PMC-ND

(1.08.09.13)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



| RECIPIENT: Cabot Corporation | | | STATE: MA |
|--|---|--|--|
| | Scalable, innovative manufacturing process for novel carbon supports for metal catalysts for MDV/ HDV PEM fuel cells | | |
| | Announcement Number A-0002922 | Procurement Instrument Number DE-EE0011347 | NEPA Control NumberCID NumberGFO-0011347-001GO11347 |
| Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Policy 451.1), I have made the following determination: | | | |
| CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER: Description: | | | |
| A9 Information gathering, analysis, and dissemination | Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.) | | |
| B1.31 Installation or relocation of machinery and equipment | Installation or relocation and operation of machinery and equipment (including, but not limited to, laboratory equipment, electronic hardware, manufacturing machinery, maintenance equipment, and health and safety equipment), provided that uses of the installed or relocated items are consistent with the general missions of the receiving structure. Covered actions include modifications to an existing building, within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area, that are necessary for equipment installation and relocation. Such modifications would not appreciably increase the footprint or height of the existing building or have the potential to cause significant changes to the type and magnitude of environmental impacts. | | |
| B3.6 Small-scale research and development, laboratory operations, and pilot projects | Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) frequently conducted to verify a concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment. | | |
| B3.15 Small-scale indoor research and development projects using nanoscale materials | research and develop accordance with appli administrative regulat Construction and mod | nodification, operation, and decommiss ment projects and small-scale pilot pro cable requirements (such as engineeri ions) necessary to ensure the containr lification activities would be within or co e active utilities and currently used roa | ng, worker safety, procedural, and nent of any hazardous materials. ontiguous to a previously disturbed or |

Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide federal funding to Cabot Corporation for the design, development, installation, and testing of a furnace and reactor bed used in the treatment of carbon black, which is made up of engineered carbon nanoparticles. Project activities would consist of research, development, laboratory operations, data collection and analysis, and installation and operation of machinery.

Both the heat treatment furnace and fluidized bed reactor would be designed, developed, installed, and tested at Cabot's research and development facility in Billerica, MA. The University of California, Irvine, in Irvine, CA, would assist with catalyst synthesis and carbon sample testing. Additional catalyst fabrication and testing would occur at the Johnson Matthey Technology Centre in Reading, United Kingdom. Further testing and analysis would occur at Procedyne Corporation in New Brunswick, NJ, Superior Graphite Company in Hopkinsville, KY, and Bosch Research and Technology Center in Sunnyvale, CA.

All project activities would be completed in existing, purpose-built facilities. No new permits would be required. Potential hazards include the handling of flammable gas, organic solvents, nanoparticles, and high temperatures. All nanoscale materials would be handled using proper engineering controls. Award recipients would adhere to established health and safety policies and procedures when performing project work, and would observe all applicable federal, state, and local health, safety, and environmental regulations.

DOE has considered the scale, duration, and nature of proposed activities to determine potential impacts on resources, including those of an ecological, historical, cultural, and socioeconomic nature. DOE does not anticipate impacts on these resources which would be considered significant or require DOE to consult with other agencies or stakeholders.

NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination.

Notes:

Hydrogen and Fuel Cell Technologies Office NEPA review completed by Andrew McClellan, 13 June 2024

FOR CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATIONS

The proposed action (or the part of the proposal defined in the Rationale above) fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D. To fit within the classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, a proposal must be one that would not: (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders; (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities; (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to, those listed in paragraph B(4) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B; (5) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those listed in paragraph B(5) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposed action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposed action has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. This proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211 concerning limitations on actions during preparation of an environmental impact statement.

DOE has determined that work to be carried out outside of the United States, its territories and possessions is exempt from further review pursuant to Section 5.1.1 of the DOE Final Guidelines for Implementation of Executive Order 12114; "Environmental Effects Abroad of Major Federal Actions."

The proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.

NEPA Compliance Officer Signature:

Signed By: Melissa Parker

Date: 6/24/2024

NEPA Compliance Officer

FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION

Field Office Manager review not required

□ Field Office Manager review required

BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO :

Field Office Manager's Signature:

Date: