic organisms, as well as juvenile forms of pelagic organisms. These organisms in sediments are in constant contact with substances that may be adsorbed to sediment particles. Contaminated sedi-

ments are also potential diffuse sources of contamination to the overlying water body.

In 1999, NYSDEC issued updated guidance for screening contaminated aquatic sediments. This guidance includes sediment quality criteria correlated to the severity of environmental impact. These criteria, which are derived from National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) (Long and Morgan, 1990) and 1992 Ministry of Ontario Guidelines for the Protection and Management of Aquatic Sediment Quality in Ontario (Persaud et al., 1992), are presented in Appendix G-1 (p. G-3).

Contaminants in soils are potential sources for contamination of groundwater, ambient air, and/or flora. Appendix G-1 gives a summary of reference criteria, including background concentration ranges for eastern United States soils and sediment screening levels. Data for soil and sediment monitoring locations are provided in Appendix G-2 (p. G-7). Also, provided for side-by-side comparison with these data are reference values, where available, including background concentrations and/or sediment screening levels.

At SNSP006, all analytical results for sediments were below the Severe Effect Level and No Appreciable Contaminant Levels specified in the NYSDEC guidance. According to the NYSDEC "Technical Guidance for Screening Contaminated Sediments," these results suggest there is no pronounced disturbance of the sediment-dwelling biological community and that there is no significant harm to benthic aquatic life at this location.

Results for arsenic, copper, manganese, and nickel in the sediment sample obtained at SNSP006 exceeded the Lowest Observed Effect Level but were below the Severe Effect Level. Based on the NYSDEC sediment screening guidance, moderate impacts to benthic life could be expected at this location.

At SNSW74A and SNSWAMP, concentrations of copper and zinc exceeded the eastern United States background soil concentration range identified in the NYSDEC Technical Administrative Guidance Memorandum (TAGM) #4046 for "Determination of Soil Clean-Up Objectives and Clean-Up Levels." Iron and magnesium at SNSWAMP also exceeded the eastern background soil concentration range. Concentrations of these naturally-occurring metals above the natural background ranges may be indicative of localized naturally elevated background concentrations of metals in soils or deposition of sediment from runoff from areas where industrial activities are occurring.

EPA opacity requirements is verified by certified visible-emissions observers.

Air Emission Monitoring

Nonradiological air emissions are permitted under NYSDEC and EPA regulations. (The regulations that apply to the WVDP are listed in Table K-2 [pp. K-4 through K-6] in Appendix K. The New York State Facility Air Permit held by the WVDP is described in Table ECS-7, West Valley Demonstration Project Environmental Permits [pp. ECS-22 and ECS-23] in the Environmental Compliance Summary.)

The nonradiological air permits are for emissions of regulated pollutants that include particulates, ammonia, nitrogen oxides, and sulfur dioxide. Emissions of oxides of nitrogen and sulfur are each limited to 99 tons per year and are reported to NYSDEC annually. Nitrogen oxide emissions from the off-gas system for the inactive vitrification process are continuously monitored. All other nitrogen oxides and sulfur dioxide emissions data are calculated using process knowledge and fuel usage information. Nitrogen oxides emissions for 2002 were approximately 5.68 tons; sulfur dioxide emissions were approximately 0.05 tons, well below the 99-ton limit. Compliance with New York State and

SITE HYDROLOGY AND GROUNDWATER MONITORING

Groundwater Monitoring Program Overview

Groundwater at the West Valley Demonstration Project (WVDP or Project) is monitored according to a comprehensive program developed to comply with all applicable state and federal regulations. The monitoring program also meets requirements of U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Order 5400.1 to obtain data for determining baseline conditions of groundwater quality and quantity, to provide data that will allow the early detection of groundwater contamination, to identify existing and potential groundwater contamination sources and maintain surveillance of these sources, and to provide data upon which decisions can be made concerning the integrity of existing disposal areas and the management and protection of groundwater resources.

Current groundwater monitoring activities at the WVDP are summarized in two primary documents – the Groundwater Monitoring Plan (West Valley Nuclear Services Co. [WVNSCO], September 27, 2001) and the Groundwater Protection Management Program Plan (WVNSCO, May 2, 2000). The Groundwater Monitoring Plan outlines the WVDP's plans for groundwater characterization, current groundwater sampling requirements, and support of long-term monitoring requirements iden-

tified in the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) facilities investigation (RFI) and DOE programs. The Groundwater Protection Management Program Plan provides additional information regarding protection of groundwater from on-site activities.

Geologic History of the West Valley Site

The Western New York Nuclear Service Center (WNYNSC) comprises approximately 3,345 acres (1,354 hectares [ha]) and is located on the Allegheny Plateau near the northern border of Cattaraugus County in Western New York. The 164-acre (66-ha) WVDP site is located within the WNYNSC. Beneath the WNYNSC site is a sequence of Holocene (recent age) and Pleistocene (ice age) sediments filling a steep-sided valley incised in the bedrock. The bedrock is composed of shales and interbedded siltstones of the upper Devonian Canadaway and Conneaut Groups that dip southward at about 5 m/km (Rickard, 1975).

The Pleistocene sediments overlying the bedrock typically consist of a sequence of three glacial tills of Lavery, Kent, and possibly Olean age. The tills are separated by stratified fluvio-lacustrine deposits. In the northern part of the site, the Lavery till is capped by coarse-grained alluvial-fluvial deposits.

Repeated glaciations of the ancestral bedrock valley occurred between 24,000 and 15,000 years ago (Albanese et al., 1984), ending with the deposition of up to 130 feet (40 m) of Lavery till. Post-Lavery outwash and alluvial fans, including the sand and gravel unit that covers the northern portion of the WVDP site, were deposited on the Lavery till between 15,000 and 14,200 years ago (LaFleur, 1979).

A summary of the site hydrology is presented below. Hydrologic conditions of the site are more fully described in Environmental Information Document, Volume III: Hydrology, Part 4 (WVNSCO, March 1996) and in the RCRA Facility Investigation Report Vol. 1: Introduction and General Site Overview (WVNSCO and Dames & Moore, July 1997).

Surface Water Hydrology of the West Valley Site

The WNYNSC lies within the Cattaraugus Creek watershed, which empties into Lake Erie about 27 miles (43 km) southwest of Buffalo. Buttermilk Creek, a tributary of Cattaraugus Creek, drains most of the WNYNSC and all of the WVDP site.

The WVDP site, located on the WNYNSC, is contained within the smaller Frank's Creek watershed. Frank's Creek, a tributary of Buttermilk Creek, forms the eastern and southern boundary of the WVDP; Quarry Creek, a tributary of Frank's Creek, forms the northern boundary. (See Fig. A-1 [p. A-3].)

Another tributary of Frank's Creek, Erdman Brook, bisects the WVDP into a north and south plateau. The main plant, waste tanks, and lagoons are located on the north plateau. The drum cell, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC)-licensed disposal area (NDA), and the New York State-licensed disposal area (SDA) are located on the south plateau.

Hydrogeology of the West Valley Site

The WVDP site area is underlain by a sequence of glacial tills comprised primarily of clays and silts separated by coarser-grained interstadial sediments. Because the bottommost layer, the Kent till, is less permeable than the other geological units and does not provide a pathway for contaminant movement from the WVDP, it is not discussed here.

The sediments above the Kent till – the Kent recessional sequence, the Lavery till and the intra-Lavery till-sand, and the surficial sand and gravel – are generally regarded as containing all of the potential routes for the migration of contaminants (via groundwater) from the WVDP site. (Figs. 4-1 and 4-2 [facing page] show the relative locations of these sediments on the north and south plateaus.) The Lavery till, the Kent recessional sequence, and the Kent till are common to both the north and south plateaus.

The WVDP does not use groundwater for drinking or operational purposes, nor does it discharge effluent directly to groundwater. No public water supplies are drawn from groundwater downgradient of the WVDP or from Cattaraugus Creek downstream of the WVDP. However, groundwater upgradient of the WVDP is used for drinking water by local residents.

Kent Recessional Sequence. The Kent recessional sequence consists of a fine-grained lacustrine unit of interbedded clay and silty clay layers locally overlain by coarse-grained glacial sands and gravels. These deposits underlie the Lavery till beneath most of the site, pinching out along the southwestern margin of the site where the walls of the bedrock valley intersect the sequence.

Groundwater flow in the Kent recessional sequence is predominantly to the northeast, toward




Figure 4-1. Geologic Cross Section Through the North Plateau (Vertical Exaggeration Approx. 2:1)




Figure 4-2. Geologic Cross Section Through the South Plateau (Vertical Exaggeration Approx. 2.5:1)

Buttermilk Creek. Hydraulic conductivity testing completed during the last several years indicates a mean value of $2\text{E-}01$ ft/day ($8\text{E-}05$ cm/sec) or 2.6 in/day. Recharge comes from the overlying Lavery till and in-flow from the bedrock in the southwest, and discharge is to Buttermilk Creek.

Lavery Till. The Lavery till is predominantly an olive-gray, silty clay glacial till with scattered lenses of silt and sand. It underlies both the north and south plateaus and ranges up to 130 feet (40 m) in thickness beneath the active areas of the site, slightly increasing northeastward toward Buttermilk Creek and the center of the bedrock valley.

Hydraulic head distributions in the unweathered Lavery till indicate that groundwater flow is predominantly vertically downward at a relatively slow rate, toward the underlying Kent recessional sequence. Hydraulic conductivity testing in the Lavery till during the last several years indicates a mean value of $1\text{E-}04$ ft/day ($3.5\text{E-}08$ cm/sec) or 0.001 in/day. Some wells have produced hydraulic conductivity values as high as $3\text{E-}04$ cm/sec, which may indicate the presence of sand lenses within the till.

On the south plateau, the upper zone of the Lavery till is exposed at the ground surface and is weathered and fractured to a depth of 3 to 16 feet (0.9 to 4.9 m). This layer is referred to as the *weathered Lavery till* and is unique to the south plateau. The weathered Lavery till has been oxidized to a brown color and contains numerous desiccation cracks and root tubes.

Groundwater flow in the weathered till has both horizontal and vertical components. This enables the groundwater to move laterally across the south plateau before moving downward into the unweathered Lavery till or discharging to nearby incised stream channels. Hydraulic conductivity testing in the weathered Lavery till completed dur-

ing the last several years indicates a mean value of $5\text{E-}02$ ft/day ($2\text{E-}05$ cm/sec) or 0.6 in/day. The highest conductivities are associated with the dense fracture zones found within the upper 7 feet (2 m) of the unit.

On the north plateau, where the main plant, waste tanks, and lagoons are located, the weathered till layer is much thinner or nonexistent and the unweathered Lavery till is immediately overlain by the sand and gravel unit.

Sand and Gravel and Till-Sand Units. The *sand and gravel unit* and the *Lavery till-sand* are unique to the north plateau. The sand and gravel unit is a silty sand and gravel layer composed of younger Holocene alluvial deposits that overlie older Pleistocene-age glaciofluvial deposits. Together these two layers range up to 41 feet (12.5 m) in thickness near the center of the plateau and pinch out along the northern, eastern, and southern edges of the plateau, where they have been truncated by the downward erosion of stream channels.

Depth to groundwater within the sand and gravel unit varies from 0 to 16 feet (0 to 5 m), being deepest generally beneath the central north plateau (beneath the main plant facilities) and intersecting the ground surface farther north toward the security fence.

Groundwater in this unit generally flows northeastward across the plateau toward Frank's Creek. Groundwater near the northwestern and southeastern margins of the sand and gravel layer also flows radially outward toward Quarry Creek and Erdman Brook, respectively. There is minimal groundwater flow downward into the underlying Lavery till. The mean hydraulic conductivity is 16.4 feet/day ($6\text{E-}03$ cm/sec) or 200 in/day, based on testing completed during the last several years.

Within the unweathered Lavery till on the north plateau is another unit, the Lavery till-sand. On-site investigations from 1989 through 1990 identified this thin sandy unit of limited areal extent and variable thickness within the Lavery till, primarily beneath the southeastern portion of the north plateau. Groundwater flow through this unit is in an east-southeast direction. Surface discharge locations have not been observed. The mean hydraulic conductivity of 3.8 ft/day (1E-03 cm/sec) or 46 in/day for this unit is based on testing completed during the last several years.

Routine Groundwater Monitoring Program

The purpose of groundwater monitoring at the WVDP is to detect changes in groundwater quality within the five different hydrogeologic units previously described: the sand and gravel, the weathered Lavery till, the unweathered Lavery till, the Lavery till-sand, and the Kent recessional sequence. In 2002, a total of 65 groundwater monitoring locations were sampled. These locations included 59 monitoring wells (including driven well points), five groundwater seepage points, and one sump manhole.

Monitoring Well Network. Most of the routine groundwater monitoring wells were originally assigned to monitor one (or more) of the super solid waste management units (SSWMUs) on the WVDP site. (See RCRA §3008(h) Administrative Order on Consent [p. ECS-4] and *super solid waste management unit* in the Glossary [p. GLO-10].) Table E-1 (Appendix E [pp. E-3 through E-6]) lists the eleven SSWMUs monitored by the well network, the hydraulic position of each well relative to the SSWMU, the geologic unit monitored, and the analytes measured in 2002. Note that monitoring of certain wells, marked by an asterisk, was specified in RFI reports prepared in accordance with the RCRA §3008(h) Administrative Order on Consent for the WVDP.

Figures A-7 and A-8 (pp. A-9 and A-10) show the boundaries of ten of the SSWMUs at the WVDP. (Twenty-one additional wells in an eleventh SSWMU monitor the SDA and are the responsibility of the New York State Energy Research and Development Authority [NYSERDA]. Locations of NYSERDA wells are shown on Fig. A-8 [p. A-10] in Appendix A. The SDA, a closed radioactive waste landfill, is contiguous with the Project premises, but the WVDP is not responsible for the facilities or activities relating to it. Under a joint agreement with the DOE, NYSERDA contracts with the Project to obtain specifically requested technical support in SDA-related matters. Groundwater monitoring results from the SDA are reported in Appendix L [pp. L-3 through L-11] but are not discussed here.)

Table E-1 (pp. E-3 through E-6) identifies the hydraulic positions of monitoring locations relative to the SSWMUs. The wells monitoring a given hydrogeologic unit (e.g., sand and gravel, weathered Lavery till) also are arranged in a generalized upgradient to downgradient order based upon their location within the entire hydrogeologic unit. The hydraulic position of a well relative to a SSWMU (upgradient or downgradient) does not necessarily match that same well's position within its hydrogeologic unit. For example, a well that is upgradient in relation to a SSWMU may be located at any position within a hydrogeologic unit within the boundaries of the WVDP, depending on the geographic position of the SSWMU relative to the hydrogeologic unit. In general, the following text and graphics refer to the hydraulic position of monitoring wells within their respective hydrogeologic units, thus providing a sitewide perspective rather than a perspective centered on SSWMUs. Information provided in Appendix E (pp. E-7 through E-19) also follows this convention.

Table 4-1
2002 Groundwater Sampling and Analysis Agenda

Analyte Group	Description of Parameters¹	Location of Sampling Results in Appendix E
Contamination Indicator Parameters (I)	pH, specific conductance (field measurement)	Tables E-2 through E-8 (pp. E-7 through E-15)
Radiological Indicator Parameters (RI)	Gross alpha, gross beta, tritium	Tables E-2 through E-8 (pp. E-7 through E-15)
Volatile Organic Compounds (V)	NYCRR Appendix 33 Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) (See Table E-14 [p. E-20].)	Table E-9 (p. E-15)
Semivolatile Organic Compounds (SV)	NYCRR Appendix 33 Semi-volatile Organic Compounds (SVOCs) and tributyl phosphate (TBP) (See Table E-14 [pp. E-21 and E-22].)	Table E-10 (p. E-16)
NYCRR Appendix 33 Metals (M33)	Antimony, arsenic, barium, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, cobalt, copper, lead, mercury, nickel, selenium, silver, thallium, tin, vanadium, zinc	Table E-11 (pp. E-16 and E-17)
Special Monitoring Parameters for Early Warning Wells (SM)	Aluminum, iron, manganese	Table E-12 (p. E-17)
Radioisotopic Analyses: alpha-, beta-, and gamma-emitters (R)	Carbon-14, Strontium-90, Technetium-99, Iodine-129, Cesium-137, Radium-226, Radium-228, Uranium-232, Uranium-233/234, Uranium-235/236, Uranium-238, total uranium	Table E-13 (pp. E-18 and E-19)
Strontium-90 (S)	Strontium-90	Table E-13 (pp. E-18 and E-19)

2002 Quarterly Monitoring Schedule:

1st Qtr - December 1, 2001 to February 28, 2002

2nd Qtr - March 1, 2002 to May 31, 2002

3rd Qtr - June 1, 2002 to August 31, 2002

4th Qtr - September 1, 2002 to November 30, 2002

¹*Analysis completed for selected active monitoring locations only. See Table E-1 (pp. E-3 through E-6) for the analytes assigned to each monitoring location.*

Groundwater Sampling Methodology

Groundwater samples are collected from monitoring wells using either dedicated Teflon[®] well bailers or bladder pumps. (Dedicated bailers are equipped with Teflon[®]-coated stainless-steel leaders.)

The method of collection depends on well construction, water depth, and the water-yielding characteristics of the well. Bailers are used in low-yield wells; bladder pumps are used in wells with good water-yielding characteristics.

To ensure that only representative groundwater is sampled, three well volumes are removed (purged) from the well before the actual samples are collected. If three well volumes cannot be removed because of limited recharge, pumping or bailing the well to dryness provides sufficient purging. Conductivity and pH are measured before sampling and, if sufficient water is still available, after sampling to confirm the geochemical stability of the groundwater during sampling.

The bailer, a tube with a check valve at the bottom, is lowered into the well until it reaches the desired point in the water column. The bailer is lowered slowly to minimize agitation of the water column and is then withdrawn from the well with a sample and emptied into a sample container. The bailer, bailer line, and bottom-emptying device used to drain the bailer are dedicated to the well, that is, they are not used for any other well.

Bladder pumps use compressed air to gently squeeze a Teflon[®] bladder that is encased in a stainless-steel tube located near the bottom of the well. When the pressure is released, new groundwater flows into the bladder. A series of check valves ensures that the water flows only in one direction. The operating air is always separated from the sample and is expelled to the surface by a separate line.

Bladder pumps reduce mixing and agitation of the water in the well. Each bladder pump system is dedicated to an individual well to reduce the likelihood of sample contamination from external materials or cross contamination. The air compressor and pump control box can be used from well to well because they do not contact the sample or the inside of the well.

Immediately after the samples are collected they are put into a cooler with ice and returned to the Project's Environmental Laboratory. The samples are preserved with chemicals, if necessary, and stored under controlled conditions to minimize chemical and/or biological changes after sample collection. The samples are then either packaged for expedited delivery to an off-site contract laboratory or kept in controlled storage to await on-site testing. A strict chain-of-custody protocol is followed for all samples collected by the WVDP.

Table 4-2
Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Program by Geographic Area
Monitoring Year 2002

	Total WVDP*	North Plateau	South Plateau	Off-Site Residential
Number of Wells Sampled - Analytical*	75	50	15	10
Number of Wells - Water Elevations Only	42	26	16	0
Number of Monitoring Events	5	4	4	1
Number of Analyses	1,098	888	162	48
Number of Results	7,360	6,053	1,211	96
Percent of Nondetectable Results	80%	80%	84%	65%
Number of Water Elevation Measurements	396	272	124	0

* Total number of wells sampled includes WVDP on-site (65 wells) and off-site (10 wells).

Table 4-3
Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Program by Monitoring Purpose
Monitoring Year 2002

	Regulatory/Waste Management	Environmental Surveillance
Number of Wells Sampled - Analytical*	34	41
Number of Wells - Water Elevations Only	0	42
Number of Monitoring Events	4	5
Number of Analyses	283	804
Number of Results	4,872	2,553
Percent of Nondetectable Results	92%	66%
Number of Water Elevation Measurements	128	268

* Total number of wells sampled includes WVDP on-site (65 wells) and off-site (10 wells).

Potentiometric (water level) measurements also are collected from the wells listed in Table E-1 (pp. E-3 through E-6) in conjunction with the quarterly analytical sampling schedule. (See Table 4-1 [p. 4-6].) Groundwater elevation data are used to produce groundwater contour maps, which delineate flow directions and gradients, and long-term trend graphs, which illustrate seasonal fluctuations and other changes in the groundwater system. In 2002, water levels were routinely measured at 42 locations in addition to those that were sampled. See Tables 4-2 and 4-3 (*facing page*) for a summary of groundwater monitoring activities in 2002.

Surface water elevation measurements are also collected at eleven locations on the north plateau where the water table in the sand and gravel unit intersects the ground surface in the form of standing water. Surface water elevation measurements taken at these locations are correlated with groundwater elevation measurements taken at monitoring wells and are used routinely to help define groundwater flow direction and gradients in the sand and gravel unit in areas where monitoring well coverage is sparse or nonexistent.

Groundwater Monitoring Program Highlights 1982 Through 2002. The groundwater monitoring program is designed to support DOE Order 5400.1 requirements, the RCRA §3008(h) Administrative Order on Consent for the WVDP, and the approved RFIs completed pursuant to the Consent Order requirements. In general, the content of the program is dictated by these requirements in conjunction with current operating practices and historical knowledge of previous site activities.

- Groundwater monitoring at the WVDP began in 1982 with the monitoring of tritium in the sand and gravel unit in the area of the lagoon system.
- By 1984 twenty wells in the vicinity of the main plant and the NDA provided monitoring coverage.

- Fourteen new wells, a groundwater seep location, and the french drain outfall were added in 1986 to monitor additional site facilities.
- In 1990 ninety-six new wells were installed for data collection for the environmental impact statement and RCRA facility investigations.
- A RCRA facility investigation expanded-characterization program was conducted during 1993 and 1994 to fully assess potential releases of hazardous wastes or constituents from on-site SSWMUs. This investigation, which consisted of two rounds of sampling for a wide range of radiological and chemical parameters, provided valuable information regarding the presence or absence of groundwater contamination near each SSWMU and was also used to guide later monitoring program modifications.
- In 1993, monitoring results indicated elevated gross beta activity in groundwater in the sand and gravel unit on the north plateau. Subsequent investigation of this area delineated a plume of contamination with a southwest to northeast orientation. (See Special Groundwater Monitoring [p. 4-16] for more detail.)
- Long-term monitoring needs were the focus of a 1995 groundwater monitoring program evaluation. After a comprehensive assessment, the number of sampling locations was reduced from ninety-one to sixty-five and analytical parameters were tailored for each sampling location for a more focused, efficient, and cost-effective program.
- In 1996, several groundwater seep monitoring locations on the northeast edge of the north plateau were added to the monitoring program.
- From 1996 through 2002, in response to current sampling results and DOE and RCRA monitoring

requirements, specific monitoring locations, analytes, and sampling frequencies were modified.

Annual Analytical Trigger Level Review. A computerized data-evaluation program using “trigger levels” for chemical and radiological analytes was instituted in 1995. These pre-set levels – conservative values for chemical or radiological concentrations – were developed to identify and expedite a prompt focus on any anomalies in monitoring results. These values are based on regulatory limits, detection limits, or statistically derived levels. Trigger levels are reviewed and updated every year, if necessary, using all pre-existing data as well as the current year’s data. The trigger levels were updated before the start of the first-quarter 2002 groundwater monitoring.

Upper and lower trigger levels for groundwater elevation measurements were introduced in 1999. These levels are used to identify field measurement anomalies, allowing prompt investigation and remeasurement, if necessary. Groundwater-elevation trigger levels were updated before the start of the first-quarter 2002 groundwater monitoring.

Results of Routine Groundwater Monitoring

Each component of the groundwater monitoring program is completed in accordance with regulatory protocols. These components include locating and installing wells, collecting groundwater samples, incorporating quality assurance methods, and evaluating data.

The tables in Appendix E (pp. E-7 through E-19) group the results of groundwater monitoring according to the five hydrogeologic units monitored: the sand and gravel unit, the Lavery till-sand unit, the weathered Lavery till unit, the unweathered Lavery till unit, and the Kent recessional sequence. These tables contain the results of sampling for



Using a Datalogger to Record Hydraulic Conductivity Data From an On-Site Monitoring Well

the radiological and nonradiological analyte groups noted on Table 4-1 (p. 4-6). In addition, Table E-14 (pp. E-20 through E-22) lists the practical quantitation limits (PQLs) for individual New York Official Compilation of Codes, Rules, and Regulations (NYCRR) Title 6, Appendix 33 analytes. (The PQL is the lowest level of an analyte that can be measured within specified limits of precision during routine laboratory operations [New York State Department of Environmental Conservation [NYSDEC], 1991].)

Appendix E tables also provide each well’s hydraulic position relative to other wells within the same hydrogeologic unit. Wells identified as UP refer to either background wells or wells that are upgradient of other wells in the same hydrogeologic unit. Wells identified as DOWN are downgradient

of other wells in that unit. In each table wells are presented from upgradient to furthest downgradient. Grouping the wells by hydraulic position provides the basis for presenting the groundwater monitoring data in the tables and figures in this report. (See Table 4-1 [p. 4-6] for the quarterly groundwater monitoring schedule.)

High-Low Graphs. Graphs showing the range of values for contamination and radiological indicator parameters (pH, conductivity, gross alpha, gross beta, and tritium) have been prepared for all active monitoring locations in each geologic unit. (See Appendix E [pp. E-24 through E-32].) These high-low graphs allow results for all wells within a given hydrogeologic unit to be compared to each other. All of the high-low graphs present the upgradient wells on the left side of the figure. Downgradient locations are plotted to the right according to their relative position along the groundwater flow path.

On the high-low graphs depicting nonradiological contamination indicator results (pH and conductivity), the upper and lower tick marks on the vertical bar indicate the highest and lowest measurements recorded during 2002 for a particular well. The middle tick represents the arithmetic mean, or average, of all 2002 results for that well. The vertical bar indicates the total range of the data set for each monitoring location during the year.

On the high-low graphs depicting radiological indicator results (gross alpha, gross beta, and tritium), the middle tick is again used to represent the arithmetic mean of all 2002 results. However, the upper and lower tick marks on the vertical bar indicate the upper and lower ranges of the pooled error terms for all 2002 results. This format illustrates the relative amount of uncertainty associated with the radiological measurements. By displaying the uncertainty together with the mean, a more realistic perspective is obtained. (See also Data Reporting [p. 1-4] in Chapter 1, Environ-

mental Program Information.) On magnified-scale graphs, markers for some locations cannot be shown because the magnitude of the concentration is larger than the upper range of the graph.

The analytical results for gross alpha, gross beta, and tritium, even if below the minimum detectable concentrations, were used to generate the high-low graphs. Thus, negative values were included. This is most common for the gross alpha analyses, where sample radiological counting results may be lower than the associated background.

The wells used to provide background values are noted on each graph. All of the geologic units except the sand and gravel unit use a single well for background. In previous years, well NB1S was used as the single background reference well for the sand and gravel unit. However, in 1997 the collective monitoring results from three upgradient wells (301, 401, and 706) were substituted for NB1S to use for comparison with other sand and gravel wells as a way of better representing the natural spatial variability within this geologic unit. Both the DOE and NYSDEC have accepted the use of this collective background reference instead of well NB1S.

Trend-Line Graphs. Trend-line graphs have been used at monitoring locations that have historically shown radiological concentrations above background values, or volatile and semivolatile organic compound (VOC and SVOC) concentrations above practical quantitation limits. Graphs are included for gross beta and tritium at selected groundwater monitoring locations (104, 105, 111, 408, 501, 502, 801, 8603, 8604, and 8605) and for the VOCs 1,1-dichloroethane (1,1-DCA) at wells 803, 8609, and 8612; dichlorodifluoromethane (DCDFMeth) at wells 803 and 8612; 1,2-dichloroethylene (1,2-DCE-t) and 1,1,1-trichloroethane (1,1,1-TCA) at well 8612; and tributyl phosphate (TBP) at wells 111 and 8605. (See Volatile and Semivolatile Organic Compounds Sampling [p. 4-15].)

Long-Term Trends of Gross Beta and Tritium at Selected Groundwater Monitoring Locations.

Figures 4-5 through 4-10 (pp. 4-20 through 4-22) show the trends of gross beta and tritium concentrations at selected monitoring locations in the sand and gravel unit. These specific groundwater monitoring locations were selected for trending because they have shown elevated or rising levels of gross beta concentrations, with some also showing elevated levels of tritium. Results are presented on a logarithmic scale to allow locations having widely differing concentrations to be compared to the average background concentrations plotted on each graph.

Gross Beta. The groundwater plume of gross beta activity in the sand and gravel unit on the north plateau (Fig. 4-3 [facing page]) continues to be monitored closely. The source of the plume's activity can be traced to the subsurface beneath the southwest corner of the former process building. In 2002, eleven wells (104, 105, 111, 408, 501, 502, 801, 8603, 8604, 8605, and 8609) showed gross beta concentrations that exceeded the DOE derived concentration guide (DCG) for strontium-90 (1.0E-06 $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$). Lagoon 1, formerly part of the low-level waste treatment facility, has been identified as a source of the gross beta activity at wells 8605 and 111. The gross beta concentrations at well 8605 have been slowly but steadily decreasing over the past several years, while concentrations at 111 continued to fluctuate within historical levels. Low levels of contamination observed at SP11 are believed to be attributable to re-infiltration of contaminated water that has surfaced from the strontium-90 groundwater plume.

- Figures 4-5 and 4-6 (p. 4-20) show gross beta concentrations in wells 104, 105, 111, 116, 408, 501, 502, and 801 over the last twelve years. As in previous years, samples from well 408 continued to show the highest gross beta concentrations of all the wells within the north plateau gross beta

plume area. Gross beta results for well 408 in 2002 were similar to the 2001 results.

Gross beta at well 111 was slightly lower in 2002 than in 2001. Well 502 showed a slight increase relative to 2001 and wells 501 and 801 showed slight decreases. Wells 104 and 105 showed increases relative to 2001 values.

- Figure 4-7 (p. 4-21) is a graph of gross beta concentrations at sand and gravel unit monitoring locations 8603, 8604, 8605, and 8609. After several years of increases in gross beta concentrations in well 8604, the trend showed relatively minor fluctuations during 1996 through 2002. Results from well 8603 showed a steady upward trend until early 2000, with only slight fluctuations since then. Well 8609 exceeded the DCG for strontium-90 (1.0E-06 $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$) for the first time during 2002.

Tritium. Tritium in sand and gravel wells also is routinely monitored as part of the groundwater program.

- Figure 4-8 (p. 4-21) shows the tritium concentrations in wells 111, 408, 501, and 502 over the twelve-year period that the WVDP's current groundwater monitoring program has been in place. The figure indicates that tritium concentrations in these wells show slight decreases or relatively consistent trends.

- Figure 4-9 (p. 4-22) shows tritium concentrations in wells 104, 105, 116, and 801 over the past twelve years. Well 801 shows an overall decrease through 2002, well 104 showed a slight increase for 2002, and well 105 continued its recent downward trend.

- Figure 4-10 (p. 4-22) shows seventeen-year trends of tritium concentrations at monitoring locations 8603, 8604, 8605, and 8609. Wells 8603 and 8604 indicate gradually declining trends in tri-

j:\gis\laser.apr fig 3-3 gross beta plume, r0 (03/11/03) - fjc




Figure 4-3. North Plateau Gross Beta Plume Area: Fourth-Quarter 2002 Results

tium; 8605 shows a significant decrease over time; well 8609 shows a gradual decrease, with 2002 results similar to 2001.

North Plateau Seeps. Analytical results of sampling for radiological parameters from the sand and gravel unit seepage monitoring locations were compared with the results from GSEEP, a seep monitored since 1991 that has not been affected by the gross beta plume. (Seep monitoring locations are noted on Figs. A-6 and A-7 [pp. A-8 and A-9].)

Gross Beta. Radiological monitoring results continue to indicate that the gross beta groundwater plume has not migrated to these seepage areas. With the exception of SP11, gross beta concentrations from all seep monitoring locations were less than or similar to GSEEP concentrations during 2002. The gross beta concentration at SP11 shows a slightly increasing trend since early 1999 and somewhat steeper increases during 2001 and 2002. Contamination observed at SP11 is believed to be attributable to re-infiltration of contaminated water that has surfaced from the strontium-90 groundwater plume. Although somewhat greater than values typically obtained at GSEEP, it is still well below the strontium-90 DCG. (See Table E-7 [p. E-14].)

Gross Alpha. Gross alpha concentrations at all of the seep sampling locations were very low – generally below the associated uncertainty or less than the detection limit.

Tritium. Tritium concentrations at the seeps remained similar in magnitude or were less than concentrations at GSEEP. Tritium concentrations in the north plateau seeps, including GSEEP, are slightly above the levels reported in background wells of the sand and gravel unit. The concentrations are similar to those seen in sand and gravel unit wells monitoring the lagoon areas of the north plateau, but are still far below the DCG for tritium.

The north plateau seep monitoring locations are inspected periodically and repaired as necessary to maintain optimum seepage flow. This ensures the quality, quantity, and representativeness of the groundwater samples. The conditions at all seep monitoring locations are checked during routine sampling and during the annual inspection of groundwater monitoring equipment.

North Plateau Well Points. Seven well points were installed in 1990 downgradient of the process building and were sampled annually between 1993 and 1996 for radiological indicator parameters. This area, east of the process building and west of inactive lagoon 1, appears to be an area of localized contamination and is routinely monitored for contamination indicator and radiological indicator parameters. Data from these seven well points were used to supplement data collected from groundwater monitoring wells. Four well points were removed from the sampling program in 1997 because sufficient coverage was provided by active monitoring wells.

Sampling at well points A, C, and H (Fig. A-6 [p. A-8]) monitors tritium concentrations in the area east of the process building and fuel receiving and storage facility (FRS) and west of inactive lagoon 1. Samples from these three locations have yielded concentrations of tritium that, while elevated with respect to historical monitoring of wells in the area, are well below the DCG of $2.0\text{E-}03 \mu\text{Ci/mL}$. (See Table E-8 [p. E-15].) Data from downgradient monitoring wells have not indicated similarly elevated levels of tritium.

Results of Radioisotopic Sampling. Groundwater samples for radioisotopic analyses are collected regularly from selected monitoring points in the sand and gravel unit and the weathered Lavery till. (See Table E-13 [pp. E-18 and E-19].) Results in 2002 were generally similar to historical findings. Strontium-90 remained the major con-

tributor to elevated gross beta activity in the plume on the north plateau, as indicated by the similarity between strontium-90 trends and gross beta trends in wells showing elevated gross beta results.

Carbon-14, technetium-99, and iodine-129, which have been detected at several monitoring locations at concentrations above background levels, contribute very small percentages to total gross beta concentrations. These detections have occurred at locations within the gross beta plume and downgradient of inactive lagoon 1 and the NDA. None of the concentrations of carbon-14, technetium-99, or iodine-129 have been above DCGs, and gross beta analyses continue to provide appropriate trend surveillance on a quarterly basis.

Results of Monitoring at the NDA. A trench system was constructed along the northeast and northwest sides of the NDA to collect groundwater that may be contaminated with a mixture of n-dodecane and tributyl phosphate (TBP). (See also Chapter 1, Environmental Program Information, NRC-Licensed Disposal Area [NDA] Interceptor Trench and Pretreatment System [p. 1-11].) There were no monitoring results in 2002 that indicated the presence of TBP or n-dodecane in groundwater in the vicinity of the NDA. Groundwater levels are monitored quarterly in and around the trench to ensure that an inward gradient is maintained, thereby minimizing the likelihood for outward migration of potentially contaminated groundwater.

Gross beta and tritium concentrations in samples from location NDATR, a sump at the lowest point of the interceptor trench, and from well 909 (Fig. A-6 [p. A-8]), which is downgradient of NDATR, continued to be elevated with respect to background monitoring locations on the south plateau but were still well below the DCGs.

NDATR. During 2002, gross beta concentrations at NDATR were slightly lower than those seen

during 2001, but tritium, while still higher than at other NDA monitoring locations, declined and then leveled off during recent years.

Well 909. Radiological indicator results have historically fluctuated at this location but, in general, upward long-term trends in both gross beta and tritium are discernible at well 909, although the trends show a decrease and then level off during 2000 through 2002. Gross beta concentrations from well 909 are considerably higher than at NDATR. Residual soil contamination near well 909 is the suspected source of elevated gross beta concentrations at well 909.

Volatile and Semivolatile Organic Compounds Sampling

Volatile and semivolatile organic compounds were sampled at specific locations (wells 8612, 8609, 803, and seep sampling location SP12 [Fig. A-6, p. A-8]) that have shown historical results above their respective practical quantitation limits. (See Table E-14 [pp. E-20 through E-22] for a list of PQLs.) Other monitoring locations are sampled for VOCs and/or SVOCs because they are downgradient of locations that have shown positive results or to comply with the RCRA §3008(h) Administrative Order on Consent.

1,1-Dichloroethane (1,1-DCA). Trends in concentrations of the compound 1,1-DCA from 1991 through 2002 are illustrated in Figure 4-11 (p. 4-23). Concentrations of 1,1-DCA at well 8612 decreased during 1995–1998 and then leveled off during 1999–2002. The compound was not detected at wells 8609, 803, or groundwater seep SP12 during 2002. (See Table E-9 [p. E-15].)

Dichlorodifluoromethane (DCDFMeth). Trends of DCDFMeth concentrations are shown in Figure 4-12 (p. 4-23). DCDFMeth was detected

at wells 803 and 8612 during 2002 at estimated concentration levels below the PQL.

Total 1,2-Dichloroethylene (1,2-DCE-t). Positive detections of 1,2-DCE-t were first noticed at well 8612 (Fig. 4-13 [p. 4-24]) in 1995. Concentrations of 1,2-DCE-t during 2002 were similar to those measured during 2001.

1,1,1-Trichloroethane (1,1,1-TCA). The compound 1,1,1-TCA was detected in wells 8609 and 8612 during 2002 at levels below the PQL but was not detected in well 803 or in seep SP12. (See Table E-9 [p. E-15] for VOC concentrations at these locations and Fig. 4-13 [p. 4-24] for a graph of 1,1,1-TCA concentrations at well 8612.)

The VOCs 1,1-DCA, DCDFMeth, and 1,1,1-TCA are often found in combination with each other and with 1,2-DCE-t. In well 8612, each of these three compounds first exhibited an increasing trend that, over the past few years, was then followed by a decreasing trend. It is expected that 1,2-DCE-t will exhibit similar behavior, and continued routine monitoring will evaluate future trends.

Tributyl Phosphate. Concentrations of TBP were detected in 2002 groundwater samples from well 8605, near former lagoon 1, at concentrations higher than those in 2001 but within the range of historical results. TBP also was detected at levels similar to historical results in well 111, which is next to and downgradient of well 8605, but at levels much lower than those at well 8605. (See Fig. 4-14 [p. 4-24] and Table E-10 [p. E-16].)

The ongoing detection of TBP in this localized area may be related to previously detected low, positive concentrations of iodine-129 and uranium-232 in wells 111 and 8605, as noted in previous Annual Site Environmental Reports. The presence of these three contaminants may reflect residual contamination from liquid waste management activities in

the former lagoon 1 area during earlier nuclear fuel reprocessing. Future trends of TBP will be evaluated as part of the routine groundwater monitoring program.

Off-Site Groundwater Monitoring. Groundwater is used as a potable water supply at off-site private residences near the WVDP. Nine off-site residential supply wells located within 4.3 miles (7 km) of the facility were sampled for radiological parameters in 2002. A tenth private well, located 18 miles (29 km) south of the site, provided a background location. These monitoring results are discussed in Chapter 2 (p. 2-12), Overview of Drinking Water Monitoring.

Special Groundwater Monitoring

Gross Beta Plume on the North Plateau. Elevated gross beta activity has been detected in groundwater from the surficial sand and gravel unit in areas north and east of the building where Nuclear Fuel Services, Inc. (NFS) reprocessed nuclear fuel (Fig. 4-3 [p. 4-13]). In December 1993, elevated gross beta concentrations were detected in surface water at former sampling location WNDMPNE, located near the edge of the plateau. This detection initiated a subsurface groundwater and soil investigation in 1994 using a Geoprobe® mobile sampling system. Results of the investigation were used to estimate the extent of the gross beta plume beneath and downgradient of the process building. The gross beta plume delineated in 1994 was approximately 300 feet wide and 800 feet long.

The highest gross beta concentrations in groundwater and soil were near the southeast corner of the process building. For the 1994 study, the maximum concentration in groundwater was 3.6E-03 $\mu\text{Ci/mL}$, and the maximum concentration in soil was 2.4E-02 $\mu\text{Ci/g}$. Strontium-90 and its daughter

product, yttrium-90, were determined to be the isotopes responsible for most of this elevated gross beta activity (WVNSCO, 1995).

In 1995 the north plateau groundwater recovery system (NPGRS) was installed to minimize the advance of the gross beta plume. The NPGRS is located near the leading edge of the western lobe of the plume where groundwater flows preferentially toward the edge of the plateau, seeps into a ditch, and flows as surface water toward monitoring location WNSWAMP. (See Northeast Swamp Drainage Monitoring [*this page*].) The NPGRS consists of three extraction wells (RW-01, RW-02, and RW-03) that recover the contaminated groundwater, which is then treated by ion exchange to remove strontium-90. Treated water is transferred to lagoon 4 or 5, then to lagoon 3, and ultimately is discharged to Erdman Brook.

The north plateau groundwater recovery system operated successfully throughout 2002, processing about 4.3 million gallons (16.2 million liters). The system has recovered and processed approximately 29 million gallons (110 million liters) since November 1995.

As a result of recommendations from a 1997 external review of WVDP response actions on the north plateau, more attention was given in 1998 to the core area of the plume, determined to be beneath and immediately downgradient of the former process building. A summary report, 1998 Geoprobe[®] Investigation in the Core Area of the North Plateau Groundwater Plume (WVNSCO, June 1999), discusses groundwater and soil sampling data in the core area and compares radiological sampling results with the 1994 data. The 1998 study verified that strontium-90 is the predominant beta-emitter in groundwater and saturated soil within the north plateau groundwater plume. The report also noted that, while the overall distribution of strontium-90 in groundwater within the plume

was similar to 1994, concentrations detected in 1998 samples were generally lower than in the 1994 samples due to radioactive decay and continuing migration and dispersion of the plume.

Permeable Treatment Wall. A pilot-scale permeable treatment wall (PTW) was completed in the fall of 1999 in the eastern lobe of the north plateau strontium-90 groundwater plume in order to test this passive, in-situ remediation technology. The PTW is a trench constructed in the subsurface and backfilled with clinoptilolite, a medium selected for its ability to adsorb strontium-90 ions from groundwater. The PTW extends vertically downward through the sand and gravel unit to the top of the underlying Lavery till and is approximately 30 feet long and 10 feet wide (9 meters long by 3 meters wide).

Monitoring and evaluation of water levels and radiological concentrations upgradient, within, and downgradient of the PTW continued during 2002 in order to assess its effectiveness. Additional test borings and monitoring well installations were completed in the vicinity of the PTW during the fall of 2001 in order to obtain improved definition of hydrogeologic conditions. Hydraulic testing in the new wells was completed during 2002. The evaluation concluded that complex hydrogeologic conditions and disturbances from the installation are influencing groundwater flow into and around the pilot PTW.

Northeast Swamp Drainage Monitoring. Routine surface water sampling during 2002 continued to monitor radioactivity levels in surface water flowing through the outlet location WNSWAMP. (See Appendix C, Table C-3D [p. C-31].) Gross beta and strontium-90 concentrations continued to fluctuate due to seasonal effects. The annualized average strontium-90 concentrations were consistent for the first five months and then steadily decreased during the remainder of the year. (See




Figure 4-4. Annualized Average Strontium-90 Concentrations at WNSWAMP

Fig. 4-4 [p. 4-18].) The decrease is believed to be due to a natural diluting effect resulting from above-average precipitation during the summer and fall months. (See Figures I-4 and I-5 [p. I-6] and Table I-1 [p. I-7] in Appendix I.) The flow rate increased in 2002 from 2001. The main source of the elevated strontium-90 is seepage of groundwater affected by the north plateau plume into a ditch upstream of WNSWAMP.

Although the annualized average concentration of strontium-90 in surface water decreased at sampling location WNSWAMP (on the WVDP premises), concentrations remained elevated with respect to background. Even so, monitoring downstream at the first point of public access (WFFELBR) continued to show strontium-90 concentrations that were not significantly different from background (WFBIGBR) concentrations. (See also Northeast Swamp and North Swamp Drainage [p. 2-6] in Chapter 2, Environmental Radiological Program Information.)

North Plateau Groundwater Quality Early Warning Monitoring. Early-warning monitoring of water recovered by the NPGRS is important because this water ultimately is discharged through outfall 001. Quarterly monitoring results for nonradiological parameters from three wells (116, 602A, and 502) in the vicinity of the NPGRS can be used to identify concentrations that may affect compliance with New York State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (SPDES) effluent limits. Routine results for two of the wells, 116 and 602A, are used to monitor groundwater in the area close to that affected by NPGRS drawdown. The third well, 502, is directly upgradient of the NPGRS and is sampled for additional metals not routinely analyzed under the current groundwater monitoring program. Analytical results of sampling of well 502 for these additional metals can be found in Table E-12 (p. E-17 in Appendix E).

Investigation of Chromium and Nickel in the Sand and Gravel Unit and Evaluation of Corrosion in Groundwater Monitoring Wells. A 1997 and 1998 study of the effect of modifying sampling equipment and methodology on the concentrations of chromium and nickel in samples of groundwater from the sand and gravel unit noted that such modifications did produce decreases in chromium and nickel concentrations. This supported the hypothesis (which is well documented in the technical literature) that the elevated concentrations were not representative of actual groundwater conditions, but were caused by the release of metals from subsurface corrosion of stainless-steel well materials (WVNSCO and Dames & Moore, June 1998).

To ensure continued monitoring well integrity and the collection of high-quality samples representative of actual groundwater conditions, wells are periodically inspected for corrosion. Approximately three-fourths of the stainless-steel wells monitoring the sand and gravel unit were internally inspected for corrosion during 2001. Wells containing corrosion were cleaned using simple brushing and purging techniques. Cleaned wells were reinspected to verify that corrosion had been removed.

Ten-Year Sampling Pump Inspections. Dedicated bladder pumps were installed in many WVDP monitoring wells in 1991. (See Groundwater Sampling Methodology [p. 4-7].) Pumps in all actively sampled wells were removed and inspected during 2001 in order to evaluate conditions after ten years of use. All pumps were found to be in good, serviceable condition and future maintenance will be based on observations made during routine quarterly sampling activities.




Figure 4-5. Twelve-Year Trends of Averaged Gross Beta Concentrations ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$) at Selected Locations in the Sand and Gravel Unit (Central Area of Plateau)




Figure 4-6. Twelve-Year Trends of Averaged Gross Beta Concentrations ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$) at Selected Locations in the Sand and Gravel Unit (Northeast Area of Plateau)




Figure 4-7. Seventeen-Year Trends of Averaged Gross Beta Concentrations (µCi/mL) at Selected Locations in the Sand and Gravel Unit




Figure 4-8. Twelve-Year Trends of Averaged Tritium Concentrations (µCi/mL) at Selected Locations in the Sand and Gravel Unit (Central Area of Plateau)




Figure 4-9. Twelve-Year Trends of Averaged Tritium Concentrations ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$) at Selected Locations in the Sand and Gravel Unit (Northeast Area of Plateau)




Figure 4-10. Seventeen-Year Trends of Averaged Tritium Concentrations ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$) at Selected Locations in the Sand and Gravel Unit




Figure 4-11. Twelve-Year Trends of 1,1-DCA (µg/L) at Selected Locations in the Sand and Gravel Unit




Figure 4-12. Twelve-Year Trends of Dichlorodifluoromethane (µg/L) at Selected Locations in the Sand and Gravel Unit




Figure 4-13. Twelve-Year Trends of 1,2-DCE-t and 1,1,1-TCA (µg/L) at Well 8612 in the Sand and Gravel Unit




Figure 4-14. Trends of Tributyl Phosphate (µg/L) at Selected Locations in the Sand and Gravel Unit

QUALITY ASSURANCE

The quality assurance (QA) program at the West Valley Demonstration Project (WVDP or Project) provides for and documents consistency, precision, and accuracy in collecting and analyzing environmental samples and in interpreting and reporting environmental monitoring data.

Organizational Responsibilities

West Valley Nuclear Services Co. (WVNSCO) is contractually obligated to implement a QA program at the WVDP. Managers of programs, projects, and tasks are responsible for determining and documenting the applicability of QA requirements to their activities and for implementing those requirements. For example, the Project Environmental Laboratory management and staff are directly responsible for carrying out sampling and analytical activities in a manner consistent with good quality assurance practices and for following approved procedures.

Program Design

The quality requirements of rule 10 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 830.122, Quality Assurance Criteria (U.S. Department of Energy [DOE]), and DOE Order 414.1A, Quality Assurance (DOE, 2001) provide the QA program policies and requirements applicable to activities at the WVDP.

The integrated quality assurance program applicable to environmental monitoring at the WVDP also incorporates requirements from Quality Assurance Program Requirements for Nuclear Facilities (American Society of Mechanical Engineers [ASME NQA-1], 1989) and Specifications and Guidelines for Quality Systems for Environmental Data Collection and Environmental Technology Programs (American National Standards Institute and American Society for Quality Control [ANSI/ASQC E4-1994], 1994).

The QA program focuses upon assigning responsibilities and upon thorough planning, specification, control, and documentation of all aspects of an activity in order to ensure the quality of both radiological and nonradiological monitoring data. The quality assurance program includes requirements in the areas listed below.

Responsibility. Responsibilities for overseeing, managing, and conducting an activity must be clearly defined. Personnel who verify that the activity has been completed correctly must be independent of those who performed it.

Planning. An activity must be planned beforehand and the plan followed. All activities must be documented. Similarly, purchases of any equipment or items must be planned, specified precisely, and verified for correctness upon receipt.

Control of Design, Procedures, Items, and Documents. Any activity, equipment, or construction must be clearly described or defined and tested. Changes in the design must be tested and documented. Procedures must clearly state how activities will be conducted. Only approved procedures may be used. Equipment or particular items affecting the quality of environmental data must be identified, inspected, calibrated, and tested before use. Calibration status must be clearly indicated. Items that do not conform to requirements must be identified and separated from other items and the nonconformity documented.

Documentation. Records of all activities must be kept in order to verify what was done and by whom. Records must be clearly traceable to an item or activity.

Corrective Action. If a problem should arise, the cause of the problem must be identified, a corrective action planned, responsibility assigned, and the problem remedied.

Audits. Scheduled audits and assessments must be conducted to verify compliance with all aspects of the QA program and determine its effectiveness.

Subcontractor laboratories providing analytical services for the environmental monitoring program are contractually required to maintain a QA program consistent with WVNSCO requirements.

Procedures

Those activities that affect the quality of environmental monitoring data are conducted according to approved procedures that clearly describe how the activity should be performed and what precautions are to be taken in connection with the activity. Any person performing an activity that could affect the quality of environmental monitoring data must be

trained and must demonstrate proficiency in procedures applicable to the activity.

New procedures are developed each time an activity is added to the monitoring program. Procedures are reviewed periodically and updated when necessary. Documents are controlled so that only current procedures are used.

Quality Control in the Field

Quality control (QC), an integral component of environmental monitoring quality assurance, is a way of verifying that samples are being collected and analyzed according to established QA procedures. QC ensures that sample collection and analysis are consistent and repeatable and is a means of tracking down possible sources of error. For example, sample locations at the WVDP are clearly marked in the field to ensure that future samples are collected in the same locations; collection equipment in place in the field is routinely inspected, calibrated, and maintained; and automated sampling stations are kept locked to prevent tampering and to ensure sample integrity. Samples are collected into certified pre-cleaned containers of an appropriate material and capacity. Containers are labeled immediately with the pertinent information – date, time, person doing the collecting, and special field sampling conditions. Collection information is documented and kept as part of the record for that sample.

Chain-of-custody protocols are followed to ensure that samples are controlled and tracked for traceability. If necessary, samples are preserved as soon as possible after collection.

In order to assess quality problems that might be introduced by the sampling process, duplicate field samples, field blank samples, and trip blank samples are collected. Background samples are collected for baseline environmental information.

Field Duplicates. Field duplicates are samples collected simultaneously for the same analyte at one location, after which they are treated as separate samples. If the sampling matrix is homogeneous, field duplicates provide a means of assessing the precision of collection methods. Field duplicates are collected at a minimum rate of one per twenty samples.

Field Blanks. A field blank is a sample of laboratory-distilled water that is put into a sample container at a field collection site and is processed from that point as a routine sample. Field blanks are used to detect contamination that may be introduced by the sampling procedure or the sampling environment. They are processed at a minimum rate of one per twenty samples.

If the same collection equipment is used for more than one site, a special form of field blank known as an equipment blank may be collected by pouring laboratory-distilled water through cleaned collecting equipment and into a sample container. Equipment blanks are collected to detect any cross-contamination that may be passed from one sampling location to another by the equipment. Many wells and surface water collection stations have dedicated collecting equipment that remains at that location; equipment blanks are not necessary at these locations.

Trip Blanks. Trip blanks are prepared by pouring laboratory-distilled water into sample bottles in the laboratory. The bottles are then placed into sample coolers where they remain throughout the sampling event. Trip blanks are collected in order to detect any volatile organic contamination that may be introduced from handling during collection, storage, or shipping. Trip blanks are prepared once per day when volatile organic samples are being collected.

Environmental Background Samples. To monitor each pathway for possible radiological con-

tamination, samples of air, water, vegetation, meat, and milk are taken from locations remote from the site for comparison with samples from near-site locations. Samples that are clearly outside site influence show ambient radiological concentrations and serve as backgrounds or “controls,” another form of field quality control sample. Background samples provide baseline information to compare with information from near-site or on-site samples so that site influences can be evaluated.

Quality Control in the Laboratory

More than 13,000 samples were handled as part of site monitoring in 2002. Samples for routine indicator radiological analysis were analyzed on-site, with the rest being sent to subcontract laboratories.

Off-site subcontract laboratories must maintain a level of quality control as specified in contracts with WVNSCO and are required to participate in all applicable crosscheck programs and to maintain all relevant certifications.

In order to monitor the accuracy and precision of data, laboratory QC practices specific to each analytical method are clearly described in approved references or procedures. Examples of laboratory QC activities at the WVDP include proper training of analysts, maintaining and calibrating measuring equipment and instrumentation, and processing samples in accordance with specific methods as a means of monitoring laboratory performance.

Analytical instruments and counting systems are calibrated at specified frequencies, and logs of instrument calibration and maintenance are kept. Calibration methods for each instrument are specified in procedures or in manufacturers’ directions. Standards traceable to the National Institute of

Standards and Technology (NIST) are used to calibrate counting and test instrumentation.

Laboratory quality control samples consist of three general types: standards (including spikes), used to assess accuracy; blanks, used to assess the possibility of contamination; and duplicates, used to assess precision.

Standards. Laboratory standards are materials containing known concentrations of an analyte of interest such as a pH buffer or a plutonium-239 counting standard. Standards used at the WVDP for environmental monitoring activities are either NIST-traceable or reference materials from other nationally recognized sources.

At a minimum, one reference standard is analyzed for every twenty sample analyses. The results of the analyses are plotted on control charts, which specify acceptable limits. If the results lie within these limits, then analysis of actual environmental samples may proceed and the results are deemed usable.

Spikes. Another form of standard analysis is a laboratory spike. In a laboratory spike, a known amount of analyte is added to a sample or blank before the sample is analyzed. The percent recovery of the analyte indicates how much of the analyte of interest is being detected in the analysis of actual samples; hence, a spike also is an assessment of the accuracy of the method. Spike recoveries are recorded on control charts with documented acceptance limits.

Blanks. Laboratory blanks are prepared from a matrix similar to that of the sample but known to contain none of the analyte of interest. For instance, distilled water, taken through the same preparatory procedure as a sample, may serve as a laboratory blank for both radiological and chemical analyses of water samples. A positive result for an analyte in a blank indicates that something

is wrong with the analysis and that corrective action should be taken. In general, one laboratory blank is processed daily or with each batch of samples for a given analyte.

A special form of laboratory blank for radiological samples is an instrument background count, which is a count taken of a planchet or vial containing no sample. The count serves three purposes: to determine if contamination is present in the counting instrument; to determine if the instrument is responding in an acceptable manner; and to determine the background correction that should be applied when calculating radiological activity in certain samples.

Environmental samples containing little or no radioactivity must be measured with very sensitive instruments. For example, gross alpha and gross beta measurements must be made with a low-background proportional counter. An instrument background count is taken before each day's counting or with each batch of twenty samples. Background counts are recorded on control charts with defined acceptance limits. An unacceptable count requires corrective action before analyses can proceed.

Duplicates. Duplicates are analyzed to assess precision in the analytical process. Laboratory duplicates are created by splitting existing samples before analysis; each split is treated as a separate sample. If the analytical process is in control, results for each split should be within documented acceptance criteria.

To allow independent determination of environmental monitoring data, samples of air filters, water, milk, fish, vegetation, and sediments are split or separately collected and sent to the New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH) for measurement and independent reporting to the public. Co-located samples are listed in Appendix B of this report.

Crosschecks. As with standards, crosscheck samples contain a concentration of an analyte known to the agency conducting the crosscheck. However, the concentration is unknown to the participating laboratory. Crosscheck programs provide an additional means of testing accuracy of environmental measurements. WVNSCO participates in formal crosscheck programs for both radiological and nonradiological analyses.

The DOE recommends that all organizations performing radiological analyses as part of effluent or environmental monitoring participate in the semiannual Environmental Measurements Laboratory (EML) Quality Assessment Program (QAP). This program is designed to test the quality of environmental measurements being reported to the DOE by its contractors.

Crosscheck samples for radiological constituents are analyzed by both the Environmental Laboratory on-site and by the subcontract laboratory. A total of 113 radiological crosscheck analyses were performed by or for the WVDP and reported in 2002. All but two of those results (98% overall) were within acceptable limits.

Results from radiological crosschecks are summarized in Appendix J, Tables J-1 and J-2 (pp. J-3 through J-6).

The Environmental Laboratory analyzed and reported 27 samples in 2002; all results (100%) were in control. The subcontract laboratory, General Engineering Laboratory (GEL), submitted 86 results, of which 84 (96%) were in control.

Two nonradiological crosscheck samples (from Environmental Research Associates) for the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Discharge Monitoring Report-Quality Assurance Study #22 were analyzed for pH and residual chlorine by the WVDP wastewater facility laboratory.

Twenty-one crosscheck analyses were performed by an off-site vendor laboratory for additional parameters. Nonradiological crosscheck results are summarized in Appendix J, Table J-3 (p. J-7).

Results from both samples analyzed at the WVDP were within acceptance limits (100%). Of the twenty-one results reported by the vendor laboratory, nineteen were within acceptance limits (90.5%). Combining WVDP and vendor results for nonradiological crosschecks, 91.3% were in control.

WVNSCO subcontracted laboratories are required to perform satisfactorily on crosschecks, defined as 80% of results falling within control limits. Crosscheck results that fall outside control limits are addressed by formal corrective actions in order to determine any conditions that could adversely affect sample data and to ensure that actual sample results are reliable.

Personnel Training

Anyone performing environmental monitoring program activities is trained in the appropriate procedures and qualified accordingly before carrying out the activity as part of the site environmental monitoring program.

Recordkeeping

Control of records is an integral part of the environmental monitoring program. Field data sheets, chain-of-custody forms, requests for analysis, sample-shipping documents, sample logs, bench logs, laboratory data sheets, equipment maintenance logs, calibration logs, training records, crosscheck performance records, data packages, and weather measurements, in addition to other records, are maintained as documentation of the environmental monitoring program. All records pertaining to the program are routinely reviewed and securely stored.

A Laboratory Information Management System (LIMS) is used to log samples, print labels, store and process data, track QC samples, track samples, produce sampling and analytical worklists, and generate reports. Subcontract laboratories, where possible, provide data in electronic form for direct entry into the LIMS by WVDP personnel.

Chain-of-Custody Procedures

Chain-of-custody records begin with sample collection. Samples brought in from the field are transferred under signature from the sampler to the sample custodian and are logged at the sample receiving station, after which they are stored in a sample lockup before analysis or shipping. Samples sent off-site for analysis are accompanied by an additional chain-of-custody/analytical request form. Subcontract laboratories are required by contract to maintain internal chain-of-custody records and to store the samples under secure conditions.

Audits and Appraisals

In 2002, the WVNSCO Quality Assurance Department conducted several audits of the Environmental Laboratory's practices and procedures. Areas of inquiry included worker safety, quality assurance, control of equipment and system status, general conduct of operations principles, and New York State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (SPDES)/NPDES sampling. No findings were noted, although five observations regarding sample collection and preservation, records management, and chemical container safety were noted and corrective actions were identified and implemented.

In 2002, the Cattaraugus County Health Department conducted an annual inspection of the WVDP potable water supply system. No findings were identified.

The New York State Department of Environmental Conservation performed an inspection of WVDP's wastewater treatment facilities and SPDES discharge monitoring program in 2002. The inspection resulted in no reported findings or observations.

Self-Assessments

Routine self-assessments of the environmental monitoring program were conducted in 2002. The primary topics addressed by the assessment were: compliance with data management and data-reporting activities of the environmental monitoring program; compliance with QA requirements pertaining to the environmental monitoring program; compliance with National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) reporting requirements; and worker safety requirements during environmental monitoring program activities.

No findings or observations were noted. Recommended actions were proposed to improve the program. Several good practices were identified. Nothing was found during the course of these routine self-assessments that would compromise the reliability of the environmental monitoring program in general or the quality of the data in this report.

Lessons Learned Program

Information from audits, appraisals, and self-assessments are shared with other departments through the WVDP Lessons Learned Program. The WVDP maintains this system in order to identify, document, disseminate, and use this information to improve the safety, efficiency, and effectiveness of all WVNSCO operations.

Data Management

Information about environmental monitoring program samples is maintained and tracked in the LIMS and includes location, date and time of collection, chain-of-custody transfer, shipping information, analytical results, and final validation status.

All software used to generate data is verified and validated before use. All analytical data produced in the Environmental Laboratory at the bench level are reviewed and signed off by a qualified person other than the one who performed the analysis. A similar in-house review is contractually required from subcontractor laboratories.

Data Verification and Validation

Analytical data from both on- and off-site laboratories are reviewed to verify proper documentation of sample processing and data reporting, and to determine the quality and usability of the data. A graded approach is applied that, based upon data quality objectives, dictates the rigor of sample collection and/or sample analysis review. In the WVDP environmental program, each data point is validated per approved standard procedures before it is assigned approval status and made available for use.

Control measures such as chain-of-custody and sample identification numbering and collection dates are checked to ensure that the correct sample has been analyzed using the appropriate test procedures. The analytical process log information may be reviewed to verify the number and accuracy of quality-check samples and tests. Other quality requirements, such as meeting minimum testing sensitivity and precision levels, are checked for the data being examined.

Data not completely meeting requirements may be either flagged as estimates (useful, for example, as supporting information) or as rejected (not-to-be-used) data. When a sample does not provide a valid data point and analytical or calculation errors cannot be identified and corrected, a retest is often requested on either a remaining sample portion or a similar sample.

After validation is complete and accurate transcription into the WVDP environmental database (LIMS) is verified, the sample is approved and the associated data are available for assessment.

Data Assessment and Reporting

Validated radiological and nonradiological analytical data, field information, and historical project data are integrated and evaluated to assess the specific data usability in determining presence or absence of analytical constituents and, if present, the levels of the constituents. Data problems identified at this level are further investigated and appropriately resolved.

Data from the environmental monitoring program are then processed in order to assess the effect, if any, of the site on the environment and the public. Data from each sampling location are compared to historical results from the same location, comparable background measurements, and (if applicable) regulatory or guidance standards.

- Radiological concentrations in liquid effluent releases or air emissions are compared with DOE derived concentration guides (DCGs) for release of water or air to an unrestricted environment. DCGs for specific radionuclides are listed in Table K-1 (p. K-3).
- Calculated doses from air emissions are compared with NESHAP limits.

- Nonradiological releases from liquid effluents covered by the SPDES permit are compared with the limits specified in the permit. (See Table C-1A [pp. C-5 and C-6].)
- Data trends over time are assessed on a monthly basis and identified trends are investigated.
- Near-site radiological results are compared to results from background locations far from the site.
- Results from surface waters downgradient of the site are compared with results from upgradient locations.
- Groundwater monitoring results are compared to background data for each super solid waste management unit (SSWMU) and to background data for the north and south plateaus.

Standard statistical methods are used to compare the data. Where possible, the underlying distribution of the data set is assessed before determining the appropriate statistical tests to be used.

Once the data have been evaluated, reports are prepared. Calculations summarizing the data, for instance, summing the total curies released from an effluent point, averaging the annual concentration of a radionuclide at a monitoring point, or pooling confidence intervals from a series of measurements, are made in accordance with formally approved procedures. Final data are reported as described elsewhere in this report. (See Data Reporting in Chapter 1 [p. 1-4] and the section on Scientific Notation at the back of this report [p. UOM-2].)

Before each technical report is issued, the document, including the data on which the report was based, is comprehensively reviewed by one or

more persons who are knowledgeable in the necessary technical aspects of the field of work.

Summary

The multiple levels of scrutiny built into generating, validating, evaluating, and reporting data from the environmental monitoring program ensure that reliable data are reported. The quality assurance elements described in this chapter ensure that environmental monitoring data are consistent, precise, and accurate. The effectiveness of the monitoring program is evidenced by continuing favorable quality assurance assessments.

Appendix A

Maps

This page intentionally left blank




Figure A-1. West Valley Demonstration Project Base Map




Figure A-2. On-Site Surface Water and Soil/Sediment Sampling Locations




Figure A-3. Off-Site Surface Water and Soil/Sediment Sampling Locations




Figure A-4. On-Site Air Monitoring and Sampling Locations




Figure A-5. Off-Site Air and Fallout Sampling Locations




Figure A-6. Active WVDP Groundwater Monitoring Locations




Figure A-7. North Plateau On-Site Groundwater Monitoring Network (Includes Wells Used for Water-Level Measurements)




Figure A-8. South Plateau On-Site Groundwater Monitoring Network (Includes Wells Used for Water-Level Measurements [WVDP] and SDA Wells [NYSERDA])




Figure A-9. Near-Site Drinking Water and Biological Sampling Locations




Figure A-10. Location of On-Site Thermoluminescent Dosimeters (TLDs)




Figure A-11. Location of Off-Site Thermoluminescent Dosimeters (TLDs) Within 5 Kilometers of the WVDP




Figure A-12. Environmental Sampling Locations Between 5 and 10 Kilometers From the WVDP




Figure A-13. Environmental Sampling Locations More Than 10 Kilometers From the WVDP




Figure A-14. Projected 2002 Population by Sector Within 80 Kilometers of the WVDP

Appendix B

2002 Environmental Monitoring Program



*The WVDP Supports a Bluebird and Wood Duck Nesting-Box Program
Sponsored by the Springville Field and Stream Club*

This page intentionally left blank

2002 Environmental Monitoring Program

The following schedule represents the West Valley Demonstration Project (WVDP) routine environmental monitoring program for 2002. This schedule met or exceeded the minimum program specifications needed to satisfy the requirements of DOE Order 5400.1. It also met the requirements of DOE Order 5400.5 and DOE/EH-0173T. Specific methods and recommended monitoring program elements are found in DOE/EP-0096, *A Guide for Effluent Radiological Measurements at DOE Installations*, and DOE/EP-0023, *A Guide for Environmental Radiological Surveillance at U.S. Department of Energy Installations*, which were the bases for selecting most of the schedule specifics. Additional monitoring was mandated by air and water discharge permits (40 CFR 61 and SPDES), which also required formal reports. Specifics are identified in the schedule under Monitoring/Reporting Requirements.

A computerized environmental data-screening system identifies analytical data that exceed pre-set limits. All locations are checked monthly for trends or notable results in accordance with criteria established in *Documentation and Reporting of Environmental Monitoring Data* (West Valley Nuclear Services Co., Inc., August 19, 1998). Reportable results are then described in a monthly trend analysis report together with possible causes and corrective actions, if indicated. A WVDP effluent summary report is transmitted with each monthly trend analysis report.

Schedule of Environmental Sampling

The index on pages B-v through B-vii is a list of the codes used to identify the various sampling locations, which are shown on Figures A-1 through A-13 (pp. A-3 through A-15 in Appendix A). The schedule of environmental sampling at the WVDP is found in this appendix on pages B-1 through B-47. Table headings in the schedule are as follows:

- ***Sample Location Code.*** Describes the physical location where the sample is collected. The code consists of seven or eight characters: The first character identifies the sample medium as **Air**, **Water**, **Soil/Sediment**, **Biological**, or **Direct Measurement**. The second character specifies **oN**-site or **oFf**-site. The remaining characters describe the specific location (e.g., **AFGRVAL** is **Air oFf**-site at **GR**eat **VAL**ley). Distances noted at sampling locations are as measured in a straight line from the main stack on-site.
- ***Monitoring/Reporting Requirements.*** Notes the bases for monitoring the location, any additional references to permits, and the reports that are generated from the sample data. Routine reports cited in this appendix are the Effluent Summary Report (ESR), the Monthly Trend Analysis Report (MTAR), the Air Emissions Report (NESHAP), and the Annual Site Environmental Report (ASER).
- ***Sampling Type/Medium.*** Describes the collection method and the physical characteristics of the medium.
- ***Collection Frequency.*** Indicates how often the samples are collected or retrieved.
- ***Total Annual Sample Collections.*** Specifies the number of discrete physical samples collected annually for each group of analytes.
- ***Analyses Performed/Composite Frequency.*** Notes the type of analyses of the samples taken at each collection, the frequency of composite, and the analytes determined for the composite samples.

Summary of Monitoring Program Changes in 2002

Location Code	Description of Changes
ANLAUNV	Sampling at ANLAUNV was discontinued in August 2002 at DOE direction.
WNSP001	The updated SPDES permit for the site (July 2002) included the following analytical changes: analysis of two (2) 24-hour flow-weighted composite samples per discharge for total mercury using both EPA Methods 245.1 and 1669/1631 was added; quarterly analyses of 24-hour composite samples for bromide and boron was added; monitoring frequency for dichlorodifluoromethane and trichlorofluoromethane was changed from two grab samples per discharge to one grab sample per year.
WNSP01B	Per the July 2002 permit, point WNSP01B, an internal monitoring point for the liquid waste treatment system evaporator effluent, is being monitored for flow and for total mercury by the methods listed above for point WNSP001.

Index of Environmental Monitoring Program Sample Points

Air Effluent and On-Site Ambient Air (Fig. A-4 [p. A-6])

ANSTACK	Main Plant	B-1
ANSTSTK	Supernatant Treatment System	B-1
ANCSSTK	01-14 Building	B-1
ANCSRFK	Size-Reduction Facility	B-1
ANCSPFK	Container Sorting and Packaging Facility	B-1
ANVITSK	Vitrification Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning	B-1
ANSEISK	Seismic Sampler (Vitrification backup)	B-1
OVES/PVUs*	Outdoor Ventilated Enclosures/Portable Ventilation Units	B-3
ANLLW2V	Low-Level Waste Treatment Ventilation	B-5
ANLLWTVH**	Low-Level Waste Treatment Ventilation (radioactive operations)	B-5
ANLAUNV	Contaminated Clothing Laundry Ventilation	B-5
ANLAGAM	Lag Storage Area (ambient air)	B-5
ANNDAAAM	NDA Area (ambient air)	B-5
ANSDAT9	SDA Trench 9 (ambient air)	B-5

Liquid Effluent and On-Site Water (Fig. A-2 [p. A-4])

WNSP001	Lagoon 3 Weir Point	B-7
WNSP01B*	Internal Process Monitoring Point	B-9
WNSP116	Pseudo-Monitoring Point Outfall 116	B-9
WNSP006	Facility Main Drainage	B-11
WNURRAW*	Utility Room Raw Water	B-11
WNSP007	Sanitary Waste Discharge	B-11
WNSWAMP	Northeast Swamp Drainage Point	B-13
WNSW74A	North Swamp Drainage Point	B-13
WNSDADR	SDA Run-Off	B-13
WNSP008	French Drain LLWTF Area	B-15
WNSP005	South Facility Drainage	B-15
WNCOOLW	Cooling Tower	B-15
WNFRC67	Frank's Creek East	B-17
WNERB53	Erdman Brook	B-17
WNNDADR	Disposal Area Drainage	B-17
WNDCELD	Drum Cell Drainage	B-17
WNNDATR	NDA Trench Interceptor Project	B-17
WNSTAW Series	Standing Water	B-19
WNDNK Series*	Site Potable Water	B-21

* Not detailed on map.

** The location and sampler are no longer in use. Deletion of this sampling point is in progress. No samples were collected in 2002.

Index of Environmental Monitoring Program Sample Points (continued)

On-Site Groundwater and Seeps (Figs. A-6 through A-8 [pp. A-8 through A-10])

SSWMU #1	Low-Level Waste Treatment Facility Wells	B-23
SSWMU #2	Miscellaneous Small Units Wells	B-23
SSWMU #3	Liquid Waste Treatment System Wells	B-23
SSWMU #4	HLW Storage and Processing Tank Wells	B-25
SSWMU #5	Maintenance Shop Leach Field Wells	B-25
SSWMU #6	Low-Level Waste Storage Area Wells	B-25
SSWMU #7	CPC Waste Storage Area Wells	B-25
SSWMU #8	CDDL Wells	B-27
SSWMU #9	NDA Units Wells and NDATR	B-27
SSWMU #10	IRTS Drum Cell Wells	B-27
SSWMU #11	SDA Unit Wells	B-29
North Plateau Seeps	Northeastern Edge of North Plateau	B-29
Well Points	Downgradient of Main Plant	B-29
WNWNB1S	Former North Plateau Background Well	B-29

Off-Site Surface Water (Fig. A-3 [p. A-5])

WFBCTCB	Buttermilk Creek at Thomas Corners	B-31
WFFELBR	Cattaraugus Creek at Felton Bridge	B-31
WFBCKBG	Buttermilk Creek near Fox Valley (background)	B-31
WFBIGBR	Cattaraugus Creek at Bigelow Bridge (background)	B-31

Off-Site Drinking Water (Figs. A-9, A-12, and A-13 [pp. A-11, A-14, and A-15])

WFWEL Series	Private Local Wells	B-33
--------------	---------------------	------

Off-Site Ambient Air (Figs. A-5, A-12, and A-13 [pp. A-7, A-14, and A-15])

AFFXVRD	Fox Valley Sampler	B-35
AFTCORD	Thomas Corners Sampler	B-35
AFRT240	Route 240 Sampler	B-35
AFSPRVL	Springville Sampler	B-35
AFWEVAL	West Valley Sampler	B-35
AFNASHV	Nashville Sampler (background)	B-35
AFBOEHN	Dutch Hill Road Sampler	B-35
AFRSPRD	Rock Springs Road Sampler	B-35
AFGRVAL	Great Valley Sampler (background)	B-35
AFBLKST	Bulk Storage Warehouse Sampler	B-35

Index of Environmental Monitoring Program Sample Points (concluded)

Fallout, Sediment, and Soil (Figs. A-2 through A-5 [pp. A-4 through A-7], A-12, and A-13 [pp. A-14 and A-15])

AFDHFOP	Dutch Hill Fallout	B-37
AFFXFOP	Fox Valley Fallout	B-37
AFTCFOP	Thomas Corners Fallout	B-37
AF24FOP	Route 240 Fallout	B-37
ANRGFOP	Rain Gauge Fallout	B-37
SF Soil Series	Air Sampler Area Soil	B-37
SFCCSED	Cattaraugus Creek at Felton Bridge, Sediment	B-37
SFSDSED	Cattaraugus Creek at Springville Dam, Sediment	B-37
SFBISED	Cattaraugus Creek at Bigelow Bridge, Background Sediment	B-37
SFTCSSED	Buttermilk Creek at Thomas Corners, Sediment	B-37
SFBCSED	Buttermilk Creek at Fox Valley Road, Background Sediment	B-37
SN Soil Series:	On-Site Soils/Sediments	B-37
SNSW74A		B-37
SNSWAMP		B-37
SNSP006		B-37

Off-Site Biological (Figs. A-9, A-12, and A-13 [pp. A-11, A-14, and A-15])

BFFCATC	Cattaraugus Creek Fish, Downstream	B-39
BFFCATD	Cattaraugus Creek Fish, Downstream of Springville Dam	B-39
BFFCTRL	Cattaraugus Creek Fish, Background	B-39
BFMREED	NNW Milk	B-39
BFMCTLS	Milk, South, Background	B-39
BFMCTLN	Milk, North, Background	B-39
BFMWIDR	Southeast Milk, Near-Site	B-39
BFMSCHT	South Milk, Near-Site	B-39
BFVNEAR*	Produce, Near-Site	B-41
BFVCTRL*	Produce, Background	B-41
BFHNEAR	Forage, Near-Site	B-41
BFHCTLS	Forage, South, Background	B-41
BFHCTLN	Forage, North, Background	B-41
BFBNEAR	Beef, Near-Site	B-41
BFBCTRL	Beef, Background	B-41
BFDNEAR	Venison, Near-Site	B-41
BFDCTRL	Venison, Background	B-41

Direct Measurement Dosimetry (Figs. A-10 through A-13 [pp. A-12 through A-15])

DFTLD Series	Off-Site Direct Radiation	B-43
DNTLD Series	On-Site Direct Radiation	B-45

* Near-site and background produce samples (corn, apples, and beans) are identified specifically as follows: corn = **BFVNEAC** and **BFVCTRC**; apples = **BFVNEAAF** and **BFVCTRA**; beans = **BFVNEAB** and **BFVCTRB**.

2002 Monitoring Program On-Site Effluent Monitoring

Air Effluents

Sample Location Code	Monitoring/Reporting Requirements	Sampling Type/Medium	Collection Frequency	Total Annual Sample Collections	Analyses Performed/ Composite Frequency
ANSTACK <i>Main Plant Ventilation Exhaust Stack</i>	Airborne radioactive effluent points, including the LWTS and vitrification off-gas Required by: • 40 CFR 61 Reported in: • ESR • MTAR • ASER • Air Emissions Annual Report (NESHAP)	Continuous off-line air particulate monitors	→ Continuous measurement of fixed filter; replaced weekly	→ NA	→ Real-time alpha and beta monitoring
ANSTSTK <i>Supernatant Treatment System (STS) Ventilation Exhaust</i>		Continuous off-line air particulate filters	→ Weekly	→ 52 each location	→ Gross alpha/beta, gamma isotopic* upon collection, flow
ANCSSTK <i>01-14 Building Ventilation Exhaust</i>		Weekly filters composited to 4 each location	→ Quarterly composites for Sr-90, U-232, U-233/234, U-235/236, U-238, total U, Pu-238, Pu-239/240, Am-241, gamma isotopic		
ANCSRFK <i>Contact Size-Reduction Facility Exhaust</i>		Continuous off-line desiccant columns for water vapor collection	→ Weekly	→ 52 at each of two locations	→ H-3 (ANSTACK and ANSTSTK only)
ANCSPFK <i>Container Sorting and Packaging Facility Exhaust</i>		Continuous off-line charcoal cartridges	→ Weekly	→ Weekly cartridges composited to 4 each location	→ Quarterly composite for I-129
ANVITSK <i>Vitrification HVAC Exhaust</i>					
ANSEISK <i>Seismic Sampler, Vitrification Backup</i>	Airborne radioactive effluent point Required by: • 40 CFR 61 Reported in: • ESR • MTAR • ASER	Continuous off-line air particulate filter	→ Weekly	→ 52	→ Filters for gross alpha/beta, gamma isotopic* upon collection, flow

* Weekly gamma isotopic only if gross activity rises significantly.
NA - Not applicable.

Sampling Rationale

ANSTACK	DOE/EH-0173T, 3.0; DOE/EP-0096, 3.3 Monitors and samples HEPA-filtered ventilation from most process areas, including cell ventilation, vessel off-gas, fuel receiving and storage (FRS), head end ventilation, and an analytical aisle. Requires continuous effluent monitoring per 40 CFR Subpart H, Section 61.93(b) because potential emissions may exceed the 0.1 mrem limit.
ANSTSTK	DOE/EH-0173T, 3.0; DOE/EP-0096, 3.3 Monitors and samples HEPA-filtered ventilation (permanent ventilation system [PVS]) from building areas involved in treatment of high-level waste supernatant. Requires continuous effluent monitoring per 40 CFR Subpart H, Section 61.93(b) because potential emissions may exceed the 0.1 mrem limit.
ANCSSTK	DOE/EH-0173T, 3.0; DOE-EP-0096, 3.3 Monitors and samples HEPA-filtered ventilation from the 01-14 building, which houses equipment used to treat the ceramic melter off-gas. Requires effluent monitoring per 40 CFR Subpart H, Section 61.93(b) to confirm that emissions are less than the 0.1 mrem limit.
ANCSRFK	DOE/EH-0173T, 3.0; DOE-EP-0096, 3.3 Monitors and samples HEPA-filtered ventilation from a process area where radioactive tanks, pipes, and other equipment are cut up with a plasma torch to reduce volume.
ANCSPFK	DOE/EH-0173T, 3.0; DOE-EP-0096, 3.3 Monitors and samples ventilation from lag storage area 4, the container sorting and packaging facility.
ANVITSK	DOE/EH-0173T, 3.0; DOE-EP-0096, 3.3 Vitrification facility heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) effluent exhaust stack. Sampler brought on-line in late 1995 when nonradioactive operations began. Radioactive operation began with the first high-level waste transfer in June 1996 and vitrification startup in July 1996. Monitors and samples HEPA-filtered ventilation from building areas involved in treatment of high-level waste supernatant. Requires effluent monitoring per 40 CFR Subpart H, Section 61.93(b) because potential emissions may exceed the 0.1 mrem limit.
ANSEISK	DOE/EH-0173T, 3.0; DOE-EP-0096, 3.3 Vitrification system back-up filter for catastrophic-event monitoring in case the primary vitrification HVAC stack ventilation fails.

- Sampling locations are shown on Figure A-4 (p. A-6).

**2002 Monitoring Program
On-Site Effluent Monitoring**

Air Effluents

<u>Sample Location Code</u>	<u>Monitoring/Reporting Requirements</u>	<u>Sampling Type/Medium</u>	<u>Collection Frequency</u>	<u>Total Annual Sample Collections</u>	<u>Analyses Performed/ Composite Frequency</u>
OVes/PVUs <i>Outdoor Ventilated Enclosures/Portable Ventilation Units</i>	Airborne radioactive effluent points Required by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 40 CFR 61 Reported in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ESR • MTAR • ASER • Air Emissions Annual Report (NESHAP) 	Continuous off-line air particulate filter	→ As required	→ 1 each location	→ Filters for gross alpha/beta, gamma isotopic* upon collection, flow
	Collected filters** composited to 4			→ Quarterly composites for Sr-90, U-232, U-233/234, U-235/236, U-238, total U, Pu-238, Pu-239/240, Am-241, gamma isotopic	

* Gamma isotopic only if gross activity rises significantly.

** If gross determination of individual filter is significantly higher than background, the individual sample would be submitted immediately for isotopic analysis.

Sampling Rationale

OVes/PVUs DOE/EH-0173T, 3.0; DOE/EP-0096, 3.3

Outdoor ventilated enclosures; portable ventilation units used for handling radioactive materials or for decontamination in areas not having containment ventilation. Emissions are monitored to confirm that they are below the 0.1 mrem limit.

■ Sampling locations are not shown on figures.

**2002 Monitoring Program
On-Site Effluent Monitoring**

Air Effluents and On-Site Ambient Air

Sample Location Code	Monitoring/Reporting Requirements	Sampling Type/Medium	Collection Frequency	Total Annual Sample Collections	Analyses Performed/ Composite Frequency
ANLLW2V <i>Low-Level Waste Treatment and Ventilation (New Facility)</i> ANLLWTVH <i>Low-Level Waste Treatment and Ventilation, "Hot" Side (Former Facility)</i> ANLAUNV <i>Laundry Change Room Ventilation</i>	Airborne radioactive effluent point Required by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 40 CFR 61 Reported in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ESR • MTAR • ASER • Air Emissions Annual Report (NESHAP) 	Continuous off-line air particulate filter No samples were collected at ANLLWTVH in 2002 Continuous off-line air particulate filter	→ Quarterly → → Monthly →	→ 4 → 12	→ Gross alpha/beta, gamma isotopic* upon collection, flow → Gross alpha/beta, gamma isotopic* upon collection, flow
ANLAGAM <i>Lag Storage Area Ambient Air</i> ANNDAAAM <i>NDA Ambient Air</i>	Ambient "diffuse source" air emissions Reported in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MTAR • ASER • Air Emissions Annual Report (NESHAP) 	Continuous air particulate filter	→ Weekly →	→ 52 each location Weekly filter composited to 4 each location	→ Gross alpha/beta, flow → Quarterly composites for Sr-90, U-232, U-233/234, U-235/236, U-238, total U, Pu-238, Pu-239/240, Am-241, gamma isotopic
ANSDAT9** <i>SDA Trench 9 Ambient Air</i>	Ambient "diffuse source" air emissions Reported in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MTAR • ASER • Reported to NYSERDA 	Continuous air particulate filter Continuous off-line desiccant columns for water vapor collection Continuous off-line charcoal cartridges	→ Weekly → → Weekly → → Monthly →	→ 52 Weekly filter composited to 4 → 52 Monthly cartridges composited to 4	→ Gross alpha/beta, flow → Quarterly composite for gamma isotopic → H-3 → Quarterly composite for I-129

* Gamma isotopic only if gross activity rises significantly.

** Sampling frequency and analytical parameters as directed by NYSERDA.

Sampling Rationale

ANLLW2V	DOE/EH-0173T, 3.0; DOE/EP-0096, 3.3 Samples ventilation exhaust from the new low-level waste treatment facility. System started up in April 1998.
ANLLWTVH	DOE/EH-0173T, 3.0; DOE/EP-0096, 3.3 Decontamination and decommissioning activities are no longer being conducted in the former low-level waste treatment building. The building ventilation has been shut down and its sampler is currently inactive. No samples have been collected since CY 2000.
ANLAUNV	DOE/EH-0173T, 3.0; DOE/EP-0096, 3.3 Sampling was discontinued on 8/28/02.
ANLAGAM	DOE/EH-0173T, 3.3.2 Monitors ambient air in the lag storage area, a possible diffuse source of air emissions.
ANNDAAAM	DOE/EH-0173T, 3.3.2 Monitors ambient air in the NDA area, a possible diffuse source of air emissions.
ANSDAT9	DOE/EH-0173T, 3.3.2 Monitors potential diffuse sources of air emissions at the SDA and south plateau area. WVDP support of NYSERDA.

- Sampling locations are shown on Figure A-4 (p. A-6).

**2002 Monitoring Program
On-Site Effluent Monitoring**

Liquid Effluents

Sample Location Code	Monitoring/Reporting Requirements	Sampling Type/Medium	Collection Frequency	Total Annual Sample Collections	Analyses Performed/ Composite Frequency	
WNSP001 <i>Lagoon 3 Discharge Weir</i>	Primary point of liquid effluent batch release Required by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SPDES permit Reported in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monthly SPDES DMR • ESR • MTAR • ASER 	Grab liquid →	Daily, during lagoon 3 discharge*	24-56 →	Daily for gross beta, conductivity, flow	
					4-10 →	Every 6 days a sample is analyzed for gross alpha/beta, H-3, Sr-90, gamma isotopic
					Composite of daily samples for each discharge, 4-8 →	Weighted composite for gross alpha/beta, H-3, C-14, Sr-90, Tc-99, I-129, gamma isotopic, U-232, U-233/234, U-235/236, U-238, total U, Pu-238, Pu-239/240, and Am-241 for each month of discharge
		Composite liquid →	Twice during discharge, near start and near end	8-16 →	Two 24-hour composites for BOD ₅ , suspended solids, SO ₄ , NO ₃ , NO ₂ , NH ₃ , total Al, Fe, Hg, and Mn, total recoverable Cd, Cr, Cu, Ni, Pb, and Zn, dissolved As and Cu, dissolved sulfide	
		Grab liquid →	Twice during discharge, near start and near end	8-16 →	Settleable solids, total dissolved solids, pH, cyanide amenable to chlorination, oil & grease, surfactant (as LAS), total recoverable Co, Cr ⁺⁶ , Se, and V, 3,3-dichlorobenzidine, tributyl phosphate, hexachlorobenzene, alpha-BHC, heptachlor, xylene, 2-butanone	
		Composite liquid →	Semiannual	2 →	A 24-hour composite for titanium	
		Composite liquid →	Annual	1 →	A 24-hour composite for Ba and Sb	
		Grab liquid →	Semiannual	2 →	Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate, 4-dodecene	
		Grab liquid →	Annual	1 →	Chloroform, dichlorodifluoromethane, and trichlorofluoromethane	
		Composite liquid →	Quarterly	4 →	Bromide and boron	

* Lagoon 3 is discharged four to eight times per year, as necessary, averaging six to seven days per discharge.

Sampling Rationale

WNSP001 DOE Order 5400.5; DOE/EH-0173T, 2.3.3; SPDES permit no. NY0000973

By DOE Order all liquid effluent streams from DOE facilities shall be evaluated and their potential for release of radionuclides addressed.

These requirements for radiological parameters are met by daily grab sampling during periods of lagoon 3 discharge. Sampling for chemical constituents is performed near the beginning and end of each discharge period to meet the site SPDES permit. Both grab samples and 24-hour composite samples are collected. Modifications to the SPDES permit on July 15, 2002 changed sampling frequency of dichlorodifluoromethane and trichlorofluoromethane from twice during discharge to annual grab. The permit added action sampling for bromide and boron on a quarterly basis.

■ Sampling location is shown on Figure A-2 (p. A-4).

**2002 Monitoring Program
On-Site Effluent Monitoring**

Liquid Effluents

<u>Sample Location Code</u>	<u>Monitoring/Reporting Requirements</u>	<u>Sampling Type/Medium</u>	<u>Collection Frequency</u>	<u>Total Annual Sample Collections</u>	<u>Analyses Performed/ Composite Frequency</u>
WNSP01B <i>Internal Process Monitoring Point</i>	Internal point for monitoring Hg at effluent of the Hg pretreatment process Required by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SPDES Permit Reported in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monthly SPDES DMR 	Continuous	→ Weekly	→ N/A	→ Flow
		Composite liquid	→ Twice per month when operating	→ 24	→ Total Hg
WNSP116 <i>Pseudo-Monitoring Point Outfall 116</i>	Calculated concentration of dissolved solids at pseudo-monitoring point in Frank's Creek. Based upon TDS at WNSP001, WNSP006, and augmentation water. Required by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SPDES Permit Reported in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monthly SPDES DMR 	Calculated	→ Twice per discharge event	→ 8-16	→ Total dissolved solids

Sampling Rationale

WNSP01B New York State SPDES permit no. NY0000973

This internal point is used to monitor mercury in effluent from the proposed mercury pretreatment process. Effluent from this point is subsequently released to lagoon 3, which is monitored at point WNSP001.

For permit requirements, total Hg is analyzed by U.S. EPA method 245.1. For mercury studies, samples will be analyzed by EPA method 1631.

WNSP116 New York State SPDES permit no. NY0000973

This “pseudo-monitoring point,” assumed to be in Frank’s Creek, is calculated from actual total dissolved solids (TDS) measurements and flow measurements from points WNSP001 and WNSP006 and from augmentation water.

■ Sampling location WNSP116 is shown on Figure A-2 (p. A-4). Sampling location WNSP01B is not shown on the figures.

**2002 Monitoring Program
On-Site Effluent Monitoring**

Liquid Effluents

Sample Location Code	Monitoring/Reporting Requirements	Sampling Type/Medium	Collection Frequency	Total Annual Sample Collections	Analyses Performed/ Composite Frequency
WNSP006 <i>Frank's Creek at the Security Fence</i>	Combined facility liquid discharge Required by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SPDES Permit Reported in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monthly SPDES DMR • MTAR • ASER 	Timed continuous composite liquid	→ Weekly →	52 Weekly samples composited to 12 Weekly samples composited to 4	→ Gross alpha/beta, H-3, pH, conductivity → Monthly composite for gamma isotopic and Sr-90 (shared with NYSDOH) → Quarterly composite for C-14, Tc-99, I-129, U-232, U-233/234, U-235/236, U-238, total U, Pu-238, Pu-239/240, Am-241
		Grab liquid	→ Twice during discharge, near start and near end →	8-16	→ TDS
		Grab liquid	→ Semiannual →	2	→ NPOC, TOX, Ca, Mg, Na, K, Ba, Mn, Fe, Cl, SO ₄ , NO ₃ +NO ₂ -N, F, HCO ₃ , CO ₃
WNURRAW <i>Utility Room Raw Water</i>	Source water Required by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SPDES Permit Reported in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monthly SPDES DMR 	Grab liquid	→ Weekly →	52	→ Total Fe
		Grab liquid	→ Twice during discharge, near start and near end →	8-16	→ TDS
WNSP007 <i>Sanitary Waste Discharge</i>	Liquid effluent point for sanitary and utility plant combined discharge Required by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SPDES Permit Reported in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monthly SPDES DMR • ESR • MTAR • ASER 	24-hour composite liquid	→ 3 each month →	36	→ Gross alpha/beta, H-3, pH, suspended solids, NH ₃ , NO ₂ -N, BOD ₅ , total Fe → Quarterly composite for gamma isotopic
		Grab liquid	→ 3 each month →	36	→ Oil & grease
		Grab liquid	→ Weekly →	52	→ pH, settleable solids, total residual chlorine
		Grab liquid	→ Annual →	1	→ Chloroform

Sampling Rationale

WNSP006 DOE/EH-0173T, 5.10.1.1; SPDES permit no. NY0000973

By DOE Order all liquid effluent streams from DOE facilities shall be evaluated and their potential for release of radionuclides addressed.

In accordance with the WVDP SPDES permit no. NY0000973, outfall 116 (pseudo-monitoring point) uses flow data from **WNSP006**. Flow augmentation parameters (flow and total dissolved solids [TDS]) are monitored at location **WNSP006**; calculated TDS and flow data related to sample point **WNSP006** are reported for pseudo-monitoring point 116 in the monthly SPDES Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR).

WNURRAW SPDES permit no. NY0000973

TDS is measured near the beginning and end of each lagoon 3 discharge. Results are used for outfall 116 calculations. (See **WNSP006** above.)

WNSP007 DOE Order 5400.5; DOE/EH-0173T, 2.3.3

Sampling rationale is based on New York State SPDES permit no. NY0000973 and DOE Order 5400.5 criteria.

- Sampling locations WNSP006 and WNSP007 are shown on Figure A-2 (p. A-4). Sampling location WNURRAW is not shown on the figures.

**2002 Monitoring Program
Environmental Surveillance**

On-Site Surface Water

Sample Location Code	Monitoring/Reporting Requirements	Sampling Type/Medium	Collection Frequency	Total Annual Sample Collections	Analyses Performed/ Composite Frequency
WNSWAMP <i>Northeast Swamp Drainage</i>	Site surface drainage Reported in: • ESR • MTAR • ASER	Timed continuous composite liquid	→ Weekly	→ 52	→ Gross alpha/beta, H-3, pH, conductivity Weekly samples composited to 12 → Monthly composite for gamma isotopic and Sr-90 (shared with NYSDOH) Weekly samples composited to 4 → Quarterly composite for C-14, I-129, U-232, U-233/234, U-235/236, U-238, total U, Pu-238, Pu-239/240, Am-241
		Grab liquid	→ Semiannual	→ 2	→ NPOC, TOX, Ca, Mg, Na, K, Ba, Mn, Fe, Cl, SO ₄ , NO ₃ +NO ₂ -N, F, HCO ₃ , CO ₃
WNSW74A <i>North Swamp Drainage</i>	Site surface drainage Reported in: • ESR • MTAR • ASER	Timed continuous composite liquid	→ Weekly	→ 52	→ Gross alpha/beta, H-3, pH, conductivity Weekly samples composited to 12 → Monthly composite for gamma isotopic and Sr-90 Weekly samples composited to 4 → Quarterly composite for C-14, I-129, U-232, U-233/234, U-235/236, U-238, total U, Pu-238, Pu-239/240, Am-241
		Grab liquid	→ Semiannual	→ 2	→ NPOC, TOX, Ca, Mg, Na, K, Ba, Mn, Fe, Cl, SO ₄ , NO ₃ +NO ₂ -N, F, HCO ₃ , CO ₃
WNSDADR <i>SDA Run-Off</i>	Surface water run-off from south portion of SDA Required by: • Interim Measures Compliance Reported in: • MTAR • ASER • Reported to NYSERDA	Grab liquid	→ Monthly	→ 12 maximum	→ pH, total suspended solids, oil & grease, flow, gross alpha/beta, H-3, gamma isotopic

Sampling Rationale

WNSWAMP DOE/EH-0173T, 5.10.1.1

Northeast site surface water drainage; provides for sampling of uncontrolled surface waters from this discrete drainage path just before they leave the site's controlled boundary. Waters represent surface and subsurface drainages from the construction and demolition debris landfill (CDDL), old hardstand areas, and other possible north plateau sources of radiological or nonradiological contamination including the strontium-90 groundwater plume.

WNSW74A DOE/EH-0173T, 5.10.1.1

North site surface water drainage; provides for sampling of uncontrolled surface waters from this discrete drainage path just before they leave the site's controlled boundary. Waters represent surface and subsurface drainages from lag storage areas and other possible north plateau sources of radiological or nonradiological contamination.

WNSDADR NYSERDA interim measures compliance.

■ Sampling locations are shown on Figure A-2 (p. A-4).

**2002 Monitoring Program
Environmental Surveillance**

On-Site Surface Water

<u>Sample Location Code</u>	<u>Monitoring/Reporting Requirements</u>	<u>Sampling Type/Medium</u>	<u>Collection Frequency</u>	<u>Total Annual Sample Collections</u>	<u>Analyses Performed/ Composite Frequency</u>
WNSP008 <i>French Drain</i>	Drains subsurface water from LLWTF lagoon area Required by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SPDES Permit Reported in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monthly SPDES DMR • ESR • MTAR • ASER 	Grab liquid	→ Monthly	→ 12	→ Gross alpha/beta, H-3
		Grab liquid	→ 3 each month	→ 36	→ Conductivity, pH, BOD ₅ , total Fe, total recoverable Cd, and Pb
		Grab liquid	→ Annual	→ 1	→ As, Cr, total Ag, and Zn
WNSP005 <i>Facility Yard Drainage</i>	Combined drainage from facility yard area Reported in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MTAR • ASER 	Grab liquid	→ Monthly	→ 12	→ Gross alpha/beta, H-3, pH
WNCOOLW <i>Cooling Tower Basin</i>	Cools plant utility steam system water Reported in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MTAR • ASER 	Grab liquid	→ Monthly	→ 12	→ Gross alpha/beta, H-3, pH
				Monthly samples composited to 4	→ Quarterly composite for gamma isotopic

Sampling Rationale

- WNSP008** DOE/EH-0173T, 5.10.1.3; SPDES permit no. NY0000973.
- French drain of subsurface water from lagoon (LLWTF) area. The SPDES permit also provides for sampling of uncontrolled subsurface water from this discrete drainage path before these waters flow into Erdman Brook. Waters represent subsurface drainages from downward infiltration around the LLWTF and lagoon systems. This point would also monitor any subsurface spillover from the overflowing of lagoons 2 and 3. Sampling is of significance for both radiological and nonradiological contamination. This point was capped off in May 2001.
- WNSP005** Facility yard surface water drainage; generally in accordance with DOE/EH-0173T, 5.10.1.1. Previously in accordance with SPDES permit no. NY0000973.
- Provides for the sampling of uncontrolled surface waters from this discrete drainage path after outfall 007 discharge into the drainage and before these waters flow into Erdman Brook. Waters represent surface and subsurface drainages primarily from the main plant yard area. Historically, this point was used to monitor sludge pond and utility room discharges to the drainage. These two sources have been rerouted. Migration of residual site contamination around the main plant dictates surveillance of this point, primarily for radiological parameters.
- WNCoolW** Facility cooling tower circulation water; generally in accordance with DOE/EH-0173T, 5.10.1.1.
- Operational sampling carried out to confirm that radiological contamination is not migrating into the primary coolant loop of the high-level waste treatment facility and/or plant utility steam systems. Migration from either source might indicate radiological control failure.

- Sampling locations are shown on Figure A-2 (p. A-4).

2002 Monitoring Program Environmental Surveillance

On-Site Surface Water

Sample Location Code	Monitoring/Reporting Requirements	Sampling Type/Medium	Collection Frequency	Total Annual Sample Collections	Analyses Performed/ Composite Frequency
WNFRC67* <i>Frank's Creek East of the SDA</i>	Drains NYS Low-level Waste Disposal Area Reported in: • MTAR • ASER • Reported to NYSERDA	Grab liquid	→ Monthly	→ 12	→ Gross alpha/beta, H-3, pH
WNERB53* <i>Erdman Brook North of Disposal Areas</i>	Drains NYS and WVDP disposal areas Reported in: • MTAR • ASER • Reported to NYSERDA	Grab liquid	→ Weekly	→ 52	→ Gross alpha/beta, H-3, pH
WNNDADR <i>Drainage Between NDA and SDA</i>	Drains WVDP disposal and storage area Reported in: • MTAR • ASER • Reported to NYSERDA	Timed continuous composite liquid	→ Weekly	→ 52	→ pH
				Weekly samples composited to 12	→ Monthly composite for gross alpha/beta, gamma isotopic, H-3
				Weekly samples composited to 4	→ Quarterly composite for Sr-90, I-129
WNDCELD <i>Drainage South of Drum Cell</i>	Drains WVDP storage area Reported in: • MTAR • ASER • Reported to NYSERDA	Grab liquid	→ Monthly	→ 12	→ pH, gross alpha/beta
				Monthly samples composited to 4	→ Quarterly composite for H-3, Sr-90, I-129, gamma isotopic
WNNDATR** <i>NDA Trench Interceptor Project</i>	On-site groundwater interception Reported in: • MTAR • ASER	Grab liquid	→ Monthly	→ 12	→ Gross alpha/beta, H-3, gamma isotopic, NPOC, TOX
				Monthly samples composited to 4	→ Quarterly composite for I-129

* Monthly sample also collected by NYSDOH

** Coordinated with Main Plant Operations

Sampling Rationale

WNFRC67	DOE/EH-0173T, 5.10.1.1 Monitors the potential influence of both the SDA and drum cell drainage into Frank's Creek east of the SDA and upstream of its confluence with Erdman Brook.
WNERB53	DOE/EH-0173T, 5.10.1.1 Monitors the potential influence of the drainages from the SDA and the WVDP storage and disposal area into Erdman Brook upstream of its confluence with Frank's Creek.
WNNDADR	DOE/EH-0173T, 5.10.1.1 Monitors the potential influence of the drainages from the SDA and the WVDP storage and disposal area into Lagoon Road Creek upstream of the creek's confluence with Erdman Brook.
WNDCELD	DOE/EH-0173T, 5.10.1.1 Monitors the potential influence of drum cell drainage into Frank's Creek south of the SDA and upstream of WNFRC67 .
WNNDATR	DOE Order 5400.1, IV.9 Monitors groundwater in the vicinity of the NDA interceptor trench project. The grab sample is taken directly from the trench collection system.

- Sampling locations are shown on Figure A-2 (p. A-4).

**2002 Monitoring Program
Environmental Surveillance**

On-Site Surface Water

<u>Sample Location Code</u>	<u>Monitoring/Reporting Requirements</u>	<u>Sampling Type/Medium</u>	<u>Collection Frequency</u>	<u>Total Annual Sample Collections</u>	<u>Analyses Performed/ Composite Frequency</u>
WNSTAW Series <i>On-Site Standing Water Ponds Not Receiving Effluent</i> WNSTAW4 <i>Border Pond Southwest of AFRT240</i> WNSTAW5 <i>Border Pond Southwest of DFTLD13</i> WNSTAW6 <i>Borrow Pit Northeast of Project Facilities</i> WNSTAW9 <i>North Reservoir Near Intake</i> WNSTAWB <i>Background Pond at Sprague Brook Maintenance Building</i>	Water within vicinity of airborne or water effluent from the plant Reported in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MTAR • ASER 	Grab liquid	→ Annual	→ 1 each location*	→ Gross alpha/beta, H-3, pH, conductivity, Cl, Fe, Mn, Na, NO ₃ +NO ₂ -N, SO ₄

* Sampling depends upon on-site ponding conditions during the year.

Sampling Rationale

WNSTAW Series DOE/EH-0173T, 5.10.1.1

Monitoring of on- and off-site standing waters at locations listed below. Although none receive effluent directly, the potential for contamination is present except at the background location. Former collecting sites 1,2,3,7, and 8 were deleted from the monitoring program because they were either built over or are now dry.

WNSTAW4 Border pond located south of **AFRT240**. Chosen as a location for showing potentially high concentrations, based on meteorological data. This perimeter location is next to a working farm. Drainage extends through private property and is accessible by the public.

WNSTAW5 Border pond located west of Project facilities near the perimeter fence and **DFTL13**. Chosen as a location for showing potentially high concentrations, based on meteorological data. Location is next to a private residence and potentially accessible by the general public.

WNSTAW6 Borrow pit northeast of Project facilities just outside the inner security fence. Considered the closest standing water to the main plant and high-level waste facilities.

WNSTAW9 North reservoir near intake. Chosen to provide data in the event of potentially contaminated site potable water supply. Location is south of main plant facilities.

WNSTAWB Pond located near the Sprague Brook maintenance building. Considered a background location; approximately 14 kilometers north of the WVDP.

■ Sampling locations are shown on Figures A-2, A-3, and A-13 (pp. A-4, A-5, and A-15).

**2002 Monitoring Program
Environmental Surveillance**

On-Site Potable Water

<u>Sample Location Code</u>	<u>Monitoring/Reporting Requirements</u>	<u>Sampling Type/Medium</u>	<u>Collection Frequency</u>	<u>Total Annual Sample Collections</u>	<u>Analyses Performed/ Composite Frequency</u>
WNDNK Series <i>Site Potable Water</i> WNDNKMS <i>Maintenance Shop Drinking Water</i> WNDNKMP <i>Main Plant Drinking Water</i> WNDNKEL <i>Environmental Laboratory Drinking Water</i> WNDNKUR <i>Utility Room (EP-1) Potable Water Storage Tank</i>	Sources of potable water within site perimeter Reported in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MTAR • ASER • Also reported to Cattaraugus County 	Grab liquid → Grab liquid* →	Monthly → Annual →	12 per location → 1 →	→ Gross alpha/beta, H-3, pH, conductivity → As, Ba, Be, Cd, Cr, Hg, Ni, Sb, Se, Tl, cyanide, fluoride

* **WNDNKUR** only. Sample for NO₃ (as total nitrate) is collected by the Cattaraugus County Health Department. Pb and Cu also are sampled at this site based upon Cattaraugus County Health Department guidance.

Sampling Rationale

- WNDNK Series** Site drinking water; generally according to DOE/EH-0173T, 5.10.1.2
- Potable-water sampling to confirm no migration of radiological and/or nonradiological contamination into the site's drinking water supply.
- WNDNKMS** Potable water sampled at the maintenance shop in order to monitor a point that is at an intermediate distance from the point of potable water generation and that is used heavily by site personnel.
- WNDNKMP** Same rationale as **WNDNKMS** but sampled at the break room sink.
- WNDNKEL** Potable water sampled at the Environmental Laboratory.
- WNDNKUR** Sampled at the utility room potable water storage tank before the site drinking water distribution system. Sample location is entry point EP-1.

- Sampling locations are within the site facilities and are not detailed on figures.

2002 Monitoring Program Environmental Surveillance

On-Site Groundwater

Sample Location Code	Monitoring/Reporting Requirements	Sampling Type/Medium	Collection Frequency	Total Annual Sample Collections	Analyses Performed/ Composite Frequency
Low-Level Waste Treatment Facility (SSWMU #1) 103 104 C 105 C 106 107 108 110 111 116 U 8604 C 8605					
Groundwater monitoring points around site super solid waste management units (SSWMUs)		Grab liquid	→ 4 times per year (generally)*	→ 4 each well (generally)*	→ Gross alpha, gross beta, H-3 *
Reported in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ASER • Quarterly Ground-water Reports 		Direct field measurement of sampled water	→ Each sampling event*	→ Twice each sampling event	→ Conductivity, pH
Miscellaneous Small Units (SSWMU #2) 201 U 204 205 206 C 208					
Liquid Waste Treatment System (SSWMU #3) 301 B 302 U					

NOTE: "U" designates upgradient, "B" designates background, and "C" designates crossgradient wells. The remainder are downgradient.

* Sampling frequency and analytes vary from point to point. See Table 4-1 (p. 4-6) for a summary listing of all monitored analytes. See Table E-1 (Appendix E [p. E-3]) for a listing of analytes monitored at each location. See Appendix E for results from each location.

Sampling Rationale

On-Site Groundwater	DOE Order 5400.1, IV.9; DOE/EH-0173T, 5.10.1.3; 40 CFR Parts 264 and 265, Subpart F The on-site WVDP groundwater monitoring program provides for the determination of water quality, focusing on radiological and chemical surveillance of both active and inactive super solid waste management units (SSWMUs). In addition, using wells situated hydraulically upgradient (background) and downgradient of SSWMUs allows both detection of groundwater contamination and evaluation of the effects associated with the individual SSWMUs. Groundwater protection was addressed in the Groundwater Protection Management Program Plan, WVDP-091. Groundwater monitoring was detailed in the Groundwater Monitoring Plan, WVDP-239.
SSWMU #1	Low-level waste treatment facilities, including four active lagoons – lagoons 2, 3, 4, and 5 – and an inactive, filled-in lagoon – lagoon 1.
SSWMU #2	Miscellaneous small units, including the sludge pond, the solvent dike, the paper incinerator, the equalization basin, and the kerosene tank.
SSWMU #3	Liquid waste treatment system containing effluent from the supernatant treatment system.

- Sampling locations are shown on Figures A-6 and A-7 (pp. A-8 and A-9).

**2002 Monitoring Program
Environmental Surveillance**

On-Site Groundwater

<u>Sample Location Code</u>	<u>Monitoring/Reporting Requirements</u>	<u>Sampling Type/Medium</u>	<u>Collection Frequency</u>	<u>Total Annual Sample Collections</u>	<u>Analyses Performed/ Composite Frequency</u>
HLW Storage and Processing Tank <i>(SSWMU #4)</i> 401 B 402 U 403 U 405 C 406 408 409	Groundwater monitoring points around site super solid waste management units (SSWMUs) Reported in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ASER • Quarterly Groundwater Reports 	Grab liquid → Direct field measurement of sampled water →	4 times per year (generally)* → Each sampling event* →	4 each well (generally)* → Twice each sampling event →	→ Gross alpha, gross beta, H-3 * → Conductivity, pH
Maintenance Shop Leach Field <i>(SSWMU #5)</i> 501 U 502					
Low-Level Waste Storage Area <i>(SSWMU #6)</i> 602A 604 605 8607 U 8609 U					
Chemical Process Cell Waste Storage Area <i>(SSWMU #7)</i> 704 706 B 707 C					

NOTE: "U" designates upgradient, "B" designates background, and "C" designates crossgradient wells. The remainder are downgradient.

* Sampling frequency and analytes vary from point to point. See Table 4-1 (p. 4-6) for a summary listing of all monitored analytes. See Table E-1 (Appendix E [p. E-3]) for a listing of analytes monitored at each location. See Appendix E for results from each location.

Sampling Rationale

- On-Site Groundwater** DOE Order 5400.1, IV.9; DOE/EH-0173T, 5.10.1.3; 40 CFR Parts 264 and 265, Subpart F
- The on-site WVDP groundwater monitoring program provides for the determination of water quality, focusing on radiological and chemical surveillance of both active and inactive super solid waste management units (SSWMUs). In addition, using wells situated hydraulically upgradient (background) and downgradient of SSWMUs allows both detection of groundwater contamination and evaluation of the effects associated with the individual SSWMUs. Groundwater protection was addressed in the Groundwater Protection Management Program Plan, WVDP-091. Groundwater monitoring was detailed in the Groundwater Monitoring Plan, WVDP-239.
- SSWMU #4** High-level waste storage and processing area, including the high-level radioactive waste tanks, the supernatant treatment system, and the vitrification facility.
- SSWMU #5** Maintenance shop sanitary leach field, formerly used by NFS and the WVDP to process domestic sewage generated by the maintenance shop.
- SSWMU #6** Low-level waste storage area; includes metal and fabric structures housing low-level radioactive waste being stored for future disposal.
- SSWMU #7** Chemical process cell (CPC) waste storage area, which contains packages of pipes, vessels, and debris from decontamination and cleanup of the chemical process cell in the former reprocessing plant.

- Sampling locations are shown on Figures A-6 and A-7 (pp. A-8 and A-9).

2002 Monitoring Program Environmental Surveillance

On-Site Groundwater

Sample Location Code	Monitoring/Reporting Requirements	Sampling Type/Medium	Collection Frequency	Total Annual Sample Collections	Analyses Performed/ Composite Frequency
Construction and Demolition Debris Landfill (CDDL) (SSWMU #8)					
801 U 802 803 804 8603 U 8612	Groundwater monitoring points around site super solid waste management units (SSWMUs)	Grab liquid	→ 4 times per year (generally)*	→ 4 each well (generally)*	→ Gross alpha, gross beta, H-3*
NRC-Licensed Disposal Area (NDA) (SSWMU #9)					
901 U 902 U 903 906 908 U 909 910 8610 8611 NDATR	Reported in: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• ASER• Quarterly Ground-water Reports	Direct field measurement of sampled water	→ Each sampling event*	→ Twice each sampling event	→ Conductivity, pH
IRTS Drum Cell (SSWMU #10)					
1005 U 1006 1007 1008b B 1008c B					

NOTE: "U" designates upgradient, "B" designates background, and "C" designates crossgradient wells. The remainder are downgradient.

* Sampling frequency and analytes vary from point to point. See Table 4-1 (p. 4-6) for a summary listing of all monitored analytes. See Table E-1 (Appendix E [p. E-3]) for a listing of analytes monitored at each location. See Appendix E for results from each location.

Sampling Rationale

On-Site Groundwater	DOE Order 5400.1, IV.9; DOE/EH-0173T, 5.10.1.3; 40 CFR Parts 264 and 265, Subpart F The on-site WVDP groundwater monitoring program provides for the determination of water quality, focusing on radiological and chemical surveillance of both active and inactive super solid waste management units (SSWMUs). In addition, using wells situated hydraulically upgradient (background) and downgradient of SSWMUs allows both detection of groundwater contamination and evaluation of the effects associated with the individual SSWMUs. Groundwater protection was addressed in the Groundwater Protection Management Program Plan, WVDP-091. Groundwater monitoring was detailed in the Groundwater Monitoring Plan, WVDP-239.
SSWMU #8	The construction and demolition debris landfill (CDDL); used by NFS and the WVDP to dispose of nonhazardous and nonradioactive materials.
SSWMU #9	The NRC-licensed disposal area (NDA); contains radioactive wastes generated by NFS and the WVDP. The NDA is bounded on its downgradient (northwest and northeast) perimeters by the interceptor trench, which is sampled at monitoring point NDATR.
SSWMU #10	The integrated radioactive waste system (IRTS) treatment drum cell; stores cement-stablized low-level radioactive waste.

- Sampling locations are shown on Figures A-6 through A-8 (pp. A-8 through A-10).

**2002 Monitoring Program
Environmental Surveillance**

On-Site Groundwater and Seeps

Sample Location Code	Monitoring/Reporting Requirements	Sampling Type/Medium	Collection Frequency	Total Annual Sample Collections	Analyses Performed/Composite Frequency
State-Licensed Disposal Area (SSWMU #11)*					
1101a U 1101b U 1101c U 1102a 1102b 1103a 1103b 1103c 1104a 1104b 1104c 1105a 1105b 1106a U 1106b U 1107a 1108a U 1109a U 1109b U 1110a 1111a	Groundwater monitoring points around site super solid waste management units (SSWMUs) Reported in: <ul style="list-style-type: none">ASER	Grab liquid → Grab liquid →	Semiannual → Annual →	2 each well → 1 each well →	→ Gross alpha/beta, H-3, pH, conductivity, turbidity → Gamma scan, beta-emitters (C-14, Sr-90, Tc-99, I-129), VOCs
North Plateau Seeps (Not in a SSWMU)					
GSEEP SP04 SP06 SP11 SP12	Groundwater seepage points along the northeastern edge of the north plateau Reported in: <ul style="list-style-type: none">ASERQuarterly Groundwater Reports	Grab liquid → Direct field measurement of sampled water →	Semiannual (Quarterly at GSEEP) → Semiannual (Quarterly at GSEEP) →	2 each seep (4 at GSEEP) → 2 each seep (4 at GSEEP) →	→ Gross alpha/beta, H-3, and VOCs at GSEEP and SP12 → pH, conductivity
Miscellaneous Well Points (Not in a SSWMU)					
WP-A WP-C WP-H NB1S (Former Background Well)	Well points down-gradient of main plant and the former sand and gravel unit background well Reported in: <ul style="list-style-type: none">ASERQuarterly Groundwater Reports	Grab liquid → Direct field measurement of sampled water →	Annual (Quarterly at NB1S) → Annual (Quarterly at NB1S) →	1 each well (4 at NB1S) → 1 each well (4 at NB1S) →	→ Gross alpha/beta, H-3 → pH, conductivity

NOTE: "U" designates upgradient, "B" designates background, and "C" designates crossgradient wells. The remainder are downgradient.

* SSWMU #11 is sampled by NYSERDA under a separate program.

Sampling Rationale

On-Site Groundwater	DOE Order 5400.1, IV.9; DOE/EH-0173T, 5.10.1.3; 40 CFR Parts 264 and 265, Subpart F The on-site WVDP groundwater monitoring program provides for the determination of water quality, focusing on radiological and chemical surveillance of both active and inactive super solid waste management units (SSWMUs). In addition, using wells situated hydraulically upgradient (background) and downgradient of SSWMUs allows both detection of groundwater contamination and evaluation of the effects associated with the individual SSWMUs. Groundwater protection was addressed in the Groundwater Protection Management Program Plan, WVDP-091. Groundwater monitoring was detailed in the Groundwater Monitoring Plan, WVDP-239.
SSWMU #11	The New York State-licensed disposal area (SDA) was operated by NFS as a commercial low-level disposal facility; it also received wastes from NFS reprocessing operations.
North Plateau Seeps	Monitor groundwater emanating from the ground surface along the edge of the site's north plateau.
Well Points	Monitor groundwater of known subsurface contamination in the north plateau area. All well points are downgradient of the main plant.
WNWNB1S	Former background well on the north plateau.

- Sampling locations are shown on Figures A-6 through A-8 (pp. A-8 through A-10).

2002 Monitoring Program Environmental Surveillance

Off-Site Surface Water

Sample Location Code	Monitoring/Reporting Requirements	Sampling Type/Medium	Collection Frequency	Total Annual Sample Collections	Analyses Performed/ Composite Frequency
WFBCTCB* <i>Buttermilk Creek Upstream of Confluence With Cattaraugus Creek at Thomas Corners Road</i>	Restricted surface waters receiving plant effluents Reported in: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• MTAR• ASER	Timed continuous composite liquid	→ Weekly	→ 52	→ pH, conductivity
				Weekly samples composited to 12	→ Monthly composite for gross alpha/beta, H-3
				Weekly samples composited to 4	→ Quarterly composite for gamma isotopic and Sr-90
WFFELBR* <i>Cattaraugus Creek at Felton Bridge</i>	Unrestricted surface waters receiving plant effluents Reported in: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• MTAR• ASER	Timed continuous composite liquid	→ Weekly	→ 52	→ Gross alpha/beta, H-3, pH
				Weekly samples composited to 12	→ Flow-weighted monthly composite for gamma isotopic, H-3, Sr-90, and gross alpha/beta
WFBCKBG* <i>Buttermilk Creek Near Fox Valley (Background)</i>	Unrestricted surface water, background Reported in: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• MTAR• ASER• Reported to NYSERDA	Timed continuous composite liquid	→ Weekly	→ 52	→ pH, conductivity
				Weekly samples composited to 12	→ Monthly composite for gross alpha/beta, H-3
				Weekly samples composited to 4	→ Quarterly composite for gamma isotopic, C-14, Sr-90, Tc-99, I-129, U-232, U-233/234, U-235/236, U-238, total U, Pu-238, Pu-239/240, Am-241
				Grab liquid → Semiannual → 2	→ NPOC, TOX, Ca, Mg, Na, K, Ba, Mn, Fe, Cl, SO ₄ , NO ₃ +NO ₂ -N, F, HCO ₃ , CO ₃
WFBIGBR <i>Cattaraugus Creek at Bigelow Bridge (Background)</i>	Unrestricted surface water, background Reported in: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• MTAR• ASER	Grab liquid	→ Monthly	→ 12	→ Gross alpha/beta, H-3, Sr-90, gamma isotopic, pH

* Monthly composites are also sent to NYSDOH.

Sampling Rationale

WFBCTCB DOE/EH-0173T, 5.10.1.1

Buttermilk Creek is the surface water that receives all WVDP effluents. **WFBCTCB** monitors the potential influence of WVDP drainage into Buttermilk Creek upstream of Buttermilk Creek's confluence with Cattaraugus Creek.

WFFELBR DOE/EH-0173T, 5.10.1.1

Because Buttermilk Creek empties into Cattaraugus Creek, **WFFELBR** monitors the potential influence of WVDP drainage into Cattaraugus Creek directly downstream of the Cattaraugus Creek confluence with Buttermilk Creek.

WFBCBKG DOE/EH-0173T, 5.10.1.1

Monitors background conditions of Buttermilk Creek upstream of the WVDP; allows comparison to downstream conditions.

WFBIGBR DOE/EH-0173T, 5.10.1.1

Monitors background conditions of Cattaraugus Creek at Bigelow Bridge, upstream of the WVDP; allows comparison to downstream conditions.

■ Sampling locations are shown on Figure A-3 (p. A-5).

**2002 Monitoring Program
Environmental Surveillance**

Off-Site Drinking Water

<u>Sample Location Code</u>	<u>Monitoring/Reporting Requirements</u>	<u>Sampling Type/Medium</u>	<u>Collection Frequency</u>	<u>Total Annual Sample Collections</u>	<u>Analyses Performed/ Composite Frequency</u>
WFWEL Series <i>Wells Outside the WNYNSC Perimeter But Near the WVDP</i>					
WFWEL01 <i>3.0 km West-Northwest</i>	Drinking water supply; groundwater near facility* Reported in: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• MTAR• ASER	Grab liquid	→ Annual	→ 1 each location	→ Gross alpha/beta, H-3, gamma isotopic
WFWEL02 <i>1.5 km Northwest</i>					
WFWEL03 <i>3.5 km Northwest</i>		Direct field measurement of sampled water	→ Annual	→ 1 each location	→ pH, conductivity
WFWEL04 <i>3.0 km Northwest</i>					
WFWEL05 <i>2.5 km Southwest</i>					
WFWEL06 <i>(Background) 29 km South</i>					
WFWEL07 <i>4.4 km North-Northeast</i>					
WFWEL08 <i>2.5 km East-Northeast</i>					
WFWEL09 <i>3.0 km Southeast</i>					
WFWEL10 <i>7.0 km North</i>					

* No drinking water wells are located in hydrogeological units affected by site activity.

Sampling Rationale

Off-Site DOE 5400.1, IV.9; DOE/EH-0173T, 5.10.1.2

Drinking Water

WFWEL Series Eight of the ten listed off-site private residential drinking water wells represent the nearest unrestricted uses of groundwater close to the WVDP. The ninth sample (**WFWEL10**) is taken from a public water supply from deep wells. The tenth drinking water well, **WFWEL06**, is located 29 kilometers south of the Project and is considered a background drinking water source.

- Sampling locations are shown on Figures A-9, A-12, and A-13 (pp. A-11, A-14, and A-15).

2002 Monitoring Program Environmental Surveillance

Off-Site Air

Sample Location Code	Monitoring/Reporting Requirements	Sampling Type/Medium	Collection Frequency	Total Annual Sample Collections	Analyses Performed/ Composite Frequency	
AFFXVRD <i>3.0 km South-Southeast at Fox Valley</i>	Particulate air samples around WNYNSC perimeter Reported in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MTAR • ASER 	Continuous air particulate filter	→ Weekly	→ 52 each location	→ Gross alpha/beta, flow	
AFTCORD <i>3.7 km North-Northwest at Thomas Corners Road</i>				Weekly filters composited to 4 each location	→ Quarterly composite for Sr-90, gamma isotopic	
AFRT240* <i>2.0 km Northeast on Route 240</i>						In addition, quarterly composite at
AFSPRVL <i>9.4 km North at Springville</i>						AFRSPRD and
AFWEVAL <i>6.2 km South-Southeast at West Valley</i>						AFGRVAL for U-232, U-233/234, U-235/236, U-238, total U, Pu-238, Pu-239/240, Am-241
AFNASHV <i>39.8 km West at Village of Nashville, Town of Hanover (Background)</i>			Continuous desiccant column for water vapor collection at	→ Weekly	→ 52 each location	→ H-3
AFBOEHN <i>2.3 km Southwest on Dutch Hill Road</i>			AFRSPRD and			
AFRSPRD <i>1.5 km Northwest on Rock Springs Road</i>			AFGRVAL			
AFGRVAL <i>30.9 km South at Great Valley (Background)</i>		Continuous charcoal cartridge at	→ Monthly	→ 12 composited to 4 each location	→ Quarterly composite for I-129	
AFBLKST <i>Bulk Storage Warehouse 2.2 km East-Southeast at Buttermilk Road</i>		AFRSPRD and				
		AFGRVAL				

* Filter from duplicate sampler sent to NYSDOH.

Sampling Rationale

AFFXVRD	DOE/EH-0173T, 5.7.4
AFTCORD AFRT240	Air samplers put into service by NFS as part of the site's original monitoring program at perimeter locations chosen to obtain data from places most likely to provide highest concentrations. Choice of location based on meteorological data.
AFSPRVL	DOE/EH-0173T, 5.7.4; DOE/EP-0023, 4.2.3 Off-site (remote) sampler located on private property in a nearby community within 15 kilometers of the site (north).
AFWEVAL	DOE/EH-0173T, 5.7.4; DOE/EP-0023, 4.2.3 Off-site (remote) sampler located in a nearby community within 15 kilometers of the site (southeast).
AFNASHV	DOE/EH-0173T, 5.7.4; DOE/EP-0023, 4.2.3 Off-site (remote) sampler considered representative of natural background radiation. Located 39.8 kilometers west of the site (upwind) on privately owned property.
AFBOEHN	DOE/EH-0173T, 5.7.4; DOE/EP-0023, 4.2.3 Perimeter location chosen to obtain data from the place most likely to provide the highest elevated release concentrations. AFBOEHN is located on NYSERDA property at the perimeter. Choice of location based on meteorological data.
AFRSPRD	DOE/EH-0173T, 5.7.4 Perimeter location chosen to obtain data from the place most likely to provide the highest ground-level release concentrations. AFRSPRD is on WYNNSC property outside the main plant operations fenceline. H-3 and I-129 are sampled here because the sampling trains were easy to incorporate and the location was most likely to receive effluent releases. Choice of location based on meteorological data.
AFGRVAL	DOE/EH-0173T, 5.7.4; DOE/EP-0023, 4.2.3 Off-site (remote) sampler considered representative of natural background radiation. Located on privately owned property 30.9 kilometers south of the site (typically upwind). H-3 and I-129 sampled here also.
AFBLKST	DOE/EH-0173T, 5.7.4 Off-site monitoring of bulk storage warehouse, near the site perimeter.

■ Sampling locations are shown on Figures A-5, A-12, and A-13 (pp. A-7, A-14, and A-15).

2002 Monitoring Program Environmental Surveillance

Fallout, Sediment, and Soil

Sample Location Code	Monitoring/Reporting Requirements	Sampling Type/Medium	Collection Frequency	Total Annual Sample Collections	Analyses Performed/ Composite Frequency
AFDHFOP 2.3 km Southwest AFFXFOP 3.0 km South-Southeast AFTCFOP 3.7 km North-Northwest AF24FOP 2.0 km Northeast ANRGFOP Rain Gauge On-Site	Collection of fallout particulates and precipitation around the WNYNSC perimeter Reported in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MTAR • ASER 	Integrated precipitation	Monthly	12 each location	Gross alpha/beta, H-3, pH, gamma isotopic
SF Soil Series Surface Soil at Each of 10 Air Samplers	Long-term fallout accumulation Reported in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MTAR • ASER 	Surface plug composite soil	Annual	1 each location	Gross alpha/beta, gamma isotopic, Sr-90, Pu-238, Pu-239/240, Am-241 In addition at SFRSPRD , SFBOEHN , and SFGRVAL : U-232, U-233/234, U-235/236, U-238, and total U
SFCCSED Cattaraugus Creek at Felton Bridge SFSDSED Cattaraugus Creek at Springville Dam SFBISED Cattaraugus Creek at Bigelow Bridge (Background) SFTCSED Buttermilk Creek at Thomas Corners Road SFBCESED Buttermilk Creek at Fox Valley Road (Background)	Deposition in sediment downstream of facility effluents Reported in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MTAR • ASER 	Grab stream sediment	Annual (Split SFSDSED and SFBCESED with NYSDOH)	1 each location	Gross alpha/beta, gamma isotopic, Sr-90, U-232, U-233/234, U-235/236, U-238, total U, Pu-238, Pu-239/240, Am-241
SN On-Site Soil Series: SNSW74A (Near WNSW74A) SNSWAMP (Near WNSWAMP) SNSP006 (Near WNSP006)	Reported in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MTAR • ASER 	Surface plug or grab	Annual	1 each location	Gross alpha/beta, gamma isotopic, Sr-90, U-232, U-233/234, U-235/236, U-238, total U, Pu-238, Pu-239/240, and Am-241, Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn

Sampling Rationale

AFDHFOP	DOE/EP-0023, 4.7
AFFXFOP	
AFTCFOP	
AF24FOP	Collection of fallout particles and precipitation around the site perimeter at established air sampling locations: AFDHFOP (Dutch Hill at Boehn Road), AFFXFOP (Fox Valley Road), AFTCFOP (Thomas Corners), AF24FOP (Route 240). Indicates short-term effects.
ANRGFOP	Fallout particles and precipitation collected on-site by the Environmental Laboratory at the rain gauge. Indicates short-term effects.
SF Soil Series	DOE/EH-0173T, 5.9.1
	Off-site soils collected at air sampling locations. SFWEVAL (West Valley), SFFXVRD (Fox Valley Road), SFSPRVL (Springville), SFTCORD (Thomas Corners), SFRT240 (Route 240), SFNASHV (Nashville), SFBOEHN (Boehn Road-Dutch Hill), SFGRVAL (Great Valley), SFRSPRD (Rock Springs Road), SFBLKST (bulk storage warehouse): Collection of long-term fallout data at established air sampler locations via soil sampling.
SFCCSED	DOE/EH-0173T, 5.12.1
	Sediment deposition at Cattaraugus Creek at Felton Bridge. Location is first point of public access to Cattaraugus Creek downstream of its confluence with Buttermilk Creek.
SFSDSED	DOE/EH-0173T, 5.12.1
	Sediment deposition in Cattaraugus Creek at Springville Dam. Reservoir provides ideal settling and collection location for sediments downstream of Buttermilk Creek confluence with Cattaraugus Creek. Located downstream of SFCCSED .
SFBISED	DOE/EH-0173T, 5.12.1
	Sediment deposition in Cattaraugus Creek at Bigelow Bridge. Location is upstream of the Buttermilk Creek confluence and serves as the Cattaraugus Creek background location.
SFTCSED	DOE/EH-0173T, 5.12.1
	Sediment deposition in Thomas Corners in Buttermilk Creek immediately downstream of all facility liquid effluents.
SFBCSED	DOE/EH-0173T, 5.12.1
	Sediment deposition in Buttermilk Creek upsteam of facility effluents (background).
SN Soil Series	DOE/EH-0173T, 5.9.1.
	On-site soil. (Samples may be partially composed of sediments.) SNSW74A (surface soil near WNSW74A), SNSWAMP (surface soil near WNSWAMP), and SNSP006 (surface soil near WNSP006): Locations to be specifically defined by geographic coordinates. Correspond to site drainage pattern flows (i.e., most likely area of radiological deposition/accumulation).

■ Sampling locations are shown on Figures A-2 through A-5, A-12, and A-13 (pp. A-4 through A-7, A-14, and A-15).

**2002 Monitoring Program
Environmental Surveillance**

Off-Site Biological

Sample Location Code	Monitoring/Reporting Requirements	Sampling Type/Medium	Collection Frequency	Total Annual Sample Collections	Analyses Performed/ Composite Frequency
BFFCATC <i>Fish From Cattaraugus Creek Downstream of Its Confluence With Buttermilk Creek</i>	Fish in waters up- and downstream of facility effluents Reported in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MTAR • ASER 	Individual collection, biological	→ Semiannual (samples at BFFCATC and BFFCTRL shared with NYSDOH)	→ 20 fish each location	→ Gamma isotopic and Sr-90 in edible portions of each individual fish, % moisture
BFFCATD <i>Fish From Cattaraugus Creek Downstream of the Springville Dam</i>			→ Annual (BFFCATD only)	→ 10 fish	→ Gamma isotopic and Sr-90 in edible portions of each individual fish, % moisture
BFFCTRL <i>Control Fish Sample From Nearby Stream Not Affected by the WVDP (7 km or More Upstream of Site Effluent Point; Background)</i>					
BFMREED <i>Dairy Farm 3.8 km North-Northwest</i>	Milk from animals foraging at locations near the facility perimeter and at background sites Milk from animals foraging at background sites Reported in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MTAR • ASER 	Grab biological	→ Monthly (samples at BFMREED shared with NYSDOH)	→ 12 monthly samples composited to 4 each location	→ Quarterly composite for gamma isotopic, H-3, Sr-90, and I-129
BFMCTLS <i>Control Location 25 km South (Background)</i>					
BFMCTLN <i>Control Location 30 km North (Background)</i>					
BFMWIDR <i>Dairy Farm 3.0 km Southeast</i>	Milk from animals foraging near the site perimeter Reported in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MTAR • ASER 	Grab biological	→ Annual	→ 1 each location	→ Gamma isotopic, H-3, Sr-90, and I-129
BFMSCHT <i>Dairy Farm 4.8 km South</i>					

Sampling Rationale

BFFCATC BFTCATD	DOE/EH-0173T, 5.11.1.1 Radioactivity may enter a food chain in which fish are a major component and are consumed by the local population.
BFFCTRL	Control fish sample; provide background data for comparison with data from fish caught downstream of facility effluents.
BFMREED	DOE/EH-0173T, 5.8.2.1 Milk is consumed by all age groups and is frequently the most important food that could contribute to the radiation dose. Dairy animals pastured near the site and at two background locations allow adequate monitoring. Control milk samples are collected far from the site to provide background data for comparison with data from near-site milk samples.
BFMWIDR BFMSCHT	Milk from animals foraging around facility perimeter.

- Sampling locations are shown on Figures A-9, A-12, and A-13 (pp. A-11, A-14, and A-15).

2002 Monitoring Program Environmental Surveillance

Off-Site Biological

Sample Location Code	Monitoring/Reporting Requirements	Sampling Type/Medium	Collection Frequency	Total Annual Sample Collections	Analyses Performed/Composite Frequency
BFVNEAR* <i>Nearby Locations</i> BFVCTRL* <i>Remote Locations (16 km or More From Facility; Background)</i>	Fruit and vegetables grown near facility perimeter, downwind if possible, and at background locations Reported in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MTAR • ASER 	Grab biological (fruits and vegetables)	→ Annual (at harvest)	→ 3 each (split with NYSDOH)	→ Gamma isotopic and Sr-90 analysis of edible portions, H-3 in free moisture, % moisture
BFHNEAR <i>Forage for Beef Cattle/ Milk Cows From Near-Site Location</i> BFHCTLS or BFHCTLN <i>Forage for Beef Cattle/ Milk Cows From Control Location South or North (Background)</i>	Forage (hay) grown near facility perimeter, downwind if possible, and at background locations Reported in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MTAR • ASER 	Grab biological	→ Annual	→ 1 each location	→ Gamma isotopic, Sr-90
BFBNEAR <i>Beef Animal From Nearby Farm in Downwind Direction</i> BFBCTRL <i>Beef Animal From Control Location 16 km or More From Facility (Background)</i>	Meat (beef foraging near facility perimeter, downwind if possible, and a background location) Reported in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MTAR • ASER 	Grab biological	→ Semiannual	→ 2 each location	→ Gamma isotopic and Sr-90 analysis of meat, H-3 in free moisture, % moisture
BFDNEAR <i>Deer in Vicinity of the Site</i> BFDCTRL <i>Control Deer 16 km or More From the Facility (Background)</i>	Venison (deer foraging near facility perimeter and at background locations) Reported in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MTAR • ASER 	Individual collection, biological	→ Annual, during hunting season (BFDNEAR sample split with NYSDOH) During year as available (BFDCTRL sample split with NYSDOH)	→ 3 → 3	→ Gamma isotopic and Sr-90 analysis of meat, H-3 in free moisture, % moisture

* Near-site and control corn, apple, and bean samples are identified specifically as follows: corn = **BFVNEAC** and **BFVCTRC**; apples = **BFVNEAAF** and **BFVCTRA**; beans = **BFVNEAB** and **BFVCTRB**.

Sampling Rationale

BFVNEAR	DOE/EH-0173T, 5.8.2.2 Fruits and vegetables (corn, apples, and beans or leafy vegetables, if available) collected from areas near the site. These samples are collected, if possible, from areas near the site predicted to have worst-case downwind concentrations of radionuclides in air and soil. Sample analysis reflects steady state/chronic uptake or contamination of foodstuffs as a result of site activities. Possible pathway directly to humans or indirectly through animals.
BFVCTRL	DOE/EH-0173T, 5.8.2.2 Fruits and vegetables collected from an area remote from the site. Background fruits and vegetables collected for comparison with near-site samples. Collected in area(s) of no possible site effects.
BFHNEAR	DOE/EH-0173T, 5.8.2.2 Hay collected from area near the site. Same as for near-site fruits and vegetables (BFVNEAR). Indirect pathway to humans through animals. Collected from same location as beef or milk sample.
BFHCTLS BFHCTLN	DOE/EH-0173T, 5.8.2.2 Hay collected from areas remote from the site. Background hay collected for comparison with near-site samples. Collected in area(s) of no possible effects from the site.
BFBNEAR	DOE/EH-0173T, 5.8.2.3 Beef collected from animals raised near the site and foraging downwind of the site in areas of maximum probable effects. Following the rationale for vegetable matter collected near the site (BFVNEAR and BFHNEAR), edible flesh portion of beef animals is analyzed to determine possible radionuclide content passable directly to humans.
BFBCTRL	DOE/EH-0173T, 5.8.2.3 Beef collected from animals raised far from the site. Background beef collected for comparison with near-site samples. Collected in area(s) of no possible site effects.
BFDNEAR	DOE/EH-0173T, 5.8.3 Venison from near-site deer. Samples are taken from deer killed in collisions with vehicles. Sample rationale is similar to BFBNEAR .
BFDCTRL	DOE/EH-0173T, 5.8.3 Venison from deer living far from the site. Background deer meat collected for comparison with near-site samples. Collected in area(s) of no possible site effects.

- Sampling locations are shown on Figures A-9, A-12, and A-13 (pp. A-11, A-14, and A-15).

**2002 Monitoring Program
Environmental Surveillance**

Off-Site Direct Radiation

<u>Sample Location Code</u>	<u>Monitoring/Reporting Requirements</u>	<u>Sampling Type/Medium</u>	<u>Collection Frequency</u>	<u>Total Annual Sample Collections</u>	<u>Analyses Performed/ Composite Frequency</u>
DFTLD Series <i>Thermoluminescent Dosimetry (TLD)</i> <i>Off-Site:</i> #1-#16 <i>Each of 16 Compass Sectors at Nearest Accessible Perimeter Point</i> #17 <i>"5 points" Landfill</i> <i>19.6 km Southwest (Background)</i> #20 <i>1,500 m Northwest (Downwind Receptor)</i> #21 <i>Springville</i> <i>9.4 km North</i> #22 <i>West Valley</i> <i>6.2 km South-Southeast</i> #23 <i>Great Valley</i> <i>30.9 km South (Background)</i> #37 <i>Nashville</i> <i>39.8 km Northwest (Background)</i> #41 <i>Sardinia-Savage Road</i> <i>15.5 km Northeast (Background)</i>	<p>Direct radiation around facility</p> <p>Reported in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MTAR • ASER 	<p>Integrating TLD</p>	<p>→ Quarterly</p>	<p>→ TLD cards at each of 23 locations collected 4 times per year</p>	<p>→ Quarterly gamma radiation exposure</p>

Sampling Rationale

Direct Radiation DOE/EH-0173T, 5.5; DOE/EP-0023, 4.6.3
Off-Site

TLDs offer continuous integrated environmental gamma-ray monitoring and have been deployed systematically about the site. Off-site TLDs are used to verify that site activities have not adversely affected the surrounding environs.

An annual high-pressure ion chamber (HPIC) gamma radiation measurement is completed at all locations in order to confirm TLD measurements.

- Sampling locations are shown on Figures A-11 through A-13 (pp. A-13 through A-15).

**2002 Monitoring Program
Environmental Surveillance**

On-Site Direct Radiation

<u>Sample Location Code</u>	<u>Monitoring/Reporting Requirements</u>	<u>Sampling Type/Medium</u>	<u>Collection Frequency</u>	<u>Total Annual Sample Collections</u>	<u>Analyses Performed/ Composite Frequency</u>
<p>DNTLD Series Thermoluminescent Dosimetry (TLD) On-Site:</p> <p>#18, #19, #33 At Three Corners of the SDA</p> <p>#24, #26-#32, #34 9 TLDS at the Security Fence Around the Site</p> <p>#35, #36, #38-#40 5 TLDS On-Site Near Operational Areas</p> <p>#25 Rock Springs Road 500 m North-Northwest of the Plant</p> <p>#42 SDA T-1 Building</p> <p>#43 SDA west Perimeter Fence</p>	<p>Direct radiation around facility</p> <p>Reported in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MTAR • ASER 	<p>Integrating TLD</p>	<p>→ Quarterly</p>	<p>→ TLD cards at each of 20 locations collected 4 times per year</p>	<p>→ Quarterly gamma radiation exposure</p>

Sampling Rationale

Direct Radiation DOE/EH-0173T, 5.4 and 5.5
On-Site

On-site TLDs monitor waste management units and verify that the potential dose rate to the general public (i.e., at Rock Springs Road) is below 100 mrem/year (1 mSv/year) from site activities.

An annual high-pressure ion chamber (HPIC) gamma radiation measurement is completed at all locations in order to confirm TLD measurements.

Potential TLD sampling locations are continually evaluated with respect to site activities.

- Sampling locations are shown on Figure A-10 (p. A-12).

This page intentionally left blank

Appendix C

Summary of Water Monitoring Data



Collecting a Sample at a WVDP Stream Sampling Location

Table C-2A contains a bolding convention devised to help the reader, when viewing the data, to quickly see the range of detectable measurements within a data series. A data series is a set of chemical or radionuclide measurements (e.g., gross alpha, gross beta, tritium) from a single location or from similar locations. Note that some tables contain data that should not be technically evaluated under this convention.

Key to bolding convention:

Results for each constituent constitute a single data series. If a radiological result is larger than the uncertainty term, the measurement is considered positive. Otherwise, a result is considered non-detectable. Chemical results preceded by "less than" (<) are considered nondetectable.

If all results in a data series are positive, the lowest and highest values are bolded.

If a data series contains some positive results, the highest value is bolded.

If all values in a data series are nondetectable, no values are bolded.

Appendix C-1
Summary of Water Limits, Guidelines, and Standards

This page intentionally left blank

Table C-1A
West Valley Demonstration Project State Pollutant Discharge Elimination
System (SPDES) Sampling Program

Outfall	Parameter	Daily Maximum Limit*	Sample Frequency
001 (Process and Storm Wastewater)	Flow	Monitor	2 per discharge
	Aluminum, total	14.0 mg/L	2 per discharge
	Ammonia (NH ₃)	Monitor	2 per discharge
	Arsenic, dissolved	0.15 mg/L	2 per discharge
	BOD ₅	10.0 mg/L	2 per discharge
	Iron, total	Monitor	2 per discharge
	Zinc, total recoverable	0.48 mg/L	2 per discharge
	Suspended solids	45.0 mg/L	2 per discharge
	Cyanide, amenable to chlorination	0.022 mg/L	2 per discharge
	Settleable solids	0.30 mL/L	2 per discharge
	pH (range)	6.5–8.5	2 per discharge
	Oil and grease	15.0 mg/L	2 per discharge
	Sulfate (as S)	Monitor	2 per discharge
	Sulfide, dissolved	0.4 mg/L	2 per discharge
	Manganese, total	2.0 mg/L	2 per discharge
	Nitrate (as N)	Monitor	2 per discharge
	Nitrite (as N)	0.1 mg/L	2 per discharge
	Chromium, total recoverable	0.3 mg/L	2 per discharge
	Chromium, hexavalent, total recoverable	0.011 mg/L	2 per discharge
	Cadmium, total recoverable	0.002 mg/L	2 per discharge
	Copper, total recoverable	0.030 mg/L	2 per discharge
	Copper, dissolved	Monitor	2 per discharge
	Lead, total recoverable	0.006 mg/L	2 per discharge
	Nickel, total recoverable	0.14 mg/L	2 per discharge
	Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.01 mg/L	annual
	Trichlorofluoromethane	0.01 mg/L	annual
	3,3-dichlorobenzidine	0.01 mg/L	2 per discharge
	Tributyl phosphate	32 mg/L	2 per discharge
	Vanadium, total recoverable	0.014 mg/L	2 per discharge
	Cobalt, total recoverable	0.005 mg/L	2 per discharge
	Selenium, total recoverable	0.004 mg/L	2 per discharge
	Hexachlorobenzene	0.02 mg/L	2 per discharge
	Alpha - BHC	0.00001 mg/L	2 per discharge
Heptachlor	0.00001 mg/L	2 per discharge	
Surfactants (as LAS)	0.4 mg/L	2 per discharge	
Xylene	0.05 mg/L	2 per discharge	
2-butanone	0.5 mg/L	2 per discharge	
Total dissolved solids	Monitor	2 per discharge	
Mercury, total	0.0002 mg/L	2 per discharge	

* Daily average limitations are also identified in the permit but require only monitoring for all parameters except total aluminum (daily average limit - 7.0 mg/L); suspended solids (daily average limit - 30.0 mg/L); BOD₅ for the sum of outfalls 001, 007, and 008 (daily average limit - 5.0 mg/L); and ammonia for the sum of outfalls 001 and 007 (daily average limit - 1.49 mg/L).

Table C-1A (concluded)
West Valley Demonstration Project State Pollutant Discharge Elimination
System (SPDES) Sampling Program

Outfall	Parameter	Daily Maximum Limit*	Sample Frequency
001 (concluded)	Barium	0.5 mg/L	annual
	Antimony	1.0 mg/L	annual
	Chloroform	0.3 mg/L	annual
	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	1.6 mg/L	semiannual
	4-Dodecene	0.6 mg/L	semiannual
	Titanium	0.65 mg/L	semiannual
	Bromide	5.0 mg/L	quarterly
	Boron	2.0 mg/L	quarterly
01B (Internal Process Monitoring Point)	Flow	Monitor	weekly
	Mercury, total	10.0 Fg/L	2 per month
007 (Sanitary and Utility Wastewater)	Flow	Monitor	3 per month
	Ammonia (as NH ₃)	Monitor	3 per month
	BOD ₅	10 mg/L	3 per month
	Iron, total	Monitor	3 per month
	Solids, suspended	45.0 mg/L	3 per month
	Solids, settleable	0.3 mL/L	weekly
	pH (range)	6.5–8.5	weekly
	Nitrite (as N)	0.1 mg/L	3 per month
	Oil and grease	15 mg/L	3 per month
	Chlorine, total residual	0.1 mg/L	weekly
	Chloroform	0.20 mg/L	annual
008 (French Drain Wastewater)	Flow	Monitor	3 per month
	BOD ₅	5.0 mg/L	3 per month
	Iron, total	Monitor	3 per month
	pH (range)	6.5–8.5	3 per month
	Cadmium, total recoverable	0.002 mg/L	3 per month
	Lead, total recoverable	0.006 mg/L	3 per month
	Silver, total	0.008 mg/L	annual
	Zinc, total	0.100 mg/L	annual
	Arsenic	0.17 mg/L	annual
	Chromium	0.13 mg/L	annual
Sum of Outfalls 001, 007, and 008	Iron, total	0.30 mg/L	3 per month
	BOD ₅	Monitor	3 per month
Sum of Outfalls 001 and 007	Ammonia (as NH ₃)	2.1 mg/L	3 per month
Pseudo-monitoring point (116)	Solids, total dissolved	500 mg/L	2 per discharge

* Daily average limitations are also identified in the permit but require only monitoring for all parameters except total aluminum (daily average limit - 7.0 mg/L); suspended solids (daily average limit - 30.0 mg/L); BOD₅ for the sum of outfalls 001, 007, and 008 (daily average limit - 5.0 mg/L); and ammonia for the sum of outfalls 001 and 007 (daily average limit - 1.49 mg/L).

Table C-1B
New York Water Quality Standards and Guidelines^a

Parameter	Units	Class A	Class B	Class C	Class D	Class GA
Gross Alpha ^b	pCi/L (μCi/mL)	15 (1.5E-08)	--	--	--	15 (1.5E-08)
Gross Beta ^c	pCi/L (μCi/mL)	1,000 (1.0E-06)	--	--	--	1,000 (1.0E-06)
Tritium (H-3)	pCi/L (μCi/mL)	20,000 (2E-05)	--	--	--	--
Strontium-90	pCi/L (μCi/mL)	8 (8E-09)	--	--	--	--
Cesium-137	pCi/L (μCi/mL)	--	--	--	--	--
Barium, Total	mg/L	1	--	--	--	1
Bicarbonate Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃)	mg/L	--	--	--	--	--
Calcium, Total	mg/L	--	--	--	--	--
Carbonate Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃)	mg/L	--	--	--	--	--
Chloride	mg/L	250	--	--	--	250
Conductivity	μmhos/cm@25 ⁰ C	--	--	--	--	--
Dissolved Solids, Total	mg/L	500	500	500	--	500
Fluoride	mg/L	1.5	^d	^d	^d	1.5
Iron, Total	mg/L	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Magnesium, Total	mg/L	35	--	--	--	--
Manganese, Total	mg/L	0.3	--	--	--	0.3
Nitrate+Nitrite (as N)	mg/L	10	--	--	--	10
NPOC	mg/L	--	--	--	--	--
pH	SU	6.5–8.5 ^e	6.5–8.5 ^e	6.5–8.5 ^e	6.0–9.5	6.5–8.5 ^e
Potassium, Total	mg/L	--	--	--	--	--
Sodium, Total	mg/L	--	--	--	--	20
Sulfate	mg/L	250	--	--	--	250
TOX (total organic halides)	mg/L	--	--	--	--	--

-- No applicable guideline or reference standard available

Note: All water quality and metals standards are presented in mg/L (ppm) to provide consistency in comparisons.

^a Sources: 6 NYCRR Part 701–704 and Technical Operation Guidance Series (TOGS) 1.1.1

^b Alpha standard excludes radon and uranium, however WVDP results include these isotopes.

^c Beta standard excludes strontium-90 and alpha emitters, however WVDP results include these isotopes.

^d Calculated from hardness of surface water stream

^e pH shall not be lower than 6.5 or the pH of natural groundwater, whichever is lower; nor shall pH be greater than 8.5 or the pH of the natural groundwater, whichever is greater.

Table C-1C
New York State Department of Health/U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
MCLs, MCLGs, and Raw Water Standards

Parameter	Units	NYSDOH or EPA MCL ^a	EPA MCLG ^b	NYSDOH Raw Water Standards ^c
Gross Alpha	pCi/L (μCi/mL)	15 (1.5E-08) ^d	0	--
Gross Beta	pCi/L (μCi/mL)	50 (5E-08) ^e	0	1,000 (1E-06)
Tritium (H-3)	pCi/L (μCi/mL)	20,000 (2E-05)	--	--
Strontium-90	pCi/L (μCi/mL)	8 (8E-09)	--	10 (1E-08)
Antimony, Total	mg/L	0.006	0.006	--
Arsenic, Total	mg/L	0.05	--	0.05
Barium, Total	mg/L	2	2	1
Beryllium, Total	mg/L	0.004	0.004	--
Cadmium, Total	mg/L	0.005	0.005	0.01
Chromium, Total	mg/L	0.1	0.1	--
Conductivity	μmhos/cm@25 ⁰ C	--	--	--
Cyanide	mg/L	0.2	0.2	<0.1
Dissolved Solids, Total	mg/L	--	--	500
Fluoride	mg/L	2.2	--	1.5
Iron, Total	mg/L	0.3	--	--
Mercury, Total	mg/L	0.002	0.002	0.005
Nickel, Total	mg/L	--	--	--
Nitrate-N	mg/L	10	10	10
pH	SU	--	--	6.5–8.5
Selenium, Total	mg/L	0.050	0.050	0.01
Thallium, Total	mg/L	0.002	0.0005	--

-- No applicable guideline or reference standard available

Note: All water quality and metals standards are presented in mg/L (ppm) to provide consistency in comparisons.

^a MCL - Listed is NYSDOH or EPA Maximum Contaminant Levels. Sources: 40 CFR 141 and/or 10 NYCRR Part 5, Subpart 5-1, Section 5-1.52, whichever is more stringent.

^b MCLG - Maximum Contaminant Level Goal (non-enforceable) as listed in 40 CFR Part 141

^c Source: 10 NYCRR Part 170.4

^d Alpha guideline includes radium-226 but excludes radon and uranium, however WVDP results include these isotopes

^e Average annual concentration assumed to produce a total body organ dose of 4 mrem/year

Table C-1D
U.S. Department of Energy Derived Concentration Guides (DCGs)

Radionuclide	Units	Ingested Water, in $\mu\text{Ci/mL}$
Gross Alpha (as Am-241)	$\mu\text{Ci/mL}$	3E-08
Gross Beta (as Sr-90)	$\mu\text{Ci/mL}$	1E-06
Tritium (H-3)	$\mu\text{Ci/mL}$	2E-03
Carbon-14 (C-14)	$\mu\text{Ci/mL}$	7E-05
Potassium-40 (K-40)	$\mu\text{Ci/mL}$	7E-06
Cobalt-60 (Co-60)	$\mu\text{Ci/mL}$	5E-06
Strontium-90 (Sr-90)	$\mu\text{Ci/mL}$	1E-06
Technetium-99 (Tc-99)	$\mu\text{Ci/mL}$	1E-04
Iodine-129 (I-129)	$\mu\text{Ci/mL}$	5E-07
Cesium-137 (Cs-137)	$\mu\text{Ci/mL}$	3E-06
Europium-154 (Eu-154)	$\mu\text{Ci/mL}$	2E-05
Uranium-232 (U-232)	$\mu\text{Ci/mL}$	1E-07
Uranium-233 (U-233)	$\mu\text{Ci/mL}$	5E-07
Uranium-234 (U-234)	$\mu\text{Ci/mL}$	5E-07
Uranium-235 (U-235)	$\mu\text{Ci/mL}$	6E-07
Uranium-236 (U-236)	$\mu\text{Ci/mL}$	5E-07
Uranium-238 (U-238)	$\mu\text{Ci/mL}$	6E-07
Plutonium-238 (Pu-238)	$\mu\text{Ci/mL}$	4E-08
Plutonium-239 (Pu-239)	$\mu\text{Ci/mL}$	3E-08
Plutonium-240 (Pu-240)	$\mu\text{Ci/mL}$	3E-08
Americium-241 (Am-241)	$\mu\text{Ci/mL}$	3E-08

^a DCGs are screening levels applicable to WVDP process effluents assuming a water ingestion exposure pathway equivalent to a dose limit of 100 mrem/yr. These screening levels, which are conservatively derived, are used in the absence of site-specific concentration-based limits.

Note: For drinking water sources/systems where no isotopic MCL or MCLG (see Table C-1C) exists, the isotopic DCG value is multiplied by 0.04 (i.e., 4%) to obtain the equivalent of the EPA/NYSDOH 4 mrem/yr limit for drinking water.

This page intentionally left blank

Appendix C-2
Process Effluent Data

This page intentionally left blank

Table C-2A
Total Radioactivity (curies) of Liquid Effluents Released From Lagoon 3
(WNSP001) in 2002

Isotope	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	Annual Total
Gross Alpha	2.36±0.36E-04	2.11±0.34E-04	1.03±0.31E-04	2.52±0.33E-04	8.02±0.67E-04
Gross Beta	1.04±0.02E-02	6.91±0.14E-03	2.34±0.09E-03	4.29±0.10E-03	2.39±0.03E-02
H-3	3.95±0.15E-02	3.07±0.13E-02	1.30±0.06E-02	4.78±0.15E-02	1.31±0.03E-01
C-14	2.46±0.59E-04	0.87±1.23E-04	1.79±1.07E-04	3.40±1.40E-04	8.52±2.23E-04
K-40	-0.62±6.24E-04	0.91±7.30E-04	-2.86±3.16E-04	1.14±4.59E-04	-0.14±1.11E-03
Co-60	-0.66±2.90E-05	-3.88±6.65E-05	0.64±1.85E-05	0.54±2.17E-05	-3.36±7.79E-05
Sr-90	4.34±0.10E-03	2.97±0.09E-03	8.47±0.60E-04	1.41±0.06E-03	9.57±0.16E-03
Tc-99	4.07±0.40E-04	2.71±0.34E-04	4.18±0.40E-04	6.50±0.34E-04	1.75±0.07E-03
I-129	6.98±1.87E-05	0.00±1.67E-05	1.88±0.77E-05	3.76±1.42E-05	1.26±0.30E-04
Cs-137	1.99±0.09E-03	1.14±0.10E-03	4.53±0.45E-04	1.60±0.07E-03	5.19±0.16E-03
U-232	1.44±0.12E-04	1.29±0.08E-04	4.56±0.34E-05	1.53±0.12E-04	4.72±0.19E-04
U-233/234	8.81±0.65E-05	7.89±0.50E-05	2.62±0.17E-05	8.77±0.64E-05	2.81±0.11E-04
U-235/236	2.94±0.67E-06	3.19±0.71E-06	1.83±0.48E-06	2.86±0.66E-06	1.08±0.13E-05
U-238	6.41±0.50E-05	6.39±0.42E-05	1.97±0.15E-05	5.23±0.39E-05	2.00±0.08E-04
Total U (g)	1.68±0.05E+02	1.92±0.05E+02	6.07±0.09E+01	1.49±0.04E+02	5.70±0.08E+02
Pu-238	1.35±0.46E-06	8.36±3.63E-07	1.81±1.30E-07	3.31±0.89E-06	5.68±1.07E-06
Pu-239/240	1.32±0.46E-06	5.70±3.61E-07	1.91±2.09E-07	4.82±0.97E-06	6.90±1.15E-06
Am-241	1.37±0.41E-06	8.12±3.88E-07	2.91±1.90E-07	3.80±0.76E-06	6.27±0.96E-06

Note: Bolding convention applied to these data. See page C-2.

Table C-2B
Comparison of 2002 Lagoon 3 (WNSP001) Liquid Effluent Radioactivity Concentrations With U.S. Department of Energy Guidelines

Isotope ^a	Discharge Activity ^b (Ci)	Radioactivity ^c (Becquerels)	Average Concentration (μ Ci/mL)	DCG (μ Ci/mL)	% of DCG
Gross Alpha	8.02 \pm 0.67E-04	2.97 \pm 0.25E+07	1.54 \pm 0.13E-08	NA ^d	NA
Gross Beta	2.39 \pm 0.03E-02	8.86 \pm 0.09E+08	4.61 \pm 0.05E-07	NA ^d	NA
H-3	1.31 \pm 0.03E-01	4.85 \pm 0.09E+09	2.52 \pm 0.05E-06	2E-03	0.13
C-14	8.52 \pm 2.23E-04	3.15 \pm 0.83E+07	1.64 \pm 0.43E-08	7E-05	0.02
K-40	-0.14 \pm 1.11E-03	-0.53 \pm 4.11E+07	-0.28 \pm 2.14E-08	NA ^e	NA
Co-60	-3.36 \pm 7.79E-05	-1.24 \pm 2.88E+06	-0.65 \pm 1.50E-09	5E-06	<0.03
Sr-90	9.57 \pm 0.16E-03	3.54 \pm 0.06E+08	1.84 \pm 0.03E-07	1E-06	18.43
Tc-99	1.75 \pm 0.07E-03	6.46 \pm 0.27E+07	3.36 \pm 0.14E-08	1E-04	0.03
I-129	1.26 \pm 0.30E-04	4.67 \pm 1.11E+06	2.43 \pm 0.57E-09	5E-07	0.49
Cs-137	5.19 \pm 0.16E-03	1.92 \pm 0.06E+08	9.98 \pm 0.31E-08	3E-06	3.33
U-232^f	4.72 \pm 0.19E-04	1.75 \pm 0.07E+07	9.09 \pm 0.37E-09	1E-07	9.09
U-233/234^f	2.81 \pm 0.11E-04	1.04 \pm 0.04E+07	5.41 \pm 0.20E-09	5E-07	1.08
U-235/236^f	1.08 \pm 0.13E-05	4.00 \pm 0.47E+05	2.08 \pm 0.24E-10	5E-07 ^g	0.04
U-238^f	2.00 \pm 0.08E-04	7.40 \pm 0.29E+06	3.85 \pm 0.15E-09	6E-07	0.64
Pu-238	5.68 \pm 1.07E-06	2.10 \pm 0.40E+05	1.09 \pm 0.21E-10	4E-08	0.27
Pu-239/240	6.90 \pm 1.15E-06	2.55 \pm 0.43E+05	1.33 \pm 0.22E-10	3E-08	0.44
Am-241	6.27 \pm 0.96E-06	2.32 \pm 0.36E+05	1.21 \pm 0.18E-10	3E-08	0.40
Total % of DCGs					34.42

^a Half-lives are listed in Table K-1.

^b Total volume released: 5.20E+10 mL (1.37E+07 gal)

^c 1 curie (Ci) = 3.7E+10 becquerels (Bq); 1Bq = 2.7E-11 Ci

^d DOE derived concentration guides (DCGs) do not exist for indicator parameters gross alpha and gross beta.

^e Potassium-40 activity is not applicable because of its natural origin.

^f Total U (g) = 5.70 \pm 0.08E+02; Average U (μ g/mL) = 1.10 \pm 0.02E-02

^g DCG for U-236 is used for this comparison.

Note: Bolding convention not applicable to these data.

Table C-2C
2002 SPDES Results for Outfall 001 (WNSP001)
Water Quality

	Ammonia (mg/L)		BOD ₅ day (mg/L)		Cyanide (amenable to chlorination) (mg/L)		Discharge Rate (MGD)	
Permit limit	Monitor		10.0 mg/L daily maximum		0.022 mg/L daily maximum		Monitor	
Month	Avg	Max	Avg	Max	Avg	Max	Avg	Max
January	<0.20	0.38	<2.0	<2.0	<0.010	<0.010	0.383	0.513
February	0.022	0.028	<2.0	<2.0	<0.010	<0.010	0.393	0.513
March*	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
April	0.046	0.048	<2.0	<2.0	<0.010	<0.010	0.352	0.606
May	0.029	0.040	<2.0	<2.0	<0.010	<0.010	0.394	0.831
June*	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
July	0.032	0.050	<2.2	2.4	<0.010	<0.010	0.304	0.436
August*	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
September*	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
October	0.11	0.15	<2.0	<2.0	<0.010	<0.010	0.226	0.484
November*	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
December	<0.017	0.024	<3.1	4.1	<0.010	<0.010	0.354	0.688

	Nitrate (as N) (mg/L)		Nitrite (as N) (mg/L)		Oil & Grease (mg/L)	
Permit limit	Monitor		0.1 mg/L daily maximum		15.0 mg/L daily maximum	
Month	Avg	Max	Avg	Max	Avg	Max
January	0.46	0.46	<0.05	<0.05	<5.0	<5.0
February	0.88	0.98	<0.05	<0.05	<5.0	<5.0
March*	--	--	--	--	--	--
April	1.0	1.1	<0.05	<0.05	<5.0	<5.0
May	0.58	0.62	<0.05	<0.05	<5.0	<5.0
June*	--	--	--	--	--	--
July	<0.06	0.07	<0.05	<0.05	<5.0	<5.0
August*	--	--	--	--	--	--
September*	--	--	--	--	--	--
October	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<5.0	<5.0
November*	--	--	--	--	--	--
December	0.54	0.55	<0.05	<0.05	<5.0	<5.0

* No discharge this month

Note: No results exceeded the permit limits.

Table C-2C (concluded)
2002 SPDES Results for Outfall 001 (WNSP001)
Water Quality

	pH (standard units)		Solids Settleable (mL/L)		Solids Total Dissolved (mg/L)		Solids Total Suspended (mg/L)	
Permit limit	6.5 to 8.5		0.30 mL/L daily maximum		Monitor		45.0 mg/L daily maximum; 30.0 daily average	
Month	Min	Max	Avg	Max	Avg	Max	Avg	Max
January	7.9	8.1	<0.1	<0.1	667	670	<2.0	<2.0
February	7.2	7.8	<0.1	<0.1	602	611	<8.0	14.0
March*	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
April	7.7	8.1	<0.1	<0.1	680	692	<4.0	6.0
May	7.9	8.1	<0.1	<0.1	612	633	<2.0	2.0
June*	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
July	7.4	7.7	<0.1	<0.1	704	710	8.0	11.0
August*	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
September*	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
October	7.7	7.9	<0.1	<0.1	738	767	<2.0	<2.0
November*	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
December	7.4	7.8	<0.1	<0.1	680	704	<2.0	<2.0

	Sulfate (mg/L)		Sulfide Dissolved (mg/L)		Surfactants as LAS (mg/L)	
Permit limit	Monitor		0.4 mg/L daily maximum		0.4 mg/L daily maximum	
Month	Avg	Max	Avg	Max	Avg	Max
January	55	58	<0.2	<0.2	<0.1	<0.1
February	39	60	<0.2	<0.2	<0.1	<0.1
March*	--	--	--	--	--	--
April	35	38	<0.2	<0.2	<0.1	<0.1
May	46	63	<0.2	<0.2	<0.1	<0.1
June*	--	--	--	--	--	--
July	39	39	<0.2	<0.2	<0.1	<0.1
August*	--	--	--	--	--	--
September*	--	--	--	--	--	--
October	45	47	<0.2	<0.2	<0.1	<0.1
November*	--	--	--	--	--	--
December	64	67	<0.2	<0.2	<0.1	<0.1

* No discharge this month

Note: No results exceeded the permit limits.

Table C-2D
2002 SPDES Results for Outfall 001 (WNSP001)
Metals

	Aluminum Total (mg/L)		Arsenic Dissolved (mg/L)		Cadmium Total Recoverable (mg/L)		Cobalt Total Recoverable (mg/L)	
Permit limit	14 mg/L daily maximum; 7.0 mg/L daily average		0.15 mg/L daily maximum		0.002 mg/L daily maximum		0.005 mg/L daily maximum	
Month	Avg	Max	Avg	Max	Avg	Max	Avg	Max
January	<0.200	<0.200	<0.004	<0.004	<0.001	<0.001	<0.004	<0.004
February	<0.200	<0.200	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.004	<0.004
March*	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
April	0.315	0.341	<0.002	<0.003	<0.001	<0.001	<0.004	<0.004
May	<0.234	0.269	<0.0039	<0.0039	<0.001	<0.001	<0.004	<0.004
June*	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
July	<0.200	<0.200	0.0023	0.0024	<0.001	<0.001	<0.004	<0.004
August*	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
September*	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
October	<0.274	0.348	0.003	0.0033	<0.001	<0.001	<0.004	<0.004
November*	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
December	<0.200	<0.200	<0.003	<0.003	<0.001	<0.001	<0.004	<0.004

	Chromium Total Recoverable (mg/L)		Chromium VI Total Recoverable (mg/L)		Copper Dissolved (mg/L)		Copper Total Recoverable (mg/L)	
Permit limit	0.3 mg/L daily maximum		0.011 mg/L daily maximum		Monitor		0.030 mg/L daily maximum	
Month	Avg	Max	Avg	Max	Avg	Max	Avg	Max
January	<0.002	<0.002	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010
February	<0.002	<0.002	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010
March*	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
April	<0.002	<0.002	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010
May	<0.002	<0.002	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010
June*	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
July	<0.002	<0.002	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010
August*	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
September*	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
October	<0.002	<0.002	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010
November*	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
December	<0.002	<0.002	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010

* No discharge this month

Note: No results exceeded the permit limits.

Table C-2D (concluded)
2002 SPDES Results for Outfall 001 (WNSP001)
Metals

	Iron Total (mg/L)		Lead Total Recoverable (mg/L)		Manganese Total (mg/L)		Mercury, Total (per EPA Method 245.1) (mg/L)*		Mercury, Total (per EPA Method 1631) (mg/L)*	
Permit limit	Monitor		0.006 mg/L daily maximum		2.0 mg/L daily maximum		0.0002 mg/L daily maximum		Monitor	
Month	Avg	Max	Avg	Max	Avg	Max	Avg	Max	Avg	Max
January	0.178	0.183	<0.003	<0.003	0.0091	0.0099	--	--	--	--
February	0.134	0.178	<0.001	0.002	0.0278	0.034	--	--	--	--
March**	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
April	0.296	0.308	<0.002	<0.003	0.010	0.010	--	--	--	--
May	0.209	0.279	<0.002	0.003	0.018	0.020	--	--	--	--
June**	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
July	0.099	0.103	<0.0008	0.001	0.073	0.090	<0.0002	<0.0002	--	--
August**	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
September**	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
October	0.354	0.400	<0.0006	0.0006	0.118	0.13	<0.0002	<0.0002	0.00000489	0.00000498
November**	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
December	0.126	0.128	<0.0005	<0.0005	0.023	0.027	<0.0002	<0.0002	0.00000736	0.0000076

	Nickel Total Recoverable (mg/L)		Selenium Total Recoverable (mg/L)		Vanadium Total Recoverable (mg/L)		Zinc Total Recoverable (mg/L)	
Permit limit	0.14 mg/L daily maximum		0.004 mg/L daily maximum		0.014 mg/L daily maximum		0.48 mg/L daily maximum	
Month	Avg	Max	Avg	Max	Avg	Max	Avg	Max
January	<0.010	<0.010	<0.004	<0.004	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010
February	<0.010	<0.010	<0.001	<0.001	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010
March**	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
April	<0.010	<0.010	<0.002	<0.002	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010
May	<0.010	<0.010	<0.002	<0.002	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010
June**	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
July	<0.010	<0.010	0.001	0.001	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010
August**	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
September**	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
October	<0.010	<0.010	<0.002	0.002	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	0.011
November**	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
December	<0.010	<0.010	<0.001	0.001	<0.010	<0.010	<0.012	0.013

* Mercury added to the SPDES Permit revision, July 15, 2002

** No discharge this month

Note: No results exceeded the permit limits.

Table C-2E
2002 SPDES Results for Outfall 001 (WNSP001)
Organics

VOLATILES

	2-Butanone (mg/L)		Dichlorodifluoromethane (mg/L)*		Trichlorofluoromethane (mg/L)*		Xylene (mg/L)	
Permit limit	0.5 mg/L daily maximum		0.01 mg/L daily maximum		0.01 mg/L daily maximum		0.05 mg/L daily maximum	
Month	Avg	Max	Avg	Max	Avg	Max	Avg	Max
January	<0.01	<0.01	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005
February	<0.01	<0.01	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005
March**	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
April	<0.01	<0.01	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005
May	<0.01	<0.01	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005
June**	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
July	<0.01	<0.01	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005
August**	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
September**	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
October	<0.01	<0.01	--	--	--	--	<0.005	<0.005
November**	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
December	<0.01	<0.01	--	--	--	--	<0.005	<0.005

SEMIVOLATILES

	Alpha-BHC (mg/L)		3,3-Dichlorobenzidine (mg/L)		Hexachlorobenzene (mg/L)	
Permit limit	0.00001 mg/L daily maximum		0.01 mg/L daily maximum		0.02 mg/L daily maximum	
Month	Avg	Max	Avg	Max	Avg	Max
January	<0.000009	<0.000009	<0.009	<0.009	<0.01	<0.01
February	<0.000009	<0.000009	<0.0099	<0.0099	<0.01	<0.01
March**	--	--	--	--	--	--
April	<0.000009	<0.000009	<0.0099	<0.0099	<0.01	<0.01
May	<0.000009	<0.000009	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
June**	--	--	--	--	--	--
July	<0.000009	<0.000009	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
August**	--	--	--	--	--	--
September**	--	--	--	--	--	--
October	<0.000009	<0.000009	<0.0099	<0.0099	<0.01	<0.01
November**	--	--	--	--	--	--
December	<0.000009	<0.000009	<0.0099	<0.0099	<0.01	<0.01

* Dichlorodifluoromethane and Trichlorofluoromethane were reduced to annual reporting requirements in the SPDES Permit revision, July 15, 2002.

** No discharge this month

Note: No results exceeded the permit limits.

Table C-2E (concluded)
2002 SPDES Results for Outfall 001 (WNSP001)
Organics

SEMIVOLATILES (concluded)

	Heptachlor (mg/L)		Tributyl phosphate (mg/L)	
Permit limit	0.00001 mg/L daily maximum		32 mg/L daily maximum	
Month	Avg	Max	Avg	Max
<i>January</i>	<0.000009	<0.000009	<0.01	<0.01
<i>February</i>	<0.000009	<0.000009	<0.01	<0.01
<i>March*</i>	--	--	--	--
<i>April</i>	<0.000009	<0.000009	<0.01	<0.01
<i>May</i>	<0.000009	<0.000009	<0.01	<0.01
<i>June*</i>	--	--	--	--
<i>July</i>	<0.000009	<0.000009	<0.01	<0.01
<i>August*</i>	--	--	--	--
<i>September*</i>	--	--	--	--
<i>October</i>	<0.000009	<0.000009	<0.01	<0.01
<i>November*</i>	--	--	--	--
<i>December</i>	<0.000009	<0.000009	<0.01	<0.01

* No discharge this month

Note: No results exceeded the permit limits.

Table C-2F
2002 SPDES Results for Outfall 007 (WNSP007)
Water Quality and Iron

	Ammonia (as NH ₃) (mg/L)		BOD ₅ (mg/L)		Chlorine Total Residual (mg/L)		Discharge Rate (MGD)		Iron Total (mg/L)	
Permit limit	Monitor		10.0 mg/L daily maximum		0.1 mg/L daily maximum		Monitor		Monitor	
Month	Avg	Max	Avg	Max	Avg	Max	Avg	Max	Avg	Max
January	<0.021	0.028	<2.0	<2.0	0.02	0.02	0.024	0.032	0.0890	0.166
February	0.027	0.038	<2.0	<2.0	0.02	0.03	0.025	0.045	<0.114	0.175
March	<0.021	0.028	<2.4	3.1	0.02	0.02	0.024	0.047	0.111	0.222
April	0.13	0.33	<2.0	<2.0	0.02	0.02	0.025	0.041	0.0624	0.089
May	<0.016	0.029	<2.0	<2.0	0.03	0.05	0.020	0.025	0.081	0.090
June	0.043	0.091	<2.0	<2.0	0.01	0.02	0.013	0.020	<0.059	0.0878
July	0.036	0.073	<3.8	5.4	0.01	0.02	0.011	0.018	0.097	0.184
August	<0.015	0.026	<2.9	4.1	0.01	0.02	0.015	0.029	<0.038	0.0438
September	0.040	0.091	<3.2	4.2	0.01	0.02	0.014	0.014	<0.030	<0.030
October	0.032	0.038	<2.2	2.7	0.01	0.02	0.018	0.027	<0.052	0.0724
November	<0.019	0.025	<2.0	<2.0	0.01	0.02	0.022	0.034	<0.040	0.0583
December	0.08	0.14	<2.5	3.3	0.02	0.02	0.021	0.041	<0.086	0.164

	Nitrite (as N) (mg/L)		Oil & Grease (mg/L)		pH (standard units)		Solids Settleable (mL/L)		Solids Total Suspended (mg/L)	
Permit limit	0.1 mg/L daily maximum		15 mg/L daily maximum		6.5 to 8.5		0.30 mL/L daily maximum		45.0 mg/L daily maximum, 30.0 daily average	
Month	Avg	Max	Avg	Max	Min	Max	Avg	Max	Avg	Max
January	<0.05	<0.05	<5.0	<5.0	7.5	8.3	<0.1	<0.1	<3.0	5.0
February	<0.05	<0.05	<5.0	<5.0	7.7	7.9	<0.1	<0.1	<2.0	<2.0
March	<0.05	<0.05	<5.0	<5.0	7.4	7.9	<0.1	<0.1	<3.0	5.0
April	<0.05	<0.05	<5.0	<5.0	7.5	7.9	<0.1	<0.1	<8.3	21.0
May	<0.05	<0.05	<5.0	<5.0	7.4	7.8	<0.1	<0.1	<2.0	<2.0
June	<0.05	<0.05	<5.0	<5.0	7.3	7.7	<0.2	<0.3	<2.0	<2.0
July	<0.05	<0.05	<5.0	<5.0	7.6	7.9	<0.1	<0.1	>3.0	>4.0
August	<0.05	<0.05	<5.0	<5.0	7.3	7.6	<0.1	<0.1	<2.0	<2.0
September	<0.05	<0.05	<5.0	<5.0	7.4	7.8	<0.1	<0.1	<2.0	<2.0
October	<0.05	<0.05	<5.0	<5.0	7.4	8.5	<0.1	<0.1	<2.0	<2.0
November	<0.05	<0.05	<5.0	<5.0	7.3	8.0	<0.1	<0.1	<2.0	<2.0
December	<0.05	<0.05	<5.0	<5.0	7.5	7.8	<0.1	<0.1	<2.0	<2.0

Note: No results exceeded the permit limits.

Table C-2G
2002 SPDES Results for Outfall 008 (WNSP008)
Water Quality

**NO DISCHARGE FROM THE
FRENCH DRAIN (WNSP008) SINCE MAY 2001**

Table C-2H
2002 SPDES Results for Sums of Outfalls 001, 007, 008, and 116
Water Quality

2002 Results for Sums of Outfalls 001, 007 and 008

	Ammonia* Flow Weighted Average (mg/L)		BOD₅ day (mg/L)		Iron Flow Weighted Average (mg/L)
Permit limit	2.1 daily maximum; 1.49 daily average		5.0 daily average		0.30 daily average
Month	Avg	Max	Avg	Max	Avg
January	<0.130	0.355	<2.0	<2.0	0.00
February	0.021	0.028	<2.0	<2.0	0.00
March**	<0.021	0.028	<2.4	3.1	0.00
April	0.14	0.33	<2.0	<2.0	0.00
May	<0.028	<0.038	<2.0	<2.0	0.076
June**	0.043	0.091	<2.0	<2.0	0.00
July	0.029	0.051	<3.3	5.4	0.00
August**	<0.015	0.026	<2.9	4.1	0.00
September**	0.040	0.091	<3.2	4.2	0.00
October	0.080	0.14	<2.2	2.7	0.00
November**	<0.019	0.025	<2.0	<2.0	0.00
December	<0.060	0.14	<2.7	4.0	0.00

2002 Results for Outfall 116

	Total Dissolved Solids (mg/L)	
Permit limit	500 mg/L daily maximum	
Month	Avg	Max
January	328	340
February	350	407
March**	--	--
April	349	350
May	282	312
June**	--	--
July	368	389
August**	--	--
September**	--	--
October	358	375
November**	--	--
December	352	402

* Sum of Outfalls 001 and 007 only

** No discharge this month

Note: No results exceeded the permit limits.

Table C-2I
2002 Quarterly/Semiannual/Annual SPDES Results for Outfall 001
(WNSP001) Water Quality, Metals, and Organics

	Action Level	Monitoring Frequency	Collection Date	Maximum Measured
Boron, Total (mg/L)	2.0 mg/L daily maximum	Quarterly	October 2002 December 2002	0.055 0.053
Bromide, Total (mg/L)	5.0 mg/L daily maximum	Quarterly	October 2002 December 2002	1.2 2.4
Titanium, Total (mg/L)	0.65 mg/L daily maximum	Semiannual	February 2002 October 2002	<0.005 <0.005
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (mg/L)	1.6 mg/L daily maximum	Semiannual	February 2002 October 2002	<0.010 <0.010
4-dodecene (mg/L)	0.6 mg/L daily maximum	Semiannual	February 2002 October 2002	<0.06 <0.06
Chloroform (mg/L)	0.3 mg/L daily maximum	Annual	February 2002	<0.005
Antimony, Total (mg/L)	1.0 mg/L daily maximum	Annual	February 2002	<0.02
Barium, Total (mg/L)	0.5 mg/L daily maximum	Annual	February 2002	0.0351

Table C-2J
2002 Annual SPDES Results for Outfall 007 (WNSP007)
Water Quality

	Action Level	Monitoring Frequency	Collection Date	Maximum Measured
Chloroform (mg/L)	0.20 mg/L daily maximum	Annual	February 2002	<0.005

Table C-2K
2002 Annual SPDES Results for Outfall 008 (WNSP008)
Water Quality

**NO DISCHARGE FROM THE
FRENCH DRAIN (WNSP008) SINCE MAY 2001**

Table C-2L
2002 SPDES Results for Outfall 01B (WNSP01B)
Water Quality

Permit limit	Discharge Rate (GPD)		N	Mercury, Total ^a (per EPA Method 245.1) (µg/L)		Mercury, Total ^b (per EPA Method 1631) (µg/L)	
	Report daily max			10.0 µg/L daily maximum		No Limit	
Month	Avg	Max	---	Avg	Max	Avg	Max
January	a	a	a	a	a	a	a
February	a	a	a	a	a	a	a
March	a	a	a	a	a	a	a
April	a	a	a	a	a	a	a
May	a	a	a	a	a	a	a
June	a	a	a	a	a	a	a
July	5,108	5,108	3	<0.2	<0.2	0.0222	0.02896
August	4,535	5,754	2	<0.2	<0.2	0.0036	0.0044
September	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
October	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
November	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
December	2,613	2,613	1	<0.2	<0.2	NA	0.0214

N - Number of samples

-- No discharge through the internal monitoring location during this month

^a WNSP01B sampling and monitoring requirements added to the SPDES Permit revision, July 15, 2002

^b A study comparison using the relatively new EPA Method 1631 is a requirement of the SPDES Permit.

Note: No results exceeded the permit limits.

Table C-2M
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations in Surface Water
at Sewage Treatment (WNSP007)

Analyte	Units	N	WNSP007 Concentrations			Guideline ^a
			Minimum	Average	Maximum	
Gross Alpha	µCi/mL	36	<1.24E-09	0.28±3.07E-09	3.44E-09	3E-08 ^b
Gross Beta	µCi/mL	36	5.94E-09	1.74±0.48E-08	4.02E-08	1E-06 ^c
Tritium (H-3)	µCi/mL	36	6.13E-08	5.23±8.02E-08	1.51E-07	2E-03
Cs-137	µCi/mL	4	2.91E-09	1.35±2.93E-09	2.91E-09	3E-06

N - Number of samples

^a DOE ingestion-based DCGs for 100 mrem/yr dose limit are provided as a guideline for radiological results.

^b Alpha as Am-241

^c Beta as Sr-90

This page intentionally left blank

Appendix C-3
***Site Surface Drainage, Subsurface Drainage,
and Contained Water***

This page intentionally left blank

Table C-3A
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations and pH in Surface Water
at Facility Yard Drainage (WNSP005)

Analyte	Units	N	WNSP005 Concentrations			Guideline ^a or Standard ^b
			Minimum	Average	Maximum	
Gross Alpha	μCi/mL	12	1.67E-09	1.62±2.73E-09	8.80E-09	3E-08 ^c
Gross Beta	μCi/mL	12	4.53E-08	1.38±0.08E-07	2.48E-07	1E-06 ^d
Tritium (H-3)	μCi/mL	12	<5.79E-08	1.64±7.85E-08	1.10E-07	2E-03
pH	SU	12	6.65	7.11	7.80	6.0–9.5

N - Number of samples

^a DOE ingestion-based DCGs for 100 mrem/yr dose limit are provided as a guideline for radiological results.

^b New York State Water Quality Standards for Class "D" as a comparative reference for nonradiological results

^c Alpha as Am-241

^d Beta as Sr-90

Table C-3B
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations in Surface Water
at French Drain (WNSP008)

**NO DISCHARGE FROM THE
FRENCH DRAIN DURING CY 2002**

Table C-3C
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations and Chemical Constituents
in Surface Water at the North Swamp (WNSW74A) as Compared to
Background Location (WFBCBKG)

Analyte	Units	N	WNSW74A		N	Reference Values	
			Concentrations			Background Range WFBCBKG ^a	Guideline ^b or Standard ^c
			Average	Maximum			
Gross Alpha	μCi/mL	52	0.05±2.56E-09	2.67E-09	12	<3.76E-10–1.48E-09	--
Gross Beta	μCi/mL	52	1.22±0.34E-08	1.85E-08	12	<1.17E-09–3.64E-09	--
Tritium (H-3)	μCi/mL	52	2.32±8.12E-08	1.82E-07	12	<7.92E-08–9.75E-08	2E-03
C-14	μCi/mL	4	-0.01±1.91E-08	<2.92E-08	4	<1.12E-08–2.92E-08	7E-05
Sr-90	μCi/mL	12	5.90±1.96E-09	7.83E-09	4	<1.22E-09–4.20E-09	1E-06
I-129	μCi/mL	4	0.96±8.62E-10	<1.14E-09	4	<5.54E-10–1.98E-09	5E-07
Cs-137	μCi/mL	12	1.56±8.99E-09	8.14E-09	4	<2.22E-09–3.24E-09	3E-06
U-232	μCi/mL	4	1.36±4.00E-11	6.22E-11	4	<3.41E-11–5.58E-11	1E-07
U-233/234	μCi/mL	4	1.78±0.65E-10	2.43E-10	4	3.84E-11–1.83E-10	5E-07
U-235/236	μCi/mL	4	1.27±2.59E-11	4.73E-11	4	<1.49E-11–2.53E-11	5E-07 ^d
U-238	μCi/mL	4	8.10±4.38E-11	1.19E-10	4	2.13E-11–8.84E-11	6E-07
Total U	μg/mL	4	4.41±0.75E-04	7.59E-04	4	<1.73E-04–3.37E-04	--
Pu-238	μCi/mL	4	0.03±2.34E-11	<3.99E-11	4	<1.03E-11–3.82E-11	4E-08
Pu-239/240	μCi/mL	4	0.39±2.77E-11	<3.53E-11	4	<1.64E-11–3.17E-11	3E-08
Am-241	μCi/mL	4	2.90±4.26E-11	8.63E-11	4	<1.54E-11–5.99E-11	3E-08
Barium, Total	mg/L	2	0.09	0.10	2	0.06-0.11	--
Bicarbonate Alkalinity	mg/L	2	174	187	2	73–126	--
Calcium, Total	mg/L	2	88.3	93.0	2	27.7–47.3	--
Carbonate Alkalinity	mg/L	2	<1	<1	2	<1	--
Chloride	mg/L	2	99	107	2	13–14	--
Conductivity	μmhos/cm@25 ⁰ C	52	1,176	3,450	52	160–356	--
Fluoride	mg/L	2	0.12	0.13	2	<0.10	--
Iron, Total	mg/L	2	2.63	5.08	2	0.19–0.28	0.3
Magnesium, Total	mg/L	2	11.0	12.1	2	3.8–6.8	--
Manganese, Total	mg/L	2	0.39	0.71	2	0.02–0.03	--
Nitrate+Nitrite	mg/L	2	<0.07	0.08	2	<0.05–0.10	--
NPOC	mg/L	2	5.0	5.4	2	1.8–2.0	--
pH	SU	52	7.03	7.77	52	6.42–7.87	6.0–9.5
Potassium, Total	mg/L	2	<1.60	2.20	2	1.16–1.78	--
Sodium, Total	mg/L	2	55.0	59.8	2	7.4–9.9	--
Sulfate	mg/L	2	56.6	62.8	2	21.7–27.2	--
TOX	mg/L	2	<0.012	0.020	2	<0.006–0.017	--

N - Number of samples

-- No guideline or standard available for these analytes

^a Background location

^b DOE ingestion-based DCGs for 100 mrem/yr dose limits are provided as a guideline for radiological results.

^c New York State Water Quality Standards, Class "D" as a comparative reference for nonradiological results at WNSW74A

^d DCG for U-236 is used for this comparison.

Table C-3D
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations and Chemical Constituents
in Surface Water at the Northeast Swamp (WNSWAMP) as Compared to
Background Location (WFBCBKG)

Analyte	Units	N	WNSWAMP		N	Reference Values	
			Concentrations			WFBCBKG ^a	Guideline ^b or Standard ^c
			Average	Maximum			
Gross Alpha	μCi/mL	52	0.33±2.05E-09	1.62E-09	12	<3.76E-10–1.48E-09	--
Gross Beta	μCi/mL	52	3.49±0.03E-06	6.99E-06	12	<1.17E-09–3.64E-09	--
Tritium (H-3)	μCi/mL	52	9.87±7.83E-08	2.35E-07	12	<7.92E-08–9.75E-08	2E-03
C-14	μCi/mL	4	-1.00±1.77E-08	<2.63E-08	4	<1.12E-08–2.92E-08	7E-05
Sr-90	μCi/mL	12	1.77±0.04E-06	3.16E-06	4	<1.22E-09–4.20E-09	1E-06
I-129	μCi/mL	4	4.53±7.79E-10	<9.63E-10	4	<5.54E-10–1.98E-09	5E-07
Cs-137	μCi/mL	12	0.53±4.02E-09	<5.56E-09	4	<2.22E-09–3.24E-09	3E-06
U-232	μCi/mL	4	0.98±8.46E-11	5.50E-11	4	<3.41E-11–5.58E-11	1E-07
U-233/234	μCi/mL	4	2.18±1.05E-10	3.17E-10	4	3.84E-11–1.83E-10	5E-07
U-235/236	μCi/mL	4	2.26±4.31E-11	5.09E-11	4	<1.49E-11–2.53E-11	5E-07 ^d
U-238	μCi/mL	4	9.72±7.19E-11	1.54E-10	4	2.13E-11–8.84E-11	6E-07
Total U	μg/mL	4	4.90±0.49E-04	6.90E-04	4	<1.73E-04–3.37E-04	--
Pu-238	μCi/mL	4	-0.02±2.59E-11	<3.38E-11	4	<1.03E-11–3.82E-11	4E-08
Pu-239/240	μCi/mL	4	1.77±4.66E-11	9.50E-11	4	<1.64E-11–3.17E-11	3E-08
Am-241	μCi/mL	4	3.88±3.96E-11	9.08E-11	4	<1.54E-11–5.99E-11	3E-08
Barium, Total	mg/L	2	0.10	0.10	4	0.06–0.11	--
Bicarbonate Alkalinity	mg/L	2	181	187	4	73–126	--
Calcium, Total	mg/L	2	102.5	104.0	4	27.7–47.3	--
Carbonate Alkalinity	mg/L	2	<2	<2	4	<1	--
Chloride	mg/L	1	186	^e	4	13–14	--
Conductivity	μmhos/cm@25 ^o C	52	962	1,257	52	160–356	--
Fluoride	mg/L	2	<0.10	<0.10	4	<0.10	--
Iron, Total	mg/L	2	0.05	0.07	4	0.19–0.28	0.3
Magnesium, Total	mg/L	2	13.5	13.8	4	3.8–6.8	--
Manganese, Total	mg/L	2	0.14	0.21	4	0.02–0.03	--
Nitrate+Nitrite	mg/L	2	0.06	0.08	4	<0.05–0.10	--
NPOC	mg/L	2	4.6	4.7	4	1.8–2.0	--
pH	SU	52	6.95	7.65	52	6.42–7.87	6.0–9.5
Potassium, Total	mg/L	2	1.36	1.70	4	1.16–1.78	--
Sodium, Total	mg/L	2	64.9	70.3	4	7.4–9.9	--
Sulfate	mg/L	2	33.4	34.0	4	21.7–27.2	--
TOX	mg/L	2	0.019	0.023	4	<0.006–0.017	--

N - Number of samples

-- No guideline or standard available for these analytes

^a Background location

^b DOE ingestion-based DCGs for 100 mrem/yr dose limit are provided as a guideline for radiological results.

^c New York State Water Quality Standards, Class "D" as a comparative reference for nonradiological results at WNSWAMP.

^d DCG for U-236 is used for this comparison

^e Only a single analysis is available for reporting.

Table C-3E
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations and Water Quality Parameters
Storage and Disposal Area Drainage (WNNADR)

Analyte	Units	N	WNNADR Concentrations			Standard ^a
			Minimum	Average	Maximum	
Gross Alpha	μCi/mL	12	<9.89E-10	0.73±1.36E-09	2.60E-09	--
Gross Beta	μCi/mL	12	1.41E-07	1.73±0.07E-07	1.99E-07	--
Tritium (H-3)	μCi/mL	12	4.43E-07	9.18±0.89E-07	1.82E-06	--
Sr-90	μCi/mL	4	7.48E-08	8.56±0.59E-08	9.73E-08	--
I-129	μCi/mL	4	<3.23E-10	1.84±5.94E-10	7.20E-10	--
Cs-137	μCi/mL	12	<4.97E-09	1.82±7.52E-09	1.86E-08	--
NPOC	mg/L	52	3.7	6.3	9.6	--
pH	SU	52	6.35	7.02	8.11	6.0–9.5
TOX	mg/L	52	<0.005	<0.037	0.752 ^b	--

N - Number of samples

-- No applicable reference standard available

^a New York State Water Quality Standards, Class "D" as a comparative reference for nonradiological results at WNNADR

^b This elevated result was attributed to insecticide used for sample technician protection near the collection location.

Table C-3F
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations, NPOC, and TOX
in Subsurface Water at the NDA Interceptor Trench (WNNATR)

Analyte	Units	N	WNNATR Concentrations		
			Minimum	Average	Maximum
Gross Alpha	μCi/mL	12	<1.24E-09	2.11±2.03E-09	5.04E-09
Gross Beta	μCi/mL	12	1.01E-07	1.20±0.06E-07	1.38E-07
Tritium (H-3)	μCi/mL	12	1.20E-06	3.35±0.16E-06	6.78E-06
I-129	μCi/mL	4	<7.35E-10	6.74±7.93E-10	9.80E-10
Cs-137	μCi/mL	12	<6.30E-09	-1.49±8.71E-09	7.22E-09
NPOC	mg/L	12	3.6	5.0	6.4
TOX	mg/L	12	0.010	0.019	0.032

N - Number of samples

Note: No standards applicable for this location, these waters are pumped and treated at the LLWTF prior to discharge at outfall WNSP001.

Table C-3G
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations and pH in Surface Water
at SDA Drainage (WNSDADR)

Analyte	Units	N	WNSDADR Concentrations			Guideline or Standard ^a
			Minimum	Average	Maximum	
Gross Alpha	μCi/mL	12	3.61E-10	6.62±4.27E-10	1.50E-09	--
Gross Beta	μCi/mL	12	1.01E-09	3.40±0.84E-09	7.88E-09	--
Tritium (H-3)	μCi/mL	12	<8.40E-08	2.52±0.82E-07	5.18E-07	--
Cs-137	μCi/mL	12	<5.77E-09	0.49±8.49E-09	<1.18E-08	--
pH	SU	12	6.34	6.99	7.90	6.5–8.5

N - Number of samples

-- No applicable reference standard available

^a New York State Water Quality Standards, Class "C" as a comparative reference for nonradiological results at WNSDADR

Table C-3H
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations and pH in Surface Water
at Cooling Tower Basin (WNCoolW)

Analyte	Units	N	WNCoolW Concentrations		
			Minimum	Average	Maximum
Gross Alpha	μCi/mL	12	<1.22E-09	0.76±2.08E-09	4.28E-09
Gross Beta	μCi/mL	12	4.14E-09	7.47±3.55E-09	1.27E-08
Tritium (H-3)	μCi/mL	12	<7.90E-08	2.76±7.88E-08	1.15E-07
Cs-137	μCi/mL	4	<6.33E-09	1.75±7.46E-09	<8.38E-09
pH	SU	12	6.92	7.62	8.66

N - Number of samples

Note: No standards are applicable for this location, these waters are pumped and treated at the LLWTF prior to discharge at outfall WNSP001.

This page intentionally left blank

Appendix C-4
Ambient Surface Water Data

This page intentionally left blank

Table C-4A
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations and pH in Surface Water
Downstream of the WVDP in Cattaraugus Creek at Felton Bridge
(WFFELBR) as Compared to Surface Water Upstream of the WVDP
in Cattaraugus Creek at Bigelow Bridge (WFBIGBR)

Analyte	Units	N	WFFELBR Concentrations			N	WFBIGBR	Guideline ^a or Standard ^b
			Minimum	Average	Maximum		Background Range	
Gross Alpha	μCi/mL	12	<9.11E-10	2.47±1.34E-09	1.15E-08	12	<7.56E-10–1.62E-09	3E-08 ^c
Gross Beta	μCi/mL	12	2.56E-09	4.69±1.61E-09	9.14E-09	12	9.89E-10–5.19E-09	1E-06 ^d
Tritium (H-3)	μCi/mL	12	<5.89E-08	-1.19±8.04E-08	1.08E-07	12	<7.72E-08–1.69E-07	2E-03
Sr-90	μCi/mL	12	1.15E-09	2.86±1.75E-09	7.02E-09	12	<1.14E-09–4.79E-09	1E-06
Cs-137	μCi/mL	12	<2.22E-09	0.41±3.26E-09	2.49E-09	12	<2.10E-09–6.98E-09	3E-06
pH	SU	52	6.36	7.06	8.18	12	6.02–7.47	6.5–8.5

N - Number of samples

^a DOE ingestion-based DCGs for 100 mrem/yr dose limit are provided as a guideline for radiological results.

^b New York State Water Quality Standards, Class "B" as a comparative reference for nonradiological results

^c Alpha as Am-241

^d Beta as Sr-90

Table C-4B
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations and Water Quality Parameters in
Surface Water Downstream of the WVDP in Buttermilk Creek at Thomas
Corners Bridge (WFBCTCB) as Compared to Surface Water Upstream of the
WVDP in Buttermilk Creek at Fox Valley (WFBCBKG)

Analyte	Units	N	WFBCTCB Concentrations			N	WFBCBKG	Guideline ^a or Standard ^b
			Minimum	Average	Maximum		Background Range	
Gross Alpha	μCi/mL	12	<6.85E-10	5.25±8.19E-10	1.59E-09	12	<3.76E-10–1.48E-09	3E-08 ^c
Gross Beta	μCi/mL	12	4.62E-09	8.14±1.53E-09	1.06E-08	12	<1.17E-09–3.64E-09	1E-06 ^d
Tritium (H-3)	μCi/mL	12	<7.89E-08	2.51±8.21E-08	<8.67E-08	12	<7.92E-08–9.75E-08	2E-03
Sr-90	μCi/mL	4	3.54E-09	5.49±2.15E-09	8.89E-09	4	<1.22E-09–4.20E-09	1E-06
Cs-137	μCi/mL	4	<2.48E-09	0.01±2.91E-09	<3.48E-09	4	<2.22E-09–3.24E-09	3E-06
Conductivity	μmhos/cm@25 ⁰ C	52	180	309	426	52	160–356	--
pH	SU	52	6.12	6.81	7.95	52	6.42–7.87	6.5–8.5

N - Number of samples

-- No reference standard available for this analyte

^a DOE ingestion-based DCGs for 100 mrem/yr are provided as a guideline for radiological results in the absence of water quality standards.

^b New York State Water Quality Standards, Class "C" as a comparative reference for nonradiological results

^c Alpha as Am-241

^d Beta as Sr-90

Table C-4C
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations and Chemical Constituents
in Surface Water Downstream of the WVDP at Frank's Creek (WNSP006)
as Compared to Background Location (WFBCBKG)

Analyte	Units	N	WNSP006		N	Reference Values	
			Concentrations			WFBCBKG	Guideline ^a
			Average	Maximum			
Gross Alpha	μCi/mL	52	0.69±1.44E-09	3.02E-09	12	<3.76E-10-1.48E-09	--
Gross Beta	μCi/mL	52	4.52±0.37E-08	1.83E-07	12	<1.17E-09-3.64E-09	--
Tritium (H-3)	μCi/mL	52	1.15±0.80E-07	7.77E-07	12	<7.92E-08-9.75E-08	2E-03
C-14	μCi/mL	4	-0.83±1.89E-08	<2.93E-08	4	<1.12E-08-2.92E-08	7E-05
Sr-90	μCi/mL	12	2.11±0.34E-08	3.31E-08	4	<1.22E-09-4.20E-09	1E-06
Tc-99	μCi/mL	4	1.13±0.20E-08	5.24E-08	4	<1.13E-09-1.86E-09	1E-04
I-129	μCi/mL	4	4.14±7.15E-10	<8.70E-10	4	<5.54E-10-1.98E-09	5E-07
Cs-137	μCi/mL	12	6.38±9.87E-09	1.10E-08	4	<2.22E-09-3.24E-09	3E-06
U-232	μCi/mL	4	1.77±1.19E-10	2.67E-10	4	<3.41E-11-5.58E-11	1E-07
U-233/234	μCi/mL	4	3.35±0.79E-10	4.09E-10	4	3.84E-11-1.83E-10	5E-07
U-235/236	μCi/mL	4	3.49±3.20E-11	7.20E-11	4	<1.49E-11-2.53E-11	5E-07 ^c
U-238	μCi/mL	4	2.28±0.68E-10	2.81E-10	4	2.13E-11-8.84E-11	6E-07
Total U	μg/mL	4	6.03±0.42E-04	8.07E-04	4	<1.73E-04-3.37E-04	--
Pu-238	μCi/mL	4	0.71±2.61E-11	<4.54E-11	4	<1.03E-11-3.82E-11	4E-08
Pu-239/240	μCi/mL	4	0.77±2.92E-11	<3.79E-11	4	<1.64E-11-3.17E-11	3E-08
Am-241	μCi/mL	4	2.15±2.20E-11	3.84E-11	4	<1.54E-11-5.99E-11	3E-08
Barium, Total	mg/L	2	0.06	0.07	4	0.06-0.11	--
Bicarbonate Alkalinity	mg/L	2	138	191	4	73-126	--
Calcium, Total	mg/L	2	56.8	70.8	4	27.7-47.3	--
Carbonate Alkalinity	mg/L	2	<1	<1	4	<1	--
Chloride	mg/L	2	100	126	4	13-14	--
Conductivity	μmhos/cm@25 ⁰ C	78	628	2,320	52	160-356	--
Dissolved Solids, Total	mg/L	35	325	768	0	NA	500
Fluoride	mg/L	2	<0.10	<0.10	4	<0.10	--
Iron, Total	mg/L	2	0.40	0.43	4	0.19-0.28	0.3
Magnesium, Total	mg/L	2	8.9	10.8	4	3.8-6.8	--
Manganese, Total	mg/L	2	0.03	0.03	4	0.02-0.03	--
Nitrate+Nitrite	mg/L	2	7.0	13.9	4	<0.05-0.10	--
NPOC	mg/L	2	2.7	2.7	4	1.8-2.0	--
pH	SU	52	6.99	8.37	52	6.42-7.87	6.5-8.5
Potassium, Total	mg/L	2	4.25	7.07	4	1.16-1.78	--
Sodium, Total	mg/L	2	88.0	140.0	4	7.4-9.9	--
Sulfate	mg/L	2	39.1	56.5	4	21.7-27.2	--
TOX	mg/L	2	0.020	0.021	4	<0.006-0.017	--

N - Number of samples

NA - No data available

-- No guideline or standard available for these analytes

^a DOE ingestion-based DCGs for 100 mrem/yr dose limit are provided as a guideline for radiological results.

^b New York State Water Quality Standards, Class "C" for surface waters as a comparative reference for non-radiological results.

^c DCG for U-236 is used for this comparison.

Table C-4D
2002 Results From Outfall WNSP116

Month	Units	N	Total Dissolved Solids		Daily Maximum ^a Limit
			Average	Maximum	
January	mg/L	2	328	340	500
February	mg/L	2	350	407	500
March ^b	mg/L	0	--	--	500
April	mg/L	2	349	350	500
May	mg/L	2	282	312	500
June ^b	mg/L	0	--	--	500
July	mg/L	2	368	389	500
August ^b	mg/L	0	--	--	500
September ^b	mg/L	0	--	--	500
October	mg/L	2	358	375	500
November ^b	mg/L	0	--	--	500
December	mg/L	2	352	402	500

N - Number of samples

^a SPDES Permit limit; 500 mg/L daily maximum

^b No discharge this month

Table C-4E
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations and pH in Surface Water
at Erdman Brook (WNERB53)

Analyte	Units	N	WNERB53 Concentrations			Standard ^a
			Minimum	Average	Maximum	
Gross Alpha	μCi/mL	52	7.30E-10	0.23±1.53E-09	1.99E-09	--
Gross Beta	μCi/mL	52	5.86E-09	1.81±0.26E-08	3.24E-08	--
Tritium (H-3)	μCi/mL	52	<4.82E-08	4.92±7.99E-08	1.92E-07	--
pH	SU	52	6.15	6.94	8.39	6.0-9.5

N - Number of samples

-- No guideline or standard available for these analytes

^a New York State Water Quality Standards, Class "D" for surface waters as a standard for nonradiological results

Table C-4F
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations and pH in Surface Water
at Frank's Creek East of the SDA (WNFRC67)

Analyte	Units	N	WNFRC67 Concentrations			Guideline ^a or Standard ^b
			Minimum	Average	Maximum	
Gross Alpha	μCi/mL	12	<4.18E-10	0.61±7.92E-10	6.77E-10	3E-08 ^c
Gross Beta	μCi/mL	12	<1.09E-09	2.42±1.24E-09	4.42E-09	1E-06 ^d
Tritium (H-3)	μCi/mL	12	<7.91E-08	7.33±8.02E-08	1.90E-07	2E-03
pH	SU	12	6.35	6.75	7.65	6.5-8.5

N - Number of samples

^a DOE ingestion-based DCGs for 100 mrem/yr dose limit are provided as a guideline for radiological results in the absence of water quality standards.

^b New York State Water Quality Standards for Class "C" surface waters as a comparative reference for nonradiological results.

^c Alpha as Am-241

^d Beta as Sr-90

Table C-4G
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations and pH in Surface Water
at Drum Cell Drainage (WNDCELD)

Analyte	Units	N	WNDCELD Concentrations			Guideline ^a or Standard ^b
			Minimum	Average	Maximum	
Gross Alpha	μCi/mL	12	<3.64E-10	1.18±7.21E-10	<1.28E-09	3E-08 ^c
Gross Beta	μCi/mL	12	<1.24E-09	2.30±1.18E-09	4.91E-09	1E-06 ^d
Tritium (H-3)	μCi/mL	4	<8.28E-08	5.45±8.40E-08	9.93E-08	2E-03
Sr-90	μCi/mL	4	1.69E-09	1.88±1.54E-09	2.19E-09	1E-06
I-129	μCi/mL	4	<2.78E-10	4.29±7.57E-10	8.72E-10	5E-07
Cs-137	μCi/mL	4	<6.52E-09	-2.24±6.93E-09	<7.27E-09	3E-06
pH	SU	12	6.15	6.55	7.48	6.5-8.5

N - Number of samples

^a DOE ingestion-based DCGs for 100 mrem/yr dose limit are provided as a guideline for radiological results in the absence of water quality standards.

^b New York State Water Quality Standards for Class "C" surface waters as a comparative reference for nonradiological results.

^c Alpha as Am-241

^d Beta as Sr-90

Table C-4H
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations and Water Quality Parameters
in Surface Water at the Standing Water (WNSTAW-Series) Sampling
Locations as Compared to Background Locations

Analyte	Units	N	WNSTAW4	WNSTAW5	Background Location ^a WNSTAWB	Guideline ^b or Standard ^c
Gross Alpha	μCi/mL	1	1.37±2.82E-10	2.58±3.02E-10	1.02±0.85E-09	3E-08 ^e
Gross Beta	μCi/mL	1	4.71±0.96E-09	5.58±1.14E-09	1.93±1.04E-09	1E-06 ^f
Tritium (H-3)	μCi/mL	1	6.40±8.18E-08	2.08±0.83E-07	1.97±0.83E-07	2E-03
Chloride	mg/L	1	12	1	33	--
Conductivity	μmhos/cm@25 ^o C	1	110	54	402	--
Iron, Total	mg/L	1	0.28	1.16	0.27	0.3
Manganese, Total	mg/L	1	0.04	0.12	0.05	--
Nitrate+Nitrite	mg/L	1	0.10	<0.05	0.08	--
pH	SU	1	7.53	7.11	7.80	6.0–9.5
Sodium, Total	mg/L	1	6.59	1.07	19.40	--
Sulfate	mg/L	1	6.8	4.6	16.0	--

Analyte	Units	N	WNSTAW6	Background Location ^a WNSTAWB	Guideline ^b or Standard ^c
Gross Alpha	μCi/mL	1	9.29±5.94E-10	1.02±0.85E-09	3E-08 ^e
Gross Beta	μCi/mL	1	4.88±1.14E-09	1.93±1.04E-09	1E-06 ^f
Tritium (H-3)	μCi/mL	1	1.80±0.83E-07	1.97±0.83E-07	2E-03
Chloride	mg/L	1	2	33	--
Conductivity	μmhos/cm@25 ^o C	1	223	402	--
Iron, Total	mg/L	1	<0.10	0.27	0.3
Manganese, Total	mg/L	1	<0.02	0.05	--
Nitrate+Nitrite	mg/L	1	<0.05	0.08	--
pH	SU	1	7.41	7.80	6.0–9.5
Sodium, Total	mg/L	1	1.17	19.40	--
Sulfate	mg/L	1	14.8	16.0	--

Analyte	Units	N	WNSTAW9	Background Location ^a WNSTAWB	Guideline ^b or Standard ^d
Gross Alpha	μCi/mL	1	-1.29±4.03E-10	1.02±0.85E-09	3E-08 ^e
Gross Beta	μCi/mL	1	1.71±0.97E-09	1.93±1.04E-09	1E-06 ^f
Tritium (H-3)	μCi/mL	1	1.24±0.58E-07	1.97±0.83E-07	2E-03
Chloride	mg/L	1	11	33	--
Conductivity	μmhos/cm@25 ^o C	1	233	402	--
Iron, Total	mg/L	1	0.30	0.27	0.3
Manganese, Total	mg/L	1	0.22	0.05	--
Nitrate+Nitrite	mg/L	1	<0.05	0.08	--
pH	SU	1	7.63	7.80	6.5–8.5
Sodium, Total	mg/L	1	6.59	19.40	--
Sulfate	mg/L	1	20.4	16.0	--

N - Number of samples

-- No guideline or standard available for these analytes

^a Background location

^b DOE ingestion-based DCGs for 100 mrem/yr dose limit are provided as a guideline for radiological results.

^c New York State Water Quality Standards Class "D" surface waters as a comparative standard for nonradiological results

^d New York State Water Quality Standards Class "C" surface waters as a comparative standard for nonradiological results at WNSTAW9

^e Alpha as Am-241

^f Beta as Sr-90

This page intentionally left blank

Appendix C-5
Potable Water (Drinking Water) Data

This page intentionally left blank

Table C-5A
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations, pH, and Conductivity
in Potable Well Water Around the WVDP

Analyte	Units	N	Annual Concentrations at Potable Wells			Reference Values	
						Background ^a WFWEL06	Standard ^{b,c}
			WFWEL01	WFWEL02	WFWEL03		
Gross Alpha	μCi/mL	1	8.31±9.00E-10	1.14±1.07E-09	6.34±7.34E-10	4.56±8.24E-10	1.5E-08 ^d
Gross Beta	μCi/mL	1	3.03±1.38E-09	2.17±1.59E-09	2.36±1.04E-09	2.78±1.46E-09	1E-06 ^e
Tritium (H-3)	μCi/mL	1	-7.35±8.35E-08	-9.72±8.33E-08	-7.77±8.18E-08	-1.76±5.86E-08	--
Cs-137	μCi/mL	1	0.30±6.17E-09	0.55±8.72E-09	-0.26±1.06E-08	-0.48±1.10E-08	--
Conductivity	μmhos/cm@25 ⁰ C	1	445	451	455	290	--
pH	SU	1	7.76	6.98	7.82	7.69	6.5–8.5

Analyte	Units	N	Annual Concentrations at Potable Wells			Reference Values	
						Background ^a WFWEL06	Standard ^{b,c}
			WFWEL04	WFWEL05	WFWEL07		
Gross Alpha	μCi/mL	1	3.02±2.84E-09	1.66±4.17E-10	4.26±6.85E-10	4.56±8.24E-10	1.5E-08 ^d
Gross Beta	μCi/mL	1	-0.34±3.65E-09	2.35±0.74E-09	2.85±9.39E-10	2.78±1.46E-09	1E-06 ^e
Tritium (H-3)	μCi/mL	1	-5.93±5.90E-08	1.60±8.47E-08	-8.27±8.29E-08	-1.76±5.86E-08	--
Cs-137	μCi/mL	1	3.11±6.85E-09	-0.86±9.34E-09	-1.52±8.34E-09	-0.48±1.10E-08	--
Conductivity	μmhos/cm@25 ⁰ C	1	1,626	332	331	290	--
pH	SU	1	8.16	6.79	7.68	7.69	6.5–8.5

Analyte	Units	N	Annual Concentrations at Potable Wells			Reference Values	
						Background ^a WFWEL06	Standard ^{b,c}
			WFWEL08	WFWEL09	WFWEL10		
Gross Alpha	μCi/mL	1	0.91±9.29E-10	0.08±1.21E-09	1.14±1.37E-09	4.56±8.24E-10	1.5E-08 ^d
Gross Beta	μCi/mL	1	0.64±1.51E-09	2.78±1.53E-09	0.33±1.42E-09	2.78±1.46E-09	1E-06 ^e
Tritium (H-3)	μCi/mL	1	-9.51±8.35E-08	-1.03±0.81E-07	6.92±8.07E-08	-1.76±5.86E-08	--
Cs-137	μCi/mL	1	2.21±4.10E-09	0.29±4.79E-09	2.02±5.74E-09	-0.48±1.10E-08	--
Conductivity	μmhos/cm@25 ⁰ C	1	468	601	605	290	--
pH	SU	1	7.43	6.98	6.69	7.69	6.5–8.5

N - Number of samples

-- No guideline or standard available for these analytes

^a Background location

^b New York State Water Quality Standard for Class "GA" for fresh groundwater

^c NYSDOH raw water supply standards (10 NYCRR Part 170.4)

^d Alpha standard excludes radon and uranium, however, the WVDP results include these isotopes.

^e Beta standard excludes strontium and alpha emitters, however, the WVDP results include these isotopes.

Table C-5B
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations, pH, and Conductivity
in Main Plant Potable Water (WNDNKMP)

Analyte	Units	N	Annual Concentration			Standard ^a
			Minimum	Average	Maximum	
Gross Alpha	μCi/mL	12	<3.19E-10	-0.49±4.95E-10	<6.98E-10	1.5E-08
Gross Beta	μCi/mL	12	1.04E-09	1.63±0.76E-09	2.48E-09	5E-08
Tritium (H-3)	μCi/mL	12	<7.76E-08	2.47±7.71E-08	1.40E-07	2E-05
Conductivity	μmhos/cm@25 ^o C	12	174	228	279	--
pH	SU	12	6.52	7.18	8.09	--

2002 Radioactivity Concentrations, pH, and Conductivity
in Environmental Laboratory Potable Water (WNDNKEL)

Analyte	Units	N	Annual Concentration			Standard ^a
			Minimum	Average	Maximum	
Gross Alpha	μCi/mL	12	<3.15E-10	-0.22±5.02E-10	<7.51E-10	1.5E-08
Gross Beta	μCi/mL	12	<7.72E-10	1.65±0.76E-09	2.64E-09	5E-08
Tritium (H-3)	μCi/mL	12	<5.81E-08	0.92±7.68E-08	<8.16E-08	2E-05
Conductivity	μmhos/cm@25 ^o C	12	170	216	276	--
pH	SU	12	6.74	7.18	8.19	--

2002 Radioactivity Concentrations, pH, and Conductivity
in Maintenance Shop Potable Water (WNDNKMS)

Analyte	Units	N	Annual Concentration			Standard ^a
			Minimum	Average	Maximum	
Gross Alpha	μCi/mL	12	<2.68E-10	0.31±5.17E-10	7.03E-10	1.5E-08
Gross Beta	μCi/mL	12	1.03E-09	1.73±0.76E-09	2.51E-09	5E-08
Tritium (H-3)	μCi/mL	12	<5.74E-08	3.49±7.76E-08	1.11E-07	2E-05
Conductivity	μmhos/cm@25 ^o C	13	165	281	645	--
pH	SU	12	6.28	6.92	8.04	--

N - Number of samples

-- No guideline or standard available for these analytes

^a New York State Department of Health MCLs for drinking water used as a comparative reference

Table C-5C
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations and Water Quality Parameters
in Utility Room Potable Water (WNDNKUR)

Analyte	Units	N	WNDNKUR Concentrations			Standard or Guideline ^a
			Minimum	Average	Maximum	
Gross Alpha	μCi/mL	12	<3.03E-10	0.86±5.22E-10	9.84E-10	0
Gross Beta	μCi/mL	12	7.58E-10	1.88±0.77E-09	2.88E-09	0
Tritium (H-3)	μCi/mL	12	<4.18E-08	0.97±7.49E-08	8.59E-08	2.0E-05
Antimony, Total	mg/L	1	NA	NA	<0.001	0.006
Arsenic, Total	mg/L	1	NA	NA	<0.001	0.05
Barium, Total	mg/L	1	NA	NA	<0.20	2
Beryllium, Total	mg/L	1	NA	NA	<0.0003	0.004
Cadmium, Total	mg/L	1	NA	NA	<0.002	0.005
Chromium, Total	mg/L	1	NA	NA	<0.010	0.1
Conductivity	μmhos/cm@25°C	12	160	217	282	--
Cyanide	mg/L	1	NA	NA	<0.01	0.2
Fluoride	mg/L	1	NA	NA	<0.20	2.2
Mercury, Total	mg/L	1	NA	NA	<0.0004	0.002
Nickel, Total	mg/L	1	NA	NA	<0.005	--
pH	SU	12	6.44	7.08	8.21	--
Selenium, Total	mg/L	1	NA	NA	<0.002	0.050
Thallium, Total	mg/L	1	NA	NA	<0.001	0.0005

Table C-5D
2002 Total Iron and Total Dissolved Solids
in Utility Room Raw (Untreated) Water (WNURRAW)

Analyte	Units	N	WNURRAW Concentrations			Standard or Guideline ^a
			Minimum	Average	Maximum	
Dissolved Solids, Total	mg/L	21	77	114	194	--
Iron Total	mg/L	52	0.07	0.56	6.84	--

Table C-5E
Tap Water Sample Results From WVDP Conference Room - Rest Room
(Analyzed by Cattaraugus County Department of Health)

Analyte	Units	N	Date Collected	Annual Concentration	Standard ^a
Nitrate-N	mg/L	1	03/13/2002	0.852	10

N - Number of samples

NA - Not available, constituents sampled annually

-- No guideline or standard available for these analytes

^a New York State Department of Health MCLs for drinking water or EPA MCLGs, whichever is more stringent

This page intentionally left blank

Appendix D

Summary of Air Monitoring Data



*Ambient Air and Atmospheric Fallout Samples are Collected
From Numerous Locations in the West Valley Area*

The following tables contain a bolding convention devised to help the reader, when viewing the data, to quickly see the range of detectable measurements within a data series. A data series is a set of chemical or radionuclide measurements (e.g., gross alpha, gross beta, tritium) from a single location or from similar locations. Note that some tables contain data that should not be technically evaluated under this convention.

Key to bolding convention:

Results for each constituent constitute a single data series. If a radiological result is larger than the uncertainty term, the measurement is considered positive. Otherwise, a result is considered non-detectable. Chemical results preceded by “less than” (<) are considered nondetectable.

If all results in a data series are positive, the lowest and highest values are bolded.

If a data series contains some positive results, the highest value is bolded.

If all values in a data series are nondetectable, no values are bolded.

Table D-1
2002 Airborne Radioactive Effluent Totals (curies)
Released From the Main Ventilation Stack (ANSTACK)

Month	Gross Alpha	Gross Beta	H-3		
January	1.94±0.40E-07	5.65±0.15E-06	1.72±0.19E-04		
February	6.88±2.92E-08	1.55±0.09E-06	2.43±0.14E-04		
March	1.24±0.35E-07	4.24±0.14E-06	2.98±0.20E-04		
April	3.81±0.54E-07	1.62±0.03E-05	2.74±0.28E-04		
May	6.24±2.82E-08	5.45±0.16E-06	2.53±0.65E-04		
June	9.99±3.32E-08	3.29±0.12E-06	5.65±0.15E-02		
July	1.21±0.33E-07	3.49±0.13E-06	8.51±0.22E-03		
August	5.08±2.98E-08	3.79±0.13E-06	1.76±0.05E-03		
September	4.08±0.54E-07	1.56±0.03E-05	3.84±0.71E-04		
October	2.23±0.43E-07	4.10±0.13E-06	7.18±0.24E-04		
November	1.56±0.38E-07	4.17±0.14E-06	1.27±0.02E-03		
December	6.24±2.82E-08	1.79±0.10E-06	5.53±0.35E-04		
Annual Total	1.95±0.13E-06	6.93±0.05E-05	7.09±0.15E-02		

Quarter	Co-60	Sr-90	I-129	Cs-137	Eu-154
1st Quarter	-1.28±3.11E-08	1.16±0.07E-06	7.29±0.86E-05	3.47±0.26E-06	3.12±7.74E-08
2nd Quarter	2.24±3.25E-08	1.93±0.08E-06	1.29±0.15E-04	5.80±0.39E-06	-0.44±1.07E-07
3rd Quarter	0.19±3.59E-08	3.62±0.08E-06	1.82±0.21E-04	2.94±0.24E-06	1.88±8.06E-08
4th Quarter	-0.22±3.92E-08	8.41±0.51E-07	6.37±0.73E-05	2.04±0.19E-06	0.03±1.52E-07
Annual Total	0.93±6.96E-08	7.56±0.14E-06	4.47±0.28E-04	1.42±0.06E-05	0.09±2.17E-07

	U-232	U-233/234	U-235/236	U-238	Total U (g)
1st Quarter	0.00±1.19E-08	2.61±1.10E-08	3.75±3.67E-09	1.12±0.68E-08	2.52±0.04E-02
2nd Quarter	-0.64±1.15E-08	2.17±0.89E-08	3.49±4.20E-09	2.17±0.92E-08	4.48±0.08E-02
3rd Quarter	2.00±2.85E-09	1.58±0.68E-08	1.02±2.45E-09	1.53±0.55E-08	3.75±0.10E-02
4th Quarter	1.10±0.57E-08	2.04±0.71E-08	2.46±2.16E-09	1.45±0.57E-08	4.05±0.14E-02
Annual Total	0.65±1.77E-08	8.40±1.72E-08	1.07±0.65E-08	6.28±1.39E-08	1.48±0.02E-01

	Pu-238	Pu-239/240	Am-241
1st Quarter	3.29±1.04E-08	1.43±0.22E-07	1.69±0.27E-07
2nd Quarter	5.78±1.37E-08	1.15±0.20E-07	3.05±0.34E-07
3rd Quarter	8.01±1.23E-08	1.21±0.15E-07	4.16±0.27E-07
4th Quarter	4.84±0.86E-08	9.41±1.18E-08	2.16±0.19E-07
Annual Total	2.19±0.23E-07	4.73±0.35E-07	1.11±0.05E-06

Note: Bolding convention applied to these data. See page D-2.

Table D-2
Comparison of 2002 Main Stack (ANSTACK) Exhaust Radioactivity
Concentrations With U.S. Department of Energy Guidelines

Isotope ^a	Exhaust Activity ^b (Ci)	Radioactivity ^c (Bq)	Average Concentration (μ Ci/mL)	DCG ^d (μ Ci/mL)	% of DCG
Gross Alpha	1.95 \pm 0.13E-06	7.22 \pm 0.49E+04	2.62 \pm 0.18E-15	NA ^e	NA
Gross Beta	6.93 \pm 0.05E-05	2.56 \pm 0.02E+06	9.32 \pm 0.07E-14	NA ^e	NA
H-3	7.09 \pm 0.15E-02	2.62 \pm 0.06E+09	9.54 \pm 0.21E-11	1E-07	0.10
Co-60	0.93 \pm 6.96E-08	0.34 \pm 2.58E+03	1.25 \pm 9.35E-17	8E-11	<0.01
Sr-90	7.56 \pm 0.14E-06	2.80 \pm 0.05E+05	1.02 \pm 0.02E-14	9E-12	0.11
I-129	4.47 \pm 0.28E-04	1.65 \pm 0.10E+07	6.01 \pm 0.38E-13	7E-11	0.86
Cs-137	1.42 \pm 0.06E-05	5.27 \pm 0.21E+05	1.91 \pm 0.08E-14	4E-10	<0.01
Eu-154	0.09 \pm 2.17E-07	0.35 \pm 8.02E+03	0.13 \pm 2.91E-16	5E-11	<0.01
U-232	0.65 \pm 1.77E-08	2.42 \pm 6.56E+02	0.88 \pm 2.38E-17	2E-14	<0.12
U-233/234^f	8.40 \pm 1.72E-08	3.11 \pm 0.64E+03	1.13 \pm 0.23E-16	9E-14	0.13
U-235/236^f	1.07 \pm 0.65E-08	3.97 \pm 2.39E+02	1.44 \pm 0.87E-17	1E-13	0.01
U-238	6.28 \pm 1.39E-08	2.32 \pm 0.52E+03	8.43 \pm 1.87E-17	1E-13	0.08
Pu-238	2.19 \pm 0.23E-07	8.11 \pm 0.84E+03	2.95 \pm 0.31E-16	3E-14	0.98
Pu-239/240	4.73 \pm 0.35E-07	1.75 \pm 0.13E+04	6.35 \pm 0.47E-16	2E-14	3.18
Am-241	1.11 \pm 0.05E-06	4.09 \pm 0.20E+04	1.49 \pm 0.07E-15	2E-14	7.44
Total % of DCGs					13.01

^a Half-lives are listed in Table K-1 (p. K-3).

^b Total volume released at 50,000 cfm = 7.44E+14 mL/year.

^c 1 curie (Ci) = 3.7E+10 becquerels (Bq); 1 Bq = 2.7E-11 Ci.

^d Derived concentration guides (DCGs) are listed for reference only. They are applicable to average concentrations at the site boundary but not to stack concentrations, as might be inferred from their inclusion in this table.

^e NA - Not applicable: DCGs are not specified for gross alpha and gross beta activity.

^f Total uranium = 1.48 \pm 0.02E-01 g; average = 1.99E-10 μ g/mL.

Note: Bolding convention not applicable to these data.

Table D-3
2002 Airborne Radioactive Effluent Totals (curies)
From the Vitrification System (HVAC) Ventilation Stack (ANVITSK)

Month	Gross Alpha	Gross Beta				
January	-0.12±1.05E-08	1.45±1.93E-08				
February	0.29±1.06E-08	-0.66±1.91E-08				
March	0.85±1.15E-08	1.68±2.27E-08				
April	-5.29±9.69E-09	-1.54±1.94E-08				
May	1.12±1.09E-08	-0.82±2.08E-08				
June	-0.09±1.01E-08	-1.57±1.82E-08				
July	2.79±9.14E-09	-2.55±1.81E-08				
August	-0.06±1.09E-08	-0.33±2.08E-08				
September	-0.21±1.02E-08	-0.78±1.78E-08				
October	-1.18±0.86E-08	0.44±1.91E-08				
November	0.55±1.03E-08	-1.58±2.01E-08				
December	5.01±9.89E-09	-0.90±1.88E-08				
Annual Total	1.42±3.54E-08	-7.14±6.77E-08				
Quarter	Co-60	Sr-90	I-129	Cs-137	Eu-154	
1st Quarter	0.46±1.98E-08	1.24±5.77E-09	1.15±0.52E-07	-0.19±1.48E-08	-1.70±5.11E-08	
2nd Quarter	0.62±1.46E-08	1.89±1.94E-08	2.58±0.70E-07	1.31±1.15E-08	1.64±4.19E-08	
3rd Quarter	0.74±1.62E-08	-0.82±1.27E-08	1.64±0.88E-07	0.36±1.20E-08	5.26±4.23E-08	
4th Quarter	1.49±1.35E-08	4.29±8.06E-09	0.00±2.93E-08	1.06±1.38E-08	0.68±3.58E-08	
Annual Total	3.30±3.24E-08	1.62±2.52E-08	5.36±1.27E-07	2.54±2.62E-08	5.87±8.62E-08	
	U-232	U-233/234	U-235/236	U-238	Total U (g)	
1st Quarter	0.20±1.32E-09	9.18±2.65E-09	2.26±1.31E-09	7.79±2.27E-09	1.65±0.03E-02	
2nd Quarter	-0.58±1.18E-09	8.62±2.82E-09	1.96±1.63E-09	7.84±2.54E-09	2.14±0.04E-02	
3rd Quarter	0.22±1.97E-09	6.98±2.94E-09	0.97±1.57E-09	7.17±2.60E-09	1.10±0.02E-02	
4th Quarter	0.34±1.86E-09	5.64±2.76E-09	1.34±1.31E-09	5.17±2.27E-09	0.00±5.84E-04	
Annual Total	0.18±3.24E-09	3.04±0.56E-08	6.53±2.93E-09	2.80±0.49E-08	4.89±0.08E-02	
	Pu-238	Pu-239/240	Am-241			
1st Quarter	0.36±1.31E-09	-1.79±9.25E-10	0.19±1.01E-09			
2nd Quarter	0.00±1.17E-09	0.68±1.16E-09	1.19±1.46E-09			
3rd Quarter	4.14±8.10E-10	-0.83±1.40E-09	0.53±1.24E-09			
4th Quarter	-1.66±5.60E-10	3.30±6.47E-10	5.34±9.24E-10			
Annual Total	0.61±2.01E-09	0.01±2.14E-09	2.44±2.35E-09			

Note: Bolding convention applied to these data. See page D-2.

Table D-4
2002 Airborne Radioactive Effluent Totals (curies)
From the Seismic Sampler (ANSEISK)*
for the Vitrification System (HVAC) Ventilation Stack

Month	Gross Alpha	Gross Beta
<i>January</i>	-4.01±8.87E-09	1.01±1.69E-08
<i>February</i>	-2.82±9.08E-09	0.29±1.80E-08
<i>March</i>	1.68±1.17E-08	-1.39±1.98E-08
<i>April</i>	-3.40±9.28E-09	0.51±1.89E-08
<i>May</i>	0.94±1.02E-08	0.12±2.03E-08
<i>June</i>	-0.19±1.02E-08	-1.18±1.86E-08
<i>July</i>	-2.85±8.53E-09	-1.53±1.91E-08
<i>August</i>	-0.42±1.05E-08	-0.77±2.07E-08
<i>September</i>	0.28±1.11E-08	-1.79±1.78E-08
<i>October</i>	-6.29±9.37E-09	-0.75±1.85E-08
<i>November</i>	0.84±1.08E-08	0.03±2.11E-08
<i>December</i>	6.91±9.79E-09	-0.45±1.84E-08
Annual Total	1.88±3.47E-08	-5.88±6.60E-08

* ANSEISK provides back-up samples for the primary vitrification sampler (ANVITSK).

Note: Bolding convention applied to these data. See page D-2.

Table D-5
2002 Airborne Radioactive Effluent Totals (curies) From the 01-14 Building
Ventilation Exhaust (ANCSSTK)

Month	Gross Alpha	Gross Beta			
January	-0.69±4.16E-09	1.11±0.80E-08			
February	0.61±4.06E-09	-4.17±7.26E-09			
March	4.69±4.40E-09	-2.50±7.96E-09			
April	0.57±3.92E-09	0.69±7.50E-09			
May	2.67±4.01E-09	1.54±8.23E-09			
June	-1.04±4.06E-09	-0.22±7.59E-09			
July	0.55±3.56E-09	-2.68±7.51E-09			
August	1.82±4.89E-09	0.45±8.92E-09			
September	-1.98±4.19E-09	-1.02±7.69E-09			
October	-3.10±3.70E-09	1.17±7.72E-09			
November	2.76±4.38E-09	-7.52±8.32E-09			
December	-0.03±3.70E-09	-0.38±7.72E-09			
Annual Total	0.66±1.43E-08	-0.32±2.75E-08			

Quarter	Co-60	Sr-90	I-129	Cs-137	Eu-154
1st Quarter	4.01±6.44E-09	2.52±2.62E-09	3.28±0.64E-07	2.12±6.07E-09	1.69±3.13E-08
2nd Quarter	-0.95±6.36E-09	2.53±6.69E-09	3.82±0.93E-07	-2.07±7.78E-09	0.15±1.76E-08
3rd Quarter	2.30±6.79E-09	3.19±3.91E-09	2.90±0.43E-07	-6.69±5.96E-09	0.63±1.85E-08
4th Quarter	0.06±6.53E-09	-7.60±5.18E-09	6.79±2.04E-08	2.27±4.83E-09	-0.17±1.49E-08
Annual Total	0.54±1.31E-08	0.64±9.68E-09	1.07±0.12E-06	-0.44±1.25E-08	2.30±4.31E-08

	U-232	U-233/234	U-235/236	U-238	Total U (g)
1st Quarter	2.74±3.62E-10	4.56±1.19E-09	0.80±2.72E-10	3.28±1.03E-09	6.71±0.11E-03
2nd Quarter	5.04±5.04E-10	4.56±1.19E-09	3.05±3.66E-10	2.81±0.98E-09	8.38±0.14E-03
3rd Quarter	7.61±7.95E-10	3.64±1.07E-09	9.65±6.35E-10	2.81±1.00E-09	4.32±0.08E-03
4th Quarter	1.44±6.05E-10	2.76±1.01E-09	5.52±5.08E-10	3.04±1.01E-09	7.41±0.25E-03
Annual Total	1.68±1.18E-09	1.55±0.22E-08	1.90±0.93E-09	1.19±0.20E-08	2.68±0.03E-02

	Pu-238	Pu-239/240	Am-241
1st Quarter	-0.72±2.44E-10	0.00±2.80E-10	6.39±5.42E-10
2nd Quarter	-1.12±2.20E-10	2.24±4.12E-10	2.94±2.88E-10
3rd Quarter	0.00±1.71E-10	0.00±3.42E-10	0.82±2.81E-10
4th Quarter	-0.82±3.58E-10	-2.44±4.23E-10	2.70±0.93E-09
Annual Total	-2.66±5.15E-10	-0.20±7.37E-10	3.72±1.15E-09

Note: Bolding convention applied to these data. See page D-2.

Table D-6
2002 Airborne Radioactive Effluent Totals (curies) From the
Contact Size-Reduction Facility Ventilation Stack (ANCSRFK)

VENTILATION OFF DURING CY 2002

Table D-7
2002 Airborne Radioactive Effluent Totals (curies) From the
Supernatant Treatment System Ventilation Stack (ANSTSTK)

Month	Gross Alpha	Gross Beta	H-3		
January	-1.68±1.91E-09	5.10±3.98E-09	1.62±0.07E-05		
February	-0.29±2.09E-09	2.95±4.16E-09	1.00±0.01E-05		
March	1.40±2.33E-09	7.83±4.88E-09	6.21±0.64E-06		
April	-0.17±2.15E-09	-0.42±4.16E-09	9.65±0.97E-06		
May	0.30±2.01E-09	0.10±4.44E-09	9.27±0.95E-06		
June	-0.38±2.14E-09	-1.71±3.94E-09	5.69±1.76E-06		
July	1.02±2.04E-09	-2.07±4.06E-09	-1.99±5.36E-06		
August	0.59±2.38E-09	0.90±4.43E-09	3.05±1.81E-06		
September	-2.04±1.99E-09	-3.27±3.76E-09	4.29±1.20E-06		
October	-1.33±1.98E-09	-1.80±3.89E-09	2.61±1.04E-06		
November	0.14±2.05E-09	-1.65±4.35E-09	4.62±0.77E-06		
December	0.89±2.01E-09	1.10±3.99E-09	4.80±0.71E-06		
Annual Total	-1.56±7.25E-09	0.71±1.45E-08	7.44±0.65E-05		

Quarter	Co-60	Sr-90	I-129	Cs-137	Eu-154
1st Quarter	-1.82±3.42E-09	0.54±1.25E-09	5.24±0.61E-06	1.34±0.42E-08	-1.57±8.41E-09
2nd Quarter	-1.15±2.57E-09	1.86±3.84E-09	3.42±0.40E-06	2.00±2.37E-09	4.81±6.31E-09
3rd Quarter	-3.18±4.01E-09	2.19±2.94E-09	2.93±0.34E-06	2.91±3.71E-09	-0.56±1.07E-08
4th Quarter	-0.65±3.35E-09	-0.83±2.51E-09	3.91±0.45E-06	-0.66±3.00E-09	-7.65±8.07E-09
Annual Total	-6.81±6.75E-09	3.75±5.59E-09	1.55±0.09E-05	1.76±0.68E-08	-1.00±1.70E-08

	U-232	U-233/234	U-235/236	U-238	Total U (g)
1st Quarter	0.00±7.17E-10	1.63±0.63E-09	3.45±2.92E-10	1.59±0.55E-09	3.40±0.06E-03
2nd Quarter	-2.21±3.19E-10	1.96±0.61E-09	2.99±2.21E-10	1.28±0.46E-09	4.17±0.07E-03
3rd Quarter	0.55±5.32E-10	2.14±0.61E-09	1.21±2.64E-10	1.01±0.50E-09	3.28±0.08E-03
4th Quarter	-0.71±3.15E-10	1.80±0.58E-09	1.29±1.88E-10	1.54±0.57E-09	3.87±0.13E-03
Annual Total	-2.37±9.99E-10	7.52±1.22E-09	8.94±4.89E-10	5.41±1.04E-09	1.47±0.02E-02

	Pu-238	Pu-239/240	Am-241
1st Quarter	0.73±1.76E-10	-1.46±3.02E-10	0.00±2.00E-10
2nd Quarter	-0.80±1.56E-10	-2.79±3.22E-10	1.52±1.82E-10
3rd Quarter	-0.43±1.18E-10	0.43±3.23E-10	-2.27±2.96E-10
4th Quarter	1.09±1.23E-10	2.53±2.13E-10	1.28±2.22E-10
Annual Total	0.60±2.90E-10	-1.29±5.87E-10	0.53±4.59E-10

Note: Bolding convention applied to these data. See page D-2.

Table D-8
2002 Airborne Radioactive Effluent Totals (curies) From the
Container Sorting and Packaging Facility Ventilation Stack (ANCSPFK)

Month	Gross Alpha	Gross Beta				
January	2.51±5.32E-10	1.38±0.92E-09				
February	3.38±5.26E-10	4.10±9.25E-10				
March	4.60±4.97E-10	6.24±9.78E-10				
April	1.91±4.49E-10	-4.24±7.97E-10				
May	2.33±5.01E-10	0.82±1.02E-09				
June	0.79±4.87E-10	0.91±8.83E-10				
July	4.85±4.82E-10	-2.61±8.97E-10				
August	2.26±5.40E-10	-4.11±9.56E-10				
September	0.00±3.63E-10	0.88±5.93E-10				
October	-1.27±3.08E-10	-2.85±6.08E-10				
November	-1.68±4.41E-10	-4.40±9.88E-10				
December	-1.99±3.06E-10	1.01±7.58E-10				
Annual Total	1.77±1.59E-09	1.69±3.02E-09				
Quarter	Co-60	Sr-90	I-129	Cs-137	Eu-154	
1st Quarter	-6.28±7.71E-10	-0.92±3.07E-10	6.04±1.37E-08	-0.78±7.88E-10	-1.07±2.59E-09	
2nd Quarter	1.08±9.30E-10	7.04±8.47E-10	6.04±1.27E-08	4.60±7.81E-10	0.67±2.77E-09	
3rd Quarter	2.24±9.48E-10	6.01±4.02E-10	2.15±0.37E-08	0.62±1.41E-09	-0.24±2.30E-09	
4th Quarter	-4.79±9.73E-10	-0.36±5.22E-10	4.17±0.59E-08	-3.42±9.12E-10	2.53±4.84E-09	
Annual Total	-0.78±1.82E-09	1.18±1.12E-09	1.84±0.20E-07	0.66±2.01E-09	1.89±6.56E-09	
	U-232	U-233/234	U-235/236	U-238	Total U (g)	
1st Quarter	-1.02±5.59E-11	3.94±1.35E-10	9.48±6.51E-11	3.43±1.14E-10	8.62±0.15E-04	
2nd Quarter	4.78±7.76E-11	4.38±1.44E-10	4.29±5.94E-11	3.85±1.33E-10	1.07±0.02E-03	
3rd Quarter	1.68±1.28E-10	2.40±1.17E-10	3.28±4.79E-11	3.38±1.23E-10	5.19±0.10E-04	
4th Quarter	0.00±1.77E-10	1.91±1.38E-10	2.13±4.17E-11	1.80±1.08E-10	5.45±0.19E-04	
Annual Total	2.05±2.39E-10	1.26±0.27E-09	1.92±1.09E-10	1.25±0.24E-09	3.00±0.03E-03	
	Pu-238	Pu-239/240	Am-241			
1st Quarter	2.47±6.28E-11	4.93±6.05E-11	5.17±5.36E-11			
2nd Quarter	0.80±2.73E-11	-3.21±7.04E-11	8.64±6.77E-11			
3rd Quarter	-2.15±4.22E-11	-2.15±5.95E-11	3.69±4.44E-11			
4th Quarter	-3.75±4.11E-11	0.00±5.82E-11	1.21±0.68E-10			
Annual Total	-2.62±9.03E-11	-0.04±1.25E-10	2.96±1.18E-10			

Note: Bolding convention applied to these data. See page D-2.

Table D-9
2002 Airborne Radioactive Effluent Totals (curies) From the
Former Low-Level Waste Treatment Facility Hot Side Ventilation
(ANLLWTVH)*

VENTILATION OFF DURING CY 2002

Table D-10
2002 Airborne Radioactive Effluent Totals (curies) From the
New Low-Level Waste Treatment Facility Ventilation (ANLLW2V)

Month	Gross Alpha	Gross Beta
<i>January</i>	NA	NA
<i>February</i>	NA	NA
<i>March</i>	4.79±4.03E-10	-3.02±7.01E-10
<i>April</i>	NA	NA
<i>May</i>	NA	NA
<i>June</i>	0.30±2.13E-10	-0.61±3.69E-10
<i>July</i>	NA	NA
<i>August</i>	NA	NA
<i>September</i>	-1.24±2.43E-10	0.42±4.43E-10
<i>October</i>	NA	NA
<i>November</i>	NA	NA
<i>December</i>	-0.19±7.75E-10	-0.71±1.52E-10
Annual Total	5.75±9.32E-10	-1.03±1.77E-09

* Shut down per correspondence DW:2002:0349, "Elimination of Air Sampling at the Old Low-Level Waste Treatment Facility and Laundry Facility," August 2, 2002, A.C. Williams to W.M. Wierzbicki.

NA - Not available; data reported as quarterly total

Note: Bolding convention applied to these data. See page D-2.

Table D-11
2002 Airborne Radioactive Effluent Totals (curies) From the
Laundry Change Room (ANLAUNV)

Month	Gross Alpha	Gross Beta
<i>January</i>	1.95±6.62E-10	-0.13±1.33E-09
<i>February</i>	0.99±6.96E-10	-1.41±1.38E-09
<i>March</i>	7.20±7.26E-10	-1.41±1.18E-09
<i>April</i>	4.84±6.84E-10	-0.92±1.30E-09
<i>May</i>	2.91±6.30E-10	-0.72±1.24E-09
<i>June</i>	0.00±6.31E-10	-0.50±1.10E-09
<i>July</i>	0.00±6.52E-10	0.33±1.23E-09
<i>August</i>	-2.88±7.29E-10	-0.07±1.16E-09
<i>September</i>	NA	NA
<i>October</i>	NA	NA
<i>November</i>	NA	NA
<i>December</i>	NA	NA
Annual Total	1.50±1.92E-09	-4.84±3.52E-09

NA - Not applicable. Shut down per correspondence DW:2002:0349, "Elimination of Air Sampling at the Old Low-Level Waste Treatment Facility and Laundry Facility," August 2, 2002, A.C. Williams to W.M. Wierzbicki.

Note: Bolding convention applied to these data. See page D-2.

Table D-12
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations ($\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$) in Airborne Particulates
at the Lag Storage Air Sampler (ANLAGAM)

Month	Gross Alpha	Gross Beta				
January	1.00±1.11E-15	2.55±0.32E-14				
February	1.08±1.04E-15	2.39±0.30E-14				
March	1.37±1.02E-15	2.12±0.28E-14				
April	6.40±9.59E-16	1.69±0.27E-14				
May	8.63±8.35E-16	1.41±0.24E-14				
June	6.70±8.83E-16	1.48±0.24E-14				
July	1.10±0.90E-15	2.16±0.27E-14				
August	3.90±8.11E-16	2.07±0.27E-14				
September	4.80±8.48E-16	2.29±0.27E-14				
October	7.71±9.06E-16	2.22±0.27E-14				
November	7.90±8.15E-16	2.07±0.26E-14				
December	6.44±8.01E-16	2.21±0.27E-14				

Quarter	K-40	Co-60	Sr-90	Cs-137	U-232
1st Quarter	2.86±3.99E-15	3.16±2.58E-16	4.06±7.88E-17	0.05±2.00E-16	0.91±1.17E-17
2nd Quarter	2.20±4.23E-15	0.03±2.36E-16	1.48±2.05E-16	-0.98±2.17E-16	0.25±1.81E-17
3rd Quarter	0.29±3.03E-15	-0.73±1.64E-16	1.67±1.44E-16	1.49±1.33E-16	2.60±2.29E-17
4th Quarter	1.30±2.84E-15	-0.25±2.37E-16	1.25±1.23E-16	1.26±2.13E-16	0.19±1.62E-17

	U-233/234	U-235/236	U-238	Total U ($\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$)	Pu-238
1st Quarter	1.30±0.40E-16	1.76±1.63E-17	8.78±3.14E-17	2.26±0.04E-10	-4.53±7.67E-18
2nd Quarter	1.05±0.30E-16	0.90±1.08E-17	7.18±2.56E-17	1.98±0.03E-10	-6.82±6.69E-18
3rd Quarter	8.99±3.19E-17	0.86±1.46E-17	5.35±2.33E-17	1.08±0.02E-10	-0.25±1.10E-17
4th Quarter	3.01±2.41E-17	5.02±9.84E-18	3.25±2.14E-17	1.17±0.04E-10	0.37±1.24E-17

	Pu-239/240	Am-241
1st Quarter	-0.45±1.66E-17	2.55±1.87E-17
2nd Quarter	-0.51±1.53E-17	2.34±1.44E-17
3rd Quarter	-0.25±1.47E-17	0.00±1.11E-17
4th Quarter	1.10±1.01E-17	7.80±9.36E-18

Note: Bolding convention applied to these data. See page D-2.

Table D-13
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$) in Airborne Particulates
at the NDA Air Sampler (ANNDAAAM)

Month	Gross Alpha	Gross Beta			
January	1.37±1.10E-15	2.24±0.29E-14			
February	1.22±1.01E-15	2.15±0.28E-14			
March	1.60±1.05E-15	2.22±0.28E-14			
April	7.98±9.10E-16	1.51±0.24E-14			
May	5.82±7.61E-16	1.24±0.22E-14			
June	1.05±1.00E-15	1.95±0.27E-14			
July	1.16±0.93E-15	1.72±0.26E-14			
August	7.50±8.89E-16	1.97±0.26E-14			
September	3.79±8.69E-16	2.00±0.27E-14			
October	4.08±8.49E-16	2.06±0.27E-14			
November	8.94±8.51E-16	2.00±0.26E-14			
December	9.44±8.86E-16	1.79±0.26E-14			

Quarter	K-40	Co-60	Sr-90	Cs-137	U-232
1st Quarter	3.10±2.15E-15	0.01±1.51E-16	4.46±6.53E-17	-0.62±1.24E-16	0.71±1.14E-17
2nd Quarter	2.23±3.06E-15	0.45±1.55E-16	3.29±1.91E-16	0.49±1.03E-16	0.00±4.33E-17
3rd Quarter	0.00±3.90E-15	0.68±1.80E-16	1.47±1.29E-16	-0.07±1.38E-16	2.19±2.64E-17
4th Quarter	1.22±2.64E-15	-0.05±1.83E-16	1.06±1.21E-16	1.08±1.15E-16	-0.42±1.17E-17

	U-233/234	U-235/236	U-238	Total U ($\mu\text{g/mL}$)	Pu-238
1st Quarter	1.15±0.34E-16	2.43±1.44E-17	7.28±2.48E-17	1.96±0.04E-10	-1.94±8.48E-18
2nd Quarter	8.86±3.34E-17	1.44±1.48E-17	6.70±2.73E-17	1.78±0.03E-10	0.00±6.96E-18
3rd Quarter	9.20±3.82E-17	-1.05±1.78E-17	8.94±3.01E-17	1.59±0.03E-10	-2.44±4.80E-18
4th Quarter	3.67±2.43E-17	1.08±1.27E-17	2.15±1.89E-17	1.18±0.04E-10	0.00±5.90E-18

	Pu-239/240	Am-241
1st Quarter	-0.39±1.51E-17	1.09±1.13E-17
2nd Quarter	0.89±1.15E-17	7.48±8.98E-18
3rd Quarter	-1.47±1.51E-17	2.78±1.65E-17
4th Quarter	0.00±8.33E-18	0.36±1.12E-17

Note: Bolding convention applied to these data. See page D-2.

Table D-14
2002 Airborne Radioactivity Concentrations ($\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$)
at the SDA Trench 9 Air Sampler (ANSDAT9)

Month	Gross Alpha	Gross Beta	H-3	
<i>January</i>	0.61±1.26E-15	2.32±0.36E-14	6.11±3.10E-13	
<i>February</i>	0.81±1.24E-15	2.12±0.34E-14	9.40±2.35E-13	
<i>March</i>	1.14±1.24E-15	2.11±0.35E-14	1.13±0.27E-12	
<i>April</i>	0.41±1.17E-15	1.40±0.31E-14	2.53±0.59E-12	
<i>May</i>	0.68±1.20E-15	1.36±0.34E-14	1.95±0.49E-12	
<i>June</i>	1.21±1.44E-15	1.63±0.34E-14	8.18±8.14E-13	
<i>July</i>	1.86±1.38E-15	2.15±0.35E-14	6.50±8.21E-13	
<i>August</i>	-0.08±1.01E-15	1.98±0.34E-14	2.56±9.01E-13	
<i>September</i>	-0.04±1.15E-15	2.10±0.35E-14	5.25±6.66E-13	
<i>October</i>	0.35±1.21E-15	1.99±0.35E-14	3.36±5.35E-13	
<i>November</i>	0.23±1.40E-15	1.77±0.44E-14	9.76±4.00E-13	
<i>December</i>	0.59±1.15E-15	1.79±0.34E-14	9.06±5.31E-13	

Quarter	K-40	Co-60	I-129	Cs-137
<i>1st Quarter</i>	3.09±4.17E-15	0.83±2.39E-16	-0.22±3.65E-16	1.52±1.90E-16
<i>2nd Quarter</i>	3.42±4.29E-15	-0.62±2.63E-16	1.16±3.22E-16	-0.93±2.16E-16
<i>3rd Quarter</i>	4.02±3.23E-15	-2.77±3.06E-16	0.83±2.91E-16	0.56±2.33E-16
<i>4th Quarter</i>	0.26±1.13E-14	2.29±3.23E-16	-3.21±3.93E-16	-0.58±2.83E-16

Note: Bolding convention applied to these data. See page D-2.

Table D-15
2002 Airborne Radioactive Effluent Totals (curies)
From Outdoor Ventilation Enclosures/Portable Ventilation Units

Month	Gross Alpha	Gross Beta				
January	NA	NA				
February	-1.04±1.79E-10	1.85±4.57E-10				
March	2.63±5.22E-10	2.39±0.97E-09				
April	-2.85±2.40E-10	2.14±4.28E-10				
May	NA	NA				
June	-2.61±4.57E-10	2.66±0.97E-09				
July	NA	NA				
August	NA	NA				
September	NA	NA				
October	6.32±3.62E-10	3.28±0.64E-09				
November	NA	NA				
December	-2.43±3.71E-10	-1.38±6.75E-10				
Annual Total	0.03±9.17E-10	8.60±1.77E-09				
Quarter	Co-60	Sr-90	Cs-137	Eu-154	U-232	
1st Quarter	7.38±8.79E-10	2.02±2.80E-10	-0.90±7.64E-10	-0.52±2.63E-09	6.55±7.19E-11	
2nd Quarter	-0.82±5.97E-10	9.06±9.63E-10	-0.75±4.79E-10	-0.72±1.68E-09	6.80±9.58E-11	
3rd Quarter	0.00±1.51E-09	3.27±4.53E-10	0.98±4.13E-10	0.88±1.60E-09	0.00±1.16E-10	
4th Quarter	5.09±8.70E-10	0.57±3.86E-10	0.29±7.08E-10	-0.60±2.06E-09	2.90±5.23E-11	
Annual Total	1.16±2.04E-09	1.49±1.17E-09	-0.04±1.22E-09	-0.96±4.07E-09	1.62±1.75E-10	
	U-233/234	U-235/236	U-238	Total U (g)	Pu-238	
1st Quarter	4.06±1.58E-10	3.70±6.39E-11	2.09±1.21E-10	5.01±0.08E-04	0.91±3.08E-11	
2nd Quarter	2.96±1.07E-10	1.32±0.68E-10	1.90±0.77E-10	3.80±0.07E-04	1.49±2.91E-11	
3rd Quarter	7.69±6.88E-11	0.00±2.37E-11	1.11±0.69E-10	1.99±0.04E-04	1.36±1.88E-11	
4th Quarter	4.05±1.33E-10	7.23±6.04E-11	3.63±1.18E-10	9.32±0.33E-04	-0.65±2.22E-11	
Annual Total	1.18±0.24E-09	2.41±1.14E-10	8.72±1.98E-10	2.01±0.04E-03	3.11±5.14E-11	
	Pu-239/240	Am-241				
1st Quarter	1.81±6.14E-11	3.13±6.13E-11				
2nd Quarter	0.00±4.60E-11	5.72±5.25E-11				
3rd Quarter	-0.68±2.97E-11	3.95±3.65E-11				
4th Quarter	1.30±3.13E-11	1.52±2.98E-11				
Annual Total	2.43±8.81E-11	1.43±0.93E-10				

NA - Not applicable. Ventilation units not in use.

Note: Bolding convention applied to these data. See page D-2.

Table D-16
2002 Airborne Radioactivity Concentrations ($\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$) at the
Rock Springs Road Air Sampler (AFRSPRD)

Month	Gross Alpha	Gross Beta	H-3		
January	0.32±1.19E-15	1.92±0.34E-14	1.78±3.48E-13		
February	0.70±1.21E-15	1.59±0.31E-14	1.64±2.87E-13		
March	1.07±1.21E-15	1.53±0.31E-14	3.62±3.19E-13		
April	0.66±1.22E-15	1.60±0.32E-14	1.03±4.98E-13		
May	0.84±1.07E-15	1.15±0.28E-14	2.75±4.83E-13		
June	0.62±1.33E-15	2.01±0.37E-14	1.84±9.79E-13		
July	2.41±1.44E-15	2.42±0.35E-14	0.07±1.07E-12		
August	0.51±1.12E-15	1.72±0.31E-14	-0.09±1.12E-12		
September	0.24±1.14E-15	2.10±0.33E-14	4.23±9.61E-13		
October	0.50±1.19E-15	2.21±0.35E-14	-2.30±7.34E-13		
November	0.58±1.08E-15	1.88±0.33E-14	3.05±4.17E-13		
December	0.31±1.06E-15	2.05±0.35E-14	-0.63±2.47E-13		

Quarter	K-40	Co-60	Sr-90	I-129	Cs-137
1st Quarter	4.44±2.63E-15	1.29±2.51E-16	0.21±1.07E-16	-0.52±2.87E-16	-0.48±1.66E-16
2nd Quarter	6.10±2.85E-15	-1.55±2.22E-16	1.21±3.30E-16	1.75±3.34E-16	0.64±1.69E-16
3rd Quarter	0.00±3.43E-15	0.39±2.82E-16	-0.42±1.88E-16	1.74±2.32E-16	0.27±1.62E-16
4th Quarter	0.54±4.43E-15	0.64±2.15E-16	1.70±1.73E-16	-2.68±3.88E-16	0.19±1.88E-16

	U-232	U-233/234	U-235/236	U-238	Total U ($\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$)
1st Quarter	1.30±1.49E-17	1.34±0.48E-16	0.66±2.89E-17	1.61±0.45E-16	2.49±0.04E-10
2nd Quarter	-0.28±3.19E-17	1.65±0.48E-16	2.18±2.20E-17	9.65±3.61E-17	2.87±0.05E-10
3rd Quarter	2.26±5.05E-17	1.17±0.43E-16	2.19±2.02E-17	1.02±0.39E-16	1.46±0.03E-10
4th Quarter	-0.83±2.53E-17	6.68±3.59E-17	0.71±1.96E-17	7.02±3.38E-17	1.81±0.06E-10

	Pu-238	Pu-239/240	Am-241
1st Quarter	0.30±1.03E-17	1.20±1.18E-17	1.28±1.53E-17
2nd Quarter	2.81±5.49E-18	-2.24±2.34E-17	0.34±1.99E-17
3rd Quarter	0.00±6.19E-18	6.32±8.74E-18	0.92±1.34E-17
4th Quarter	0.31±1.03E-17	0.00±1.19E-17	2.44±1.89E-17

Note: Bolding convention applied to these data. See page D-2.

Table D-17
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations ($\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$) in Airborne Particulates
at the Dutch Hill Air Sampler (AFBOEHN)

Month	Gross Alpha	Gross Beta
January	0.49±1.24E-15	2.09±0.35E-14
February	0.78±1.89E-15	2.20±0.48E-14
March	1.58±1.35E-15	2.18±0.35E-14
April	0.76±1.26E-15	1.59±0.32E-14
May	0.93±1.13E-15	1.32±0.30E-14
June	0.76±1.27E-15	1.99±0.34E-14
July	2.11±1.43E-15	2.15±0.35E-14
August	1.02±1.28E-15	1.90±0.33E-14
September	0.25±1.19E-15	2.10±0.34E-14
October	0.26±1.15E-15	1.82±0.33E-14
November	0.45±1.05E-15	2.02±0.34E-14
December	0.83±1.21E-15	2.10±0.36E-14

Quarter	Sr-90	Cs-137
1st Quarter	0.48±1.38E-16	1.26±2.58E-16
2nd Quarter	4.18±2.30E-16	0.46±1.62E-16
3rd Quarter	2.11±1.84E-16	-0.24±3.23E-16
4th Quarter	0.73±1.69E-16	2.00±2.66E-16

Table D-18
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations ($\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$) in Airborne Particulates
at the Fox Valley Air Sampler (AFFXVRD)

Month	Gross Alpha	Gross Beta
January	0.87±1.34E-15	2.58±0.38E-14
February	1.32±1.38E-15	2.25±0.36E-14
March	1.36±1.31E-15	2.21±0.36E-14
April	0.72±1.26E-15	1.69±0.33E-14
May	1.08±1.14E-15	1.21±0.28E-14
June	1.00±1.29E-15	1.85±0.33E-14
July	1.56±1.30E-15	2.17±0.35E-14
August	0.14±1.04E-15	1.99±0.33E-14
September	0.43±1.20E-15	1.97±0.33E-14
October	-0.11±1.03E-15	1.92±0.33E-14
November	0.32±1.03E-15	1.81±0.34E-14
December	0.87±1.26E-15	2.07±0.37E-14

Quarter	Sr-90	Cs-137
1st Quarter	-0.01±1.16E-16	-0.68±3.24E-16
2nd Quarter	1.09±2.33E-16	0.57±2.61E-16
3rd Quarter	1.27±1.94E-16	1.43±2.26E-16
4th Quarter	-0.28±1.57E-16	1.40±2.50E-16

Note: Bolding convention applied to these data. See page D-2.

Table D-19
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations ($\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$) in Airborne Particulates
at the Bulk Storage Warehouse Air Sampler (AFBLKST)

Month	Gross Alpha	Gross Beta
January	0.34±1.22E-15	2.20±0.36E-14
February	1.16±1.37E-15	2.12±0.35E-14
March	1.98±1.46E-15	2.02±0.35E-14
April	0.33±1.18E-15	1.66±0.33E-14
May	0.84±1.11E-15	1.31±0.30E-14
June	0.38±1.06E-15	1.81±0.30E-14
July	1.67±1.27E-15	2.14±0.33E-14
August	0.35±1.03E-15	1.89±0.31E-14
September	0.27±1.10E-15	1.95±0.32E-14
October	0.23±1.11E-15	1.98±0.33E-14
November	0.45±1.06E-15	1.93±0.34E-14
December	0.61±1.16E-15	2.01±0.35E-14

Quarter	Sr-90	Cs-137
1st Quarter	0.97±1.23E-16	1.81±2.98E-16
2nd Quarter	2.05±1.99E-16	2.01±3.17E-16
3rd Quarter	0.22±1.82E-16	-0.30±2.70E-16
4th Quarter	2.27±1.90E-16	-0.87±2.98E-16

Table D-20
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations ($\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$) in Airborne Particulates
at the Route 240 Air Sampler (AFRT240)

Month	Gross Alpha	Gross Beta
January	0.99±1.39E-15	2.11±0.36E-14
February	0.58±1.24E-15	2.00±0.35E-14
March	1.29±1.32E-15	2.12±0.36E-14
April	0.66±1.27E-15	1.44±0.32E-14
May	0.61±1.05E-15	1.21±0.29E-14
June	0.73±1.27E-15	1.69±0.32E-14
July	1.47±1.31E-15	2.15±0.35E-14
August	0.12±1.06E-15	1.86±0.33E-14
September	0.00±1.14E-15	1.72±0.32E-14
October	0.40±1.17E-15	1.85±0.33E-14
November	0.72±1.10E-15	1.89±0.33E-14
December	1.03±1.23E-15	1.79±0.33E-14

Quarter	Sr-90	Cs-137
1st Quarter	0.68±1.03E-16	0.62±1.93E-16
2nd Quarter	1.13±2.35E-16	0.52±3.23E-16
3rd Quarter	0.59±1.93E-16	-0.01±2.07E-16
4th Quarter	0.60±1.67E-16	0.84±1.06E-16

Note: Bolding convention applied to these data. See page D-2.

Table D-21
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations ($\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$) in Airborne Particulates
at the Thomas Corners Road Air Sampler (AFTCORD)

Month	Gross Alpha	Gross Beta
January	0.79±1.29E-15	2.27±0.36E-14
February	1.64±1.43E-15	2.05±0.34E-14
March	0.97±1.20E-15	2.13±0.35E-14
April	0.53±1.21E-15	1.64±0.33E-14
May	0.81±1.10E-15	1.21±0.29E-14
June	0.92±1.37E-15	1.77±0.34E-14
July	1.40±1.40E-15	2.38±0.39E-14
August	0.53±1.28E-15	1.92±0.37E-14
September	0.33±1.21E-15	2.07±0.34E-14
October	0.19±1.14E-15	1.93±0.34E-14
November	0.45±1.05E-15	1.85±0.33E-14
December	0.54±1.13E-15	1.82±0.34E-14

Quarter	Sr-90	Cs-137
1st Quarter	-0.08±9.95E-17	0.39±2.17E-16
2nd Quarter	2.55±2.62E-16	0.02±1.66E-16
3rd Quarter	0.13±1.95E-16	1.48±2.36E-16
4th Quarter	1.81±1.65E-16	-0.20±1.06E-16

Table D-22
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations ($\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$) in Airborne Particulates
at the West Valley Air Sampler (AFWEVAL)

Month	Gross Alpha	Gross Beta
January	0.85±1.30E-15	2.24±0.35E-14
February	0.44±1.15E-15	2.06±0.34E-14
March	1.08±1.22E-15	2.03±0.34E-14
April	0.38±1.16E-15	1.61±0.32E-14
May	0.93±1.12E-15	1.37±0.30E-14
June	0.91±1.30E-15	1.78±0.33E-14
July	1.82±1.37E-15	2.15±0.35E-14
August	0.29±1.09E-15	1.96±0.33E-14
September	0.00±1.12E-15	1.94±0.33E-14
October	0.48±1.19E-15	1.90±0.33E-14
November	0.53±1.06E-15	1.98±0.34E-14
December	0.50±1.11E-15	1.98±0.35E-14

Quarter	Sr-90	Cs-137
1st Quarter	1.17±1.36E-16	-0.14±2.31E-16
2nd Quarter	0.47±2.77E-16	-1.32±2.13E-16
3rd Quarter	1.14±1.77E-16	0.44±2.70E-16
4th Quarter	1.68±2.32E-16	-1.16±0.94E-16

Note: Bolding convention applied to these data. See page D-2.

Table D-23
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations ($\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$) in Airborne Particulates
at the Springville Air Sampler (AFSPRVL)

Month	Gross Alpha	Gross Beta
<i>January</i>	0.95±1.41E-15	2.17±0.36E-14
<i>February</i>	1.35±1.43E-15	2.20±0.36E-14
<i>March</i>	1.32±1.34E-15	2.04±0.36E-14
<i>April</i>	0.67±1.28E-15	1.62±0.33E-14
<i>May</i>	0.96±1.21E-15	1.17±0.30E-14
<i>June</i>	0.62±1.35E-15	1.91±0.36E-14
<i>July</i>	2.29±1.48E-15	2.14±0.35E-14
<i>August</i>	0.18±1.08E-15	2.02±0.34E-14
<i>September</i>	0.36±1.22E-15	1.98±0.34E-14
<i>October</i>	0.41±1.18E-15	2.01±0.34E-14
<i>November</i>	0.44±1.04E-15	2.01±0.34E-14
<i>December</i>	0.99±1.26E-15	1.99±0.35E-14

Quarter	Sr-90	Cs-137
<i>1st Quarter</i>	1.36±1.04E-16	0.22±2.68E-16
<i>2nd Quarter</i>	4.91±2.09E-16	0.41±3.21E-16
<i>3rd Quarter</i>	-0.16±1.84E-16	-0.21±2.24E-16
<i>4th Quarter</i>	1.99±1.53E-16	1.01±1.26E-16

Note: Bolding convention applied to these data. See page D-2.

Table D-24
2002 Airborne Radioactivity Concentrations ($\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$)
at the Great Valley Background Air Sampler (AFGRVAL)

Month	Gross Alpha	Gross Beta	H-3		
January	0.98±1.39E-15	2.42±0.37E-14	0.37±2.41E-13		
February	0.88±1.30E-15	2.17±0.36E-14	3.12±2.79E-13		
March	2.03±1.48E-15	1.97±0.35E-14	4.44±2.92E-13		
April	0.88±1.32E-15	1.41±0.32E-14	4.00±4.76E-13		
May	0.75±1.09E-15	1.34±0.30E-14	2.90±4.86E-13		
June	1.21±1.37E-15	1.72±0.33E-14	2.31±9.91E-13		
July	1.90±1.39E-15	2.10±0.35E-14	-0.23±1.13E-12		
August	0.33±1.12E-15	1.93±0.34E-14	0.09±1.25E-12		
September	0.54±5.70E-15	2.54±1.42E-14	0.24±1.01E-12		
October	0.37±1.17E-15	1.84±0.33E-14	-4.67±7.67E-13		
November	0.79±1.12E-15	1.81±0.33E-14	2.52±4.26E-13		
December	0.72±1.16E-15	1.94±0.35E-14	1.30±3.51E-13		

Quarter	K-40	Co-60	Sr-90	I-129	Cs-137
1st Quarter	6.20±3.16E-15	0.83±2.17E-16	0.56±1.04E-16	-0.92±3.13E-16	1.44±2.03E-16
2nd Quarter	2.30±3.49E-15	0.43±3.70E-16	3.40±3.70E-16	1.08±2.74E-16	0.10±3.18E-16
3rd Quarter	2.57±5.00E-15	0.00±5.54E-16	2.61±2.06E-16	0.83±3.39E-16	-0.25±2.08E-16
4th Quarter	2.17±3.38E-15	-0.69±1.53E-16	0.76±1.74E-16	-1.10±2.74E-16	0.03±1.38E-16

	U-232	U-233/234	U-235/236	U-238	Total U ($\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$)
1st Quarter	0.76±1.45E-17	2.35±0.58E-16	1.70±2.41E-17	1.32±0.45E-16	2.88±0.05E-10
2nd Quarter	0.33±3.13E-17	1.76±0.48E-16	5.01±2.69E-17	9.98±3.80E-17	2.95±0.05E-10
3rd Quarter	-1.66±3.25E-17	1.10±0.49E-16	0.00±2.78E-17	1.19±0.45E-16	3.00±0.06E-10
4th Quarter	0.30±2.76E-17	8.90±3.91E-17	0.34±1.51E-17	6.16±3.41E-17	1.94±0.07E-10

	Pu-238	Pu-239/240	Am-241
1st Quarter	0.00±9.46E-18	-0.68±1.33E-17	1.46±2.01E-17
2nd Quarter	-8.65±9.78E-18	-1.15±1.78E-17	2.01±2.03E-17
3rd Quarter	0.00±1.36E-17	0.49±1.66E-17	1.22±1.79E-17
4th Quarter	0.00±6.98E-18	1.43±1.40E-17	4.05±2.51E-17

Note: Bolding convention applied to these data. See page D-2.

Table D-25
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations ($\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$) in Airborne Particulates
at the Nashville Background Air Sampler (AFNASHV)

Month	Gross Alpha	Gross Beta
<i>January</i>	0.96±1.40E-15	2.59±0.38E-14
<i>February</i>	1.13±1.36E-15	2.29±0.36E-14
<i>March</i>	1.61±1.57E-15	2.18±0.39E-14
<i>April</i>	0.45±1.22E-15	1.47±0.32E-14
<i>May</i>	-0.35±1.17E-14	2.24±2.78E-14
<i>June</i>	0.13±2.05E-15	1.70±0.44E-14
<i>July</i>	1.46±1.30E-15	2.31±0.36E-14
<i>August</i>	0.57±1.26E-15	1.85±0.35E-14
<i>September</i>	0.07±1.15E-15	2.08±0.34E-14
<i>October</i>	0.29±1.15E-15	2.01±0.34E-14
<i>November</i>	0.77±1.13E-15	2.04±0.34E-14
<i>December</i>	0.91±1.22E-15	2.15±0.36E-14

Quarter	Sr-90	Cs-137
<i>1st Quarter</i>	2.51±1.59E-16	0.10±2.37E-16
<i>2nd Quarter</i>	3.95±2.52E-16	-1.52±2.83E-16
<i>3rd Quarter</i>	0.52±1.83E-16	0.84±2.20E-16
<i>4th Quarter</i>	1.93±1.75E-16	-0.92±1.17E-16

Note: Bolding convention applied to these data. See page D-2.

Table D-26
2002 Radioactivity (nCi/m²/month) and pH in Fallout:
Dutch Hill (AFDHFOP)

Month	Gross Alpha	Gross Beta	H-3 ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$)	K-40	Cs-137	pH (standard units)
January	8.02±2.60E-03	1.58±0.12E-01	9.61±8.28E-08	0.65±1.14E+00	-5.35±8.03E-02	3.79
February	3.65±1.29E-02	6.44±0.49E-01	-1.98±5.75E-08	-1.12±7.30E+00	0.49±5.06E-01	4.09
March	4.66±0.94E-02	4.31±0.30E-01	-2.61±7.99E-08	0.47±3.98E+00	0.98±3.44E-01	5.00
April	2.79±0.83E-02	6.30±0.36E-01	1.01±0.81E-07	0.45±4.14E+00	5.42±3.39E-01	5.49
May	8.02±2.03E-02	1.04±0.07E+00	3.63±8.16E-08	-0.55±1.44E+01	0.25±1.11E+00	4.91
June	4.87±1.41E-02	6.68±0.48E-01	9.83±8.16E-08	-1.91±5.96E+00	6.27±8.47E-01	4.90
July	2.56±0.52E-02	2.36±0.13E-01	2.23±8.53E-08	0.84±1.25E+00	0.88±8.47E-02	6.90
August	9.67±1.71E-02	7.75±0.47E-01	2.07±8.02E-08	-4.45±4.04E+00	-0.12±2.94E-01	4.46
September	3.76±0.94E-02	2.89±0.28E-01	2.95±8.82E-08	-0.24±6.58E+00	1.12±4.58E-01	4.11
October	4.02±1.41E-02	4.69±0.43E-01	-1.18±4.84E-08	0.95±1.22E+01	2.10±6.26E-01	5.99
November	1.13±0.22E-01	8.49±0.52E-01	-9.95±8.08E-08	-2.47±5.67E+00	7.37±8.06E-01	5.65
December	2.38±0.74E-02	2.55±0.25E-01	7.26±8.41E-08	-0.80±4.86E+00	0.06±3.12E-01	4.60

Table D-27
2002 Radioactivity (nCi/m²/month) and pH in Fallout:
Rain Gauge (ANRGFOP)

Month	Gross Alpha	Gross Beta	H-3 ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$)	K-40	Cs-137	pH (standard units)
January	4.06±1.11E-02	6.05±0.46E-01	5.12±5.72E-08	0.07±1.09E+01	-1.35±7.05E-01	4.17
February	9.18±2.21E-02	7.82±0.66E-01	-2.76±7.94E-08	6.00±9.91E+00	2.96±7.69E-01	4.74
March	4.69±1.62E-02	5.18±0.55E-01	1.77±8.05E-08	-1.21±0.97E+01	0.28±8.15E-01	6.81
April	6.86±1.77E-02	4.93±0.46E-01	2.78±7.97E-08	-0.38±1.13E+01	2.18±7.56E-01	6.72
May	6.59±2.35E-02	1.10±0.09E+00	8.56±8.28E-08	-1.19±1.23E+01	0.06±1.13E+00	5.36
June	7.78±1.92E-02	2.06±0.09E+00	6.31±8.08E-08	-2.60±7.87E+00	-6.16±8.50E-01	4.48
July	2.07±0.44E-02	3.22±0.16E-01	3.84±8.30E-08	-0.89±1.63E+00	0.38±1.08E-01	5.61
August	7.57±1.56E-02	6.93±0.45E-01	-3.17±5.61E-08	-0.04±5.02E+00	1.65±4.87E-01	4.67
September	4.10±0.97E-02	4.21±0.33E-01	4.06±8.46E-08	1.30±5.09E+00	0.85±3.21E-01	3.37
October	3.59±1.41E-02	5.18±0.49E-01	8.65±5.73E-08	-0.89±1.07E+01	-6.94±9.11E-01	4.35
November	8.79±2.16E-02	1.04±0.08E+00	0.28±6.04E-08	2.93±9.11E+00	-1.93±8.55E-01	4.52
December	5.18±1.60E-02	6.44±0.60E-01	1.99±0.86E-07	0.16±1.53E+01	0.61±1.26E+00	4.67

Note: Bolding convention applied to these data. See page D-2.

Table D-28
2002 Radioactivity (nCi/m²/month) and pH in Fallout:
Route 240 (AF24FOP)

Month	Gross Alpha	Gross Beta	H-3 ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$)	K-40	Cs-137	pH (standard units)
January	2.06 \pm 0.53E-02	4.16 \pm 0.24E-01	1.31 \pm 0.83E-07	2.67 \pm 3.48E+00	0.09 \pm 2.53E-01	4.14
February	3.98 \pm 1.48E-02	7.22 \pm 0.56E-01	-2.07 \pm 7.96E-08	0.79 \pm 7.87E+00	0.49 \pm 6.80E-01	4.60
March	6.52 \pm 1.42E-02	6.20 \pm 0.45E-01	-0.54 \pm 8.05E-08	1.00 \pm 6.19E+00	-0.29 \pm 5.07E-01	5.00
April	5.94 \pm 1.28E-02	8.16 \pm 0.48E-01	1.64 \pm 7.96E-08	-1.34 \pm 5.55E+00	2.91 \pm 4.61E-01	5.84
May	4.57 \pm 1.90E-02	1.02\pm0.08E+00	-0.40 \pm 8.13E-08	0.23 \pm 1.87E+01	-0.45 \pm 1.51E+00	4.11
June	5.65 \pm 1.52E-02	6.77 \pm 0.50E-01	-1.37 \pm 8.00E-08	0.02 \pm 1.05E+01	2.07 \pm 4.84E-01	4.63
July	1.72\pm0.44E-02	2.52\pm0.16E-01	1.20 \pm 8.16E-08	-0.48 \pm 2.58E+00	-0.66 \pm 1.56E-01	5.64
August	5.29 \pm 1.27E-02	6.64 \pm 0.43E-01	4.67 \pm 8.02E-08	6.93 \pm 7.47E+00	0.77 \pm 5.86E-01	4.14
September	3.14 \pm 1.00E-02	4.55 \pm 0.39E-01	4.48 \pm 6.03E-08	-2.04 \pm 4.78E+00	-0.98 \pm 3.65E-01	3.60
October	2.73 \pm 1.26E-02	4.07 \pm 0.43E-01	1.34 \pm 0.81E-07	-4.38 \pm 7.22E+00	7.21\pm5.83E-01	4.87
November	7.58\pm1.69E-02	7.90 \pm 0.57E-01	-1.66 \pm 0.81E-07	0.10 \pm 1.31E+01	3.58 \pm 8.26E-01	4.63
December	5.96 \pm 1.38E-02	5.18 \pm 0.45E-01	2.84\pm0.87E-07	-6.68 \pm 7.79E+00	0.35 \pm 5.33E-01	4.65

Table D-29
2002 Radioactivity (nCi/m²/month) and pH in Fallout:
Thomas Corners Road (AFTCFOP)

Month	Gross Alpha	Gross Beta	H-3 ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$)	K-40	Cs-137	pH (standard units)
January	4.88 \pm 1.17E-02	6.70 \pm 0.46E-01	-1.57 \pm 8.04E-08	-1.33 \pm 7.92E+00	1.82 \pm 7.43E-01	4.16
February	3.48 \pm 1.81E-02	5.88 \pm 0.62E-01	-6.83 \pm 7.86E-08	0.21 \pm 9.55E+00	-1.13 \pm 7.98E-01	5.08
March	5.69 \pm 1.72E-02	4.98 \pm 0.53E-01	-5.39 \pm 8.02E-08	0.05 \pm 1.16E+01	1.55 \pm 9.27E-01	6.64
April	3.18 \pm 0.98E-02	5.96 \pm 0.41E-01	4.09 \pm 5.66E-08	0.43 \pm 6.36E+00	-1.11 \pm 4.19E-01	5.37
May	1.08 \pm 0.28E-01	1.39\pm0.10E+00	3.79 \pm 8.13E-08	0.09 \pm 1.70E+01	-0.59 \pm 1.11E+00	4.50
June	2.59 \pm 0.77E-02	5.24 \pm 0.30E-01	5.83 \pm 8.15E-08	1.55 \pm 7.70E+00	-2.78 \pm 4.24E-01	4.50
July	2.38\pm0.43E-02	1.72\pm0.11E-01	-4.70 \pm 8.12E-08	-0.57 \pm 1.19E+00	-0.08 \pm 1.14E-01	6.40
August	4.27 \pm 1.08E-02	7.44 \pm 0.41E-01	-9.29 \pm 7.70E-08	2.35 \pm 9.89E+00	-0.45 \pm 1.12E+00	4.04
September	3.49 \pm 1.10E-02	5.43 \pm 0.44E-01	6.47 \pm 8.53E-08	3.12 \pm 9.64E+00	0.13 \pm 7.43E-01	3.32
October	4.41 \pm 1.54E-02	5.43 \pm 0.48E-01	-1.24 \pm 4.98E-08	-5.89 \pm 6.42E+00	-1.17 \pm 4.60E-01	4.31
November	1.49\pm0.27E-01	1.10 \pm 0.08E+00	-5.69 \pm 8.26E-08	-0.32 \pm 1.32E+01	-0.08 \pm 1.08E+00	4.53
December	6.92 \pm 1.81E-02	7.36 \pm 0.63E-01	9.46\pm5.99E-08	0.27 \pm 1.10E+01	-1.49 \pm 8.26E-01	4.68

Note: Bolding convention applied to these data. See page D-2.

Table D-30
2002 Radioactivity (nCi/m²/month) and pH in Fallout:
Fox Valley Road (AFFXFOP)

Month	Gross Alpha	Gross Beta	H-3 ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$)	K-40	Cs-137	pH (standard units)
January	5.20 \pm 1.04E-02	5.78 \pm 0.38E-01	1.44 \pm 0.82E-07	-5.68 \pm 5.38E+00	0.54 \pm 3.77E-01	4.32
February	6.87 \pm 1.83E-02	7.28 \pm 0.59E-01	-0.99 \pm 7.92E-08	0.26 \pm 1.05E+01	1.88 \pm 5.75E-01	4.90
March	6.17 \pm 1.77E-02	4.93 \pm 0.53E-01	-0.31 \pm 8.05E-08	0.17 \pm 1.16E+01	0.96 \pm 6.90E-01	6.44
April	7.13 \pm 1.65E-02	9.60 \pm 0.60E-01	7.34 \pm 8.06E-08	-2.08 \pm 9.18E+00	4.32 \pm 9.78E-01	5.79
May	1.37 \pm 0.29E-01	1.37\pm0.09E+00	6.40 \pm 8.18E-08	-0.92 \pm 1.24E+01	5.58 \pm 6.35E-01	4.55
June	6.32 \pm 1.72E-02	8.65 \pm 0.60E-01	3.55 \pm 5.84E-08	-0.08 \pm 1.00E+01	3.02 \pm 6.22E-01	4.27
July	3.07\pm0.60E-02	3.18 \pm 0.18E-01	-2.78 \pm 8.39E-08	-0.77 \pm 2.15E+00	0.61 \pm 1.62E-01	5.11
August	1.57\pm0.23E-01	7.11 \pm 0.52E-01	3.96 \pm 5.63E-08	-0.44 \pm 1.11E+01	1.86 \pm 7.55E-01	4.56
September	3.83 \pm 1.02E-02	2.92\pm0.31E-01	1.37 \pm 8.53E-08	-1.34 \pm 3.37E+00	-0.11 \pm 3.06E-01	4.20
October	6.27 \pm 1.78E-02	3.83 \pm 0.44E-01	4.97 \pm 8.42E-08	2.50 \pm 8.57E+00	7.09\pm4.92E-01	5.62
November	1.45 \pm 0.38E-01	1.14 \pm 0.08E+00	1.12 \pm 0.88E-07	0.04 \pm 1.64E+01	-6.34 \pm 9.42E-01	6.27
December	6.24 \pm 1.59E-02	5.24 \pm 0.51E-01	2.85\pm0.87E-07	-3.21 \pm 8.76E+00	1.73 \pm 4.65E-01	5.19

Note: Bolding convention applied to these data. See page D-2.

Appendix E
Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data

The tables on pages E-7 through E-19 contain a bolding convention devised to help the reader, when viewing the data, to quickly see the range of detectable measurements within a data series. A data series is a set of chemical or radionuclide measurements (e.g., gross alpha, gross beta, tritium) from a single location or from similar locations. Note that some tables contain data that should not be technically evaluated under this convention.

Key to bolding convention:

Results for each analyte constitute a single data series. If a radiological result is larger than the uncertainty term, the measurement is considered positive. Otherwise, a result is considered nondetectable. Chemical results preceded by “less than” (<) are considered nondetectable. The bolding convention is not applied to data series consisting of less than three values.

If all results in a data series are positive, the lowest and highest values are bolded.

If a data series contains some positive results, the highest value is bolded.

If all values in a data series are nondetectable, no values are bolded.

Table E-1
Groundwater Monitoring Network: Super Solid Waste Management Units

SSWMU and Constituent SWMUs	Well ID Number ¹	Additional Analytes Measured in 2002 ²	Well ID Number ¹	Additional Analytes Measured in 2002 ²
SSWMU #1 - Low-Level Waste Treatment Facilities:				
• Former Lagoon 1	103*	(S:D) V	110*	(T:D) V
• LLWTF Lagoons	104	(S:C) SV, V	111*	(S:D) M33, S, SV, V
• LLWTF Building	105	(S:C) V	114	(T:D) p
• Interceptors	106	(S:D) V	115	(T:U) p
• Neutralization Pit	107	(T:D) V	116*	(S:U) S, V
	108	(T:D) V	8604	(S:C) V
	109	(T:D) p	8605*	(S:D) M33, S, SV, V
SSWMU #2 - Miscellaneous Small Units:				
• Sludge Ponds	201	(S:U) V	206	(TS:D)
• Solvent Dike	202	(TS:U) p	207	(S:D) p
• Equalization Mixing Basin	203	(S:D) p	208	(TS:D) V
• Paper Incinerator	204*	(TS:D)	8606	(S:D) p
	205	(S:D)		
SSWMU #3 - Liquid Waste Treatment System:				
• Liquid Waste Treatment System	301*	(S:B)	307	(S:D) p
• Cement Solidification System	302	(TS:U)		
• Main Process Building (specific areas)	305	(S:D) p		

¹ Hydrogeologic unit monitored and well position in SSWMU follow the well ID in parentheses. Hydrogeologic units monitored are: WT (weathered Lavery till); T (unweathered Lavery till); S (sand and gravel); K (Kent recessional sequence); TS (till-sand). Well position in SSWMU: U (upgradient); D (downgradient); B (background); C (crossgradient). Example: 401* (S:B) monitors background conditions in the sand and gravel unit.

² See Table 4-1 (p. 4-6) for a description of codes and analytes. The parameters listed in this table, Table E-1, are in addition to the contamination indicator parameters (I) and radiological indicator parameters (RI) routinely scheduled at all monitoring locations for 2002. Wells measured for potentiometric (water-level) data only are designated by "p."

* Monitoring for certain parameters is required by the RCRA §3008(h) Order on Consent. (See p. ECS-4.)

Table E-1 (continued)
Groundwater Monitoring Network: Super Solid Waste Management Units

SSWMUS and Constituent SWMUs	Well ID Number ¹	Additional Analytes Measured in 2002 ²	Well ID Number ¹	Additional Analytes Measured in 2002 ²
SSWMU #4 - HLW Storage and Processing Area:				
• Vitrification Facility	401* (S:B)	R	407 (K:D)	<i>p</i>
• Vitrification Test Tanks	402 (TS:U)		408* (S:D)	R, V
• HLW Tanks	403 (S:U)	V	409 (T:D)	
• Supernatant Treatment System	404 (TS:U)	<i>p</i>	410 (K:U)	<i>p</i>
	405 (T:C)		411 (K:U)	<i>p</i>
	406* (S:D)	R, V		
SSWMU #5 - Maintenance Shop Leach Field:				
• Maintenance Shop Leach Field	501* (S:U)	S, V	502* (S:D)	S, SM, V
SSWMU #6 - Low-level Waste Storage Area:				
• Hardstands (old and new)	601 (S:D)	<i>p</i>	605 (S:D)	S
• Lag Storage	602A (S:D)	S	8607* (S:U)	V
• Lag Storage Additions	603 (S:U)	<i>p</i>	8608 (S:U)	<i>p</i>
(LSAs 1, 2, 3, 4)	604 (S:D)		8609* (S:U)	S, V
SSWMU #7 - Chemical Process Cell (CPC) Waste Storage Area:				
• CPC Waste Storage Area	701 (TS:U)	<i>p</i>	705 (T:C)	<i>p</i>
	702 (T:C)	<i>p</i>	706* (S:B)	
	703 (T:D)	<i>p</i>	707 (T:D)	
	704 (T:D)	V		

¹ Hydrogeologic unit monitored and well position in SSWMU follow the well ID in parentheses. Hydrogeologic units monitored are: WT (weathered Lavery till); T (unweathered Lavery till); S (sand and gravel); K (Kent recessional sequence); TS (till-sand). Well position in SSWMU: U (upgradient); D (downgradient); B (background); C (crossgradient). Example: 401* (S:B) monitors background conditions in the sand and gravel unit.

² See Table 4-1 (p. 4-6) for a description of codes and analytes. The parameters listed in this table, Table E-1, are in addition to the contamination indicator parameters (I) and radiological indicator parameters (RI) routinely scheduled at all monitoring locations for 2002. Wells measured for potentiometric (water-level) data only are designated by "p."

* Monitoring for certain parameters is required by the RCRA §3008(h) Order on Consent. (See p. ECS-4.)

Table E-1 (continued)
Groundwater Monitoring Network: Super Solid Waste Management Units

SSWMUS and Constituent SWMUs	Well ID Number ¹	Additional Analytes Measured in 2002 ²	Well ID Number ¹	Additional Analytes Measured in 2002 ²
SSWMU #8 - Construction and Demolition Debris Landfill:				
• Former Construction and Demolition Debris Landfill	801*	(S:U)	S, V	804* (S:D) V
	802	(S:D)	V	8603 (S:U) S
	803	(S:D)	SV, V	8612* (S:D) SV, V
SSWMU #9 - NRC-licensed Disposal Area:				
• NRC-licensed Disposal Area • Container Storage Area • Trench Interceptor Project	901*	(K:U)		908* (WT:U)
	902*	(K:U)		909* (WT:D) M33, R, SV, V
	903*	(K:D)		910* (T:D)
	904	(T:D)	<i>p</i>	8610* (K:D)
	905	(S:D)	<i>p</i>	8611* (K:D)
	906*	(WT:D)		NDATR* (Inter- ceptor Trench Manhole Sump: D) M33, R, SV, V
	907	(WT:D)	<i>p</i>	
SSWMU #10 - IRTS Drum Cell:				
• IRTS Drum Cell • Background (south plateau)	1001	(K:U)	<i>p</i>	1006* (WT:D)
	1002	(K:D)	<i>p</i>	1007 (WT:D)
	1003	(K:D)	<i>p</i>	1008B (K:B)
	1004	(K:D)	<i>p</i>	1008C* (WT:B)
	1005*	(WT:U)		

¹ Hydrogeologic unit monitored and well position in SSWMU follow the well ID in parentheses. Hydrogeologic units monitored are: WT (weathered Lavery till); T (unweathered Lavery till); S (sand and gravel); K (Kent recessional sequence); TS (till-sand). Well position in SSWMU: U (upgradient); D (downgradient); B (background); C (crossgradient). Example: 401* (S:B) monitors background conditions in the sand and gravel unit.

² See Table 4-1 (p. 4-6) for a description of codes and analytes. The parameters listed in this table, Table E-1, are in addition to the contamination indicator parameters (I) and radiological indicator parameters (RI) routinely scheduled at all monitoring locations for 2002. Wells measured for potentiometric (water-level) data only are designated by "p."

* Monitoring for certain parameters is required by the RCRA §3008(h) Order on Consent. (See p. ECS-4.)

Table E-1 (concluded)
Groundwater Monitoring Network: Super Solid Waste Management Units

SSWMUS and Constituent SWMUs	Well ID Number ¹	Additional Analytes Measured in 2002 ²	Well ID Number ¹	Additional Analytes Measured in 2002 ²
Main Plant Area Well Points:				
<i>(Monitor groundwater at various locations north and east of the main plant. Not in a SSWMU.)</i>	WP-A	(S)		
	WP-C	(S)		
	WP-H	(S)		
Former Sand and Gravel Background:				
<i>(Well originally used for background; replaced by a combination of wells 301, 401, and 706. Not in a SSWMU.)</i>	NB1S	(S:B)		
North Plateau Groundwater Seeps:				
<i>(Monitor groundwater emanating from seeps along the north pleateau edge. Not in a SSWMU.)</i>	SP04	(S)	RI	SP12* I, RI, V
	SP06	(S)	RI	GSEEP* I, RI, V
	SP11	(S)	RI	

Note: The SDA is sampled by NYSERDA under an independent monitoring program.

SSWMU #11 -

State-licensed Disposal Area (SDA)

• State-licensed Disposal Area (NYSERDA)	1101A	(WT:U)		1105A	(WT:D)
	1101B	(T:U)		1105B	(T:D)
	1101C	(K:U)		1106A	(WT:U)
	1102A	(WT:D)		1106B	(T:U)
	1102B	(T:D)		1107A	(WT:D)
	1103A	(WT:D)		1108A	(WT:U)
	1103B	(T:D)		1109A	(WT:U)
	1103C	(K:D)		1109B	(T:U)
	1104A	(WT:D)		1110A	(WT:D)
	1104B	(T:D)		1111A	(WT:D)
	1104C	(K:D)			

¹ Hydrogeologic unit monitored and well position in SSWMU follow the well ID in parentheses. Hydrogeologic units monitored are: WT (weathered Lavery till); T (unweathered Lavery till); S (sand and gravel); K (Kent recessional sequence); TS (till-sand). Well position in SSWMU: U (upgradient); D (downgradient); B (background); C (crossgradient). Example: 401* (S:B) monitors background conditions in the sand and gravel unit.

² See Table 4-1 (p. 4-6) for a description of codes and analytes. The parameters listed in this table, Table E-1, are in addition to the contamination indicator parameters (I) and radiological indicator parameters (RI) routinely scheduled at all monitoring locations for 2002. Wells measured for potentiometric (water-level) data only are designated by "p."

* Monitoring for certain parameters is required by the RCRA §3008(h) Order on Consent. (See p. ECS-4.)

Table E-2
2002 Contamination Indicator and Radiological Indicator Results
From the Sand and Gravel Unit

Location Code	Hydraulic Position	pH (standard units)	Conductivity ($\mu\text{mhos/cm}@25^\circ\text{C}$)	Gross Alpha ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$)	Gross Beta ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$)	Tritium ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$)
301	UP(1)	6.62	1,732	-0.40 \pm 2.70E-09	1.59\pm0.45E-08	1.17\pm0.82E-07
301	UP(2)	7.07	1,168	0.31 \pm 2.52E-09	1.16 \pm 0.41E-08	-2.82 \pm 8.40E-08
301	UP(3)	6.37	1,066	-1.10 \pm 2.39E-09	7.41\pm2.80E-09	9.49 \pm 7.84E-08
301	UP(4)	6.72	1,920	-0.29 \pm 4.35E-09	1.33 \pm 0.40E-08	8.49 \pm 8.18E-08
401	UP(1)	6.65	2,835	1.08 \pm 5.11E-09	5.42 \pm 7.05E-09	6.78 \pm 8.15E-08
401	UP(2)	6.70	3,490	-8.46 \pm 6.72E-09	4.45 \pm 7.23E-09	-9.71 \pm 8.24E-08
401	UP(3)	6.74	4,835	1.17\pm0.93E-08	4.03 \pm 7.38E-09	8.28\pm7.89E-08
401	UP(4)	6.44	3,660	2.69 \pm 8.48E-09	1.07\pm0.69E-08	4.35 \pm 5.78E-08
403	UP(1)	6.95	922	0.41 \pm 1.95E-09	9.83\pm3.98E-09	5.34 \pm 8.13E-08
403	UP(2)	6.99	1,054	-0.35 \pm 1.81E-09	8.81 \pm 2.75E-09	-6.30 \pm 8.35E-08
403	UP(3)	7.48	834	-0.90 \pm 1.61E-09	4.13\pm2.51E-09	1.53 \pm 0.79E-07
403	UP(4)	7.12	1,076	1.48 \pm 2.86E-09	6.13 \pm 2.83E-09	1.74\pm0.79E-07
706	UP(1)	6.74	823	0.36 \pm 1.40E-09	1.42 \pm 0.28E-08	1.54 \pm 0.58E-07
706	UP(2)	6.88	1,013	0.84 \pm 2.10E-09	1.53\pm0.25E-08	-1.13 \pm 0.79E-07
706	UP(3)	7.28	950	0.42 \pm 2.09E-09	1.29 \pm 0.25E-08	2.63\pm0.83E-07
706	UP(4)	6.76	817	2.99\pm1.64E-09	1.22\pm0.18E-08	2.85 \pm 5.50E-08
NB1S	UP(1)	6.76	846	0.60 \pm 1.42E-09	6.94\pm2.07E-09	1.93\pm0.83E-07
NB1S	UP(2)	7.23	471	-0.46 \pm 1.02E-09	1.19 \pm 1.68E-09	2.67 \pm 8.06E-08
NB1S	UP(3)	7.26	645	-0.85 \pm 1.24E-09	0.44 \pm 1.76E-09	-3.81 \pm 8.17E-08
NB1S	UP(4)	7.01	714	1.04 \pm 1.63E-09	4.73 \pm 2.06E-09	1.27 \pm 0.56E-07
201	DOWN(1)	6.34	1,222	0.82 \pm 1.44E-09	3.56\pm0.28E-08	1.82\pm0.81E-07
201	DOWN(2)	6.27	2,670	0.88 \pm 3.28E-09	7.85\pm0.58E-08	-2.28 \pm 8.25E-08
201	DOWN(3)	6.32	2,255	-0.36 \pm 5.15E-09	6.15 \pm 0.77E-08	5.48 \pm 8.19E-08
201	DOWN(4)	6.65	2,175	-3.44 \pm 6.08E-09	4.26 \pm 0.66E-08	6.87 \pm 8.18E-08
103	DOWN(1)	8.08	3,220	6.15\pm5.26E-09	9.75\pm1.04E-08	1.70\pm0.82E-07
103	DOWN(2)	7.96	6,880	3.55 \pm 7.98E-09	3.06\pm0.13E-07	7.08 \pm 8.35E-08
103	DOWN(3)	7.92	6,535	0.58 \pm 1.11E-08	2.92 \pm 0.18E-07	1.52 \pm 0.81E-07
103	DOWN(4)	7.81	4,585	-0.21 \pm 1.03E-08	1.42 \pm 0.13E-07	4.92 \pm 8.06E-08
104	DOWN(1)	6.89	1,792	-1.01 \pm 1.02E-08	6.28 \pm 0.02E-05	4.54\pm0.86E-07
104	DOWN(2)	6.54	1,777	4.24\pm2.09E-09	5.58\pm0.01E-05	4.19 \pm 0.63E-07
104	DOWN(3)	6.69	1,832	2.34 \pm 2.91E-09	6.35\pm0.01E-05	4.42 \pm 0.87E-07
104	DOWN(4)	7.00	1,814	-1.86 \pm 3.19E-09	6.29 \pm 0.01E-05	3.63\pm0.63E-07
111	DOWN(1)	6.47	782	0.30 \pm 1.00E-08	5.58 \pm 0.09E-06	1.93 \pm 0.83E-07
111	DOWN(2)	6.66	602	1.98 \pm 1.49E-09	3.46 \pm 0.04E-06	6.96 \pm 8.28E-08
111	DOWN(3)	6.44	567	2.56\pm2.09E-09	3.16\pm0.04E-06	2.32\pm0.84E-07
111	DOWN(4)	6.75	1,032	0.19 \pm 3.15E-09	8.26\pm0.06E-06	2.22 \pm 0.88E-07

Sample collection quarter is noted in parentheses next to hydraulic position. Hydraulic position is relative to other wells within the same hydrogeologic unit.

Note: Bolding convention applied to these data. (See p. E-2.)

Table E-2 (continued)
2002 Contamination Indicator and Radiological Indicator Results
From the Sand and Gravel Unit

Location Code	Hydraulic Position	pH (standard units)	Conductivity ($\mu\text{mhos/cm}@25^{\circ}\text{C}$)	Gross Alpha ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$)	Gross Beta ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$)	Tritium ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$)
205	DOWN(1)	6.65	4,700	3.88 \pm 4.68E-09	2.86\pm0.59E-08	1.86\pm0.83E-07
205	DOWN(2)	7.39	3,215	0.00 \pm 5.73E-09	1.07\pm0.74E-08	-6.03 \pm 8.15E-08
205	DOWN(3)	7.10	2,340	3.27 \pm 5.31E-09	1.57 \pm 0.56E-08	8.56 \pm 7.86E-08
205	DOWN(4)	7.00	3,490	4.39 \pm 5.82E-09	2.42 \pm 0.62E-08	7.47 \pm 7.89E-08
406	DOWN(1)	6.74	1,460	-0.91 \pm 2.40E-09	7.31\pm4.88E-09	1.77 \pm 0.81E-07
406	DOWN(2)	6.67	1,563	-0.90 \pm 3.05E-09	8.13 \pm 2.84E-09	1.38\pm0.82E-07
406	DOWN(3)	6.71	1,829	4.03\pm3.56E-09	1.06\pm0.32E-08	2.05\pm0.80E-07
406	DOWN(4)	6.78	1,070	-1.94 \pm 3.02E-09	1.02 \pm 0.37E-08	1.42 \pm 0.87E-07
408	DOWN(1)	6.92	2,330	1.68 \pm 2.59E-09	5.43 \pm 0.01E-04	1.34 \pm 0.87E-07
408	DOWN(2)	6.75	2,250	0.44 \pm 1.68E-09	5.72\pm0.01E-04	2.97\pm1.07E-07
408	DOWN(3)	6.59	2,540	2.55 \pm 4.12E-09	5.48 \pm 0.01E-04	1.09\pm1.07E-07
408	DOWN(4)	6.78	2,400	0.90 \pm 4.77E-09	4.55\pm0.01E-04	1.19 \pm 1.17E-07
501	DOWN(1)	7.17	2,150	-0.99 \pm 1.71E-08	2.86\pm0.01E-04	1.84\pm0.81E-07
501	DOWN(2)	7.39	1,872	1.13 \pm 2.59E-09	2.48\pm0.01E-04	4.90 \pm 8.39E-08
501	DOWN(3)	6.67	2,040	3.62 \pm 4.52E-09	2.58 \pm 0.01E-04	9.90 \pm 8.32E-08
501	DOWN(4)	6.89	2,190	-2.13 \pm 4.11E-09	2.61 \pm 0.01E-04	1.02 \pm 0.86E-07
502	DOWN(1)	7.09	2,025	-0.97 \pm 1.67E-08	2.26\pm0.01E-04	2.52\pm0.82E-07
502	DOWN(2)	7.41	1,943	3.43\pm3.07E-09	2.18 \pm 0.01E-04	6.65 \pm 8.08E-08
502	DOWN(3)	6.86	1,992	0.41 \pm 4.02E-09	2.08\pm0.01E-04	1.17 \pm 0.82E-07
502	DOWN(4)	6.90	2,045	3.92 \pm 5.45E-09	2.18 \pm 0.01E-04	1.24 \pm 0.86E-07
602A	DOWN(1)	6.80	598	0.76 \pm 1.27E-09	1.38 \pm 0.25E-08	4.34\pm0.86E-07
602A	DOWN(2)	6.91	580	0.95 \pm 1.37E-09	1.33 \pm 0.24E-08	6.00 \pm 8.35E-08
602A	DOWN(3)	7.19	491	0.12 \pm 1.78E-09	9.53\pm2.85E-09	2.08 \pm 0.79E-07
602A	DOWN(4)	6.90	538	0.67 \pm 1.69E-09	3.46\pm0.39E-08	3.61 \pm 0.79E-07
604	DOWN(1)	6.36	1,032	0.44 \pm 1.24E-09	4.51\pm1.82E-09	6.96 \pm 7.95E-08
604	DOWN(2)	6.44	786	-1.46 \pm 1.85E-09	5.87 \pm 3.58E-09	1.62 \pm 8.06E-08
604	DOWN(3)	6.22	915	1.07 \pm 1.49E-09	5.53 \pm 1.84E-09	2.07\pm0.80E-07
604	DOWN(4)	6.20	1,187	0.44 \pm 3.41E-09	7.17\pm2.58E-09	8.35 \pm 8.12E-08
8605	DOWN(1)	6.82	1,456	0.70 \pm 1.66E-08	1.24\pm0.01E-05	5.28 \pm 0.87E-07
8605	DOWN(2)	6.68	1,044	1.35\pm0.20E-08	1.24\pm0.01E-05	6.46\pm0.88E-07
8605	DOWN(3)	6.71	1,366	8.07 \pm 3.72E-09	1.01 \pm 0.01E-05	5.65 \pm 0.62E-07
8605	DOWN(4)	6.50	2,310	9.18 \pm 6.05E-09	7.39\pm0.06E-06	1.17\pm0.86E-07
8607	DOWN(1)	6.33	1,026	1.18 \pm 1.95E-09	2.32\pm0.45E-08	1.71\pm0.58E-07
8607	DOWN(2)	6.48	2,002	0.89 \pm 4.33E-09	4.44\pm0.53E-08	-4.99 \pm 8.66E-08
8607	DOWN(3)	6.70	1,042	-1.30 \pm 1.63E-09	3.14 \pm 0.35E-08	1.07 \pm 0.80E-07
8607	DOWN(4)	6.65	1,098	1.77 \pm 2.54E-09	2.57 \pm 0.37E-08	1.53 \pm 0.78E-07

Sample collection quarter is noted in parentheses next to hydraulic position. Hydraulic position is relative to other wells within the same hydrogeologic unit.

Note: Bolding convention applied to these data. (See p. E-2.)

Table E-2 (continued)
2002 Contamination Indicator and Radiological Indicator Results
From the Sand and Gravel Unit

Location Code	Hydraulic Position	pH (standard units)	Conductivity ($\mu\text{mhos/cm}@25^{\circ}\text{C}$)	Gross Alpha ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$)	Gross Beta ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$)	Tritium ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$)
8609	DOWN(1)	7.01	1,744	-1.05 \pm 1.07E-08	1.52\pm0.04E-06	5.64\pm0.87E-07
8609	DOWN(2)	6.62	1,807	3.84\pm3.04E-09	1.10\pm0.02E-06	5.00 \pm 0.87E-07
8609	DOWN(3)	6.89	1,840	0.13 \pm 2.92E-09	1.30 \pm 0.02E-06	4.87 \pm 0.87E-07
8609	DOWN(4)	7.14	1,927	-0.88 \pm 4.79E-09	1.50 \pm 0.03E-06	4.77\pm0.91E-07
105	DOWN(1)	7.02	1,852	-0.30 \pm 1.75E-08	7.11\pm0.11E-06	4.34\pm0.85E-07
105	DOWN(2)	6.66	1,975	3.40\pm2.56E-09	1.22 \pm 0.01E-05	2.55\pm0.85E-07
105	DOWN(3)	6.54	1,928	3.57 \pm 4.46E-09	2.15 \pm 0.01E-05	3.52 \pm 0.85E-07
105	DOWN(4)	7.23	1,940	-1.78 \pm 4.71E-09	3.26\pm0.01E-05	4.24 \pm 0.92E-07
106	DOWN(1)	6.68	1,454	0.46 \pm 2.46E-09	7.40\pm3.72E-09	1.58\pm0.11E-06
106	DOWN(2)	6.76	1,743	0.23 \pm 3.70E-09	1.19 \pm 0.41E-08	7.39 \pm 0.65E-07
106	DOWN(3)	6.76	1,562	0.88 \pm 2.66E-09	1.40\pm0.30E-08	6.02\pm0.85E-07
106	DOWN(4)	6.72	1,674	1.61 \pm 3.12E-09	1.25 \pm 0.32E-08	1.09 \pm 0.09E-06
116	DOWN(1)	7.12	1,275	3.23 \pm 3.61E-09	2.14 \pm 0.15E-07	4.66\pm0.86E-07
116	DOWN(2)	7.21	3,050	0.32 \pm 5.18E-09	3.36 \pm 0.13E-07	9.27\pm7.05E-08
116	DOWN(3)	7.34	1,195	-1.97 \pm 3.27E-09	1.62\pm0.11E-07	2.05 \pm 0.56E-07
116	DOWN(4)	6.74	1,902	-3.93 \pm 6.10E-09	1.05\pm0.03E-06	3.70 \pm 0.85E-07
605	DOWN(1)	6.86	932	1.83 \pm 1.85E-09	4.92 \pm 0.38E-08	7.68 \pm 8.00E-08
605	DOWN(2)	6.84	883	-1.64 \pm 1.54E-09	7.26\pm0.43E-08	1.61 \pm 8.02E-08
605	DOWN(3)	7.39	706	-1.50 \pm 1.58E-09	4.97 \pm 0.37E-08	1.40\pm0.79E-07
605	DOWN(4)	6.95	906	-0.28 \pm 2.09E-09	2.76\pm0.29E-08	3.98 \pm 8.08E-08
801	DOWN(1)	6.66	1,358	-0.44 \pm 1.23E-08	7.62 \pm 0.12E-06	1.31\pm0.80E-07
801	DOWN(2)	6.20	1,839	3.23\pm2.88E-09	1.00\pm0.01E-05	6.61 \pm 8.09E-08
801	DOWN(3)	6.59	1,545	0.00 \pm 3.32E-09	6.72\pm0.06E-06	7.78 \pm 8.18E-08
801	DOWN(4)	6.73	1,490	-1.18 \pm 3.77E-09	7.16 \pm 0.06E-06	6.28 \pm 6.12E-08
802	DOWN(1)	6.80	1,160	1.27 \pm 2.10E-09	1.78 \pm 0.33E-08	2.31 \pm 0.82E-07
802	DOWN(2)	6.64	236	0.41 \pm 1.12E-09	4.63\pm2.43E-09	-7.71 \pm 7.98E-08
802	DOWN(3)	6.64	232	-0.37 \pm 1.02E-09	5.14 \pm 2.36E-09	7.06 \pm 7.99E-08
802	DOWN(4)	6.72	1,128	2.15\pm1.67E-09	1.83\pm0.24E-08	2.41\pm0.80E-07
803	DOWN(1)	6.84	1,394	1.76 \pm 1.93E-09	1.55 \pm 0.31E-08	2.35\pm0.82E-07
803	DOWN(2)	6.82	1,405	0.91 \pm 3.01E-09	1.33\pm0.41E-08	2.15 \pm 0.87E-07
803	DOWN(3)	7.19	1,350	1.41 \pm 3.53E-09	1.92\pm0.44E-08	1.93\pm0.83E-07
803	DOWN(4)	7.00	1,401	2.54 \pm 3.85E-09	1.64 \pm 0.45E-08	2.35 \pm 0.81E-07
804	DOWN(1)	6.85	710	0.37 \pm 1.25E-09	2.53 \pm 0.08E-07	6.92 \pm 7.05E-08
804	DOWN(2)	6.73	1,764	0.68 \pm 2.24E-09	5.17\pm0.11E-07	1.32 \pm 0.83E-07
804	DOWN(3)	6.91	1,092	-1.06 \pm 2.40E-09	2.42 \pm 0.11E-07	1.66 \pm 0.57E-07
804	DOWN(4)	6.92	849	1.10 \pm 1.71E-09	1.90\pm0.07E-07	1.91\pm0.80E-07

Sample collection quarter is noted in parentheses next to hydraulic position. Hydraulic position is relative to other wells within the same hydrogeologic unit.

Note: Bolding convention applied to these data. (See p. E-2.)

Table E-2 (concluded)
2002 Contamination Indicator and Radiological Indicator Results
From the Sand and Gravel Unit

Location Code	Hydraulic Position	pH (standard units)	Conductivity ($\mu\text{mhos/cm}@25^\circ\text{C}$)	Gross Alpha ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$)	Gross Beta ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$)	Tritium ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$)
8603	DOWN(1)	6.99	1,935	-0.60 \pm 1.66E-08	2.59\pm0.02E-05	1.83\pm0.85E-07
8603	DOWN(2)	6.91	1,976	1.59 \pm 2.32E-09	5.66 \pm 0.01E-05	2.52 \pm 0.84E-07
8603	DOWN(3)	6.70	1,914	0.26 \pm 3.80E-09	5.68\pm0.02E-05	4.44\pm0.86E-07
8603	DOWN(4)	6.77	2,005	1.74 \pm 5.02E-09	5.68\pm0.02E-05	3.33 \pm 0.89E-07
8604	DOWN(1)	7.08	1,902	-0.02 \pm 1.86E-08	3.35 \pm 0.02E-05	2.38\pm0.84E-07
8604	DOWN(2)	6.66	1,716	1.82 \pm 2.54E-09	3.30\pm0.01E-05	4.03 \pm 0.85E-07
8604	DOWN(3)	6.61	1,820	1.16 \pm 3.55E-09	3.37 \pm 0.01E-05	5.00\pm0.87E-07
8604	DOWN(4)	7.21	1,848	-2.39 \pm 4.12E-09	3.61\pm0.01E-05	2.86 \pm 0.88E-07
8612	DOWN(1)	7.25	1,278	0.83 \pm 2.35E-09	5.01\pm3.66E-09	5.18 \pm 0.88E-07
8612	DOWN(2)	7.07	1,356	-1.10 \pm 2.54E-09	1.33 \pm 3.27E-09	6.13\pm0.88E-07
8612	DOWN(3)	7.27	1,310	-1.69 \pm 2.81E-09	4.69 \pm 3.58E-09	4.45\pm0.86E-07
8612	DOWN(4)	6.91	1,312	1.77 \pm 3.41E-09	2.22 \pm 3.61E-09	5.16 \pm 0.85E-07

Sample collection quarter is noted in parentheses next to hydraulic position. Hydraulic position is relative to other wells within the same hydrogeologic unit.

Note: Bolding convention applied to these data. (See p. E-2.)

Table E-3
2002 Contamination Indicator and Radiological Indicator Results
From the Lavery Till-Sand Unit

Location Code	Hydraulic Position	pH (standard units)	Conductivity ($\mu\text{mhos/cm}@25^{\circ}\text{C}$)	Gross Alpha ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$)	Gross Beta ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$)	Tritium ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$)
302	UP(1)	6.83	2,695	1.45 \pm 4.22E-09	4.49 \pm 4.88E-09	1.49\pm0.58E-07
302	UP(2)	7.05	2,820	-4.05 \pm 4.09E-09	2.33 \pm 4.68E-09	4.18 \pm 8.38E-08
302	UP(3)	6.89	2,835	-1.70 \pm 4.70E-09	7.41 \pm 5.10E-09	1.22 \pm 0.79E-07
302	UP(4)	7.01	3,145	-5.15 \pm 6.15E-09	1.24\pm0.50E-08	1.11 \pm 8.07E-08
402	UP(1)	7.13	2,230	2.21 \pm 3.70E-09	1.21 \pm 4.56E-09	7.83 \pm 8.25E-08
402	UP(2)	7.12	2,175	-5.59 \pm 5.26E-09	1.58 \pm 4.80E-09	-0.11 \pm 5.74E-08
402	UP(3)	7.13	2,010	0.00 \pm 5.00E-09	1.32 \pm 6.72E-09	1.24\pm0.81E-07
402	UP(4)	7.10	2,305	-1.37 \pm 6.75E-09	5.71\pm4.71E-09	1.14 \pm 0.82E-07
204	DOWN(1)	7.45	1,198	1.14 \pm 2.17E-09	-0.55 \pm 3.21E-09	1.55\pm0.82E-07
204	DOWN(2)	7.34	1,184	-1.53 \pm 2.24E-09	1.50 \pm 2.29E-09	-4.77 \pm 8.24E-08
204	DOWN(3)	7.52	1,168	-1.74 \pm 2.89E-09	1.34 \pm 3.61E-09	8.92 \pm 8.14E-08
204	DOWN(4)	7.18	1,223	0.24 \pm 3.44E-09	2.40 \pm 3.78E-09	4.28 \pm 7.61E-08
206	DOWN(1)	7.46	1,088	2.38 \pm 2.04E-09	0.77 \pm 2.33E-09	6.03 \pm 8.16E-08
206	DOWN(2)	7.40	1,122	0.00 \pm 2.15E-09	1.79 \pm 2.39E-09	0.03 \pm 8.35E-08
206	DOWN(3)	7.52	1,120	1.72 \pm 2.80E-09	1.11 \pm 2.45E-09	6.07\pm5.53E-08
206	DOWN(4)	7.24	1,150	5.02\pm2.76E-09	2.39 \pm 2.66E-09	5.37 \pm 5.36E-08
208	DOWN(1)	7.91	288	1.19\pm0.81E-09	1.43 \pm 1.14E-09	1.18\pm0.81E-07
208	DOWN(2)	7.86	287	-4.60 \pm 7.46E-10	2.02\pm1.21E-09	-8.79 \pm 8.20E-08
208	DOWN(3)	7.86	284	1.80 \pm 8.90E-10	0.30 \pm 1.18E-09	3.70 \pm 7.72E-08
208	DOWN(4)	7.63	296	0.50 \pm 1.01E-09	2.00 \pm 1.14E-09	5.50 \pm 5.71E-08

Sample collection quarter is noted in parentheses next to hydraulic position. Hydraulic position is relative to other wells within the same hydrogeologic unit.

Note: Bolding convention applied to these data. (See p. E-2.)

Table E-4
2002 Contamination Indicator and Radiological Indicator Results
From the Weathered Lavery Till Unit

Location Code	Hydraulic Position	pH (standard units)	Conductivity ($\mu\text{mhos/cm}@25^{\circ}\text{C}$)	Gross Alpha ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$)	Gross Beta ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$)	Tritium ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$)
908	UP(1)	6.90	2,335	3.04 \pm 5.08E-09	2.13 \pm 0.78E-08	5.17 \pm 8.00E-08
908	UP(3)	6.80	2,950	4.83 \pm 6.42E-09	1.23 \pm 0.76E-08	1.75 \pm 0.81E-07
1005	UP(1)	7.24	856	1.66 \pm 1.98E-09	1.20 \pm 2.29E-09	1.55 \pm 0.82E-07
1005	UP(3)	7.21	824	1.10 \pm 2.40E-09	4.76 \pm 2.50E-09	2.00 \pm 0.82E-07
1008C	UP(1)	7.51	632	0.33 \pm 1.29E-09	0.00 \pm 1.65E-09	1.05 \pm 0.82E-07
1008C	UP(3)	7.69	619	0.36 \pm 1.76E-09	3.03 \pm 1.85E-09	6.29 \pm 8.00E-08
906	DOWN(1)	7.36	445	2.81 \pm 1.32E-09	4.79 \pm 1.61E-09	4.92 \pm 8.24E-08
906	DOWN(3)	7.46	516	2.10 \pm 1.63E-09	1.40 \pm 0.24E-08	3.56 \pm 8.07E-08
1006	DOWN(1)	6.92	2,110	8.20 \pm 4.98E-09	9.66 \pm 6.42E-09	1.67 \pm 0.82E-07
1006	DOWN(3)	6.94	2,030	9.72 \pm 6.36E-09	2.92 \pm 4.80E-09	1.03 \pm 0.81E-07
1007	DOWN(1)	7.11	1,299	5.08 \pm 3.32E-09	5.20 \pm 4.71E-09	1.73 \pm 0.83E-07
1007	DOWN(3)	6.89	1,348	2.65 \pm 3.86E-09	0.82 \pm 3.57E-09	-1.34 \pm 8.24E-08
NDATR	DOWN(1)	7.40	902	2.98\pm2.25E-09	1.44\pm0.07E-07	2.94 \pm 0.14E-06
NDATR	DOWN(2)	7.40	733	0.19 \pm 1.56E-09	9.65\pm0.57E-08	1.32\pm0.10E-06
NDATR	DOWN(3)	7.47	941	1.96 \pm 1.71E-09	1.05 \pm 0.04E-07	4.73 \pm 0.14E-06
NDATR	DOWN(4)	7.38	1,071	3.04 \pm 3.44E-09	1.24 \pm 0.06E-07	4.82\pm0.20E-06
909	DOWN(1)	6.73	1,345	0.00 \pm 2.57E-09	3.92\pm0.14E-07	9.27\pm0.65E-07
909	DOWN(2)	7.12	1,313	-0.59 \pm 3.08E-09	4.58\pm0.16E-07	7.39\pm0.90E-07
909	DOWN(3)	6.53	1,340	1.31 \pm 3.93E-09	4.22 \pm 0.16E-07	7.60 \pm 1.03E-07

Sample collection quarter is noted in parentheses next to hydraulic position. Hydraulic position is relative to other wells within the same hydrogeologic unit.

Note: Bolding convention applied to these data. (See p. E-2.)

Table E-5
2002 Contamination Indicator and Radiological Indicator Results
From the Unweathered Lavery Till Unit

Location Code	Hydraulic Position	pH (standard units)	Conductivity ($\mu\text{mhos/cm}@25^{\circ}\text{C}$)	Gross Alpha ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$)	Gross Beta ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$)	Tritium ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$)
405	UP(1)	6.91	2,090	1.94 \pm 3.62E-09	1.15\pm0.53E-08	9.29\pm7.94E-08
405	UP(2)	7.44	1,468	0.26 \pm 2.26E-09	5.33 \pm 2.68E-09	-2.26 \pm 5.65E-08
405	UP(3)	7.62	1,414	1.45 \pm 3.65E-09	4.78\pm3.80E-09	1.30 \pm 0.79E-07
405	UP(4)	7.11	1,442	3.44 \pm 3.73E-09	8.75 \pm 4.14E-09	1.65 \pm 0.80E-07
110	DOWN(1)	7.43	578	1.19 \pm 1.39E-09	3.60 \pm 1.58E-09	1.31 \pm 0.10E-06
110	DOWN(2)	7.57	566	-0.32 \pm 1.46E-09	0.79 \pm 1.72E-09	1.26 \pm 0.10E-06
110	DOWN(3)	7.45	563	-0.21 \pm 1.48E-09	-0.11 \pm 1.77E-09	1.30\pm0.10E-06
110	DOWN(4)	7.57	574	2.04 \pm 2.06E-09	3.74\pm1.79E-09	1.34\pm0.10E-06
704	DOWN(1)	6.64	1,072	1.33 \pm 1.96E-09	1.13\pm0.29E-08	3.31 \pm 7.89E-08
704	DOWN(2)	6.75	875	-0.53 \pm 1.46E-09	8.83\pm1.94E-09	-3.39 \pm 8.02E-08
704	DOWN(3)	6.59	912	0.57 \pm 2.33E-09	9.37 \pm 2.86E-09	2.16\pm0.80E-07
704	DOWN(4)	6.61	1,024	-2.40 \pm 2.71E-09	1.12 \pm 0.28E-08	1.62 \pm 8.13E-08
707	DOWN(1)	6.72	836	0.57 \pm 1.35E-09	4.30 \pm 1.91E-09	9.40\pm8.17E-08
707	DOWN(2)	6.46	578	-1.20 \pm 1.03E-09	4.90\pm1.92E-09	-5.74 \pm 7.94E-08
707	DOWN(3)	6.51	481	-0.09 \pm 1.22E-09	4.89 \pm 2.01E-09	-3.18 \pm 8.19E-08
707	DOWN(4)	6.68	777	0.90 \pm 1.34E-09	3.52\pm1.34E-09	0.84 \pm 8.16E-08
107	DOWN(1)	7.21	733	0.99 \pm 1.16E-09	6.07 \pm 1.44E-09	6.50\pm0.88E-07
107	DOWN(2)	7.32	684	0.07 \pm 1.20E-09	6.04 \pm 1.45E-09	4.18 \pm 0.87E-07
107	DOWN(3)	7.22	706	0.06 \pm 1.29E-09	4.13\pm1.46E-09	4.31 \pm 0.82E-07
107	DOWN(4)	7.36	792	1.19 \pm 2.41E-09	7.31\pm2.03E-09	3.75\pm0.84E-07
108	DOWN(1)	7.32	591	2.16\pm1.37E-09	2.36 \pm 1.47E-09	1.45 \pm 0.82E-07
108	DOWN(2)	7.49	600	-0.21 \pm 1.42E-09	3.48 \pm 1.88E-09	-3.72 \pm 8.14E-08
108	DOWN(3)	7.53	587	-0.29 \pm 1.37E-09	1.79 \pm 1.87E-09	4.83 \pm 8.30E-08
108	DOWN(4)	7.54	624	2.02 \pm 2.04E-09	5.36\pm1.89E-09	1.63\pm0.82E-07
409	DOWN(1)	7.75	341	1.27\pm0.90E-09	2.27 \pm 1.22E-09	1.05\pm0.80E-07
409	DOWN(2)	7.74	348	4.27 \pm 9.18E-10	1.04 \pm 1.16E-09	-9.94 \pm 8.15E-08
409	DOWN(3)	7.93	350	-7.74 \pm 8.18E-10	1.73 \pm 1.26E-09	-0.74 \pm 5.57E-08
409	DOWN(4)	7.97	348	0.77 \pm 1.15E-09	2.63\pm1.18E-09	7.32 \pm 8.13E-08
910	DOWN(1)	7.13	1,354	2.01 \pm 2.07E-09	1.85 \pm 0.44E-08	4.29 \pm 7.93E-08
910	DOWN(3)	7.03	1,329	3.37 \pm 3.45E-09	2.32 \pm 0.46E-08	-4.49 \pm 8.04E-08

Sample collection quarter is noted in parentheses next to hydraulic position. Hydraulic position is relative to other wells within the same hydrogeologic unit.

Note: Bolding convention applied to these data. (See p. E-2.)

Table E-6
2002 Contamination Indicator and Radiological Indicator Results
From the Kent Recessional Sequence

Location Code	Hydraulic Position	pH (standard units)	Conductivity (µmhos/cm@25°C)	Gross Alpha (µCi/mL)	Gross Beta (µCi/mL)	Tritium (µCi/mL)
901	UP(1)	7.59	371	1.07±0.91E-09	4.36±1.34E-09	-0.29±7.90E-08
901	UP(3)	7.42	420	1.41±1.19E-09	2.81±1.45E-09	4.53±7.94E-08
902	UP(1)	8.56	447	1.89±0.82E-09	3.85±0.93E-09	-3.41±7.84E-08
902	UP(3)	8.14	415	2.07±1.35E-09	3.31±1.31E-09	-0.03±7.86E-08
1008B	UP(1)	7.89	376	2.93±8.60E-10	2.70±1.24E-09	7.81±5.77E-08
1008B	UP(3)	7.92	452	0.41±1.22E-09	4.66±1.39E-09	3.64±8.01E-08
903	DOWN(1)	7.17	925	0.65±1.89E-09	1.96±2.45E-09	-5.86±7.92E-08
903	DOWN(3)	7.55	874	1.14±2.09E-09	1.90±2.40E-09	5.62±7.96E-08
8610	DOWN(1)	7.93	1,023	1.44±1.74E-09	3.31±2.48E-09	1.46±0.82E-07
8610	DOWN(3)	8.00	1,052	-1.12±2.14E-09	3.41±2.59E-09	3.30±8.00E-08
8611	DOWN(1)	7.56	912	1.81±1.90E-09	2.10±2.44E-09	8.18±8.09E-08
8611	DOWN(3)	7.75	904	-1.77±1.87E-09	1.65±2.46E-09	3.39±7.94E-08

Table E-7
2002 Contamination Indicator and Radiological Indicator Results
From the North Plateau Seep Monitoring Locations

Location Code	Hydraulic Position	pH (standard units)	Conductivity (µmhos/cm@25°C)	Gross Alpha (µCi/mL)	Gross Beta (µCi/mL)	Tritium (µCi/mL)
GSEEP	DOWN(1)	6.82	1,067	1.62±1.95E-09	5.95±2.58E-09	7.66±0.91E-07
GSEEP	DOWN(2)	7.15	973	-1.57±1.73E-09	5.48±2.59E-09	5.88±0.86E-07
GSEEP	DOWN(3)	6.59	988	0.50±2.02E-09	2.20±2.41E-09	4.74±0.87E-07
GSEEP	DOWN(4)	6.54	1,261	2.26±2.79E-09	7.32±2.88E-09	5.58±0.85E-07
SP04	DOWN(1)	NS	NS	0.26±1.86E-09	7.69±2.76E-09	4.37±0.86E-07
SP04	DOWN(3)	NS	NS	-1.20±2.14E-09	3.71±2.55E-09	1.29±0.83E-07
SP06	DOWN(1)	NS	NS	1.19±1.66E-09	3.91±1.92E-09	2.88±0.84E-07
SP06	DOWN(3)	NS	NS	-0.09±1.29E-09	1.11±1.81E-09	-4.06±8.27E-08
SP11	DOWN(1)	NS	NS	1.32±2.19E-09	3.03±0.49E-08	2.73±0.84E-07
SP11	DOWN(2)	NS	NS	NS	3.02±0.43E-08	NS
SP11	DOWN(3)	NS	NS	1.02±2.54E-09	3.46±0.40E-08	8.36±8.23E-08
SP12	DOWN(1)	7.07	1,108	1.24±2.06E-09	2.10±2.43E-09	5.10±0.87E-07
SP12	DOWN(3)	7.45	898	-0.87±1.79E-09	3.14±2.09E-09	3.25±0.85E-07

NS - Not sampled.

Sample collection quarter is noted in parentheses next to hydraulic position. Hydraulic position is relative to other wells within the same hydrogeologic unit.

Note: Bolding convention applied to these data. (See p. E-2.)

Table E-8
2002 Contamination Indicator and Radiological Indicator Results
From the North Plateau Well Points

Location Code	Hydraulic Position	pH (standard units)	Conductivity (µmhos/cm@25°C)	Gross Alpha (µCi/mL)	Gross Beta (µCi/mL)	Tritium (µCi/mL)
WP-A	DOWN(4)	9.12	94	0.00±3.05E-10	5.44±0.22E-08	1.21±0.04E-05
WP-C	DOWN(4)	7.53	146	-1.10±3.32E-10	9.29±0.28E-08	6.61±0.20E-05
WP-H	DOWN(4)	6.63	1,068	1.52±0.36E-08	1.25±0.01E-05	3.55±0.16E-06

Table E-9
2002 Detections of Volatile Organic Compounds
at Selected Groundwater Monitoring Locations

Location Code	Sampling Quarter	1,1-DCA (µg/L)	DCDFMeth (µg/L)	1,1-DCE (µg/L)	1,2-DCE(total) (µg/L)	1,2-DCE(trans) (µg/L)	1,1,1-TCA (µg/L)	TCE (µg/L)
SP12	1	<5.0*	<5.0*	<5.0	NS	<1.0	<5.0	<5.0
	3	<5.0*	<1.0	<5.0	NS	<1.0	<5.0	<5.0
803	1	<5.0*	<5.0*	<5.0	NS	<1.0	<5.0	<5.0
	2	<5.0*	<5.0*	<5.0	NS	<1.0	<5.0	<5.0
	3	<5.0*	<1.0	<5.0	NS	<1.0	<5.0	<5.0
	4	<5.0*	<5.0*	<5.0	NS	<1.0	<5.0	<5.0
8609	1	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	NS	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0
8612	1	19.0	5.1	<5.0*	37.5	1.1	<5.0*	<5.0
	2	12.0	1.7	<5.0*	29.5	<1.0	<5.0*	<5.0
	3	14.5	3.3	<5.0*	32.5	<1.0	<5.0*	<5.0
	4	15.3	6.1	<5.0*	30.5	<1.0	1.8	<5.0

NS - Not sampled.

* Compound was reported at an estimated concentration less than the practical quantitation limit.

Sample collection quarter is noted in parentheses next to hydraulic position. Hydraulic position is relative to other wells within the same hydrogeologic unit.

Note: Bolding convention applied to these data. (See p. E-2.)

Table E-10
2002 Tributyl Phosphate Sampling Results
From Selected Groundwater Monitoring Locations

Location Code	Sampling Quarter	Tributyl phosphate (TBP) (µg/L)
111	1	<10.0
	3	<10.0*
8605	1	510
	3	240

Practical quantitation limit is 10 µg/L.

Table E-11
2002 Metals (µg/L) Sampling Results
Title 6 NYCRR Appendix 33 List

Location Code	Hydraulic Position	Antimony	Arsenic	Barium	Beryllium	Cadmium	Chromium	Cobalt	Copper
Sand and Gravel									
111	DOWN(1)	<2	4	117	0.1	0.4	38	2	4
502	DOWN(1)	NS	11	533	NS	<0.3	1,450	10	16
502	DOWN(3)	NS	6	482	NS	<0.3	1,320	4	12
8605	DOWN(1)	2	7	132	<0.1	<0.3	<1	2	<0.5
Weathered Till									
NDATR	DOWN(1)	<10	<10	140	<1	<5	10	<50	30
NDATR	DOWN(2)	<10	<10	37	<1	<5	<5	<50	<25
NDATR	DOWN(3)	<10	<10	55	<1	<5	<5	<50	<25
NDATR	DOWN(4)	<10	<10	71	<1	<5	<5	<50	<25
909	DOWN(1)	<10	14	226	<1	<5	17	<50	<25
909	DOWN(2)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	18

NS - Not sampled.

* Compound was reported at an estimated concentration less than the practical quantitation limit.

Sample collection quarter is noted in parentheses next to hydraulic position. Hydraulic position is relative to other wells within the same hydrogeologic unit.

Note: Bolding convention applied to these data. (See p. E-2.)

Table E-11 (concluded)
2002 Metals (µg/L) Sampling Results
Title 6 NYCRR Appendix 33 List

Location Code	Hydraulic Position	Lead	Mercury	Nickel	Selenium	Silver	Thallium	Tin	Vanadium	Zinc
Sand and Gravel										
111	DOWN(1)	<2	<0.1	31	<2	<1	<3	<2	1	12
502	DOWN(1)	<2	<0.1	63	<2	<1	NS	NS	6	13
502	DOWN(3)	2	<0.1	70	<4	<1	NS	NS	4	11
8605	DOWN(1)	<2	<0.1	2	<2	<1	<3	<2	1	3
Weathered Till										
NDATR	DOWN(1)	20	<0.2	<40	<5	<10	<10	<3,000	<50	107
NDATR	DOWN(2)	<3	<0.2	<40	<5	<10	<10	<3,000	<50	<20
NDATR	DOWN(3)	5	<0.2	<40	<5	<10	<10	<3,000	<50	60
NDATR	DOWN(4)	<3	<0.2	<40	<5	<10	<10	<3,000	<50	27
909	DOWN(1)	12	<0.2	<40	<5	<10	<10	<3,000	<50	57
909	DOWN(2)	5	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	77

Table E-12
2002 Sampling Parameters for Early Warning Monitoring Wells (µg/L)

Location Code	Sample Quarter	Aluminum Total	Iron Total	Manganese Total
502	(1)	1360	16,900	98.6
	(3)	698	10,200	43.1

NS - Not sampled.

Sample collection quarter is noted in parentheses next to hydraulic position. Hydraulic position is relative to other wells within the same hydrogeologic unit.

Note: Bolding convention applied to these data. (See p. E-2.)

Table E-13
2002 Alpha-, Beta-, and Gamma-Emitting Radioisotopic Results ($\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$)
From Selected Groundwater Monitoring Locations

Location Code	Hydraulic Position	C-14	Sr-90	Tc-99
Sand and Gravel				
401	UP(1)	-0.94 \pm 1.32E-08	2.46 \pm 1.75E-09	-2.90 \pm 1.51E-09
111	DOWN(1)	NS	2.70 \pm 0.05E-06	NS
406	DOWN(1)	-0.95 \pm 1.30E-08	4.57 \pm 1.99E-09	-2.27 \pm 1.63E-09
408	DOWN(1)	0.19 \pm 1.28E-08	2.53 \pm 0.01E-04	1.09 \pm 0.40E-08
501	DOWN(1)	NS	1.48 \pm 0.01E-04	NS
502	DOWN(1)	NS	1.16 \pm 0.01E-04	NS
602A	DOWN(1)	NS	5.44 \pm 2.23E-09	NS
602A	DOWN(3)	NS	4.52 \pm 1.82E-09	NS
8605	DOWN(1)	NS	6.15 \pm 0.08E-06	NS
8609	DOWN(1)	NS	7.67 \pm 0.28E-07	NS
8609	DOWN(3)	NS	6.76 \pm 0.29E-07	NS
116	DOWN(1)	NS	1.04 \pm 0.07E-07	NS
116	DOWN(3)	NS	8.23 \pm 0.55E-08	NS
605	DOWN(1)	NS	2.21 \pm 0.35E-08	NS
605	DOWN(3)	NS	2.55 \pm 0.37E-08	NS
801	DOWN(1)	NS	3.91 \pm 0.04E-06	NS
801	DOWN(2)	NS	5.18\pm0.07E-06	NS
801	DOWN(3)	NS	3.43 \pm 0.04E-06	NS
801	DOWN(4)	NS	3.22\pm0.04E-06	NS
8603	DOWN(1)	NS	1.27 \pm 0.01E-05	NS
8603	DOWN(3)	NS	2.81 \pm 0.02E-05	NS
Weathered Till				
NDATR	DOWN(1)	0.65 \pm 1.39E-08	6.97 \pm 0.40E-08	-1.88 \pm 1.74E-09
NDATR	DOWN(3)	1.11 \pm 1.30E-08	4.46 \pm 0.52E-08	-0.15 \pm 1.87E-09
909	DOWN(1)	-0.64 \pm 1.32E-08	1.78 \pm 0.09E-07	-0.61 \pm 1.71E-09
North Plateau				
SP11	DOWN(2)	NS	1.59 \pm 0.31E-08	NS

NS - Not sampled.

Sample collection quarter is noted in parentheses next to hydraulic position. Hydraulic position is relative to other wells within the same hydrogeologic unit.

Note: Bolding convention applied to these data. (See p. E-2.)

Table E-13 (concluded)
2002 Alpha-, Beta-, and Gamma-Emitting Radioisotopic Results ($\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$)
From Selected Groundwater Monitoring Locations

Location Code	Hydraulic Position	I-129	Cs-137	Ra-226	Ra-228	U-232
Sand and Gravel						
401	UP(1)	4.78±9.42E-10	1.20±1.16E-08	5.83±2.86E-10	1.63±0.53E-09	0.26±1.63E-10
406	DOWN(1)	0.80±1.19E-09	-3.19±8.57E-09	1.92±3.42E-10	3.30±0.62E-09	0.15±1.01E-10
408	DOWN(1)	9.46±8.91E-10	0.51±2.54E-09	1.00±0.52E-09	2.47±2.76E-09	1.40±6.43E-11
Weathered Till						
NDATR	DOWN(1)	0.00±8.82E-10	-0.19±7.60E-09	1.58±2.90E-10	2.32±0.64E-09	0.22±1.75E-10
NDATR	DOWN(3)	0.00±2.05E-09	-1.93±7.60E-09	6.09±3.37E-10	4.15±3.46E-10	8.42±3.17E-11
909	DOWN(1)	5.13±2.04E-09	-1.63±4.98E-09	5.19±2.38E-10	3.11±0.67E-09	0.54±1.74E-10
		U-233/234	U-235/236	U-238	Total U ($\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$)	
Sand and Gravel						
401	UP(1)	1.46±0.72E-10	3.39±4.56E-11	1.16±0.62E-10	2.97±0.08E-04	
406	DOWN(1)	1.01±0.66E-10	1.62±3.29E-11	5.54±4.32E-11	6.98±0.38E-05	
408	DOWN(1)	4.10±1.32E-10	1.46±4.63E-11	2.84±0.98E-10	5.71±0.18E-04	
Weathered Till						
NDATR	DOWN(1)	1.34±0.26E-09	2.48±1.03E-10	1.19±0.23E-09	3.01±0.05E-03	
NDATR	DOWN(3)	1.67±0.19E-09	7.68±4.03E-11	1.35±0.17E-09	3.78±0.05E-03	
909	DOWN(1)	6.32±1.38E-10	9.08±5.59E-11	2.95±0.89E-10	6.31±0.26E-04	

Sample collection quarter is noted in parentheses next to hydraulic position. Hydraulic position is relative to other wells within the same hydrogeologic unit.

Note: Bolding convention not applicable to these data.

Table E-14
Practical Quantitation Limits (PQLs)

COMPOUND	PQL ($\mu\text{g/L}$)	COMPOUND	PQL ($\mu\text{g/L}$)
<i>NYCRR Appendix 33 Volatiles</i>		<i>NYCRR Appendix 33 Volatiles</i>	
Acetone	10	Isobutyl alcohol	100
Acetonitrile	100	Methacrylonitrile	5
Acrolein	11	Methyl ethyl ketone	10
Acrylonitrile	5	Methyl iodide	5
Allyl chloride	5	Methyl methacrylate	5
Benzene	5	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10
Bromodichloromethane	5	Methylene bromide	10
Bromoform	5	Methylene chloride	5
Bromomethane	10	Pentachloroethane	5
Carbon disulfide	10	Propionitrile	50
Carbon tetrachloride	5	Styrene	5
Chlorobenzene	5	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	5
Chloroethane	10	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5
Chloroform	5	Tetrachloroethylene	5
Chloromethane	10	Toluene	5
Chloroprene	5	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5
Dibromochloromethane	5	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	5
1,2-Dibromoethane	5	Vinyl acetate	10
Dichlorodifluoromethane	5	Vinyl chloride	10
1,1-Dichloroethane	5	Xylene (total)	5
1,2-Dichloroethane	5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5
1,1-Dichloroethylene	5	trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	5
1,2-Dichloropropane	5	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5
Ethyl benzene	5	trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene	5
Ethyl methacrylate	5	Trichloroethylene	5
2-Hexanone	10	Trichlorofluoromethane	5
<i>NYCRR Appendix 33 Metals</i>		<i>NYCRR Appendix 33 Metals</i>	
*Aluminum	200	Lead	3
Antimony	10	*Manganese	15
Arsenic	10	Mercury	0.2
Barium	200	Nickel	40
Beryllium	1	Selenium	5
Cadmium	5	Silver	10
Chromium	10	Thallium	10
Cobalt	50	Tin	3,000
Copper	25	Vanadium	50
*Iron	100	Zinc	20

Note: Specific quantitation limits are highly matrix-dependent and may not always be achievable.

** Not an NYCRR Appendix 33 parameter; sampled for the north plateau early warning program.*

Table E-14 (continued)
Practical Quantitation Limits (PQLs)

COMPOUND	PQL (µg/L)	COMPOUND	PQL (µg/L)
<i>NYCRR Appendix 33 Semivolatiles</i>		<i>NYCRR Appendix 33 Semivolatiles</i>	
Acenaphthene	10	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	10
Acenaphthylene	10	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	10
Acetophenone	10	Diphenylamine	10
2-Acetylaminofluorene	10	Ethyl methanesulfonate	10
4-Aminobiphenyl	10	Famphur	10
Aniline	10	Fluoranthene	10
Anthracene	10	Fluorene	10
Aramite	10	Hexachlorobenzene	10
Benzo[a]anthracene	10	Hexachlorobutadiene	10
Benzo[a]pyrene	10	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	10
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	10	Hexachloroethane	10
Benzo[ghi]perylene	10	Hexachlorophene	100
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	10	Hexachloropropene	10
Benzyl alcohol	10	Indeno(1,2,3,-cd)pyrene	10
Bis(2-chlorethyl)ether	10	Isodrin	10
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	10	Isophorone	10
Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether	10	Isosafrole	10
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	10	Kepone	10
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	10	Methapyrilene	10
Butyl benzyl phthalate	10	Methyl methanesulfonate	10
Chlorobenzilate	10	3-Methylcholanthrene	10
2-Chloronaphthalene	10	2-Methylnaphthalene	10
2-Chlorophenol	10	1,4-Naphthoquinone	10
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	10	1-Naphthylamine	10
Chrysene	10	2-Naphthylamine	10
Di-n-butyl phthalate	10	Nitrobenzene	10
Di-n-octyl phthalate	10	5-Nitro-o-toluidine	10
Diallate	10	4-Nitroquinoline 1-oxide	40
Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	10	N-Nitrosodi-n-butylamine	10
Dibenzofuran	10	N-Nitrosodiethylamine	10
3,3-Dichlorobenzidine	10	N-Nitrosodimethylamine	10
2,4-Dichlorophenol	10	N-Nitrosodipropylamine	10
2,6-Dichlorophenol	10	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	10
Diethyl phthalate	10	N-Nitrosomethylethylamine	10
Dimethoate	10	N-Nitrosomorpholine	10
7, 12-Dimethylbenz[a]anthracene	10	N-Nitrosopiperidine	10
3,3-Dimethylbenzidine	20	N-Nitrosopyrrolidine	10
2,4-Dimethylphenol	10	Naphthalene	10
Dimethyl phthalate	10	0,0,0-Triethyl phosphorothioate	10
4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	25	0,0-Diethyl 0-2-pyrazinyl- phosphorothioate	10
2,4-Dinitrophenol	25		

Note: Specific quantitation limits are highly matrix-dependent and may not always be achievable.

Table E-14 (concluded)
Practical Quantitation Limits (PQLs)

COMPOUND	PQL ($\mu\text{g/L}$)	COMPOUND	PQL ($\mu\text{g/L}$)
<i>NYCRR Appendix 33 Semivolatiles</i>		<i>NYCRR Appendix 33 Semivolatiles</i>	
p-(Dimethylamino)azobenzene	10	2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	10
p-Chloroaniline	10	Tetraethyl dithiopyrophosphate	10
p-Chloro-m-cresol	10	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	10
p-Cresol	10	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	25
p-Dichlorobenzene	10	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	10
p-Nitroaniline	25	alpha,alpha-Dimethylphenethylamine	50
p-Nitrophenol	25	m-Cresol	10
p-Phenylenediamine	10	m-Dichlorobenzene	10
Parathion	10	m-Dinitrobenzene	10
Pentachlorobenzene	10	m-Nitroaniline	25
Pentachloronitrobenzene	10	o-Cresol	10
Pentachlorophenol	25	o-Dichlorobenzene	10
Phenacetin	10	o-Nitroaniline	25
Phenanthrene	10	o-Nitrophenol	10
Phenol	10	o-Toluidine	10
Pronamide	10	sym-Trinitrobenzene	10
Pyrene	10	2-Picoline	10
Safrole	10	Pyridine	10
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	10	1,4-Dioxane	10

Note: Specific quantitation limits are highly matrix-dependent and may not always be achievable.

Groundwater Data Graphs

Groundwater data for calendar year 2002 are presented in graphic format on the following pages.

The data point on the nonradiological graphs represents the average for the year and the bracket represents the high and low values for the year.

The graphed data points for the radiological analytes represent the averages for the year and the bracket represents the pooled (i.e., averaged) uncertainty.




Figure E-1. pH in Groundwater Samples From the Sand and Gravel Unit




Figure E-2. Conductivity (µmhos/cm@25°C) of Groundwater Samples From the Sand and Gravel Unit




Figure E-3. Gross Alpha ($\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$) in Groundwater Samples From the Sand and Gravel Unit




Figure E-4. Gross Beta ($\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$) in Groundwater Samples From the Sand and Gravel Unit




Figure E-4a. Gross Beta ($\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$) in Groundwater Samples From the Sand and Gravel Unit (Magnified Scale of Figure E-4)




Figure E-4b. Gross Beta ($\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$) in Groundwater Samples From the Sand and Gravel Unit (Magnified Scale of Figure E-4a)




Figure E-5. Tritium ($\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$) in Groundwater Samples From the Sand and Gravel Unit




Figure E-6. pH of Groundwater Samples From the Till-Sand Unit




Figure E-7. Conductivity ($\mu\text{mhos}/\text{cm}@25^\circ\text{C}$) of Groundwater Samples From the Till-Sand Unit




Figure E-8. Gross Alpha ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$) in Groundwater Samples From the Till-Sand Unit




Figure E-9. Gross Beta ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$) in Groundwater Samples From the Till-Sand Unit




Figure E-10. Tritium ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$) in Groundwater Samples From the Till-Sand Unit




Figure E-11. pH of Groundwater Samples From the Weathered Lavery Till Unit




Figure E-12. Conductivity ($\mu\text{mhos/cm@}25^\circ\text{C}$) of Groundwater Samples From the Weathered Lavery Till Unit




Figure E-13. Gross Alpha ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$) in Groundwater Samples From the Weathered Lavery Till Unit




Figure E-14. Gross Beta ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$) in Groundwater Samples From the Weathered Lavery Till Unit




Figure E-14a. Gross Beta ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$) in Groundwater Samples From the Weathered Lavery Till Unit (Magnified Scale of Figure E-14)




Figure E-15. Tritium ($\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$) in Groundwater Samples From the Weathered Lavery Till Unit




Figure E-16. pH of Groundwater Samples From the Unweathered Lavery Till Unit




Figure E-17. Conductivity ($\mu\text{mhos}/\text{cm}@25^\circ\text{C}$) of Groundwater Samples From the Unweathered Lavery Till Unit




Figure E-18. Gross Alpha ($\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$) in Groundwater Samples From the Unweathered Lavery Till Unit




Figure E-19. Gross Beta ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$) in Groundwater Samples From the Unweathered Lavery Till Unit




Figure E-20. Tritium ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$) in Groundwater Samples From the Unweathered Lavery Till Unit




Figure E-21. pH of Groundwater Samples From the Kent Recessional Sequence




Figure E-22. Conductivity ($\mu\text{mhos/cm@25}^\circ\text{C}$) of Groundwater Samples From the Kent Recessional Sequence




Figure E-23. Gross Alpha ($\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$) in Groundwater Samples From the Kent Recessional Sequence




Figure E-24. Gross Beta ($\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$) in Groundwater Samples From the Kent Recessional Sequence




Figure E-25. Tritium ($\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$) in Groundwater Samples From the Kent Recessional Sequence

Appendix F
Summary of Biological Data



Milk and Meat Samples are Collected from Local Bovine Herds

The following tables contain a bolding convention devised to help the reader, when viewing the data, to quickly see the range of detectable measurements within a data series. A data series is a set of chemical or radionuclide measurements (e.g., gross alpha, gross beta, tritium) from a single location or from similar locations. Note that some tables contain data that should not be technically evaluated under this convention.

Key to bolding convention:

Results for each constituent constitute a single data series. If a radiological result is larger than the uncertainty term, the measurement is considered positive. Otherwise, a result is considered non-detectable.

If all results in a data series are positive, the lowest and highest values are bolded.

If a data series contains some positive results, the highest value is bolded.

If all values in a data series are nondetectable, no values are bolded.

Table F-1
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$) in Milk

Location	H-3	K-40	Sr-90	I-129	Cs-137
BFMCTLN					
(Control)					
<i>1st Quarter</i>	-6.33±9.38E-08	1.47±0.17E-06	1.04±0.87E-09	2.18±3.93E-10	-0.16±1.29E-09
<i>2nd Quarter</i>	5.44±9.73E-08	1.25±0.15E-06	1.86±0.75E-09	-0.53±4.41E-10	1.19±1.51E-09
<i>3rd Quarter</i>	0.00±9.74E-08	1.55±0.19E-06	3.53±6.17E-10	-4.13±4.62E-10	2.01±3.09E-09
<i>4th Quarter</i>	1.13±1.11E-07	1.71±0.25E-06	1.31±0.82E-09	0.00±4.04E-10	-2.21±4.59E-09
BFMCTLS					
(Control)					
<i>1st Quarter</i>	-3.02±0.89E-07	1.41±0.16E-06	1.35±0.74E-09	2.27±3.45E-10	-0.16±1.27E-09
<i>2nd Quarter</i>	0.00±8.51E-08	1.20±0.15E-06	4.81±5.13E-10	-1.55±3.93E-10	-0.30±1.50E-09
<i>3rd Quarter</i>	0.00±9.75E-08	1.46±0.19E-06	-2.83±6.51E-10	1.51±4.30E-10	-0.68±2.08E-09
<i>4th Quarter</i>	0.00±1.05E-07	1.32±0.17E-06	1.12±0.80E-09	2.35±3.60E-10	-0.12±2.45E-09
BFMREED					
(NNW Farm)					
<i>1st Quarter</i>	-1.80±0.92E-07	1.46±0.18E-06	1.33±0.52E-09	-0.56±4.13E-10	3.06±2.69E-09
<i>2nd Quarter</i>	5.36±9.60E-08	1.12±0.12E-06	6.30±5.48E-10	1.33±4.50E-10	0.29±1.46E-09
<i>3rd Quarter</i>	-0.28±1.03E-07	1.44±0.19E-06	0.05±6.75E-10	1.40±2.93E-10	1.17±2.46E-09
<i>4th Quarter</i>	-0.29±1.05E-07	1.48±0.18E-06	8.51±7.11E-10	-1.23±2.98E-10	0.00±7.18E-09
BFMSCHT					
(S Farm)					
<i>Annual</i>	5.72±9.88E-08	1.45±0.23E-06	3.12±1.03E-09	-2.13±3.37E-10	1.57±4.85E-09
BFMWIDR					
(SE Farm)					
<i>Annual</i>	0.00±9.13E-08	1.55±0.16E-06	1.90±0.90E-09	0.24±3.01E-10	-1.60±1.41E-09

Note: Bolding convention applied to these data. See page F-2.

Table F-2
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations ($\mu\text{Ci/g}$ - dry) in Meat

2002 Radioactivity Concentrations in Beef

Location	% Moisture	H-3 ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$)	K-40	Sr-90	Cs-137
Beef Flesh Background (BFBCTRL 04/02)	77.5	8.23 \pm 9.65E-08	1.00 \pm 0.14E-05	2.17 \pm 1.26E-09	1.13 \pm 2.27E-08
Beef Flesh Background (BFBCTRL 12/02)	76.9	-0.26 \pm 1.02E-07	7.64 \pm 0.88E-06	2.04 \pm 3.11E-09	-0.82 \pm 1.36E-08
Beef Flesh Near-Site (BFBNEAR 04/02)	75.5	1.35 \pm 0.98E-07	1.18 \pm 0.15E-05	5.50 \pm 5.45E-10	0.81 \pm 1.83E-08
Beef Flesh Near-Site (BFBNEAR 07/02)	69.8	0.00 \pm 1.09E-07	8.46 \pm 0.99E-06	1.37 \pm 2.53E-09	1.05 \pm 1.38E-08

2002 Radioactivity Concentrations in Venison

Location	% Moisture	H-3 ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$)	K-40	Sr-90	Cs-137
Deer Flesh Background (BFDCTRL 09/02)	75.8	5.53 \pm 9.56E-08	1.32\pm0.14E-05	2.13 \pm 2.04E-10	1.87 \pm 2.19E-08
Deer Flesh Background (BFDCTRL 09/02)	77.1	5.55 \pm 9.60E-08	1.06\pm0.14E-05	2.76\pm2.25E-10	2.44\pm0.44E-07
Deer Flesh Background (BFDCTRL 10/02)	75.7	5.64 \pm 9.75E-08	1.15 \pm 0.13E-05	-0.61 \pm 2.49E-10	6.14 \pm 2.40E-08
Deer Flesh Near-Site (BFDNEAR 10/02)	74.6	8.39 \pm 9.84E-08	1.01 \pm 0.12E-05	-1.26 \pm 3.21E-10	1.50 \pm 2.28E-08
Deer Flesh Near-Site (BFDNEAR 10/02)	74.3	1.12 \pm 1.01E-07	1.12\pm0.13E-05	1.26\pm0.77E-09	8.48\pm1.98E-08
Deer Flesh Near-Site (BFDNEAR 10/02)	74.7	1.96\pm1.05E-07	9.69\pm1.19E-06	2.39 \pm 3.32E-10	1.21 \pm 1.18E-08

Note: Bolding convention applied to venison data. See page F-2.

Table F-3
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations ($\mu\text{Ci/g}$ - dry) in Food Crops

Location	% Moisture	H-3 ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$)	K-40	Co-60	Sr-90	Cs-137
CORN						
Background (BFVCTRC)	78.6	1.99 \pm 1.10E-07	1.34 \pm 0.16E-05	-0.67 \pm 1.55E-08	4.24 \pm 3.31E-09	0.73 \pm 1.36E-08
Near-Site (BFVNEAC)	80.6	2.00 \pm 1.11E-07	1.39 \pm 0.17E-05	0.13 \pm 2.93E-08	2.20 \pm 0.36E-08	-2.03 \pm 2.90E-08
BEANS						
Background (BFVCTRB)	90.4	0.56 \pm 1.01E-07	2.92 \pm 0.34E-05	-1.21 \pm 2.22E-08	2.78 \pm 1.22E-08	1.27 \pm 1.89E-08
Near-Site (BFVNEAB)	93.0	0.85 \pm 1.03E-07	3.28 \pm 0.34E-05	-0.54 \pm 3.04E-08	1.49 \pm 0.16E-07	0.73 \pm 2.84E-08
APPLES						
Background (BFVCTRA)	84.3	0.44 \pm 1.14E-07	8.26 \pm 1.05E-06	0.60 \pm 2.33E-08	1.12 \pm 0.34E-08	0.95 \pm 1.67E-08
Near-Site (BFVNEAAF)	82.4	0.57 \pm 1.12E-07	1.03 \pm 0.13E-05	-0.08 \pm 1.78E-08	2.38 \pm 0.21E-08	0.99 \pm 1.67E-08
HAY						
Background (BFHCTLN)	NA	NA	3.60 \pm 0.43E-05	1.33 \pm 4.12E-08	1.36 \pm 0.15E-07	-0.36 \pm 3.79E-08
Near-Site (BFHNEAR)	NA	NA	1.98 \pm 0.25E-05	1.30 \pm 3.50E-08	1.57 \pm 0.20E-07	1.14 \pm 3.02E-08

NA - Not applicable.

Note: Bolding convention not applicable to these data.

Table F-4
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations ($\mu\text{Ci/g}$ - dry) in Fish Flesh
From Cattaraugus Creek

Cattaraugus Creek above the Springville Dam (BFFCATC)

1st Half 2002

Species	% Moisture	Sr-90	Cs-137
Hog-nosed Sucker	76.5	2.73±0.07E-07	5.75±3.24E-08
Hog-nosed Sucker	77.2	1.66±0.06E-07	7.33±2.57E-08
Hog-nosed Sucker	74.5	3.89±0.23E-08	1.42±2.25E-08
Brown Trout	71.6	7.75±1.12E-09	1.84±3.01E-08
White Sucker	76.2	4.78±0.32E-08	1.85±2.77E-08
Hog-nosed Sucker	77.9	1.10±0.06E-07	0.71±2.57E-08
White Sucker	77.5	5.67±0.37E-08	-0.14±2.04E-08
Hog-nosed Sucker	73.5	3.28±0.18E-08	2.12±1.89E-08
Hog-nosed Sucker	75.8	2.24±0.08E-08	-3.52±2.33E-08
Hog-nosed Sucker	76.2	5.40±0.39E-08	1.03±2.57E-08
Average % Moisture	75.7		
Median		5.09E-08	<2.57E-08
Maximum		2.73E-07	7.33E-08
Minimum		7.75E-09	<2.04E-08

2nd Half 2002

Species	% Moisture	Sr-90	Cs-137
Hog-nosed Sucker	74.0	7.87±1.95E-09	-0.01±2.35E-08
Hog-nosed Sucker	75.6	9.85±1.63E-09	0.77±2.53E-08
Hog-nosed Sucker	75.7	7.86±1.79E-09	0.12±2.48E-08
Hog-nosed Sucker	76.0	8.79±1.47E-09	2.37±2.87E-08
Hog-nosed Sucker	77.0	1.29±0.15E-08	0.00±3.60E-08
Hog-nosed Sucker	76.2	1.04±1.66E-08	1.52±2.28E-08
Hog-nosed Sucker	75.6	5.79±1.03E-08	-0.72±2.23E-08
Hog-nosed Sucker	78.0	6.43±0.90E-08	1.07±1.93E-08
Hog-nosed Sucker	76.6	4.07±0.57E-08	1.69±2.51E-08
Hog-nosed Sucker	76.8	4.79±0.94E-08	1.44±2.34E-08
Average % Moisture	76.2		
Median		1.48E-08	<2.42E-08
Maximum		6.43E-08	<3.60E-08
Minimum		7.86E-09	<1.93E-08

Note: Bolding convention applied to these data. See page F-2.

Table F-4 (continued)
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations ($\mu\text{Ci/g}$ - dry) in Fish Flesh
From Cattaraugus Creek

Cattaraugus Creek below the Springville Dam (BFFCATD)

Annual 2002

Species	% Moisture	Sr-90	Cs-137
Steelhead Trout	70.8	2.34±0.72E-09	-1.07±1.29E-08
Steelhead Trout	62.1	3.98±2.40E-10	3.69±1.88E-08
Steelhead Trout	69.8	4.20±2.22E-10	4.51±2.40E-08
Steelhead Trout	72.2	8.28±2.88E-10	0.89±2.04E-08
Steelhead Trout	70.3	4.90±6.56E-09	0.29±2.31E-08
Steelhead Trout	73.9	1.50±0.52E-07	4.54±2.43E-08
Steelhead Trout	72.3	9.17±3.89E-09	3.71±2.63E-08
Steelhead Trout	75.3	5.49±1.57E-09	3.09±2.39E-08
Steelhead Trout	71.9	2.92±0.73E-08	2.09±1.76E-08
Steelhead Trout	69.8	1.09±0.36E-08	2.26±2.95E-08
Average % Moisture	70.8		
Median		6.02E-09	3.02E-08
Maximum		1.50E-07	4.54E-08
Minimum		3.98E-10	<1.29E-08

Note: Bolding convention applied to these data. See page F-2.

Table F-4 (concluded)
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations ($\mu\text{Ci/g}$ - dry) in Fish Flesh
From Cattaraugus Creek

Cattaraugus Creek Background (BFFCTRL)

1st Half 2002

Species	% Moisture	Sr-90	Cs-137
White Sucker	78.7	1.68±0.30E-08	0.00±3.05E-08
White Sucker	76.0	8.17±1.36E-09	-0.39±2.54E-08
White Sucker	79.3	1.89±0.27E-08	-0.68±2.50E-08
White Sucker	77.9	1.11±0.14E-08	-0.08±2.07E-08
White Sucker	77.2	1.01±0.23E-08	1.25±1.95E-08
White Sucker	77.5	1.57±0.12E-08	0.00±3.20E-08
Brown Trout	75.4	1.23±0.10E-08	0.03±1.71E-08
Brown Trout	74.1	1.17±0.16E-08	0.76±2.18E-08
Hog-nosed Sucker	76.2	2.71±0.12E-08	-0.92±1.74E-08
White Sucker	78.3	1.24±0.11E-08	1.22±6.56E-08
Average % Moisture	77.1		
Median		1.24E-08	<2.34E-08
Maximum		2.71E-08	<6.56E-08
Minimum		8.17E-09	<1.71E-08

2nd Half 2002

Species	% Moisture	Sr-90	Cs-137
Brown Trout	73.0	7.37±7.80E-10	-1.27±2.92E-08
Brown Trout	76.2	7.89±8.20E-10	0.56±2.73E-08
Brown Trout	77.2	1.07±0.73E-09	2.57±5.55E-08
Brown Trout	74.7	6.14±5.49E-10	-0.15±2.97E-08
White Sucker	77.7	3.57±1.38E-09	0.90±2.31E-08
White Sucker	78.0	1.60±0.88E-08	1.97±2.18E-08
White Sucker	77.8	2.73±0.77E-08	-0.52±2.22E-08
Hog-nosed Sucker	76.1	1.82±0.40E-08	0.85±2.36E-08
White Sucker	77.7	1.63±0.58E-08	-0.68±1.98E-08
White Sucker	77.2	2.47±0.53E-08	-2.57±2.29E-08
Average % Moisture	76.6		
Median		9.78E-09	<2.34E-08
Maximum		2.73E-08	<5.55E-08
Minimum		6.14E-10	<1.98E-08

Note: Bolding convention applied to these data. See page F-2.

Appendix G

Summary of Soil and Sediment Data



Packaging Samples for Shipment to Off-Site Laboratories

This page intentionally left blank

Appendix G-1
Summary of Soil and Aquatic Sediment
Guidelines and Standards

This page intentionally left blank

Table G-1A
Eastern U.S.A. Background Concentrations for Soils^a

Analyte	Units	Eastern U.S.A. Background Concentrations for Soil
Aluminum	mg/kg (ppm)	33,000
Antimony	mg/kg (ppm)	--
Arsenic	mg/kg (ppm)	3–12 ^b
Barium	mg/kg (ppm)	15–600
Beryllium	mg/kg (ppm)	0–1.75
Cadmium	mg/kg (ppm)	0.1–1.0
Calcium	mg/kg (ppm)	130–35,000
Chromium	mg/kg (ppm)	1.5–40 ^b
Cobalt	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5–60 ^b
Copper	mg/kg (ppm)	1–50
Iron	mg/kg (ppm)	2,000–550,000
Lead	mg/kg (ppm)	4–61 ^c
Magnesium	mg/kg (ppm)	100–5,000
Manganese	mg/kg (ppm)	50–5,000
Mercury	mg/kg (ppm)	0.001–0.2
Nickel	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5–25
Potassium	mg/kg (ppm)	8,500–43,000 ^b
Selenium	mg/kg (ppm)	0.1–3.9
Silver	mg/kg (ppm)	--
Sodium	mg/kg (ppm)	6,000–8,000
Thallium	mg/kg (ppm)	--
Vanadium	mg/kg (ppm)	1–300
Zinc	mg/kg (ppm)	9–50

-- No reference level available for these analytes

^a Source: New York State Department of Environmental Conservation “Technical and Administrative Guidance Memorandum (TAGM) #4046”

^b New York State background

^c Background levels for lead vary widely. Average levels in undeveloped, rural areas may range from 4–61 ppm. Average background levels in metropolitan or suburban areas, or near highways are much higher and typically range from 200–500 ppm.

Table G-1B
Screening Concentrations for Contaminated Sediments^a

Analyte	Units	Lowest Effect Level ^b	Severe Effect Level ^c
Aluminum	mg/kg (ppm)	--	--
Antimony	mg/kg (ppm)	2.0 (L)	25 (L)
Arsenic	mg/kg (ppm)	6.0 (P)	33 (P)
Barium	mg/kg (ppm)	--	--
Beryllium	mg/kg (ppm)	--	--
Cadmium	mg/kg (ppm)	0.6 (P)	9 (L)
Calcium	mg/kg (ppm)	--	--
Chromium	mg/kg (ppm)	26.0 (P)	110 (P)
Cobalt	mg/kg (ppm)	--	--
Copper	mg/kg (ppm)	16.0 (P)	110 (P)
Iron	%	2.0 (P)	4 (P)
Lead	mg/kg (ppm)	31.0 (P)	110 (L)
Magnesium	mg/kg (ppm)	--	--
Manganese	mg/kg (ppm)	460 (P)	1,100 (L)
Mercury	mg/kg (ppm)	0.15 (L)	1.3 (L)
Nickel	mg/kg (ppm)	16.0 (P)	50 (L)
Potassium	mg/kg (ppm)	--	--
Selenium	mg/kg (ppm)	--	--
Silver	mg/kg (ppm)	1.0 (L)	2.2 (L)
Sodium	mg/kg (ppm)	--	--
Thallium	mg/kg (ppm)	--	--
Vanadium	mg/kg (ppm)	--	--
Zinc	mg/kg (ppm)	120 (P/L)	270 (L)

-- No reference value available for these analytes

^a Source: New York State Department of Environmental Conservation "Technical Guidance for Screening Contaminated Sediments," January 1999

^b The Lowest Effect Level for each metal is the lowest of the either the Persaud et al. (1992) Lowest Effect Level or the Long and Morgan (1990) Effect Range-Low

^c The Severe Effect Level for each metal is the lowest of either the Persaud et al. (1992) Severe Effect Level or the Long and Morgan (1990) Effect Range-Moderate

L - An "L" following a criterion indicates that it was taken from Long and Morgan (1990).

P - A "P" following a criterion indicates that it was taken from Persaud et al. (1992).

Table G-1C
Screening Thresholds for In-Water and Riparian Management of Sediment and Dredge Material^a

Analyte	Units	No Appreciable Contamination Level
Arsenic	mg/kg (ppm)	14
Cadmium	mg/kg (ppm)	<1.2
Copper	mg/kg (ppm)	<33
Lead	mg/kg (ppm)	<33
Mercury	mg/kg (ppm)	0.17

^a Source: Draft New York State Department of Environmental Conservation Technical and Operational Guidance Series (TOGs) #5.1.9, "In-Water and Riparian Management of Sediment and Dredge Material"

Appendix G-2
Soil and Sediment Data

This page intentionally left blank

Table G-2A
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations ($\mu\text{Ci/g}$ dry weight from upper 5 cm) and
Metals Concentrations (mg/kg dry) in On-Site Soils
Downstream of the WVDP at Frank's Creek (SNSP006)

Analyte	Units	N	SNSP006	Guidance Values		
				Lowest Effect Level ^a	Severe Effect Level ^a	No Appreciable Contamination Level ^b
Gross Alpha	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	1.16 \pm 0.34E-05	--	--	--
Gross Beta	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	3.44 \pm 0.39E-05	--	--	--
K-40	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	1.58 \pm 0.20E-05	--	--	--
Co-60	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	1.02 \pm 1.88E-08	--	--	--
Sr-90	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	8.69 \pm 1.03E-07	--	--	--
Cs-137	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	9.26 \pm 1.29E-06	--	--	--
U-232	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	1.60 \pm 2.56E-08	--	--	--
U-233/234	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	6.52 \pm 0.89E-07	--	--	--
U-235/236	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	4.46 \pm 2.41E-08	--	--	--
U-238	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	6.07 \pm 0.86E-07	--	--	--
Total U	$\mu\text{g/g}$	1	2.30 \pm 0.04E+00	--	--	--
Pu-238	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	3.43 \pm 1.74E-08	--	--	--
Pu-239/240	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	1.27 \pm 1.67E-08	--	--	--
Am-241	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	3.92 \pm 2.19E-08	--	--	--
Aluminum	mg/kg	1	8,060	--	--	--
Antimony	mg/kg	1	<0.24	2.0	25	--
Arsenic	mg/kg	1	7.2	6.0	33	14
Barium	mg/kg	1	69.3	--	--	--
Beryllium	mg/kg	1	0.42	--	--	--
Cadmium	mg/kg	1	0.14	0.6	9	<1.2
Calcium	mg/kg	1	15,950	--	--	--
Chromium	mg/kg	1	11.2	26	110	--
Cobalt	mg/kg	1	11.85	--	--	--
Copper	mg/kg	1	17.6	16.0	110	<33
Iron	%	1	0.02	2.0	4	--
Lead	mg/kg	1	13.0	31.0	110	<33
Magnesium	mg/kg	1	6,100	--	--	--
Manganese	mg/kg	1	750	460	1100	--
Mercury	mg/kg	1	<0.02	0.15	1.3	0.17
Nickel	mg/kg	1	22.1	16.0	50.0	--
Potassium	mg/kg	1	1,415	--	--	--
Selenium	mg/kg	1	<0.36	--	--	--
Silver	mg/kg	1	<0.06	1.0	2.2	--
Sodium	mg/kg	1	88.4	--	--	--
Thallium	mg/kg	1	<0.32	--	--	--
Vanadium	mg/kg	1	15.4	--	--	--
Zinc	mg/kg	1	59.5	120	270	--

N - Number of samples

-- No reference standard available

^a Screening guidelines for chemical constituents obtained from NYSDEC "Technical Guidance for Screening Contaminated Sediments"

^b NYSDEC: Draft Technical and Operational Guidance Series 5.1.9, "In-Water and Riparian Management of Sediment and Dredge Material," January 2003.

Note: Effects of radiological substances are addressed with the evaluation of radiological dose to biota (see Chapter 2 [p. 2-38]).

Table G-2B
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations ($\mu\text{Ci/g}$ dry weight from upper 5 cm) and
Metals Concentrations (mg/kg dry) in On-Site Soils
From North Swamp (SNSW74A)

Analyte	Units	N	SNSW74A	Reference Value ^a
Gross Alpha	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	1.19±0.28E-05	--
Gross Beta	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	1.92±0.29E-05	--
K-40	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	1.22±0.16E-05	--
Co-60	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	-1.13±2.11E-08	--
Sr-90	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	2.06±0.32E-07	--
Cs-137	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	1.59±0.20E-06	--
U-232	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	0.56±1.30E-08	--
U-233/234	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	4.69±0.64E-07	--
U-235/236	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	3.79±1.96E-08	--
U-238	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	5.21±0.67E-07	--
Total U	$\mu\text{g/g}$	1	1.77±0.03E+00	--
Pu-238	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	0.62±6.07E-09	--
Pu-239/240	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	2.38±1.55E-08	--
Am-241	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	4.07±1.85E-08	--
Aluminum	mg/kg	1	8,330	33,000
Antimony	mg/kg	1	<0.32	--
Arsenic	mg/kg	1	5.8	3–12 ^b
Barium	mg/kg	1	56.3	15–600
Beryllium	mg/kg	1	0.35	0–1.75
Cadmium	mg/kg	1	0.18	0.1–1.0
Calcium	mg/kg	1	20,300	130–35,000
Chromium	mg/kg	1	11.1	1.5–40 ^b
Cobalt	mg/kg	1	5.6	2.5–60 ^b
Copper	mg/kg	1	19.7	1–50
Iron	mg/kg	1	14,900	2,000–550,000
Lead	mg/kg	1	13.7	4–61 ^c
Magnesium	mg/kg	1	4,490	100–5,000
Manganese	mg/kg	1	382	50–5,000
Mercury	mg/kg	1	0.03	0.001–0.2
Nickel	mg/kg	1	13.8	0.5–25
Potassium	mg/kg	1	1,230	8,500–43,000 ^b
Selenium	mg/kg	1	<0.51	0.1–3.9
Silver	mg/kg	1	<0.07	--
Sodium	mg/kg	1	172	6,000–8,000
Thallium	mg/kg	1	<0.46	--
Vanadium	mg/kg	1	14.5	1–300
Zinc	mg/kg	1	99.9	9–50

N - Number of samples

-- No reference standard available for these analytes

^a NYSDEC: Technical and Administrative Guidance Memorandum (TAGM) #4046.

^b New York State background

^c Background levels vary widely. Average levels in undeveloped rural areas may range from 4–61 ppm (reported here). Average background levels in metropolitan or suburban areas, or near highways are much higher and typically range from 200–500 ppm.

Note: Effects of radiological substances are addressed with the evaluation of radiological dose to biota (see Chapter 2 [p. 2-38]).

Table G-2C
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations ($\mu\text{Ci/g}$ dry weight from upper 5 cm) and
Metals Concentrations (mg/kg dry) in On-Site Soils
From Northeast Swamp (SNSWAMP)

Analyte	Units	N	SNSWAMP	Reference Value ^a
Gross Alpha	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	2.26 \pm 0.42E-05	--
Gross Beta	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	5.89 \pm 0.46E-05	--
K-40	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	2.04 \pm 0.24E-05	--
Co-60	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	1.94 \pm 2.68E-08	--
Sr-90	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	2.35 \pm 0.10E-06	--
Cs-137	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	2.19 \pm 0.22E-05	--
U-232	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	0.40 \pm 2.19E-08	--
U-233/234	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	7.94 \pm 0.95E-07	--
U-235/236	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	3.95 \pm 2.17E-08	--
U-238	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	7.98 \pm 0.95E-07	--
Total U	$\mu\text{g/g}$	1	2.88 \pm 0.05E+00	--
Pu-238	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	4.17 \pm 0.71E-07	--
Pu-239/240	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	6.30 \pm 0.87E-07	--
Am-241	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	1.13 \pm 0.13E-06	--
Aluminum	mg/kg	1	13,300	33,000
Antimony	mg/kg	1	0.4	--
Arsenic	mg/kg	1	10.4	3–12 ^b
Barium	mg/kg	1	84.2	15–600
Beryllium	mg/kg	1	0.62	0–1.75
Cadmium	mg/kg	1	0.17	0.1–1.0
Calcium	mg/kg	1	4,800	130–35,000
Chromium	mg/kg	1	16.5	1.5–40 ^b
Cobalt	mg/kg	1	10.1	2.5–60 ^b
Copper	mg/kg	1	26.4	1–50
Iron	mg/kg	1	26,600	2,000–550,000
Lead	mg/kg	1	22.6	4–61 ^c
Magnesium	mg/kg	1	5,110	100–5,000
Manganese	mg/kg	1	640	50–5,000
Mercury	mg/kg	1	0.04	0.001–0.2
Nickel	mg/kg	1	23.9	0.5–25
Potassium	mg/kg	1	2,040	8,500–43,000 ^b
Selenium	mg/kg	1	0.52	0.1–3.9
Silver	mg/kg	1	<0.05	--
Sodium	mg/kg	1	79.4	6,000–8,000
Thallium	mg/kg	1	<0.34	--
Vanadium	mg/kg	1	21.3	1–300
Zinc	mg/kg	1	98.6	9–50

N - Number of samples

-- No reference standard available for these analytes

^a NYSDEC: Technical and Administrative Guidance Memorandum (TAGM) #4046.

^b New York State background

^c Background levels vary widely. Average levels in undeveloped rural areas may range from 4–61 ppm (reported here). Average background levels in metropolitan or suburban areas, or near highways are much higher and typically range from 200–500 ppm.

Table G-2D
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations ($\mu\text{Ci/g}$ dry weight from upper 5 cm)
in Surface Soils Collected at Air Stations Around the WVDP as Compared to
Background Locations

Analyte	Units	N	SFBOEHN	SFRSPRD	Background Locations	
					SFGRVAL	SFNASHV
Gross Alpha	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	1.10 \pm 0.32E-05	6.51 \pm 2.16E-06	6.81 \pm 2.22E-06	2.94 \pm 1.50E-06
Gross Beta	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	1.63 \pm 0.20E-05	1.64 \pm 0.18E-05	1.81 \pm 0.19E-05	1.04 \pm 0.15E-05
K-40	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	1.43 \pm 0.06E-05	1.34 \pm 0.16E-05	1.13 \pm 0.13E-05	1.56 \pm 0.16E-05
Co-60	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	0.20 \pm 1.42E-08	-0.32 \pm 1.26E-08	0.37 \pm 1.41E-08	0.55 \pm 1.31E-08
Sr-90	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	1.02 \pm 0.20E-07	9.89 \pm 2.10E-08	1.95 \pm 0.23E-07	0.68 \pm 2.30E-08
Cs-137	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	4.33 \pm 0.30E-07	8.36 \pm 0.91E-07	7.81 \pm 1.02E-07	5.71 \pm 2.30E-08
U-232	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	-2.82 \pm 2.96E-08	0.63 \pm 2.45E-08	-0.48 \pm 1.33E-08	^a
U-233/234	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	8.00 \pm 1.11E-07	7.68 \pm 1.17E-07	8.48 \pm 1.19E-07	^a
U-235/236	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	3.34 \pm 2.53E-08	6.88 \pm 3.65E-08	4.28 \pm 2.66E-08	^a
U-238	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	8.32 \pm 1.13E-07	7.95 \pm 1.19E-07	7.60 \pm 1.12E-07	^a
Total U	$\mu\text{g/g}$	1	1.88 \pm 0.03E+00	2.54 \pm 0.05E+00	2.43 \pm 0.04E+00	^a
Pu-238	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	0.00 \pm 3.95E-09	9.18 \pm 7.35E-09	0.00 \pm 3.16E-09	2.95 \pm 4.08E-09
Pu-239/240	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	1.71 \pm 1.11E-08	1.83 \pm 1.75E-08	2.41 \pm 1.97E-08	0.00 \pm 7.06E-09
Am-241	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	3.18 \pm 2.79E-08	2.53 \pm 2.66E-08	2.91 \pm 2.70E-08	0.00 \pm 9.65E-09

Analyte	Units	N	SFFXVRD	SFRT240	Background Locations	
					SFGRVAL	SFNASHV
Gross Alpha	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	4.10 \pm 1.98E-06	7.62 \pm 2.33E-06	6.81 \pm 2.22E-06	2.94 \pm 1.50E-06
Gross Beta	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	1.17 \pm 0.17E-05	1.38 \pm 0.16E-05	1.81 \pm 0.19E-05	1.04 \pm 0.15E-05
K-40	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	1.13 \pm 0.12E-05	1.32 \pm 0.15E-05	1.13 \pm 0.13E-05	1.56 \pm 0.16E-05
Co-60	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	-1.03 \pm 1.76E-08	-0.14 \pm 1.29E-08	0.37 \pm 1.41E-08	0.55 \pm 1.31E-08
Sr-90	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	1.36 \pm 0.25E-07	3.66 \pm 2.42E-08	1.95 \pm 0.23E-07	0.68 \pm 2.30E-08
Cs-137	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	5.94 \pm 0.68E-07	1.27 \pm 0.27E-07	7.81 \pm 1.02E-07	5.71 \pm 2.30E-08
Pu-238	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	4.14 \pm 6.04E-09	1.48 \pm 5.03E-09	0.00 \pm 3.16E-09	2.95 \pm 4.08E-09
Pu-239/240	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	3.44 \pm 1.73E-08	5.94 \pm 8.72E-09	2.41 \pm 1.97E-08	0.00 \pm 7.06E-09
Am-241	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	3.30 \pm 1.61E-08	1.64 \pm 1.21E-08	2.91 \pm 2.70E-08	0.00 \pm 9.65E-09

N - Number of samples

^a SFNASHV location not analyzed for Uranium isotopes

Table G-2D (concluded)
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations ($\mu\text{Ci/g}$ dry weight from upper 5 cm)
in Surface Soils Collected at Air Stations Around the WVDP as Compared to
Background Locations

Analyte	Units	N	SFSPRVL	SFTCORD	Background Locations	
					SFGRVAL	SFNASHV
Gross Alpha	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	9.31 \pm 2.57E-06	8.38 \pm 2.39E-06	6.81 \pm 2.22E-06	2.94 \pm 1.50E-06
Gross Beta	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	1.54 \pm 0.18E-05	2.66 \pm 0.24E-05	1.81 \pm 0.19E-05	1.04 \pm 0.15E-05
K-40	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	1.28 \pm 0.16E-05	2.18 \pm 0.26E-05	1.13 \pm 0.13E-05	1.56 \pm 0.16E-05
Co-60	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	0.33 \pm 1.23E-08	-0.94 \pm 1.73E-08	0.37 \pm 1.41E-08	0.55 \pm 1.31E-08
Sr-90	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	8.49 \pm 1.81E-08	3.26 \pm 2.07E-08	1.95 \pm 0.23E-07	0.68 \pm 2.30E-08
Cs-137	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	4.41 \pm 0.50E-07	1.95 \pm 0.39E-07	7.81 \pm 1.02E-07	5.71 \pm 2.30E-08
Pu-238	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	1.38 \pm 0.99E-08	-1.37 \pm 4.67E-09	0.00 \pm 3.16E-09	2.95 \pm 4.08E-09
Pu-239/240	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	1.53 \pm 1.53E-08	6.87 \pm 8.07E-09	2.41 \pm 1.97E-08	0.00 \pm 7.06E-09
Am-241	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	0.98 \pm 1.28E-08	2.40 \pm 2.35E-08	2.91 \pm 2.70E-08	0.00 \pm 9.65E-09

Analyte	Units	N	SFBLKST	SFWEVAL	Background Locations	
					SFGRVAL	SFNASHV
Gross Alpha	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	9.79 \pm 3.14E-06	6.70 \pm 2.45E-06	6.81 \pm 2.22E-06	2.94 \pm 1.50E-06
Gross Beta	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	1.81 \pm 0.22E-05	1.47 \pm 0.19E-05	1.81 \pm 0.19E-05	1.04 \pm 0.15E-05
K-40	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	1.71 \pm 0.22E-05	1.23 \pm 0.13E-05	1.13 \pm 0.13E-05	1.56 \pm 0.16E-05
Co-60	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	0.08 \pm 1.45E-08	1.10 \pm 1.35E-08	0.37 \pm 1.41E-08	0.55 \pm 1.31E-08
Sr-90	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	4.12 \pm 2.38E-08	9.14 \pm 2.05E-08	1.95 \pm 0.23E-07	0.68 \pm 2.30E-08
Cs-137	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	2.57 \pm 0.52E-07	2.79 \pm 0.41E-07	7.81 \pm 1.02E-07	5.71 \pm 2.30E-08
Pu-238	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	7.25 \pm 6.35E-09	2.72 \pm 5.33E-09	0.00 \pm 3.16E-09	2.95 \pm 4.08E-09
Pu-239/240	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	1.01 \pm 1.10E-08	1.76 \pm 1.03E-08	2.41 \pm 1.97E-08	0.00 \pm 7.06E-09
Am-241	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	1.28 \pm 1.56E-08	2.93 \pm 2.57E-08	2.91 \pm 2.70E-08	0.00 \pm 9.65E-09

N - Number of samples

Table G-2E
2002 Radioactivity Concentrations ($\mu\text{Ci/g}$ dry weight from upper 5 cm)
in Stream Sediments Around the WVDP as Compared to Background Locations

Analyte	Units	N	SFCCSED	SFSDSED	Background Location SFBISED
Gross Alpha	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	9.66 \pm 2.98E-06	5.85 \pm 2.12E-05	9.04 \pm 2.85E-06
Gross Beta	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	1.54 \pm 0.20E-05	1.22 \pm 0.18E-05	1.52 \pm 0.20E-05
K-40	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	1.58 \pm 0.20E-05	1.21 \pm 0.14E-05	1.53 \pm 0.20E-05
Co-60	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	0.34 \pm 2.43E-08	0.46 \pm 2.36E-08	-0.60 \pm 2.12E-08
Sr-90	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	3.83 \pm 2.28E-08	4.33 \pm 2.20E-08	3.03 \pm 2.35E-08
Cs-137	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	3.06 \pm 0.64E-07	1.46 \pm 0.47E-07	4.59 \pm 2.76E-08
U-232	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	-0.64 \pm 2.25E-08	-1.84 \pm 1.89E-08	0.20 \pm 2.05E-08
U-233/234	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	7.68 \pm 0.79E-07	5.59 \pm 0.63E-07	6.59 \pm 0.69E-07
U-235/236	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	9.70 \pm 3.04E-08	8.06 \pm 2.52E-08	8.99 \pm 2.70E-08
U-238	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	8.10 \pm 0.82E-07	5.33 \pm 0.60E-07	6.95 \pm 0.71E-07
Total U	$\mu\text{g/g}$	1	2.58 \pm 0.04E+00	1.60 \pm 0.02E+00	2.35 \pm 0.03E+00
Pu-238	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	-0.14 \pm 6.55E-09	3.81 \pm 8.82E-09	0.47 \pm 1.66E-08
Pu-239/240	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	7.34 \pm 8.55E-09	0.08 \pm 1.27E-08	0.31 \pm 1.15E-08
Am-241	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	1.50 \pm 1.14E-08	7.86 \pm 9.60E-09	0.86 \pm 1.30E-08

Analyte	Units	N	SFTCSSED	--	Background Location SFBCSED
Gross Alpha	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	8.21 \pm 2.56E-06	--	6.42 \pm 2.50E-06
Gross Beta	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	1.37 \pm 0.18E-05	--	1.32 \pm 0.19E-05
K-40	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	1.31 \pm 0.15E-05	--	1.27 \pm 0.16E-05
Co-60	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	1.30 \pm 1.76E-08	--	-0.38 \pm 1.85E-08
Sr-90	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	7.65 \pm 2.60E-08	--	2.59 \pm 2.29E-08
Cs-137	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	8.51 \pm 1.18E-07	--	4.96 \pm 3.40E-08
U-232	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	-0.17 \pm 2.61E-08	--	2.16 \pm 2.65E-08
U-233/234	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	7.42 \pm 0.81E-07	--	6.30 \pm 0.72E-07
U-235/236	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	1.22 \pm 0.36E-07	--	8.88 \pm 2.77E-08
U-238	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	6.71 \pm 0.78E-07	--	5.29 \pm 0.65E-07
Total U	$\mu\text{g/g}$	1	2.16 \pm 0.03E+00	--	2.24 \pm 0.03E+00
Pu-238	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	2.35 \pm 9.61E-09	--	-0.62 \pm 1.41E-08
Pu-239/240	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	-0.78 \pm 6.33E-09	--	0.00 \pm 1.20E-08
Am-241	$\mu\text{Ci/g}$	1	2.09 \pm 1.22E-08	--	1.16 \pm 0.93E-08

N - Number of samples

-- Not applicable; no additional sampling location

Appendix H

Summary of Direct Radiation Monitoring Data



An Environmental TLD Package

This page intentionally left blank

Table H-1
Summary of 2002 Quarterly Averages of Off-Site TLD Measurements
(mR±2 SD/quarter)

Location Number*	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	Location Average
DFTLD01	19±4.0	19±3.9	19±4.1	NA	19±4.0
DFTLD02	20±4.1	19±3.9	19±4.0	NA	19±4.0
DFTLD03	17±3.5	17±3.4	16±3.4	NA	16±3.4
DFTLD04	18±3.7	18±3.6	18±3.8	NA	18±3.7
DFTLD05	19±4.0	19±3.8	19±4.0	NA	19±3.9
DFTLD06	18±3.8	19±3.9	18±3.8	NA	18±3.8
DFTLD07	16±3.4	17±3.4	14±3.2	NA	16±3.3
DFTLD08	18±3.7	18±3.6	17±3.6	NA	18±3.6
DFTLD09	19±3.9	18±3.7	18±3.7	NA	18±3.8
DFTLD10	17±3.6	18±3.8	18±3.8	NA	18±3.7
DFTLD11	17±3.5	17±3.5	16±3.4	NA	16±3.5
DFTLD12	19±3.8	19±3.9	19±3.9	NA	19±3.9
DFTLD13	20±4.1	21±4.2	22±4.6	NA	21±4.3
DFTLD14	19±3.9	19±3.9	19±3.9	NA	19±3.9
DFTLD15	18±3.7	18±3.7	18±3.8	NA	18±3.7
DFTLD16	19±3.9	19±3.9	19±3.9	NA	19±3.9
DFTLD17	20±4.1	20±4.1	19±4.0	NA	20±4.1
DFTLD20	16±3.4	17±3.5	15±3.3	NA	16±3.4
DFTLD21	19±3.9	20±4.0	19±3.9	NA	19±3.9
DFTLD22	19±4.0	20±4.1	19±4.0	NA	20±4.0
DFTLD23	19±3.9	19±4.0	18±3.8	NA	19±3.9
DFTLD37	20±4.2	21±4.2	19±4.1	NA	20±4.2
DFTLD41	16±3.5	17±3.5	17±3.6	NA	17±3.5

* Off-site locations are shown on Figures A-11 through A-13 (pp. A-13 through A-15).

** Background measurements are provided by off-site TLDs 17, 23, 37, and 41.

NA - Not available; TLD measurements unreliable due to transit exposure.

Conversion factor: Milliroentgen (mR) units are used to report exposure rates in air. To convert mR to mrem (dose to humans), a conversion factor of 1.03 must be applied. For example, a reported exposure rate of 18.1 mR/quarter would be equivalent to 18.6 mrem/quarter (based upon dose-equivalent phantom calibration using cesium-137).

Table H-2
Summary of 2002 Quarterly Averages of On-Site TLD Measurements
(mR±2SD/quarter)

Location Number*	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	Location Average
DNTLD18	29±5.9	29±5.9	29±5.8	NA	29±5.9
DNTLD19	21±4.4	22±4.5	21±4.3	NA	21±4.4
DNTLD24	580±113.7	551±108.1	505±98.9	NA	545±107.1
DNTLD25	24±4.8	24±4.7	23±4.8	NA	23±4.8
DNTLD26	23±4.7	24±4.7	23±4.7	NA	23±4.7
DNTLD27	20±4.1	20±4.0	20±4.1	NA	20±4.1
DNTLD28	21±4.3	22±4.5	21±4.4	NA	21±4.4
DNTLD29	22±4.5	22±4.5	22±4.5	NA	22±4.5
DNTLD30	23±4.6	23±4.7	23±4.8	NA	23±4.7
DNTLD31	19±4.0	20±4.0	NA	NA	13±3.3
DNTLD32	29±5.9	29±5.8	28±5.7	NA	29±5.8
DNTLD33	30±6.1	31±6.2	29±5.9	NA	30±6.1
DNTLD34	49±9.7	48±9.4	45±8.9	NA	47±9.3
DNTLD35	70±13.9	68±13.3	64±12.6	NA	67±13.3
DNTLD36	35±6.9	35±7.0	35±7.0	NA	35±7.0
DNTLD38	32±6.4	32±6.4	30±6.1	NA	31±6.3
DNTLD39	48±9.4	48±9.4	45±9.0	NA	47±9.3
DNTLD40	115±22.6	114±22.3	102±20.1	NA	110±21.7
DNTLD42	79±15.6	77±15.1	70±13.8	NA	75±14.9
DNTLD43	28±5.7	28±5.5	26±5.3	NA	27±5.5

* On-site locations are shown on Figure A-10 (p. A-12).

NA - Not available. The third-quarter result for DNTLD31 is not available due to TLD instrument failure. The fourth-quarter measurements are unreliable due to transit exposure.

Conversion factor: Milliroentgen (mR) units are used to report exposure rates in air. To convert mR to mrem (dose to humans), a conversion factor of 1.03 must be applied. For example, a reported exposure rate of 18.1 mR/quarter would be equivalent to 18.6 mrem/quarter (based upon dose-equivalent phantom calibration using cesium-137).

Table H-3
3rd-Quarter 2002 TLD Results and Instantaneous Exposure Rate Readings
($\mu\text{R/hr}$) With a High-Pressure Ion Chamber (HPIC) at Each Monitoring
Location

Off-Site Location Number	3rd-Quarter TLD Result	August 2002 HPIC Result	On-Site Location Number	3rd-Quarter TLD Result	August 2002 HPIC Result
DFTLD01	8.73	9.40	DNTLD18	12.97	NA
DFTLD02	8.57	8.17	DNTLD19	9.45	NA
DFTLD03	7.12	8.20	DNTLD24	228.04	NA
DFTLD04	8.02	NA	DNTLD25	10.46	11.03
DFTLD05	8.57	8.90	DNTLD26	10.40	NA
DFTLD06	8.02	8.90	DNTLD27	8.90	NA
DFTLD07	6.48	8.27	DNTLD28	9.58	NA
DFTLD08	7.70	8.87	DNTLD29	9.72	NA
DFTLD09	7.93	8.67	DNTLD30	10.55	11.13
DFTLD10	8.15	8.63	DNTLD31	NA	NA
DFTLD11	7.20	8.07	DNTLD32	12.70	NA
DFTLD12	8.43	9.27	DNTLD33	13.24	NA
DFTLD13	10.15	8.63	DNTLD34	20.16	NA
DFTLD14	8.43	8.67	DNTLD35	28.88	NA
DFTLD15	8.02	8.47	DNTLD36	15.69	NA
DFTLD16	8.38	8.43	DNTLD38	13.65	NA
DFTLD17	8.60	8.83	DNTLD39	20.43	NA
DFTLD20	6.79	8.03	DNTLD40	46.29	NA
DFTLD21	8.47	NA	DNTLD42	31.60	NA
DFTLD22	8.61	9.03	DNTLD43	11.66	NA
DFTLD23	8.19	8.77			
DFTLD37	8.79	9.47			
DFTLD41	7.65	NA			

NA - Not available due to instrument failure.




Figure H-1. 2002 Average Yearly Gamma Exposure Rates Around the WVDP




Figure H-2. 2002 Average Yearly Gamma Exposure Rates on the WVDP


Appendix I


Summary of Meteorological Data




Checking the On-Site Rain Gauge

This page intentionally left blank










Figure I-4. Calendar Year 2002 Weekly Precipitation




Figure I-5. Calendar Year 2002 Cumulative Precipitation

Table I-1
2002 Site Precipitation Collection Data

Week Ending:	Weekly		Cumulative		Week Ending:	Weekly		Cumulative	
	(cm)	(inches)	(cm)	(inches)		(cm)	(inches)	(cm)	(inches)
Jan 04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Jul 05	0.00	0.00	54.28	21.37
Jan 11	1.09	0.43	1.09	0.43	Jul 12	0.58	0.23	54.86	21.60
Jan 18	1.88	0.74	2.97	1.17	Jul 19	0.46	0.18	55.32	21.78
Jan 25	0.58	0.23	3.56	1.40	Jul 26	2.29	0.90	57.61	22.68
Feb 01	6.22	2.45	9.78	3.85	Aug 02	4.24	1.67	61.85	24.35
Feb 08	0.18	0.07	9.96	3.92	Aug 09	0.00	0.00	61.85	24.35
Feb 15	0.94	0.37	10.90	4.29	Aug 16	1.83	0.72	63.68	25.07
Feb 22	1.14	0.45	12.04	4.74	Aug 23	4.85	1.91	68.53	26.98
Mar 01	1.24	0.49	13.28	5.23	Aug 30	0.91	0.36	69.44	27.34
Mar 08	2.13	0.84	15.42	6.07	Sep 06	0.69	0.27	70.13	27.61
Mar 15	1.30	0.51	16.71	6.58	Sep 13	0.56	0.22	70.69	27.83
Mar 22	0.81	0.32	17.53	6.90	Sep 20	3.63	1.43	74.32	29.26
Mar 29	1.65	0.65	19.18	7.55	Sep 27	2.54	1.00	76.86	30.26
Apr 05	2.95	1.16	22.12	8.71	Oct 04	1.88	0.74	78.74	31.00
Apr 12	1.30	0.51	23.42	9.22	Oct 11	0.00	0.00	78.74	31.00
Apr 19	1.32	0.52	24.74	9.74	Oct 18	2.39	0.94	81.13	31.94
Apr 26	0.97	0.38	25.70	10.12	Oct 25	1.93	0.76	83.06	32.70
May 03	1.75	0.69	27.46	10.81	Nov 01	1.83	0.72	84.89	33.42
May 10	1.96	0.77	29.41	11.58	Nov 08	2.36	0.93	87.25	34.35
May 17	5.92	2.33	35.33	13.91	Nov 15	1.22	0.48	88.47	34.83
May 24	0.86	0.34	36.20	14.25	Nov 22	1.40	0.55	89.87	35.38
May 31	4.62	1.82	40.82	16.07	Nov 29	1.52	0.60	91.39	35.98
Jun 07	3.12	1.23	43.94	17.30	Dec 06	2.62	1.03	94.01	37.01
Jun 14	2.26	0.89	46.20	18.19	Dec 13	1.68	0.66	95.68	37.67
Jun 21	2.41	0.95	48.62	19.14	Dec 20	3.12	1.23	98.81	38.90
Jun 28	5.66	2.23	54.28	21.37	Dec 27	1.04	0.41	99.85	39.31
					Dec 31	1.65	0.65	101.50	39.96

Table I-2
2002 Annual Temperature Summary at the 10-Meter
Primary Meteorological Tower

Month	Average Temperature		Maximum Temperature		Minimum Temperature	
	°C	°F	°C	°F	°C	°F
<i>January</i>	0.10	32.18	13.10	55.58	-12.10	10.22
<i>February</i>	-1.10	30.02	14.50	58.10	-15.10	4.82
<i>March</i>	1.10	33.98	19.50	67.10	-12.90	8.78
<i>April</i>	8.70	47.66	27.30	81.14	-6.10	21.02
<i>May</i>	10.80	51.44	26.20	79.16	-2.40	27.68
<i>June</i>	18.50	65.30	29.30	84.74	2.50	36.50
<i>July</i>	20.70	69.26	30.50	86.90	6.70	44.06
<i>August</i>	19.70	67.46	32.00	89.60	7.70	45.86
<i>September</i>	16.90	62.42	29.80	85.64	3.50	38.30
<i>October</i>	8.40	47.12	25.00	77.00	-6.20	20.84
<i>November</i>	2.90	37.22	17.30	63.14	-8.50	16.70
<i>December</i>	-3.10	26.42	11.30	52.34	-21.90	-7.42
Annual Average	8.63	47.54	22.98	73.37	-5.40	22.28

Table I-3
2002 Annual Barometric Pressure Summary
(station pressure - inches of mercury)

Month	Average Pressure	Maximum Pressure	Minimum Pressure
<i>January</i>	28.41	28.78	27.95
<i>February</i>	28.48	28.85	27.99
<i>March</i>	28.54	28.94	28.01
<i>April</i>	28.56	28.99	27.90
<i>May</i>	28.52	28.97	27.84
<i>June</i>	28.52	28.95	28.10
<i>July</i>	28.56	28.77	28.33
<i>August</i>	28.62	28.94	28.31
<i>September</i>	28.58	28.88	28.06
<i>October</i>	28.58	28.90	28.08
<i>November</i>	28.41	28.79	27.80
<i>December</i>	28.47	28.97	27.74
Annual Average	28.52	28.89	28.01

Appendix J

Summary of Quality Assurance Crosscheck Analyses



Validating Data

This page intentionally left blank

Table J-1
Comparison of Radiological Results With Known Results of Crosscheck
Samples From the DOE Environmental Measurements Laboratory (EML)
Quality Assessment Program (QAP) 56; EML-617 QAP 0203; June 2002

Analyte	Matrix	Units	Actual	Reported	Ratio	Accept?	Analyzed by:
Co-60	Air filter	Bq/filter	3.05E+01	3.08E+01	1.008	Yes	ELAB
Co-60	Air filter	Bq/filter	3.05E+01	3.13E+01	1.025	Yes	ELAB
Cs-137	Air filter	Bq/filter	2.82E+01	2.83E+01	1.004	Yes	ELAB
Cs-137	Air filter	Bq/filter	2.82E+01	2.92E+01	0.701	Yes	ELAB
Gross Alpha	Air filter	Bq/filter	5.34E-02	5.70E-01	1.067	Yes	ELAB
Gross Beta	Air filter	Bq/filter	1.30E+00	1.30E+00	1.00	Yes	ELAB
Mn-54	Air filter	Bq/filter	3.85E+01	4.42E+01	1.147	Yes	ELAB
Mn-54	Air filter	Bq/filter	3.85E+01	4.25E+01	1.103	Yes	ELAB
Am-241	Air filter	Bq/filter	8.80E-02	9.70E-02	1.099	Yes	GEL
Co-60	Air filter	Bq/filter	3.05E+01	3.19E+01	1.045	Yes	GEL
Cs-137	Air filter	Bq/filter	2.82E+01	2.98E+01	1.056	Yes	GEL
Gross Alpha	Air filter	Bq/filter	5.34E-01	5.35E-01	1.002	Yes	GEL
Gross Beta	Air filter	Bq/filter	1.30E+00	1.08E+00	0.831	Pass	GEL
Mn-54	Air filter	Bq/filter	3.85E+01	4.02E+01	1.043	Yes	GEL
Pu-238	Air filter	Bq/filter	5.70E-02	5.50E-02	0.958	Yes	GEL
Pu-239	Air filter	Bq/filter	1.87E-01	1.92E-01	1.025	Yes	GEL
Sr-90	Air filter	Bq/filter	4.83E+00	5.24E+00	1.085	Yes	GEL
U-234	Air filter	Bq/filter	2.97E-01	2.77E-01	0.931	Yes	GEL
U-238	Air filter	Bq/filter	2.98E-01	2.74E-01	0.919	Yes	GEL
U (total)	Air filter	µg/filter	2.41E+01	2.50E+01	1.037	Yes	GEL
Ac-228	Soil	Bq/kg	5.12E+01	5.12E+01	1.001	Yes	GEL
Am-241	Soil	Bq/kg	1.09E+01	1.31E+01	1.199	Yes	GEL
Bi-212	Soil	Bq/kg	5.34E+01	3.53E+01	0.661	Yes	GEL
Bi-214	Soil	Bq/kg	5.39E+01	4.39E+01	0.814	Pass	GEL
Cs-137	Soil	Bq/kg	1.33E+03	1.40E+03	1.055	Yes	GEL
K-40	Soil	Bq/kg	6.22E+02	6.51E+02	1.048	Yes	GEL
Pb-212	Soil	Bq/kg	5.11E+01	4.80E+01	0.939	Yes	GEL
Pb-214	Soil	Bq/kg	5.44E+01	5.27E+01	0.969	Yes	GEL
Pu-239	Soil	Bq/kg	1.91E+01	1.97E+01	1.032	Yes	GEL
Sr-90	Soil	Bq/kg	5.38E+01	6.71E+01	1.248	Yes	GEL
Th-234	Soil	Bq/kg	8.93E+01	1.09E+02	1.218	Yes	GEL
U-234	Soil	Bq/kg	9.39E+01	8.29E+01	0.883	Yes	GEL
U-238	Soil	Bq/kg	9.68E+01	8.57E+01	0.886	Yes	GEL
U (total)	Soil	µg/g	7.83E+00	7.70E+00	0.984	Yes	GEL

Acceptance is based on the reported-to-actual ratio, assigned statistically on a case-by-case basis. **Yes** indicates a ratio within warning limits. **Pass** indicates a ratio within control limits but outside warning limits. **No** indicates a ratio outside control limits. Samples were analyzed by either the WVDP Environmental Laboratory (ELAB) or General Engineering Laboratory (GEL).

Table J-1 (concluded)
**Comparison of Radiological Results With Known Results of Crosscheck
Samples From the DOE Environmental Measurements Laboratory (EML)
Quality Assessment Program (QAP) 56; EML-617 QAP 0203; June 2002**

Analyte	Matrix	Units	Actual	Reported	Ratio	Accept?	Analyzed by:
Am-241	Veg	Bq/kg	2.23E+00	2.43E+00	1.091	Yes	GEL
Cm-244	Veg	Bq/kg	1.32E+00	1.39E+00	1.053	Yes	GEL
Co-60	Veg	Bq/kg	1.12E+01	1.27E+01	1.131	Yes	GEL
Cs-137	Veg	Bq/kg	3.14E+02	3.35E+02	1.068	Yes	GEL
K-40	Veg	Bq/kg	8.64E+02	1.00E+03	1.157	Yes	GEL
Pu-239	Veg	Bq/kg	3.54E+00	3.46E+00	0.976	Yes	GEL
Sr-90	Veg	Bq/kg	5.86E+02	6.11E+02	1.042	Yes	GEL
Co-60	Water	Bq/L	3.47E+02	3.66E+02	1.055	Yes	ELAB
Cs-134	Water	Bq/L	3.36E+00	3.00E+00	0.894	Pass	ELAB
Cs-137	Water	Bq/L	5.61E+01	5.97E+01	1.065	Yes	ELAB
Gross Alpha	Water	Bq/L	3.75E+02	4.29E+02	1.144	Pass	ELAB
Gross Beta	Water	Bq/L	1.03E+03	9.98E+02	0.969	Yes	ELAB
H-3	Water	Bq/L	2.84E+02	2.85E+02	1.005	Yes	ELAB
Sr-90	Water	Bq/L	7.58E+00	7.06E+00	0.176	Yes	ELAB
Am-241	Water	Bq/L	1.47E+00	1.54E+00	1.045	Yes	GEL
Co-60	Water	Bq/L	3.47E+02	3.56E+02	1.025	Yes	GEL
Cs-134	Water	Bq/L	3.36E+00	2.73E+00	0.813	Pass	GEL
Cs-137	Water	Bq/L	5.61E+01	5.72E+01	1.020	Yes	GEL
Gross Alpha	Water	Bq/L	3.75E+02	4.83E+02	1.288	Pass	GEL
Gross Beta	Water	Bq/L	1.03E+03	1.10E+03	1.069	Yes	GEL
H-3	Water	Bq/L	2.84E+02	2.44E+02	0.860	Pass	GEL
Pu-238	Water	Bq/L	4.90E-01	4.76E-01	0.971	Yes	GEL
Pu-239	Water	Bq/L	4.22E+00	4.26E+00	1.010	Yes	GEL
Sr-90	Water	Bq/L	7.58E+00	7.51E+00	0.991	Yes	GEL
U-234	Water	Bq/L	1.40E+00	1.22E+00	0.870	Pass	GEL
U-238	Water	Bq/L	1.38E+00	1.21E+00	0.876	Pass	GEL
U (total)	Water	µg/mL	1.12E-01	1.08E-01	0.967	Yes	GEL

Acceptance is based on the reported-to-actual ratio, assigned statistically on a case-by-case basis. **Yes** indicates a ratio within warning limits. **Pass** indicates a ratio within control limits but outside warning limits. **No** indicates a ratio outside control limits. Samples were analyzed by either the WVDP Environmental Laboratory (ELAB) or General Engineering Laboratory (GEL).

Table J-2
Comparison of Radiological Results With Known Results of Crosscheck
Samples From the DOE Environmental Measurements Laboratory (EML)
Quality Assessment Program (QAP) 57; EML-618 QAP 0209; December 2002

Analyte	Matrix	Units	Actual	Reported	Ratio	Accept?	Analyzed by:
Co-60	Air filter	Bq/filter	2.30E+01	2.58E+01	1.123	Pass	ELAB
Cs-137	Air filter	Bq/filter	3.25E+01	3.62E+01	1.115	Yes	ELAB
Gross Alpha	Air filter	Bq/filter	2.87E-01	2.80E-01	0.976	Yes	ELAB
Gross Beta	Air filter	Bq/filter	8.71E-01	8.40E-01	0.964	Yes	ELAB
Mn-54	Air filter	Bq/filter	5.22E+01	6.44E+01	1.234	Pass	ELAB
Am-241	Air filter	Bq/filter	1.91E-01	1.96E-01	1.028	Yes	GEL
Co-60	Air filter	Bq/filter	2.30E+01	2.47E+01	1.075	Yes	GEL
Cs-137	Air filter	Bq/filter	3.25E+01	3.52E+01	1.084	Yes	GEL
Gross Alpha	Air filter	Bq/filter	2.87E-01	3.31E-01	1.153	Yes	GEL
Gross Beta	Air filter	Bq/filter	8.71E-01	9.38E-01	1.077	Yes	GEL
Mn-54	Air filter	Bq/filter	5.22E+01	5.60E+01	1.073	Yes	GEL
Pu-238	Air filter	Bq/filter	1.19E-01	1.04E-01	0.872	Pass	GEL
Pu-239	Air filter	Bq/filter	2.06E-01	2.01E-01	0.977	Yes	GEL
Sr-90	Air filter	Bq/filter	5.56E+00	5.80E+00	1.042	Yes	GEL
U-234	Air filter	Bq/filter	2.28E-01	2.28E-01	1.002	Yes	GEL
U-238	Air filter	Bq/filter	2.30E-01	2.06E-01	0.896	Pass	GEL
U (total)	Air filter	µg/filter	1.86E+01	8.70E+00	0.468	No	GEL
Am-241	Soil	Bq/kg	6.77E+00	7.97E+00	1.178	Yes	GEL
Cs-137	Soil	Bq/kg	8.29E+02	8.46E+02	1.020	Yes	GEL
K-40	Soil	Bq/kg	6.38E+02	6.62E+02	1.039	Yes	GEL
Pu-239	Soil	Bq/kg	1.29E+01	1.21E+01	0.938	Yes	GEL
Sr-90	Soil	Bq/kg	4.12E+01	3.97E+01	0.965	Yes	GEL
U-234	Soil	Bq/kg	4.23E+01	3.81E+01	0.901	Yes	GEL
U-238	Soil	Bq/kg	4.49E+01	4.28E+01	0.953	Yes	GEL
U (total)	Soil	µg/g	3.61E+00	1.86E+00	0.515	Pass	GEL

*Acceptance is based on the reported-to-actual ratio, assigned statistically on a case-by-case basis. **Yes** indicates a ratio within warning limits. **Pass** indicates a ratio within control limits but outside warning limits. **No** indicates a ratio outside control limits. Samples were analyzed by either the WVDP Environmental Laboratory (ELAB) or General Engineering Laboratory (GEL).*

Table J-2 (concluded)
**Comparison of Radiological Results With Known Results of Crosscheck
Samples From the DOE Environmental Measurements Laboratory (EML)
Quality Assessment Program (QAP) 57; EML-618 QAP 0209; December 2002**

Analyte	Matrix	Units	Actual	Reported	Ratio	Accept?	Analyzed by:
Am-241	Veg	Bq/kg	2.25E+00	2.35E+00	1.041	Yes	GEL
Cm-244	Veg	Bq/kg	1.25E+00	1.34E+00	1.076	Yes	GEL
Co-60	Veg	Bq/kg	9.66E+00	1.09E+01	1.128	Yes	GEL
Cs-137	Veg	Bq/kg	3.01E+02	3.00E+02	0.997	Yes	GEL
K-40	Veg	Bq/kg	1.48E+03	1.57E+03	1.060	Yes	GEL
Pu-239	Veg	Bq/kg	3.43E+00	3.25E+00	0.947	Yes	GEL
Sr-90	Veg	Bq/kg	4.76E+02	4.29E+02	0.900	Yes	GEL
Co-60	Water	Bq/L	2.69E+02	2.75E+02	1.024	Yes	ELAB
Cs-134	Water	Bq/L	6.02E+01	5.87E+01	0.975	Yes	ELAB
Cs-137	Water	Bq/L	8.14E+01	8.15E+01	1.001	Yes	ELAB
Gross Alpha	Water	Bq/L	2.10E+02	2.22E+02	1.057	Yes	ELAB
Gross Beta	Water	Bq/L	9.00E+02	9.20E+02	1.022	Yes	ELAB
H-3	Water	Bq/L	2.27E+02	2.36E+02	1.036	Yes	ELAB
Sr-90	Water	Bq/L	8.69E+00	8.13E+00	0.936	Yes	ELAB
Am-241	Water	Bq/L	3.04E+00	2.85E+00	0.936	Yes	GEL
Co-60	Water	Bq/L	2.69E+02	2.84E+02	1.056	Yes	GEL
Cs-134	Water	Bq/L	6.02E+01	5.49E+01	0.912	Yes	GEL
Cs-137	Water	Bq/L	8.14E+01	8.34E+01	1.024	Yes	GEL
Gross Alpha	Water	Bq/L	2.10E+02	2.73E+02	1.298	No	GEL
Gross Beta	Water	Bq/L	9.00E+02	9.25E+02	1.027	Yes	GEL
H-3	Water	Bq/L	2.27E+02	2.28E+02	1.005	Yes	GEL
Ni-63	Water	Bq/L					GEL
Pu-238	Water	Bq/L	4.33E+00	3.76E+00	0.868	Pass	GEL
Pu-239	Water	Bq/L	2.07E+00	2.02E+00	0.978	Yes	GEL
Sr-90	Water	Bq/L	8.69E+00	7.55E+00	0.869	Yes	GEL
U-234	Water	Bq/L	3.32E+00	2.95E+00	0.888	Pass	GEL
U-238	Water	Bq/L	3.37E+00	2.89E+00	0.858	Pass	GEL
U (total)	Water	µg/mL	2.73E-01	2.81E-01	1.031	Yes	GEL

Acceptance is based on the reported-to-actual ratio, assigned statistically on a case-by-case basis. **Yes** indicates a ratio within warning limits. **Pass** indicates a ratio within control limits but outside warning limits. **No** indicates a ratio outside control limits. Samples were analyzed by either the WVDP Environmental Laboratory (ELAB) or General Engineering Laboratory (GEL).

Table J-3
Comparison of Results From Crosscheck Samples Analyzed for Water Quality Parameters as Part of the EPA's 2002 Discharge Monitoring Report - Quality Assurance (DMR-QA) Study 22 for the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES)

Analyte	Units	Actual	Reported	Accept?	Analyzed by:
Aluminum	µg/L	1,380	1,480	Yes	STL
Ammonia (as Nitrogen)	mg/L	6.46	5.67	Yes	STL
Arsenic	µg/L	835	893	Yes	STL
Biochemical oxygen demand	mg/L	82	75.6	Yes	STL
Cadmium	µg/L	196	210	Yes	STL
Chlorine, total residual	mg/L	1.51	1.6	Yes	WVNSCO
Chromium	µg/L	529	562	Yes	STL
Cobalt	µg/L	660	703	Yes	STL
Copper	µg/L	114	118	Yes	STL
Cyanide, total	mg/L	0.499	0.419	Yes	STL
Grease and oil (Gravimetric)	mg/L	34.5	23.7	Pass	STL
Iron	µg/L	2,620	2,750	Yes	STL
Lead	µg/L	770	820	Yes	STL
Manganese	µg/L	1,500	1,570	Yes	STL
Mercury	µg/L	6.53	5.72	Yes	STL
Nickel	µg/L	1,030	1,150	Pass	STL
Nitrate (as Nitrogen)	mg/L	9.96	9.3	Yes	STL
pH	SU	5.6	5.62	Yes	WVNSCO
Phenolics, total	mg/L	3.34	0.95	No	STL
Selenium	µg/L	853	936	Pass	STL
Suspended solids, total	mg/L	66.3	46	No	STL
Vanadium	µg/L	6,500	6,810	Yes	STL
Zinc	µg/L	925	996	Yes	STL

Samples provided by Environmental Research Associates (ERA).

Analyses were conducted by Severn Trent Laboratories (STL) or WVNSCO, as indicated.

*Acceptance limits are determined by ERA or NYSDOH, as applicable. **Yes** indicates a ratio within warning limits. **Pass** indicates a ratio within control limits but outside warning limits. **No** indicates a ratio outside control limits.*

This page intentionally left blank

Appendix K
Environmental Laws, Regulations, Standards, and Orders

This page intentionally left blank

Table K-1
U.S. Department of Energy Radiation Protection Standards
and Derived Concentration Guides¹

Effective Dose Equivalent Radiation Standard for Protection of the Public

*Continuous exposure of any member of the public from routine activities:
All exposure pathways = 100 mrem/year (1 mSv/yr) effective dose equivalent*

U.S. Department of Energy Derived Concentration Guides (DCGs)
for Inhaled Air or Ingested Water ($\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$)

<i>Radionuclide</i>	<i>Half-life^{2,3} (years)</i>	<i>In Air</i>	<i>In Water</i>	<i>Radionuclide</i>	<i>Half-life^{2,3} (years)</i>	<i>In Air</i>	<i>In Water</i>
H-3*	1.23E+01	1E-07	2E-03	Eu-152	1.36E+01	5E-11	2E-05
C-14*	5.73E+03	6E-09	7E-05	Eu-154*	8.80E+00	5E-11	2E-05
K-40	1.28E+09	9E-10	7E-06	Eu-155	4.96E+00	3E-10	1E-04
Fe-55	2.70E+00	5E-09	2E-04	Th-232	1.40E+10	7E-15	5E-08
Co-60*	5.27E+00	8E-11	5E-06	U-232*	7.20E+01	2E-14	1E-07
Ni-59	7.50E+04	4E-09	7E-04	U-233*	1.59E+05	9E-14	5E-07
Ni-63	1.00E+02	2E-09	3E-04	U-234*	2.44E+05	9E-14	5E-07
Sr-90*	2.86E+01	9E-12	1E-06	U-235*	7.04E+08	1E-13	6E-07
Y-90	7.31E-03	1E-09	1E-05	U-236*	2.34E+07	1E-13	5E-07
Zr-93	1.53E+06	4E-11	9E-05	U-238*	4.47E+09	1E-13	6E-07
Nb-93m	1.46E+01	4E-10	3E-04	Np-239	6.45E-03	5E-09	5E-05
Tc-99*	2.13E+05	2E-09	1E-04	Pu-238*	8.78E+01	3E-14	4E-08
Ru-106	1.01E+00	3E-11	6E-06	Pu-239*	2.41E+04	2E-14	3E-08
Cd-113m	1.37E+01	8E-12	9E-07	Pu-240*	6.57E+03	2E-14	3E-08
Sn-126	1.00E+05	1E-10	8E-06	Pu-241	1.44E+01	1E-12	2E-06
Sb-125	2.77E+00	1E-09	5E-05	Am-241*	4.32E+02	2E-14	3E-08
Te-125m	1.59E-01	2E-09	4E-05	Am-242m	1.52E+02	2E-14	3E-08
I-129*	1.57E+07	7E-11	5E-07	Am-243	7.38E+03	2E-14	3E-08
Cs-134	2.06E+00	2E-10	2E-06	Cm-243	2.85E+01	3E-14	5E-08
Cs-135	2.30E+06	3E-09	2E-05	Cm-244	1.81E+01	4E-14	6E-08
Cs-137*	3.02E+01	4E-10	3E-06	Gross Alpha	NA	2E-14	3E-08
Pm-147	2.62E+00	3E-10	1E-04	(as Am-241)			
Sm-151	9.00E+01	4E-10	4E-04	Gross Beta	NA	9E-12	1E-06
				(as Sr-90)			

¹ DOE Order 5400.5 (February 8, 1990). Effective May 8, 1990. (See Derived Concentration Guides [p. 1-5] in Chapter 1, Environmental Program Information.)

² U.S. Department of Energy. 1981. Radioactive Decay Tables. Washington, D.C.: Technical Information Center, U.S. Department of Energy.

³ Shleien, et al. 1998. Handbook of Health Physics and Radiological Health Safety. 3rd ed. Baltimore, Maryland: Williams & Wilkins.

* Radionuclides measured in WVDP effluent.

NA - Not applicable.

Table K-2
Environmental Laws, Regulations, Standards, and Orders

The following environmental standards and laws are applicable, in whole or in part, to the West Valley Demonstration Project. Although the list covers the major activities at the West Valley Demonstration Project, it does not constitute a complete enumeration.

Atomic Energy Act of 1954, 42 United States Code (USC) §2011 et seq., as amended, and implementing regulations.

Clean Air Act (CAA). Pub. L. No. 84-159. 42 USC §7401 et seq., as amended, and implementing regulations.

Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA). Pub. L. No. 96-510. 42 USC §9601 et seq. (including Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act [SARA] of 1986), and implementing regulations.

DOE Order 231.1. September 30, 1995. Environment, Safety, and Health Reporting, including Change 2 (November 7, 1996).

DOE Order 232.1A. August 1, 1997. Occurrence Reporting and Processing of Operations Information.

DOE Order 414.1A. September 29, 1999. Quality Assurance, including Change 1 (July 12, 2001).

DOE Order 435.1. July 9, 1999. Radioactive Waste Management, including Change 1 (August 28, 2001).

DOE Order 440.1A. March 27, 1998. Worker Protection for DOE Federal and Contractor Employees.

DOE Order 450.1. January 15, 2003. Environmental Protection Program.

DOE Order 451.1B. October 26, 2000. National Environmental Policy Act Compliance Program, including Change 1 (September 28, 2001).

DOE Order 5400.1. November 9, 1988. General Environmental Protection Program, including Change 1 (June 29, 1990).

DOE Order 5400.5. February 8, 1990. Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment, including Change 2 (January 7, 1993).

DOE Order 5480.4. May 15, 1984. Environmental Protection, Safety, and Health Protection Standards, including Change 4 (January 7, 1993).

DOE Regulatory Guide DOE/EH-0173T. January 1991. Environmental Regulatory Guide for Radiological Effluent Monitoring and Environmental Surveillance.

Table K-2 (continued)
Environmental Laws, Regulations, Standards, and Orders

DOE Standard DOE-STD-1153-2002. July 2002. *A Graded Approach for Evaluating Radiation Doses to Aquatic and Terrestrial Biota.*

Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA) of 1986. Pub. L. No. 99-499. 42 USC §11001 *et seq.*, and implementing regulations.

Endangered Species Act of 1973. Pub. L. No. 93-205. 16 USC §1531 *et seq.*, and implementing regulations.

Environmental Conservation Law of New York State and implementing regulations (NYCRR).

Executive Order 11988. *Floodplain Management.*

Executive Order 11990. *Protection of Wetlands.*

Executive Order 12856. *Federal Compliance with Right-to-Know Laws and Pollution Prevention Requirements.*

Executive Order 13101. *Greening the Government Through Waste Prevention, Recycling, and Federal Acquisition.*

Executive Order 13148. *Greening the Government Through Leadership in Environmental Management.*

Federal Water Pollution Control Act (Clean Water Act [CWA]). Pub. L. No. 95-217. 33 USC §1251 *et seq.*, as amended, and implementing regulations.

Migratory Bird Treaty Act. 16 USC §703–712 as amended, and implementing regulations.

National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969. Pub. L. No. 91-190. 42 USC §4321 *et seq.*, as amended, and implementing regulations.

National Historic Preservation Act of 1966. Pub. L. No. 102-575. 16 USC §470 *et seq.*, and implementing regulations.

Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). Pub. L. No. 94-580. 42 USC §6901 *et seq.*, as amended, and implementing regulations.

Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA). Pub. L. No. 93-523. 42 USC §300f *et seq.*, as amended, and implementing regulations.

Table K-2 (concluded)
Environmental Laws, Regulations, Standards, and Orders

Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA). Pub. L. No. 94-469. 15 USC §2601 *et seq.*, as amended, and implementing regulations.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1992. *Region II Administrative Order on Consent. Docket No. II RCRA 3008(h)-92-0202. In the Matter of: Western New York Nuclear Service Center.*

Water quality standards contained in the New York State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (SPDES) permit issued for the facility are listed in Table G-1. Airborne emissions are regulated by the Environmental Protection Agency under the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP), 40 CFR §61 Subpart H (December 15, 1989).

Appendix L
***Summary of New York State Energy Research
and Development Authority (NYSERDA)
Groundwater Monitoring Data***



An Aerial View of the New York State-Licensed Disposal Area (SDA)

This page intentionally left blank

Table L-1
2002 Contamination Indicator Results From SDA Monitoring Wells

Sample Location	Date	Conductivity (µmhos/cm@25°C)	pH (standard units)	Temperature (°C)	Turbidity (NTU)
WNW1101A	<i>June</i>	596	7.34	15.8	13.90
WNW1101A	<i>December</i>	661	7.21	9.7	3.54
WNW1101B	<i>June</i>	609	7.48	13.0	8.47
WNW1101B	<i>December</i>	633	7.35	9.0	6.15
WNW1101C	<i>June</i>	346	7.66	13.0	176.00
WNW1101C	<i>December</i>	362	7.57	9.3	21.00
WNW1102A	<i>June</i>	492	NA	12.6	3.90
WNW1102A	<i>December</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA
WNW1102B	<i>June</i>	552	7.28	12.6	13.90
WNW1102B	<i>December</i>	575	7.17	9.1	3.91
WNW1103A	<i>June</i>	892	7.21	12.5	26.80
WNW1103A	<i>December</i>	927	7.17	9.7	21.00
WNW1103B	<i>June</i>	636	7.38	14.4	10.70
WNW1103B	<i>December</i>	658	7.28	8.8	5.45
WNW1104A	<i>June</i>	720	7.74	11.9	1.11
WNW1104A	<i>December</i>	702	7.51	11.6	8.31
WNW1104B	<i>June</i>	650	7.72	16.2	2.31
WNW1104B	<i>December</i>	618	7.46	9.8	2.87
WNW1105A	<i>June</i>	639	7.41	11.3	>1,000.00
WNW1105A	<i>December</i>	669	7.36	9.4	21.40
WNW1105B	<i>June</i>	631	7.53	12.3	>1,000.00
WNW1105B	<i>December</i>	675	7.33	9.0	44.70
WNW1106A	<i>June</i>	689	7.41	13.2	393.00
WNW1106A	<i>December</i>	720	7.34	11.5	2.18
WNW1106B	<i>June</i>	707	7.42	14.8	260.00
WNW1106B	<i>June</i>	707	7.42	14.8	260.00
WNW1106B	<i>December</i>	768	7.18	10.8	419.00
WNW1107A	<i>June</i>	1,826	6.71	12.2	8.97
WNW1107A	<i>December</i>	1,729	6.61	11.8	1.19
WNW1108A	<i>June</i>	909	7.36	11.1	144.00
WNW1108A	<i>December</i>	804	7.07	11.3	109.00

All data in Tables L-1 through L-3 have been provided by NYSERDA.

NA - Not available. Some scheduled analyses could not be performed due to insufficient sample.

Table L-1 (concluded)
2002 Contamination Indicator Results From SDA Monitoring Wells

Sample Location	Date	Conductivity (µmhos/cm@25°C)	pH (standard units)	Temperature (°C)	Turbidity (NTU)
WNW1109A	<i>June</i>	539	7.22	11.2	6.78
WNW1109A	<i>December</i>	575	7.30	9.4	1.34
WNW1109B	<i>June</i>	416	7.44	13.0	38.30
WNW1109B	<i>December</i>	460	7.44	10.3	24.50
WNW1109B	<i>December</i>	460	7.44	10.3	24.50
WNW1111A	<i>June</i>	951	7.19	13.7	4.37
WNW1111A	<i>December</i>	1,016	6.91	10.2	4.73

All data in Tables L-1 through L-3 have been provided by NYSERDA.

Table L-2
2002 Radiological Indicator Results From SDA Monitoring Wells ($\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$)

Sample Location	Date	Gross Alpha	Gross Beta	H-3
WNW1101A	June	4.50±2.10E-09	4.28±1.50E-09	7.81±4.60E-08
WNW1101A	December	2.56±1.20E-09	2.96±1.90E-09	1.52±0.57E-07
WNW1101B	June	4.99±2.10E-09	2.34±1.10E-09	0.65±4.40E-08
WNW1101B	December	2.55±1.20E-09	3.79±1.90E-09	-0.40±4.10E-08
WNW1101C	June	2.70±1.30E-09	8.62±1.80E-09	-0.05±6.30E-08
WNW1101C	December	1.07±0.76E-09	2.75±1.10E-09	3.09±4.50E-08
WNW1102A	June	4.41±2.20E-09	4.01±1.60E-09	3.20±0.52E-07
WNW1102A	December	2.25±1.30E-09	4.20±2.10E-09	3.38±0.73E-07
WNW1102B	June	1.02±0.87E-09	2.91±1.10E-09	0.46±4.40E-08
WNW1102B	December	1.36±0.95E-09	2.65±1.70E-09	0.36±4.60E-08
WNW1103A	June	6.02±2.80E-09	4.64±1.80E-09	4.96±0.56E-07
WNW1103A	December	5.09±1.90E-09	6.33±2.40E-09	5.18±0.89E-07
WNW1103B	June	6.19±2.30E-09	3.78±1.30E-09	-0.68±4.40E-08
WNW1103B	December	1.74±1.00E-09	4.25±1.60E-09	0.74±4.60E-08
WNW1103C	June	NA	NA	1.17±6.40E-08
WNW1103C	December	1.25±1.10E-09	6.37±1.70E-09	-1.63±4.40E-08
WNW1104A	June	3.91±2.20E-09	3.19±1.30E-09	2.08±0.49E-07
WNW1104A	December	2.80±1.30E-09	5.60±2.10E-09	1.64±0.58E-07
WNW1104B	June	1.30±1.10E-09	2.73±1.10E-09	0.22±4.40E-08
WNW1104B	December	1.67±0.91E-09	2.13±1.70E-09	0.96±4.60E-08
WNW1104C	June	5.77±4.80E-09	9.48±3.90E-09	3.14±6.50E-08
WNW1104C	December	3.77±3.60E-09	7.45±5.10E-09	9.36±5.40E-08
WNW1105A	June	2.76±1.60E-09	3.79±1.40E-09	1.79±0.71E-07
WNW1105A	December	2.53±1.20E-09	3.11±1.80E-09	1.46±0.58E-07
WNW1105B	June	3.49±1.80E-09	2.89±1.20E-09	3.77±6.50E-08
WNW1105B	December	1.80±1.20E-09	2.60±1.70E-09	1.04±0.52E-07
WNW1106A	June	4.02±1.90E-09	2.35±1.50E-09	6.00±0.87E-07
WNW1106A	December	2.23±1.10E-09	4.90±2.30E-09	6.29±0.98E-07
WNW1106B	June	2.44±1.50E-09	2.93±1.40E-09	2.72±4.50E-08
WNW1106B	June	1.62±1.20E-09	3.73±1.50E-09	-0.42±4.40E-08
WNW1106B	December	1.51±0.95E-09	3.14±1.90E-09	1.67±4.70E-08

All data in Tables L-1 through L-3 have been provided by NYSERDA.

NA - Not available. Some scheduled analyses could not be performed due to insufficient sample.

Table L-2 (concluded)
2002 Radiological Indicator Results From SDA Monitoring Wells ($\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$)

Sample Location	Date	Gross Alpha	Gross Beta	H-3
WNW1107A	<i>June</i>	1.01±0.48E-08	3.00±0.56E-08	1.03±0.04E-05
WNW1107A	<i>December</i>	6.07±2.90E-09	1.79±0.50E-08	1.12±0.08E-05
WNW1108A	<i>June</i>	8.49±3.30E-09	3.85±1.70E-09	1.32±0.69E-07
WNW1108A	<i>December</i>	4.20±1.60E-09	3.78±2.00E-09	1.31±0.54E-07
WNW1109A	<i>June</i>	2.51±1.40E-09	2.39±1.20E-09	2.45±0.73E-07
WNW1109A	<i>December</i>	2.95±1.20E-09	3.48±1.70E-09	2.36±0.67E-07
WNW1109B	<i>June</i>	1.12±0.81E-09	2.70±1.10E-09	4.98±0.83E-07
WNW1109B	<i>December</i>	7.08±6.00E-10	3.08±1.30E-09	4.73±0.84E-07
WNW1109B	<i>December</i>	8.78±7.70E-10	1.04±1.10E-09	4.69±0.84E-07
WNW1111A	<i>June</i>	6.99±3.10E-09	4.45±2.00E-09	2.03±0.71E-07
WNW1111A	<i>December</i>	5.56±2.20E-09	5.59±2.30E-09	2.07±0.61E-07

All data in Tables L-1 through L-3 have been provided by NYSERDA.

Table L-3
2002 Radioisotopic Results From SDA Monitoring Wells ($\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$)

Sample Location	Date	Actinium-228	Bismuth-214	Carbon-14	Cesium-134
WNW1101A	June	1.49±1.60E-08	0.54±1.00E-08	3.16±2.60E-09	4.30±5.60E-09
WNW1101B	June	1.05±1.90E-08	0.93±1.10E-08	2.95±2.80E-09	3.01±4.80E-09
WNW1101C	June	2.28±1.70E-08	1.20±1.10E-08	-0.98±2.70E-09	-7.26±6.00E-09
WNW1102A	June	1.41±2.00E-08	0.11±1.00E-08	2.65±3.00E-09	-5.45±5.70E-09
WNW1102B	June	0.23±1.80E-08	0.94±9.80E-09	1.08±3.20E-09	-1.48±5.90E-09
WNW1103A	June	-0.21±1.10E-08	1.18±6.50E-09	4.19±2.80E-09	1.19±4.60E-09
WNW1103B	June	-0.76±1.90E-08	0.43±1.00E-08	2.81±3.90E-09	-6.92±5.30E-09
WNW1104A	June	1.23±1.80E-08	1.11±9.90E-09	3.43±3.60E-09	-2.01±4.90E-09
WNW1104B	June	0.77±1.80E-08	1.31±1.10E-08	3.02±3.30E-09	-2.59±6.10E-09
WNW1104C	December	-1.50±3.30E-08	-0.44±1.60E-08	NA	4.19±6.30E-09
WNW1105A	June	2.19±2.00E-08	0.10±8.80E-09	2.62±4.10E-09	-0.09±5.40E-09
WNW1105B	June	-0.62±1.80E-08	0.50±9.90E-09	2.17±4.40E-09	-0.06±5.10E-09
WNW1106A	June	1.13±1.80E-08	0.37±1.00E-08	2.30±3.80E-09	-2.03±5.60E-09
WNW1106B	June	0.82±2.00E-08	8.80±9.50E-09	0.53±2.60E-09	-0.64±5.10E-09
WNW1106B	June	-1.08±1.60E-08	-0.08±1.10E-08	4.16±2.50E-09	0.45±4.90E-09
WNW1107A	June	1.41±1.60E-08	0.78±1.10E-08	1.51±2.90E-09	1.00±5.70E-09
WNW1108A	June	1.02±1.70E-08	1.28±9.70E-09	0.48±4.00E-09	3.89±5.80E-09
WNW1109A	December	0.47±1.70E-08	0.86±1.10E-08	7.71±4.60E-09	0.06±5.80E-09
WNW1109B	June	0.86±1.70E-08	1.43±1.00E-08	2.78±5.50E-09	-4.54±4.90E-09
WNW1111A	June	1.05±1.70E-08	0.52±1.10E-08	1.84±3.20E-09	0.56±5.10E-09

All data in Tables L-1 through L-3 have been provided by NYSERDA.

NA - Not available. Some scheduled analyses could not be performed due to insufficient sample.

Table L-3 (continued)
2002 Radioisotopic Results From SDA Monitoring Wells ($\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$)

Sample Location	Date	Cesium-137	Cobalt-57	Cobalt-60	Iodine-129
WNW1101A	June	1.48 \pm 5.40E-09	0.33 \pm 2.40E-08	-0.63 \pm 5.90E-09	^a
WNW1101A	December	^b	^b	^b	-1.28 \pm 3.00E-10
WNW1101B	June	-0.92 \pm 4.80E-09	-1.66 \pm 2.40E-08	-0.60 \pm 5.70E-09	0.05 \pm 1.10E-10
WNW1101C	June	-2.70 \pm 4.90E-09	0.81 \pm 2.30E-08	4.30 \pm 5.60E-09	0.62 \pm 1.80E-10
WNW1102A	June	-1.70 \pm 4.70E-09	-0.28 \pm 2.20E-08	1.50 \pm 5.40E-09	NA
WNW1102B	June	-0.44 \pm 4.40E-09	0.26 \pm 2.20E-08	4.74 \pm 5.80E-09	1.88 \pm 9.80E-11
WNW1103A	June	0.01 \pm 2.80E-09	0.85 \pm 1.70E-08	-0.02 \pm 3.40E-09	0.82 \pm 1.30E-10
WNW1103B	June	3.07 \pm 4.80E-09	0.04 \pm 2.50E-08	1.08 \pm 4.90E-09	-0.35 \pm 1.00E-10
WNW1104A	June	-1.25 \pm 5.10E-09	-1.35 \pm 2.40E-08	-1.10 \pm 6.00E-09	0.79 \pm 1.10E-10
WNW1104B	June	-2.95 \pm 5.40E-09	0.53 \pm 2.20E-08	-1.11 \pm 4.90E-09	-0.11 \pm 1.10E-10
WNW1104C	December	-2.47 \pm 7.80E-09	0.98 \pm 3.10E-08	3.50 \pm 9.10E-09	NA
WNW1105A	June	2.99 \pm 4.90E-09	0.80 \pm 2.30E-08	1.50 \pm 5.00E-09	2.42 \pm 1.50E-10
WNW1105B	June	3.54 \pm 4.40E-09	-3.50 \pm 2.30E-08	3.14 \pm 3.60E-09	-0.57 \pm 1.80E-10
WNW1106A	June	-0.05 \pm 5.20E-09	-1.18 \pm 2.50E-08	-1.57 \pm 6.10E-09	-0.28 \pm 1.10E-10
WNW1106B	June	-0.17 \pm 5.10E-09	0.44 \pm 2.50E-08	0.10 \pm 5.00E-09	0.77 \pm 1.20E-10
WNW1106B	June	-1.48 \pm 4.20E-09	-1.11 \pm 2.10E-08	-5.62 \pm 5.20E-09	0.02 \pm 2.00E-10
WNW1107A	June	-0.44 \pm 4.90E-09	0.96 \pm 2.50E-08	0.36 \pm 5.40E-09	1.48 \pm 1.10E-10
WNW1108A	June	0.58 \pm 4.80E-09	-1.19 \pm 2.50E-08	-1.45 \pm 5.90E-09	0.78 \pm 1.20E-10
WNW1109A	December	-3.79 \pm 5.30E-09	-1.03 \pm 2.30E-08	-4.05 \pm 5.30E-09	0.06 \pm 3.00E-10
WNW1109B	June	-3.44 \pm 4.70E-09	0.08 \pm 2.30E-08	2.16 \pm 5.40E-09	1.03 \pm 1.10E-10
WNW1111A	June	-4.33 \pm 4.70E-09	-0.80 \pm 2.40E-08	1.15 \pm 5.00E-09	1.43 \pm 1.10E-10

^a Annual sample, sample collected in December

^b Annual sample, sample collected in June.

All data in Tables L-1 through L-3 have been provided by NYSERDA.

NA - Not available. Some scheduled analyses could not be performed due to insufficient sample.

Table L-3 (continued)
2002 Radioisotopic Results From SDA Monitoring Wells ($\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$)

Sample Location	Date	Lead-212	Lead-214	Potassium-40	Radium-224
WNW1101A	<i>June</i>	-1.35±8.50E-09	9.63±9.30E-09	-0.29±1.00E-07	-1.39±8.80E-09
WNW1101B	<i>June</i>	3.52±7.30E-09	7.10±8.90E-09	-3.13±8.90E-08	3.65±7.50E-09
WNW1101C	<i>June</i>	5.74±7.70E-09	1.90±8.80E-09	0.30±1.00E-07	5.94±7.90E-09
WNW1102A	<i>June</i>	-2.52±7.60E-09	3.08±9.50E-09	-5.35±9.20E-08	-2.62±7.90E-09
WNW1102B	<i>June</i>	0.34±7.20E-09	8.85±9.40E-09	-4.08±8.90E-08	0.36±7.50E-09
WNW1103A	<i>June</i>	-5.08±6.00E-09	3.92±7.20E-09	-4.31±5.50E-08	-5.26±6.20E-09
WNW1103B	<i>June</i>	2.29±7.50E-09	1.59±8.70E-09	-2.03±9.00E-08	2.37±7.70E-09
WNW1104A	<i>June</i>	-3.07±7.10E-09	2.41±9.50E-09	0.34±1.00E-07	-3.18±7.40E-09
WNW1104B	<i>June</i>	-0.34±7.60E-09	1.01±0.92E-08	-5.88±9.00E-08	-0.35±7.90E-09
WNW1104C	<i>December</i>	0.65±1.10E-08	0.39±1.50E-08	-0.52±1.90E-07	0.67±1.10E-08
WNW1105A	<i>June</i>	3.68±8.50E-09	1.71±8.80E-09	-9.24±9.30E-08	3.80±8.70E-09
WNW1105B	<i>June</i>	4.68±7.60E-09	8.53±9.30E-09	-1.29±0.92E-07	4.83±7.80E-09
WNW1106A	<i>June</i>	0.08±7.40E-09	-0.39±8.70E-09	-5.56±8.50E-08	0.08±7.60E-09
WNW1106B	<i>June</i>	-3.30±7.00E-09	2.81±9.30E-09	-4.10±9.80E-08	-3.40±7.20E-09
WNW1106B	<i>June</i>	0.52±6.60E-09	-3.31±9.00E-09	-0.11±1.10E-07	0.54±6.90E-09
WNW1107A	<i>June</i>	6.69±7.80E-09	9.48±9.80E-09	-9.79±9.10E-08	6.91±8.00E-09
WNW1108A	<i>June</i>	-1.74±7.60E-09	2.33±9.00E-09	-1.14±0.87E-07	-1.80±7.90E-09
WNW1109A	<i>December</i>	-2.41±7.00E-09	4.78±9.50E-09	-4.22±9.80E-08	-2.50±7.20E-09
WNW1109B	<i>June</i>	2.72±7.40E-09	3.04±9.20E-09	-1.06±9.10E-08	2.80±7.60E-09
WNW1111A	<i>June</i>	-1.17±7.50E-09	4.19±9.30E-09	-2.88±8.90E-08	-1.20±7.70E-09

All data in Tables L-1 through L-3 have been provided by NYSERDA.

Table L-3 (continued)
2002 Radioisotopic Results From SDA Monitoring Wells ($\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$)

Sample Location	Date	Radium-226	Strontium-90	Technetium-99	Thallium-208
WNW1101A	June	0.54±1.00E-08	0.76±2.50E-10	0.53±1.30E-09	4.55±5.40E-09
WNW1101B	June	0.93±1.10E-08	-0.60±2.90E-10	2.24±6.70E-10	2.72±5.30E-09
WNW1101C	June	1.20±1.10E-08	0.51±2.00E-10	4.22±6.80E-10	0.12±4.90E-09
WNW1102A	June	-0.11±1.10E-08	2.29±4.40E-10	0.49±1.30E-09	2.60±4.80E-09
WNW1102B	June	0.92±9.80E-09	2.75±3.40E-10	0.28±6.70E-10	0.94±5.00E-09
WNW1103A	June	1.16±6.50E-09	0.52±2.20E-10	2.59±6.70E-10	2.82±3.60E-09
WNW1103B	June	0.43±1.00E-08	-0.20±1.80E-10	1.59±6.70E-10	5.65±5.40E-09
WNW1104A	June	1.09±9.90E-09	0.69±1.90E-10	3.62±7.10E-10	3.92±5.30E-09
WNW1104B	June	1.31±1.10E-08	-0.29±2.20E-10	0.70±6.60E-10	2.56±4.40E-09
WNW1104C	December	-0.45±1.60E-08	NA	NA	1.19±0.72E-08
WNW1105A	June	0.10±8.80E-09	-0.35±2.80E-10	0.94±7.10E-10	5.57±5.50E-09
WNW1105B	June	0.48±9.90E-09	-0.35±2.80E-10	0.22±6.70E-10	2.76±5.20E-09
WNW1106A	June	0.37±1.00E-08	0.89±2.90E-10	-0.67±6.70E-10	3.48±4.90E-09
WNW1106B	June	8.74±9.50E-09	2.10±2.20E-10	0.82±1.20E-09	2.55±4.50E-09
WNW1106B	June	-0.08±1.10E-08	-0.33±1.90E-10	-0.57±6.60E-10	-2.56±5.00E-09
WNW1107A	June	0.78±1.10E-08	1.12±0.25E-08	6.91±7.00E-10	4.68±5.20E-09
WNW1108A	June	1.28±9.70E-09	-0.23±3.20E-10	0.50±6.60E-10	5.76±4.80E-09
WNW1109A	December	0.86±1.10E-08	3.83±3.80E-10	-0.80±1.20E-09	1.95±5.50E-09
WNW1109B	June	1.43±1.00E-08	0.52±2.00E-10	1.98±6.70E-10	-1.94±4.70E-09
WNW1111A	June	0.51±1.10E-08	0.78±2.40E-10	1.22±6.60E-10	1.16±4.80E-09

All data in Tables L-1 through L-3 have been provided by NYSERDA.

NA - Not available. Some scheduled analyses could not be performed due to insufficient sample.

Table L-3 (concluded)
2002 Radioisotopic Results From SDA Monitoring Wells ($\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$)

Sample Location	Date	Thorium-234	Uranium-235
WNW1101A	June	-0.35±8.50E-07	-1.29±2.40E-08
WNW1101B	June	2.89±7.60E-07	1.06±2.40E-08
WNW1101C	June	2.21±7.10E-07	0.17±2.30E-08
WNW1102A	June	0.83±8.50E-07	1.66±2.20E-08
WNW1102B	June	-2.21±7.00E-07	-0.03±2.20E-08
WNW1103A	June	0.58±4.70E-07	-1.31±1.80E-08
WNW1103B	June	-0.54±8.00E-07	0.24±2.30E-08
WNW1104A	June	1.44±7.80E-07	-1.97±2.40E-08
WNW1104B	June	1.77±8.80E-07	1.47±2.20E-08
WNW1104C	December	0.94±1.10E-06	1.85±2.80E-08
WNW1105A	June	4.01±7.90E-07	0.90±2.20E-08
WNW1105B	June	1.78±8.10E-07	-0.86±2.20E-08
WNW1106A	June	-2.69±7.60E-07	1.19±2.40E-08
WNW1106B	June	2.70±6.50E-07	-1.32±2.40E-08
WNW1106B	June	-3.24±7.30E-07	-0.48±1.80E-08
WNW1107A	June	4.18±7.50E-07	0.43±2.30E-08
WNW1108A	June	5.98±9.30E-07	-1.38±2.30E-08
WNW1109A	December	4.43±8.80E-07	9.29±2.20E-08
WNW1109B	June	2.75±6.90E-07	0.85±2.30E-08
WNW1111A	June	6.34±7.80E-07	0.23±2.20E-08

All data in Tables L-1 through L-3 have been provided by NYSERDA.

NA - Not available. Some scheduled analyses could not be performed due to insufficient sample.

This page intentionally left blank

REFERENCES AND BIBLIOGRAPHY

(Some documents listed in this section are basis documents and may not specifically be cited in the text.)

Albanese, J.R. et al. 1984. *Geological and Hydrogeologic Research at the Western New York Nuclear Service Center, West Valley, New York. Final Report, August 1982–December 1983.* U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Report. NUREG/CR-3782.

American National Standards Institute/American Society for Quality Control. 1994. *Specifications and Guidelines for Quality Systems for Environmental Data Collection and Environmental Technology Programs.* ANSI/ASQC E4-1994.

American National Standards Institute, Inc. August 20, 1975. *American National Standard: Performance Testing, and Procedural Specifications for Thermoluminescent Dosimetry (Environmental Applications).* ANSI N545-1975.

American Society of Mechanical Engineers. 1989. *Quality Assurance Program Requirements for Nuclear Facilities.* ASME-NQA-1. New York: The American Society of Mechanical Engineers.

Bergeron, M.P. et al. 1987. *Geohydrologic Conditions at the Nuclear Fuels Reprocessing Plant and Waste Management Facilities at the Western New York Nuclear Services Center, Cattaraugus County, New York.* U.S. Geological Survey Water Resources Investigations Report 85-4145.

Citizen Task Force. July 29, 1998. *West Valley Citizen Task Force Final Report.*

Dames & Moore. 1995. *Early Warning System for Evaluation of Groundwater Monitoring Results from the Vicinity of the Proposed Groundwater Extraction System.* Letter: CDF1004:CDF-0.01. AR #95-692.

_____. December 22, 1998. *1998 Wetlands Investigation and Delineation of the West Valley Assessment Area.* AR #99-117.

References and Bibliography

- _____. December 1999. *Wetlands Investigation and Delineation of the West Valley Railroad Spur*.
- Dunning, Donald E.** nd. *Estimates of Internal Dose Equivalent from Inhalation and Ingestion of Selected Radionuclides*. Revised. WIPP-DOE-176.
- Executive Order 11988.** May 25, 1977. *Floodplain Management*. 42 FR 26951.
- Executive Order 11990.** May 25, 1977. *Protection of Wetlands*. 42 FR 26961.
- Executive Order 12856.** August 6, 1993. *Federal Compliance with Right-to-Know Laws and Pollution Prevention Requirements*. 58 FR 41981.
- Executive Order 13101.** September 16, 1998. *Greening the Government through Waste Prevention, Recycling, and Federal Acquisition*. 63 FR 49643.
- Executive Order 13148.** April 26, 2000. *Greening the Government through Leadership in Environmental Management*. 65 FR 24595.
- International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA).** 1992. *Effects of Ionizing Radiation on Plants and Animals at Levels Implied by Current Radiation Protection Standards*. Technical Report Series No. 332, IAEA, Vienna, Austria.
- International Commission on Radiological Protection.** 1959. *Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection – Permissible Dose for Internal Radiation*. ICRP Publication 2. Oxford: Pergamon Press.
- _____. 1975. *Report of the Task Group on Reference Man*. ICRP Publication 23. Oxford: Pergamon Press.
- _____. 1977. *Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection*. ICRP Publication 26. Oxford: Pergamon Press.
- _____. 1979. *Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection – Limits for Intakes of Radionuclides by Workers*. ICRP Publication 30. Oxford: Pergamon Press.
- _____. 1991. *1990 Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection*. ICRP Publication 60. Oxford: Pergamon Press.
- International Organization for Standardization.** 1996. *Environmental Management Systems*. ISO 14001:1996.

- LaFleur, R.G.** 1979. *Glacial Geology and Stratigraphy of Western New York Nuclear Service Center and Vicinity, Cattaraugus and Erie Counties, New York*. U.S. Geological Survey Open File Report 79-989.
- Long, E.R., and L.G. Morgan.** 1990. *The Potential for Biological Effects of Sediment-Sorbed Contaminants Tested in the National States and Trends Program*. National Oceanic Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Technical Memorandum No. 5, OMA52, NOAA National Ocean Service, Seattle, Washington.
- Moore, R.E. et al.** June 1979. *AIRDOS-EPA: A Computerized Methodology for Estimating Environmental Concentrations and Dose to Man from Airborne Releases of Radionuclides*. ORNL-5532.
- National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements.** December 1979. *Environmental Radiation Measurements*. NCRP-50. Washington, D.C.
- _____. 1987a. *Recommendations on Limits for Exposure to Ionizing Radiation*. NCRP-91. Bethesda, Maryland.
- _____. 1987b. *Ionizing Radiation Exposure of the Population of the United States*. NCRP-93. Bethesda, Maryland.
- National Research Council.** 1990. *Health Effects of Exposure to Low Levels of Ionizing Radiation*. BEIR V. Washington: National Academy Press.
- New York State Department of Environmental Conservation.** nd. Title 6, New York Codes, Rules, and Regulations (6 NYCRR). *Environmental Conservation Rules and Regulations*.
- _____. 1991. *Draft Cleanup Policy and Guidelines, Appendix B - Water Cleanup Criteria*.
- _____. January 24, 1994. *Determination of Soil Cleanup Objectives and Cleanup Levels*. Technical and Administrative Guidance Memorandum (TAGM) #4046.
- _____. 1995. *Appendix 33 - Groundwater Monitoring List*. Title 6 NYCRR Subpart 373-2.
- _____. September 3, 1996. *Federal Facility Compliance Act: Order on Consent*.
- _____. June 1998. *Ambient Water Quality Standards and Guidance Values and Groundwater Effluent Limitations*. Technical and Operational Guidance Series (TOGS) 1.1.1.
- _____. January 25, 1999. *Technical Guidance for Screening Contaminated Sediments*.

References and Bibliography

- _____. March 19, 1999. *Stipulation of Agreement Pursuant to Section 17-0303 of the Environmental Conservation Law and Section 176 of the Navigation Law.*
- _____. July 15, 2002. *State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (SPDES) Discharge Permit NY0000973.*
- _____. January 2003. Draft Technical and Operational Guidance Series (TOGS) #5.1.9. *In-Water and Riparian Management of Sediment and Dredge Material.*
- New York State Department of Health.** nd. *Environmental Laboratory Approval Program (ELAP) Certification Manual.*
- _____. nd. Title 10, New York Code, Rules, and Regulations (10 NYCRR). *Sources of Water Supply. Part 5 (Drinking Water Supplies) and Part 170 (Sources of Water Supply).*
- Oak Ridge National Laboratory.** May 1980. *User's Manual for LADTAP II - A Computer Program for Calculating Radiation Exposure to Man from Routine Release of Nuclear Reactor Liquid Effluents.* NUREG/CR-1276.
- Pacific Northwest Laboratory (PNL).** November 1988. Napier, B.A., Strenge, D.L., Pelequin, R.A., and Ramsdell, J.V. *GENII - The Hanford Environmental Radiation Dosimetry Software System.* Version 1.485, PNL-6584.
- Parks B.L.** March 1992. *User's Guide for CAP88-PC.* Version 1.0. Las Vegas, NV: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Radiation Programs. 402-B-92-001.
- _____. June 1997. *CAP88-PC Version 2.0 User's Guide.* Germantown, Maryland. U.S. Department of Energy.
- Persaud, D., Jaagumagi, R., and A. Hayton.** 1992. *Guidelines for the Protection and Management of Aquatic Sediment Quality in Ontario.* Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Queen's Printer for Ontario.
- Rickard, L.V.** 1975. *Correlation of the Silurian and Devonian Rocks in New York State.* New York State Museum and Science Service Map and Chart Series No. 24.
- Sheppard, M.I., and D.H. Thibault.** 1990. *Default Soil Solid/Liquid Partition Coefficients, K_{ds} , for Four Major Soil Types: A Compendium.* Health Physics. 59 (No. 4): 471-482.
- Shleien, B. et al.** 1998. *Handbook of Health Physics and Radiological Health Safety.* 3rd ed. Baltimore, Maryland: Williams & Wilkens.

- Simpson, D.B., and B.L. McGill.** 1980. *LADTAP II: A Computer Program for Calculating Radiation Exposure to Man from Routine Release of Nuclear Reactor Liquid Effluents*. Technical Data Management Center. ORNL/NUREG/TDMC-1.
- Standish, P.N.** 1985. *Closure of the Construction Landfill Site*. Letter (WD:85:0434) to W.H. Hannum, Department of Energy, West Valley Project Office.
- Tesmer, I.H.** 1975. *Geology of Cattaraugus County, New York*. Buffalo Society of Natural Sciences Bulletin, Vol. 27.
- Tseng, J.C.** November 4, 1975. *Clarification of Applicable Radiation Protection Standards for the Public and the Environment*. Memorandum from EH-231, Office of Environmental Guidance and Compliance. Washington, D.C.
- U.S. Congress.** 1954. *Atomic Energy Act of 1954*. 42 United States Code (USC) §2011 et seq.
- _____. 1955. *Clean Air Act*. 42 USC §7401 et seq.
- _____. 1966. *National Historic Preservation Act of 1966*. 16 USC §470 et seq.
- _____. 1969. *National Environmental Policy Act of 1969*. 42 USC §4321 et seq.
- _____. 1973. *Endangered Species Act of 1973*. 16 USC §1531 et seq.
- _____. 1974. *Safe Drinking Water Act*. 42 USC §300f et seq.
- _____. October 23, 1976. *Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976*. Public Law 94-580, 90 Stat. 2795, 42 USC §6901 et seq.
- _____. 1977. *Federal Water Pollution Control Act*. 33 USC §1251 et seq. (Also known as the Clean Water Act)
- _____. October 1, 1980. *An Act to Authorize the Department of Energy to Carry Out a High-Level Liquid Nuclear Waste Management Demonstration Project at the Western New York Service Center in West Valley, New York*. Public Law 96-368 [S. 2443]. Congressional Record, Vol. 126. (Also known as the WVDP Act)
- _____. November 1976. *Migratory Bird Treaty Act*. 16 USC §703 et seq.
- _____. December 11, 1980. *Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980*. Public Law 96-510, 42 USC §9601 et seq.
- _____. 1986. *Toxic Substances Control Act*. 15 USC §2601 et seq.

References and Bibliography

_____. 1986. *Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act of 1986*. 42 USC §11001 et seq.

_____. October 17, 1986. *Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (SARA) of 1986*. Public Law 99-499, 100 Stat. 1613, Title 10.

U.S. Department of Commerce. U.S. Census Bureau. Census 2000.

U.S. Department of Energy. nd. *Quality Assurance Criteria*. 10 CFR 830.122.

_____. July 1981. *A Guide for Environmental Radiological Surveillance at U.S. Department of Energy Installations*. DOE/EP-0023. Washington, D.C.

_____. 1981. *Radioactive Decay Tables*. Washington, D.C.: Technical Information Center, U.S. Department of Energy.

_____. June 1982. *Final Environmental Impact Statement: Long-Term Management of Liquid High-Level Radioactive Wastes Stored at the Western New York Nuclear Services Center, West Valley*. DOE/EIS-0081.

_____. July 1983. *A Guide for Effluent Radiological Measurements at DOE Installations*. DOE/EP-0096. Washington, D.C.

_____. May 15, 1984. *Environmental Protection, Safety, and Health Protection Standards*, including Change 4 (January 7, 1993). DOE Order 5480.4. Washington, D.C.

_____. July 1988. *Internal Dose Conversion Factors for Calculation of Dose to the Public*. DOE/EH-0071.

_____. November 9, 1988. *General Environmental Protection Program*. DOE Order 5400.1, including Change 1 (June 29, 1990). Washington, D.C.

_____. February 8, 1990. *Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment*. DOE Order 5400.5, including Change 2 (January 7, 1993). Washington, D.C.

_____. January 1991. *Environmental Regulatory Guide for Radiological Effluent Monitoring and Environmental Surveillance*. DOE/EH-0173T. Washington, D.C.

_____. September 30, 1995. *Environment, Safety, and Health Reporting*. DOE Order 231.1, including Change 2 (November 7, 1996). Washington, D.C.

- _____. January 1996. *Draft Environmental Impact Statement for Completion of the West Valley Demonstration Project and Closure or Long-Term Management of Facilities at the Western New York Nuclear Services Center*. DOE/EIS-0226-D.
- _____. May 1997. *Final Waste Management Programmatic Impact Statement for Managing Treatment, Storage, and Disposal of Radioactive and Hazardous Waste*. DOE/EIS-0200-F.
- _____. August 1, 1997. *Occurrence Reporting and Processing of Operations Information*. DOE Order 232.1A. Washington, D.C.
- _____. January 23, 1998. *Record of Decision for the Department of Energy's Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Disposal Phase*.
- _____. March 27, 1998. *Worker Protection Management for DOE Federal and Contractor Employees*. DOE Order 440.1A. Washington, D.C.
- _____. June 23, 1998. DOE/EIS-0081. *Supplement Analysis II of Environmental Impacts Resulting from Modifications in the West Valley Demonstration Project*. WVDP-321.
- _____. August 5, 1998. *Record of Decision for the Department of Energy's Waste Management Program: Treatment of Non-Wastewater Hazardous Waste*.
- _____. July 9, 1999. *Radioactive Waste Management*. DOE Order 435.1, including Change 1 (August 28, 2001). Washington, D.C.
- _____. August 26, 1999. *Record of Decision for the Department of Energy's Waste Management Program: Storage of High-Level Radioactive Waste*.
- _____. September 29, 1999. *Quality Assurance*. DOE Order 414.1A, including Change 1 (July 12, 2001). Washington, D.C.
- _____. December 10, 1999. *Identification of Preferred Alternatives for the Department of Energy's Waste Management Program: Low-Level Waste and Mixed Low-Level Waste Disposal Sites*.
- _____. February 25, 2000. *Record of Decision for the DOE's Waste Management Program: Treatment and Disposal of Low-Level Waste and Mixed Low-Level Waste; Amendment of the Record of Decision for the Nevada Test Site*. 65 FR 10061.
- _____. October 26, 2000. *National Environmental Policy Act Compliance Program*. DOE Order 451.1B, including Change 1 (September 28, 2001). Washington, D.C.

References and Bibliography

- _____. March 26, 2001. *Revised Strategy for the Environmental Impact Statement for Completion of the West Valley Demonstration Project and Closure or Long-Term Management of Facilities at the Western New York Nuclear Service Center and Solicitation of Scoping Comments*. 66 FR 16447.
- _____. November 6, 2001. *Advance Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement to Evaluate Decommissioning and/or Long-Term Stewardship at the West Valley Demonstration Project and Western New York Nuclear Service Center*. 66 FR 56090.
- _____. July 2002. DOE Standard: *A Graded Approach for Evaluating Radiation Doses to Aquatic and Terrestrial Biota*. DOE-STD-1153-2002. Washington, D.C.
- _____. January 2003. *Estimating Radiation Risk from Total Effective Dose Equivalent (TEDE)*. ISCORS Technical Report No. 1. DOE/EH-412/0015/0502, Rev. 1.
- _____. January 15, 2003. *Environmental Protection Program*. DOE Order 450.1. Washington, D.C.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.** nd. 40 Code of Federal Regulations, *Protection of the Environment*, Chapter 1, *Environmental Protection Agency*.
- _____. 1976. *National Interim Primary Drinking Water Regulations*. EPA-570/9-76-003. Washington, D.C.: Office of Water Supply.
- _____. March 1983. *Mercury, Method 245.1 (Manual Cold Vapor Technique)*. Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes. Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory. Cincinnati, Ohio.
- _____. 1984a. *Drinking Water Guidelines*. 40 CFR 141, National Secondary Drinking Water Regulations, Subpart B, Maximum Contaminant Levels.
- _____. 1984b. *Drinking Water Guidelines*. 40 CFR 143, National Secondary Drinking Water Regulations, Section 143.3, Secondary Maximum Contaminant Levels.
- _____. September 1986. *Groundwater Monitoring Technical Enforcement Guidance Document*. OWSER-9950.1. Washington, D.C.
- _____. November 1986. *Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste*. Vol. IA: Laboratory Manual, Physical Chemical Methods. EPA Manual, SW-846, 3rd ed. Update II, September 1994. Washington, D.C.: Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response.
- _____. December 15, 1989. *National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants: Standards for Radionuclides*. 40 CFR 61. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.

- _____. 1992. *Region II Administrative Order on Consent. Docket No. II RCRA 3008(h) - 92-0202. In the Matter of: Western New York Nuclear Service Center.*
- _____. October 16, 1996. *Code of Environmental Management Principles.* 61 FR 54062.
- _____. August 2002. Method 1631, Revision E: *Mercury in Water by Oxidation, Purge and Trap, and Cold Vapor Atomic Fluorescence Spectrometry.* EPA-821-R-02-019.
- U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.** July 1977. *Regulatory Guide 1.111: Methods for Estimating Atmospheric Transport and Dispersion of Gaseous Effluents in Routine Releases from Light-Water-Cooled Reactors.*
- _____. October 1977. *Regulatory Guide 1.109: Calculation of Annual Doses to Man from Routine Releases of Reactor Effluents for the Purpose of Evaluating Compliance with 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix I.*
- _____. 1998. *Decommissioning Criteria for West Valley.* SECY-98-251.
- _____. 1999. *Supplement to SECY-98-251, Decommissioning Criteria for West Valley.* SECY-99-057.
- _____. December 3, 1999. *Decommissioning Criteria for the West Valley Demonstration Project (M-32) and West Valley Site; Draft Policy Statement and Notice of Public Meeting.* 64 FR 67952.
- _____. February 1, 2002. *Decommissioning Criteria for the West Valley Demonstration Project (M-32) at the West Valley Site; Final Policy Statement.* 67 FR 5003.
- URS Group, Inc.** April 8, 2002. *Land Use Survey.* Rev. 0. AR #2002-171.
- West Valley Demonstration Project.** 1986. *WVDP Radioactive Air Emissions Permit Application General Information. Submitted to EPA Region II.*
- West Valley Nuclear Services Co., Inc.** January 1992. *Environmental Media Management Plan at the West Valley Demonstration Project.*
- _____. December 16, 1993. *RCRA Facility Investigation (RFI) Work Plan.* West Valley Demonstration Project. Rev. 0. WVDP-RFI-014.
- _____. May 1, 1995. *Subsurface Probing Investigation on the North Plateau at the West Valley Demonstration Project.* Rev. 0. WVDP-220.

References and Bibliography

- _____. May 10, 1995. *Groundwater Seep Investigation Report: 1,1,1-Trichloroethane Detection*. Rev. 0. WVDP-RFI-002.
- _____. March 1996. *Environmental Information Document, Volume III: Hydrology, Part 4*. WVDP-EIS-009.
- _____. June 23, 1998. *Supplement Analysis II of Environmental Impacts Resulting from Modifications in the West Valley Demonstration Project*. WVDP-321. (This document is an update to DOE/EIS-0081.)
- _____. August 19, 1998. *Documentation and Reporting of Environmental Monitoring Data*. Rev. 2. EMP-11.
- _____. June 11, 1999. *1998 Geoprobe[®] Investigation in the Core Area of the North Plateau Groundwater Plume*. Rev. 0. WVDP-346.
- _____. October 22, 1999. *WVDP Air Emission Inventory*. Rev. 2. WVDP-288.
- _____. October 26, 1999. *Asbestos Management Plan*. Rev. 5. WVDP-072.
- _____. March 6, 2000. *Environmental Monitoring Program Plan*. Rev. 10. WVDP-098.
- _____. May 2, 2000. *WVDP Groundwater Protection Management Program Plan*. Rev. 4. WVDP-091.
- _____. March 21, 2001. *PCB and PCB-Contaminated Material Management Plan*. Rev. 6. WVDP-080.
- _____. September 27, 2001. *Groundwater Monitoring Plan*. Rev. 5. WVDP-239.
- _____. December 20, 2001. *Waste Minimization/Pollution Prevention Awareness Plan*. Rev. 5. WVDP-087.
- _____. May 14, 2002. *WVDP Environmental Management System*. Rev. 14. WV-980.
- _____. June 2002. *Annual Status Report for the Hazardous Waste Reduction Program*.
- _____. November 22, 2002. *WVDP Safety Management System (SMS) Description*. Rev. 8. WVDP-310.
- _____. February 2003. *New York State Department of Environmental Conservation Hazardous Waste Report for 2002*.

- _____. February 13, 2003. *Site Treatment Plan: Fiscal Year 2002 Update*. Rev. 5. WVDP-299.
- _____. April 15, 2003. *Manual for Radiological Assessment of Environmental Releases at the WVDP*. Rev. 4. WVDP-065.
- West Valley Nuclear Services Co., Inc. and Dames & Moore.** June 1997. *West Valley Demonstration Project Site Environmental Report, Calendar Year 1996*.
- _____. July 1997. *Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Facility Investigation Report, Vol.1: Introduction and General Site Overview*. WVDP-RFI-017.
- _____. June 1998. *Final Report: Evaluation of the Pilot Program to Investigate Chromium and Nickel Concentrations in Groundwater in the Sand and Gravel Unit*.
- West Valley Nuclear Services Co. and URS Group, Inc.** January 2001. *Results of Corrosion Evaluation in Selected Stainless Steel Monitoring Wells on the North Plateau and Recommendations for Long-Term Management*.
- _____. August 2001. *West Valley Demonstration Project Site Environmental Report, Calendar Year 2000*.
- _____. August 2002. *West Valley Demonstration Project Site Environmental Report, Calendar Year 2001*.
- Williams, A.C.** August 20, 2002. *Elimination of Air Sampling at the Old Low-Level Waste Treatment Facility and Laundry Facility*. Correspondence to W.M. Wierzbicki. DW:2002:0349.
- Yager, R.M.** 1987. *Simulation of Groundwater Flow near the Nuclear Fuel Reprocessing Facility at the Western New York Nuclear Service Center, Cattaraugus County, New York*. 85-4308. Ithaca, New York: U.S. Geological Survey.

This page intentionally left blank

GLOSSARY

accuracy. The degree of agreement between a measurement and its true value. The accuracy of a data set is assessed by evaluating results from standards or sample spikes containing known quantities of an analyte.

action plan. An action plan addresses assessment findings and root causes that have been identified in an audit or an assessment report. It is intended to define specific actions that the responsible group will undertake to remedy deficiencies. The plan includes a timetable and funding requirements for implementation of the planned activities.

alluvial fan. A cone-shaped deposit of alluvium made by a stream where it runs out onto a level plain.

alluvium. Sedimentary material deposited by flowing water such as a river.

aquifer. A water-bearing unit of permeable rock or soil that will yield water in usable quantities to wells. Confined aquifers are bounded above and below by less permeable layers. Groundwater in a confined aquifer is under a pressure greater than the atmospheric pressure. Unconfined aquifers are bounded below by less permeable material but are not bounded above. The pressure on the groundwater at the surface of an unconfined aquifer is equal to that of the atmosphere.

as low as reasonably achievable (ALARA). An approach to radiation protection that advocates controlling or managing exposures (both individual and collective) to the work force and the general public and releases of radioactive material to the environment as low as social, technical, economic, practical, and public policy considerations permit. As used in DOE Order 5400.5, ALARA is not a dose limit but, rather, a process that has as its objective the attainment of dose levels as far below the applicable limits of the Order as practicable.

background radiation. Natural and manmade radiation such as cosmic radiation and radiation from naturally radioactive elements and from commercial sources and medical procedures.

becquerel (Bq). A unit of radioactivity equal to one nuclear transformation per second.

categorical exclusion (CX). A proposed action that normally does not require an environmental assessment or an environmental impact statement and that the Department of Energy has determined does not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. See 10 CFR 1021.410.

Class A, B, and C low-level waste. Waste classifications from the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's 10 CFR Part 61 rule. Maximum concentration limits are set for specific isotopes. Class A waste disposal is minimally restricted with respect to the form of the waste. Class B waste must meet more rigorous requirements to ensure physical stability after disposal. Higher concentration limits are set for the same isotopes in Class C waste, which also must meet physical stability requirements. Moreover, special measures must be taken at the disposal facility to protect against inadvertent intrusion.

compliance findings. Conditions that may not satisfy applicable environmental or safety and health regulations, DOE Orders and memoranda, enforcement actions, agreements with regulatory agencies, or permit conditions.

confidence coefficient or factor. The chance or probability, usually expressed as a percentage, that a confidence interval includes some defined parameter of a population. The confidence coefficients usually associated with confidence intervals are 90%, 95%, and 99%.

confidence interval. The range of values within which some parameter may be expected to lie with a stated degree of confidence. For example, a value of 10 with an uncertainty of 5 calculated at the 95% confidence level (10 ± 5) indicates there is a 95% probability that the true value of the population parameter lies between 5 and 15.

consistency. The condition of showing steady conformity to practices. In the environmental monitoring program, approved procedures are in place in order to ensure that data collection activities are carried out in a consistent manner so that variability is minimized.

cosmic radiation. High-energy subatomic particles from outer space that bombard the earth's atmosphere. Cosmic radiation is part of natural background radiation.

counting error. The variability caused by the inherent random nature of radioactive disintegration and by the detection process.

curie (Ci). A unit of radioactivity equal to 37 billion (3.7×10^{10}) nuclear transformations per second.

data set. A group of data (e.g., factual information such as measurements or statistics) used as a basis for reasoning, discussion, or calculation.

decay (radioactive). Disintegration of the nucleus of an unstable nuclide by spontaneous emission of charged particles and/or photons or by spontaneous fission.

derived concentration guide (DCG). The concentration of a radionuclide in air and water that, under conditions of continuous exposure for one year by one exposure mode (i.e., ingestion of water, submersion in air, or inhalation), would result in an effective dose equivalent of 100 mrem (1 mSv). See Table K-1 in Appendix K.

detection limit or level (DL). This term may also be expressed as “method detection limit” (MDL). The smallest amount of a substance that can be distinguished in a sample by a given measurement procedure at a given confidence level. (See *lower limit of detection* [p. GLO-6].)

dispersion (groundwater). The process whereby solutes are spread or mixed as they are transported by groundwater as it moves through subsurface soil and rock sediments.

dosimeter. A portable device for measuring the total accumulated exposure to ionizing radiation.

downgradient. The direction of water flow from a reference point to a selected point of interest. (See *gradient* [p. GLO-4].)

effective dose. (See *effective dose equivalent* under *radiation dose* [p. GLO-8].)

effluent. Any treated or untreated air emission or liquid discharge, including storm water runoff, at a DOE site or facility.

effluent monitoring. Sampling or measuring specific liquid or gaseous effluent streams for the presence of pollutants.

enhanced work planning. A process that evaluates and improves the program by which work is identified, planned, approved, controlled, and executed. The key elements are line management ownership, a graded approach to work management based on risk and complexity, worker involvement beginning at the earliest phases of work management, organizationally diverse teams, and organized, institution-wide communication.

environmental assessment. An evaluation that provides sufficient evidence and analysis for determining whether to prepare an environmental impact statement or a finding of no significant impact. Detailed information found in 40 CFR 1508.9.

Environmental Impact Statement. A detailed statement that includes the environmental impact of the proposed action, any adverse environmental effects that cannot be avoided should the proposal be implemented, and alternatives to the proposed action. Detailed information found in Section 102 (2) (C) of the National Environmental Policy Act.

environmental management system. The systematic application of business management practices to environmental issues, including defining the organizational structure, planning for activities, identifying responsibilities, and defining practices, procedures, processes, and resources.

environmental monitoring. The collection and analysis of samples or the direct measurement of environmental media. Environmental monitoring consists of two major activities: effluent monitoring and environmental surveillance.

environmental surveillance. The collection and analysis of samples or the direct measurement of air, water, soil, foodstuff, and biota in order to determine compliance with applicable standards and permit requirements.

erg. One-billionth (1E-09) of the energy released by a 100-watt bulb in 1 second.

evapotranspiration. The combined total precipitation returned to the air through direct evaporation and by transpiration of vegetation.

exposure. The subjection of a target (usually living tissue) to radiation.

fallout. The settling to earth of radioactive materials mixed into the earth's atmosphere.

finding. A Department of Energy compliance term. A finding is a statement of fact concerning a condition in the Environmental, Safety, and Health program that was investigated during an appraisal. Findings include best management practice findings, compliance findings, and noteworthy practices. A finding may be a simple statement of proficiency or a description of deficiency (i.e., a variance from procedures or criteria). (See also *self-assessment* [p. GLO-9].)

fission. The act or process of splitting into parts. A nuclear reaction in which an atomic nucleus splits into fragments (i.e., fission products, usually fragments of comparable mass) with the evolution of approximately 100 million to several hundred million electron volts of energy.

gamma isotopic (also *gamma scan*). An analytical method by which the quantity of several gamma ray-emitting radioactive isotopes may be determined simultaneously. Typical nuclear fuel cycle isotopes determined by this method include but are not limited to cobalt-60, zirconium-95, ruthenium-106, silver-110m, antimony-125, cesium-134, cesium-137, and europium-154. Naturally occurring isotopes for which samples also often are analyzed are beryllium-7, potassium-40, radium-224, and radium-226.

gradient. Change in value of one variable with respect to another variable, especially over a vertical or horizontal distance.

groundwater. Subsurface water in the pore spaces and fractures of soil and bedrock units.

half-life. The time in which half the atoms of a radionuclide disintegrate into another nuclear form. The half-life may vary from a fraction of a second to thousands of years.

hazardous waste. A waste or combination of wastes that because of quantity, concentration, or physical, chemical, or infectious characteristics may: a) cause or significantly contribute to an increase in mortality or an increase in serious irreversible or incapacitating reversible illness; or (b) pose a substantial present or potential hazard to human health or the environment when improperly treated, stored, transported, disposed of, or otherwise managed.

high-level waste (HLW). The highly radioactive waste material that results from the reprocessing of spent nuclear fuel, including liquid waste produced directly in reprocessing and any solid waste derived from the liquid, that contains a combination of transuranic waste and fission products in concentrations sufficient to require permanent isolation. (See also *transuranic waste* [p. GLO-10].)

hydraulic conductivity. The ratio of flow velocity to driving force for viscous flow under saturated conditions of a specified liquid in a porous medium; the ratio describing the rate at which water can move through a permeable medium.

integrated safety management system (ISMS). The ISMS describes the programs, policies, and procedures used by WVNSCO and the DOE to ensure that WVNSCO establishes a safe workplace for the employees, the public, and the environment. The guiding principles of ISMS are line management responsibility for safety; clear roles and responsibilities; competence commensurate with responsibilities; balanced priorities; identification of safety standards and requirements; hazard controls; and operations authorization.

interim status. The status of any currently existing facility that becomes subject to the requirement to have a RCRA permit because of a new statutory or regulatory amendment to RCRA.

interstitial. The (annular) space between the inner and outer tank walls in a double-walled storage tank.

ion. An atom or group of atoms with an electric charge.

ion exchange. The reversible exchange of ions contained in solution with other ions that are part of the ion-exchange material.

isotope. Different forms of the same chemical element that are distinguished by having the same number of protons but a different number of neutrons in the nucleus. An element can have many isotopes. For example, the three isotopes of hydrogen are protium, deuterium, and tritium, with one, two, and three neutrons in the nucleus, respectively.

kame delta. A conical hill or short irregular ridge of gravel or sand deposited in contact with glacier ice.

lacustrine sediments. A sedimentary deposit consisting of material pertaining to, produced by, or formed in a lake or lakes.

land disposal restrictions (LDR). Regulations promulgated by the U.S. EPA (and by NYSDEC in New York State) governing the land disposal of hazardous wastes. The wastes must be treated using the best demonstrated available technology or must meet certain treatment standards before being disposed.

lower limit of detection (LLD). The lowest limit of a given parameter that an instrument is capable of detecting. A measurement of analytical sensitivity.

low-level waste (LLW). Radioactive waste not classified as high-level waste, transuranic waste, spent fuel, or uranium mill tailings. (See *Class A, B, and C low-level waste* [p. GLO-2].)

maximally exposed individual (MEI). On-site (occupational) or off-site (non-occupational) person that receives the highest dose from a release scenario.

maximally exposed off-site individual (MEOSI). Member of the general public receiving the highest dose from the effluent release.

mean. The average value of a series of measurements.

metric ton. (See *ton, metric* [p. GLO-10].)

millirem (mrem). A unit of radiation dose equivalent that is equal to one one-thousandth of a rem. An individual member of the public can receive up to 500 millirems per year according to DOE standards. This limit does not include radiation received for medical treatment or the roughly 360 mrem, on average, that people receive annually from background radiation.

minimum detectable concentration (MDC). Depending on the sample medium, the smallest amount or concentration of a radioactive or nonradioactive analyte that can be reliably detected using a specific analytical method. Calculations of the minimum detectable concentrations are based on the lower limit of detection.

mixed waste (MW). A waste that is both radioactive and hazardous.

n-Dodecane/tributyl phosphate. An organic solution composed of 30% tributyl phosphate (TBP) dissolved in n-dodecane used to first separate the uranium and plutonium from the fission products in the dissolved fuel and then to separate the uranium from the plutonium.

neutron. An electrically neutral subatomic particle in the baryon family with a mass 1,839 times that of an electron, stable when bound in an atomic nucleus, and having a mean lifetime of approximately 16.6 minutes as a free particle.

notice of violation (NOV). A letter of notice from a regional water engineer in response to an instance of significant noncompliance with a SPDES permit. Generally, an official notification from a regulatory agency of noncompliance with permit requirements.

nucleus. The positively charged central region of an atom, made up of protons and neutrons and containing almost all of the mass of the atom.

outfall. The end of a drain or pipe that carries wastewater or other effluents into a ditch, pond, or river.

parameter. Any of a set of physical properties whose values determine the characteristics or behavior of something (e.g., temperature, pressure, density of air). In relation to environmental monitoring, a monitoring parameter is a constituent of interest. Statistically, the term “parameter” is a calculated quantity, such as a mean or variance, that describes a statistical population.

particulates. Solid particles and liquid droplets small enough to become airborne.

person-rem. The sum of the individual radiation dose equivalents received by members of a certain group or population. It may be calculated by multiplying the average dose per person by the number of persons exposed. For example, a thousand people each exposed to one millirem would have a collective dose of one person-rem.

plume. The distribution of a pollutant in air or water after being released from a source.

precision. The degree of reproducibility of a measurement under a given set of conditions. Precision in a data set is assessed by evaluating results from duplicate field or analytical samples.

proglacial lake. A lake occupying a basin in front of a glacier, generally in direct contact with the ice.

proton. A stable, positively charged subatomic particle in the baryon family with a mass 1,836 times that of an electron.

pseudo-monitoring point. A theoretical monitoring location rather than an actual physical location; a calculation based on analytical test results of samples obtained from other associated, tributary, monitored locations. (Point 116 at the WVDP is classified as a “pseudo” monitoring point because samples are not actually physically collected at that location. Rather, using analytical results from samples collected from “real” upstream outfall locations, compliance with the total dissolved solids limit in the WVDP’s SPDES permit is calculated for this theoretical point.)

quality factor (QF). The extent of tissue damage caused by different types of radiation of the same energy. The greater the damage, the higher the quality factor. More specifically, the factor by which absorbed doses are multiplied to obtain a quantity that indicates the degree of biological damage produced by ionizing radiation. See *radiation dose* [p. GLO-8].) The factor is dependent upon radiation type (alpha, beta, gamma, or x-ray) and exposure (internal or external).

rad. Radiation absorbed dose. One hundred ergs of energy absorbed per gram.

radiation. The process of emitting energy in the form of rays or particles that are thrown off by disintegrating atoms. The rays or particles emitted may consist of alpha, beta, or gamma radiation.

alpha radiation. The least penetrating type of radiation. Alpha radiation can be stopped by a sheet of paper or the outer dead layer of skin.

beta radiation. Electrons emitted from a nucleus during fission and nuclear decay. Beta radiation can be stopped by an inch of wood or a thin sheet of aluminum.

gamma radiation. A form of electromagnetic, high-energy radiation emitted from a nucleus. Gamma rays are essentially the same as x-rays and require heavy shielding such as lead, concrete, or steel to be stopped.

internal radiation. Radiation originating from a source within the body as a result of the inhalation, ingestion, or implantation of natural or manmade radionuclides in body tissues.

radiation dose:

absorbed dose. The amount of energy absorbed per unit mass in any kind of matter from any kind of ionizing radiation. Absorbed dose is measured in rads or grays.

collective dose equivalent. The sum of the dose equivalents for all the individuals comprising a defined population. The per capita dose equivalent is the quotient of the collective dose equivalent divided by the population. The unit of collective dose equivalent is person-rem or person-sievert.

collective effective dose equivalent. The sum of the effective dose equivalents for the individuals comprising a defined population. Units of measurement are person-rem or person-sieverts. The per capita effective dose equivalent is obtained by dividing the collective dose equivalent by the population. Units of measurement are rem or sievert.

committed dose equivalent. A measure of internal radiation. The predicted total dose equivalent to a tissue or organ over a fifty-year period after a known intake of a radionuclide into the body. It does not include contributions from sources of external penetrating radiation. Committed dose equivalent is measured in rem or sievert.

committed effective dose equivalent. The sum of the committed dose equivalents to various tissues in the body, each multiplied by the appropriate weighting factor. Committed effective dose equivalent is measured in rem or sievert.

effective dose equivalent. The summation of the products of the dose equivalent received by specified tissues of the body and the appropriate weighting factors. It includes the dose from radiation sources internal and/or external to the body. The effective dose equivalent is expressed in units of rem or sievert.

radioactivity. A property possessed by some elements (such as uranium) whereby alpha, beta, or gamma rays are spontaneously emitted.

radioisotope. A radioactive isotope of a specified element. Carbon-14 is a radioisotope of carbon. Tritium is a radioisotope of hydrogen. (See *isotope* [p. GLO-5].)

radionuclide. A radioactive nuclide. Radionuclides are variations (isotopes) of elements. They have the same number of protons and electrons but different numbers of neutrons, resulting in different atomic masses. There are several hundred known nuclides, both manmade and naturally occurring.

reference man. A hypothetical aggregation of human physical and physiological characteristics arrived at by internal consensus. These characteristics may be used by researchers and public health workers to standardize results of experiments and to relate biological insult to a common base.

rem. An acronym for Roentgen Equivalent Man. A unit of radiation exposure that indicates the potential effect of radiation on human cells.

remote-handled waste. At the WVDP, waste that has an external surface dose rate that exceeds 100 millirem per hour or a high level of alpha and/or beta surface contamination.

roentgen. A unit of exposure to ionizing radiation. It is that amount of gamma or x-rays required to produce ions carrying 1 electrostatic unit of electrical charge in 1 cubic centimeter of dry air under standard conditions. Named after Wilhelm Roentgen, German scientist who discovered x-rays in 1895.

self-assessment. Appraisals of work at the WVDP by individuals, groups, or organizations responsible for overseeing and/or performing the work. Self-assessments are intended to provide an internal review of performance to determine that specific functional areas are in programmatic and site-specific compliance with applicable DOE directives, WVDP procedures, and regulations.

finding. A direct and significant violation of applicable Department of Energy, regulatory, or other procedural or programmatic requirements. A finding requires documented corrective action.

observation. A condition that, while not a direct and significant violation of applicable Department of Energy, regulatory, or other procedural or programmatic requirements, could result in a finding if not corrected. An observation requires documented corrective action.

good practice. A statement of proficiency or confirmed excellence worthy of documenting.

sievert. A unit of dose equivalent from the International System of Units (Système Internationale). Equal to one joule per kilogram.

solid waste management unit (SWMU). Any discernible unit at which solid wastes have been placed at any time, irrespective of whether the unit was intended for the management of solid or hazardous waste. Such units include any area at a facility at which solid wastes have been routinely and systematically released. (See also *super solid waste management unit* [below].)

spent fuel. Nuclear fuel that has been used in a nuclear reactor; this fuel contains uranium, activation products, fission products, and plutonium.

spill. A spill or release is defined as “any spilling, leaking, pumping, pouring, emitting, emptying, discharging, injecting, escaping, leaching, dumping, or otherwise disposing of substances from the ordinary containers employed in the normal course of storage, transfer, processing, or use.”

stakeholder. A person or group that has an investment, share, or interest in something. At the WVDP stakeholders include Project management, scientists, other employees, politicians, regulatory agencies, local and national interest groups, and members of the general public.

standard deviation. An indication of the dispersion of a set of results around their average.

super solid waste management unit (SSWMU). Individual solid waste management units that have been grouped and ranked into larger units – super solid waste management units – because some individual units are contiguous or so close together as to make monitoring of separate units impractical. (See also *solid waste management unit* [above].)

surface water. Water that is exposed to the atmospheric conditions of temperature, pressure, and chemical composition at the surface of the earth.

surveillance. The act of monitoring or observing a process or activity to verify conformance with specified requirements.

thermoluminescent dosimeter (TLD). A device that luminesces upon heating after being exposed to radiation. The amount of light emitted is proportional to the amount of radiation to which the luminescent material has been exposed.

ton, metric (also tonne). A unit of mass equal to 1,000 kilograms.

ton (short ton). A unit of weight equal to 2,000 lbs or 907.1847 kilograms.

transuranic (TRU) waste. Waste containing transuranic elements, that is, those elements with an atomic number greater than 92, including neptunium, plutonium, americium, and curium.

universal wastes. Wastes subject to special management provisions that are intended to ease the management burden and facilitate recycling of such materials. Four types of waste are currently covered under the universal waste regulations: hazardous waste batteries, hazardous waste pesticides that are either recalled or collected in waste pesticide collection programs, hazardous waste thermostats, and hazardous waste lamps.

upgradient. Referring to the flow of water or air, “upgradient” is analogous to upstream. Upgradient is a point that is “before” an area of study that is used as a baseline for comparison with downstream data. (See *gradient* [p. GLO-4] and *downgradient* [p. GLO-3].)

watershed. The area contained within a drainage divide above a specified point on a stream or river.

water table. The upper surface in a body of groundwater; the surface in an unconfined aquifer or confining bed at which the pore water pressure is equal to atmospheric pressure.

x-ray. Penetrating electromagnetic radiations having wave lengths shorter than those of visible light. They are usually produced by bombarding a metallic target with fast electrons in a high vacuum. In nuclear reactions it is customary to refer to photons originating in the nucleus as gamma rays and those originating in the extranuclear part of the atom as x-rays. These rays are sometimes called roentgen rays after their discoverer, W.C. Roentgen.

This page intentionally left blank

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

1,1-DCA	1,1-Dichloroethane
1,1-DCE	1,1-Dichloroethylene
1,1,1-TCA	1,1,1-Trichloroethane
1,2-DCE-t	Total 1,2-Dichloroethylene
ACM	Asbestos-containing Material
ACOE	Army Corps of Engineers
ALARA	As Low As Reasonably Achievable
ANSI	American National Standards Institute
ASER	Annual Site Environmental Report
ASME	American Society of Mechanical Engineers
ASQ	American Society for Quality (formerly American Society for Quality Control [ASQC])
AWQS	Ambient Water Quality Standard
BAT	Best Available Technology
BCG	Biota Concentration Guide
BEIR	Biological Effects of Ionizing Radiation
BOD₅	Biochemical Oxygen Demand (5-day)
BSW	Bulk Storage Warehouse
CAA	Clean Air Act
CDDL	Construction and Demolition Debris Landfill
CEDE	Committed Effective Dose Equivalent
CEMP	Code of Environmental Management Principles (for Federal Agencies)
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CGA	Cylinder Gas Audit
CO	Certificate-to-Operate
CPC	Chemical Process Cell
CPC-WSA	Chemical Process Cell Waste Storage Area
CSPF	Container Sorting and Packaging Facility
CSRF	Contact Size-Reduction Facility

Acronyms and Abbreviations

CSS	Cement Solidification System
CWA	Clean Water Act
CWAP	Clean Water Action Plan
CX	Categorical Exclusion
CY	Calendar Year
DCDFMeth	Dichlorodifluoromethane
DCG	Derived Concentration Guide
DL	Detection Limit or Detection Level
DMR	Discharge Monitoring Report
DOE	(U.S.) Department of Energy
DOE-EM	Department of Energy, Office of Environmental Restoration and Waste Management
DOE-HQ	Department of Energy, Headquarters Office
DOE-OH	Department of Energy, Ohio Field Office
DOT	(U.S.) Department of Transportation
EA	Environmental Assessment
EDE	Effective Dose Equivalent
EHS	Extremely Hazardous Substance
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
ELAB	(WVDP) Environmental Laboratory
EML	(DOE) Environmental Measurements Laboratory
EMS	Environmental Management System
EPA	(U.S.) Environmental Protection Agency
EPCRA	Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act
ERA	Environmental Research Associates
ES&H	Environmental Safety and Health
ESR	(WVDP) Effluent Summary Report
EWP	Enhanced Work Planning
FFC Act	Federal Facility Compliance Act
FONSI	Finding of No Significant Impact
FR	Federal Register
FRS	Fuel Receiving and Storage
FY	Fiscal Year
GEL	General Engineering Laboratory
GPC	General Purpose Cell
HEPA	High-Efficiency Particulate Air (filter)
HLW	High-Level (radioactive) Waste
HPIC	High-Pressure Ion Chamber
HTO	Hydrogen Tritium Oxide
HVAC	Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning
ICRP	International Commission on Radiological Protection
ID	Identification Number (samples or wells)
INEEL	Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory
INL	Idaho National Laboratory

IRTS	Integrated Radwaste Treatment System
ISCORS	Interagency Steering Committee on Radiation Standards
ISMS	Integrated Safety Management System
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
LAS	Linear Alkylate Sulfonate
LDR	Land Disposal Restriction
LIMS	Laboratory Information Management System
LLD	Lower Limit of Detection
LLW	Low-Level (radioactive) Waste
LLW2	Low-Level (liquid) Waste Treatment Facility (new)
LLWTF	Low-Level (liquid) Waste Treatment Facility (old)
LPS	Liquid Pretreatment System
LSA	Lag Storage Area
LTR	License Termination Rule
LWTS	Liquid Waste Treatment System
MCL	Maximum Contaminant Level
MCLG	Maximum Contaminant Level Goal
MDC	Minimum Detectable Concentration
MDL	Method Detection Limit (also Minimum Detection Level)
MEI	Maximally Exposed Individual
MEOSI	Maximally Exposed Off-Site Individual
MGD	Million Gallons per Day
ML	Minimum Level
MSDS	Material Safety Data Sheet
MTAR	Monthly Trend Analysis Report
MW	(Radioactive) Mixed Waste
NCRP	National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements
NDA	Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC)-Licensed Disposal Area
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NESHAP	National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants
NFS	Nuclear Fuel Services, Inc.
NGVD	National Geodetic Vertical Datum
NH₃	Ammonia
NIST	National Institute of Standards and Technology
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NOI	Notice of Intent
NOV	Notice of Violation
NO_x	Nitrogen Oxides
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NPGRS	North Plateau Groundwater Recovery System
NPOC	Nonpurgeable Organic Carbon
NRC	(U.S.) Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NTS	Nevada Test Site

Acronyms and Abbreviations

NTU	Nephelometric Turbidity Unit
NYCRR	New York Official Compilation of Codes, Rules, and Regulations
NYS	New York State
NYSDEC	New York State Department of Environmental Conservation
NYSDOH	New York State Department of Health
NYSDEL	New York State Department of Labor
NYSERDA	New York State Energy Research and Development Authority
NYSGS	New York State Geological Survey
OH/WVDP	Department of Energy, West Valley Demonstration Project
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Act
OVE	Outdoor Ventilated Enclosure
P2	Pollution Prevention
PCB	Polychlorinated Biphenyl
PMC	Process Mechanical Cell
PNNL	Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
PPC	Product Purification Cell
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
PTW	Permeable Treatment Wall
PUREX	Plutonium Uranium Reduction Extraction
PVS	Permanent Ventilation System
PVU	Portable Ventilation Unit
QA	Quality Assurance
QAP	Quality Assessment Program (also Quality Assurance Program)
QC	Quality Control
QF	Quality Factor
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
REM	Roentgen Equivalent Man
RFI	RCRA Facility Investigation
RHWF	Remote-Handled Waste Facility
SAR	Safety Analysis Report
SARA	Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act
SD	Standard Deviation
SDA	(New York) State-Licensed Disposal Area
SDWA	Safe Drinking Water Act
SI	Systeme Internationale (International System of Units)
SO₂	Sulfur Dioxide
SPDES	(New York) State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
SRR	Scrap Removal Room
SSWMU	Super Solid Waste Management Unit
STL	Severn Trent Laboratories
STP	Site Treatment Plan
STS	Supernatant Treatment System
SVOC	Semivolatile Organic Compound

SWMU	Solid Waste Management Unit
TAGM	Technical and Administrative Guidance Memorandum
TBP	Tributyl Phosphate
TCE	Trichloroethylene
TDS	Total Dissolved Solids
TFA	Tank Focus Area
THOREX	Thorium Reduction Extraction
TLD	Thermoluminescent Dosimetry
TOC	Total Organic Carbon
TOGS	Technical and Operational Guidance Series
TOX	Total Organic Halides
TRI	Toxic Release Inventory
TRU	Transuranic
TSCA	Toxic Substances Control Act
TSDF	Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Facility
U.S.	United States
U.S.A.	United States of America
URS	URS Group, Inc.
USC	United States Code
USGS	United States Geological Survey
VEMP	Vitrification Expended Material
VOC	Volatile Organic Compound
VPP	(U.S. DOE) Voluntary Protection Program
WNYNSC	Western New York Nuclear Service Center
WVDP	West Valley Demonstration Project
WVNS	West Valley Nuclear Services Company (now WVNSCO)
WVNSCO	West Valley Nuclear Services Company
WWTF	Wastewater Treatment Facility
XC-2	Extraction Cell #2

This page intentionally left blank

Units of Measure

Radioactivity	<i>Symbol</i>	<i>Name</i>	Volume	<i>Symbol</i>	<i>Name</i>
	Ci	curie		cm ³	cubic centimeter
	mCi	millicurie (1E-03 Ci)		L	liter
	µCi	microcurie (1E-06 Ci)		mL	milliliter
	nCi	nanocurie (1E-09 Ci)		m ³	cubic meter
	pCi	picocurie (1E-12 Ci)		gal	gallon
	Bq	becquerel (27 pCi)		ft ³	cubic feet
	d/s	disintegrations per second			
Dose	<i>Symbol</i>	<i>Name</i>	Area	<i>Symbol</i>	<i>Name</i>
	Sv	sievert (100 rem)		ha	hectare (10,000 m ²)
	mSv	millisievert (1E-03 Sv)			
	Gy	gray (100 rad)			
	mrem	millirem (1E-03 rem)			
Concentration	<i>Symbol</i>	<i>Name</i>	Length	<i>Symbol</i>	<i>Name</i>
	µCi/mL	microcuries per milliliter		m	meter
	mL/L	milliliters per liter		km	kilometer (1E+03 m)
	µCi/g	microcuries per gram		cm	centimeter (1E-02 m)
	mg/L	milligrams per liter (ppm)		mm	millimeter (1E-03 m)
	mg/kg	milligrams per kilogram (ppm)		µm	micrometer (1E-06 m)
	µg/mL	micrograms per milliliter (ppm)			
	pCi/L	picocuries per liter	Exposure	<i>Symbol</i>	<i>Name</i>
	ng/L	nanograms per liter (ppt)		µR	microrentgen
	µg/L	micrograms per liter (ppb)		mR	milliroentgen
	µg/g	micrograms per gram (ppm)			
	Bq/L	becquerels per liter			
	ppm	parts per million			
	ppb	parts per billion			
	ppt	parts per trillion			
Mass	<i>Symbol</i>	<i>Name</i>	Flow Rate or Speed	<i>Symbol</i>	<i>Name</i>
	g	gram		mgd	million gallons per day
	kg	kilogram (1E+03 g)		cfm	cubic feet per minute
	mg	milligram (1E-03 g)		Lpm	liters per minute
	µg	microgram (1E-06 g)		gpd	gallons per day
	ng	nanogram (1E-09 g)		m/sec	meters per second
	t	metric ton (1E+06 g)			

Unit Prefixes

centi	$1/100 = 1 \times 10^{-2} = 0.01 = E-02$
milli	$1/1,000 = 1 \times 10^{-3} = 0.001 = E-03$
micro	$1/1,000,000 = 1 \times 10^{-6} = 0.000001 = E-06$
nano	$1/1,000,000,000 = 1 \times 10^{-9} = 0.000000001 = E-09$
pico	$1/1,000,000,000,000 = 1 \times 10^{-12} = 0.000000000001 = E-12$

Scientific Notation

Scientific notation may be used to express very large or very small numbers. A number smaller than 1 is expressed with a negative exponent (e.g., 1.3×10^{-6}). To convert this number to decimal form, the decimal point is moved left by the number of places equal to the exponent. Thus, 1.3×10^{-6} becomes 0.0000013.

A number larger than 10 is expressed with a positive exponent (e.g., 1.3×10^6). To convert this number to decimal form, the decimal point is moved right by the number of places equal to the exponent. Thus, 1.3×10^6 becomes 1,300,000.

The power of 10 also is expressed as E. For example, 1.3×10^{-6} also can be written as 1.3E-06. The chart below shows equivalent exponential and decimal values.

1.0×10^2	=	1E+02	=	100
1.0×10^1	=	1E+01	=	10
1.0×10^0	=	1E+00	=	1
1.0×10^{-1}	=	1E-01	=	0.1
1.0×10^{-2}	=	1E-02	=	0.01
1.0×10^{-3}	=	1E-03	=	0.001
1.0×10^{-4}	=	1E-04	=	0.0001
1.0×10^{-5}	=	1E-05	=	0.00001
1.0×10^{-6}	=	1E-06	=	0.000001
				One millionth
1.0×10^{-7}	=	1E-07	=	0.0000001
1.0×10^{-8}	=	1E-08	=	0.00000001

Conversion Chart

Both traditional radiological units (curie, roentgen, rad, rem) and the Systeme Internationale (S.I.) units (becquerel, gray, sievert) are used in this report. Nonradiological measurements are presented in both English and metric units. Frequently-used radioactivity and dose conversions are bolded.

1 centimeter (cm)	=	0.3937 inches (in)
1 meter (m)	=	39.37 inches (in) = 3.28 feet (ft)
1 kilometer (km)	=	0.62 miles (mi)
1 milliliter (mL)	=	0.0338 ounces (oz)
	=	0.061 cubic inches (in ³)
	=	1 cubic centimeter (cm ³)
1 liter (L)	=	1.057 quarts (qt)
	=	61.02 cubic inches (in ³)
1 gram (g)	=	0.0353 ounces (oz)
	=	0.0022 pounds (lbs)
1 kilogram (kg)	=	2.2 pounds (lbs)
1 curie (Ci)	=	3.7E+10 disintegrations per second (d/s)
1 becquerel (Bq)	=	1 disintegration per second (d/s)
	=	27 picocuries (pCi)
1 roentgen (R)	=	2.58E-04 coulombs per kilogram of air (C/kg)
1 rad	=	0.01 gray (Gy)
1 rem	=	0.01 sievert (Sv)
1 millirem (mrem)	=	0.001 rem
1 sievert (Sv)	=	100 rem

Distribution

Recipient	Federal Organization	Recipient	State Organization
DOE Office of Scientific and Technical Information		J. Austin	Executive Chamber, State Capitol, Albany
R. Natoli	DOE-HQ	E. Dassatti	NYSDEC - Albany
M. Rawlings	DOE-HQ	T. Rice	NYSDEC - Albany
J. Craig	DOE-OH	M. Wang	NYSDEC - Albany
R. Tormey	DOE-OH	L. Winterberger	NYSDEC - Albany
		B. Youngberg	NYSDEC - Albany
C. Glenn	NRC - HQ	B. Bartz	NYSDEC - Buffalo
J. Greeves	NRC - HQ	S. Doleski	NYSDEC - Buffalo
J. Kottan	NRC - Region I	J. Sciascia	NYSDEC - Buffalo
		D. D'Angelo	NYSDEC - Region 9
R. Chaput	U.S. EPA - Region II	M. Jackson	NYSDEC - Region 9
J. Eng	U.S. EPA - Region II	J. Strickland	NYSDEC - Region 9
P. Giardina	U.S. EPA - Region II		
		J. Huang	NYSDOH - Albany
W. Kappel	USGS	G. Baker	NYSDOH - Buffalo
		B. Ignatz	NYSDOH - Buffalo
T. Reynolds	U.S. Congressman, 27th Dist.	A. Salame Alfie	NYSDOH - Troy
J. Quinn	U.S. Congressman, 30th Dist.		
A. Houghton	U.S. Congressman, 31st Dist.	P. Piciulo	NYSERDA
		H. Brodie	NYSERDA - Albany
H. Clinton	U.S. Senator, New York		
C. Schumer	U.S. Senator, New York	R. Fakundiny	NYSGS
		D. Burling	New York Assemblyman, 147th Dist.
		C. Young	New York Assemblywoman, 149th Dist.
		P. McGee	New York Senator, 56th Dist.
		W. Stachowski	New York Senator, 58th Dist.
		D. Volker	New York Senator, 59th Dist.
Recipient	Local Organization	Recipient	Local Organization
W. Penman	Director, Cattaraugus County Health Dept.		
E. Wohlers	Cattaraugus County Health Dept.		
		G. Eppolito	Mayor, Village of Springville
G. Felton	Cattaraugus County Legislator	W. King	Supervisor, Town of Ashford
G. Fitzpatrick	Cattaraugus County Legislator	M. Steffan	Supervisor, Town of Concord
E. Helms	Cattaraugus County Legislator		
P. McCrea	Cattaraugus County Legislator		
A. Billittier IV	Erie County Health Department		
R. Armstrong	President, Seneca Nation of Indians		
L. Maybee	Seneca Nation of Indians Environmental Protection Department		

Distribution

Publication

*Buffalo News, Buffalo, New York **

*Salamanca Republican Press, Salamanca,
New York **

*Springville Journal, Springville, New York **

Library

Olean Public Library, Olean, New York

West Valley Central School Library, West Valley, New York

Town of Concord Hulbert Library, Springville, New York

Central Buffalo Public Library, Buffalo, New York

Seneca Nation of Indians Library, Cattaraugus Reservation,
Irving, New York

Seneca Nation of Indians Library, Allegheny Reservation,
Salamanca, New York

<http://www.wv.doe.gov>

** Notice of public availability*

Acknowledgments

This report was compiled and edited by N.A. McNeil, P.J. Hadden-Carter, and E.D. Picazo (team leader) of the URS West Valley Demonstration Project staff. J.M. Wilcox, also of the URS WVDP staff, provided editing support and desktop publishing services. Other technical preparers and reviewers are listed below.

Adams, T.G.
Armbruster, R.F.
Biedermann, C.A.
Bird, A.M.
Black, C.S.
Bleech, J.P.
Bohan, C.M.
Carter, R.A.
Centrich, G.F.
Chilson, L.J.
Cohen, F.J.
Eigenbrod, E.M.
Fox, J.R.
Garcia, J.M.
Gerber, J.R.
Harris, C.M.
Hoch, J.J.
Hoffman, R.L.
Hoover, R.A.
Jenkins, K.
Kean, W.N.
Keller, K.D.
Klenk, D.P.
Komasara, S.M.
Loop, M.C.
Lowe, E.A.

Malone, K.A.
Maloney, M.N.
MacVean, S.A.
McGetrick, L.B.
McGuire, M.E.
Moore, H.R.
Paskuly, S.J.
Passuite, M.F.
Pendl, M.P.
Pillittere, J.T.
Rizzo, J.R.
Roberts, C.J.
Roesch, C.T.
Ruszczuk, D.M.
Scalise, D.M.
Schalberg, T.E.
Scharf, R.L.
Schubert, A.E.
Shukla, A.K.
Steiner, A.F.
Steiner, D.R.
Steiner, R.E.
Westcott, D.R.
Wierzbicki, W.M.
Willett, M.J.
Wrotniak, C.M.
Zadins, Z.Z.