Appendix C

Site Inspections and Natural Resource Management

This page intentionally left blank

## Contents

Abbrev	viations	C-iv
Measu	rement Abbreviation	C-iv
C.1.0	Introduction	C-1
C.2.0	Site and On-Site Disposal Facility Inspections	C-1
	C.2.1 Site Inspections Findings	C-2
	C.2.2 Annual Site Photographs	C-3
	C.2.3 OSDF Inspections Findings	C-3
	C.2.4 OSDF Vegetation	C-3
C.3.0	Ecological Monitoring	C-4
	C.3.1 Ecological Monitoring Methodology	C-4
	C.3.2 Ecological Monitoring Results for 2023	C-6
C.4.0	Inspection and Ecological Monitoring Activities in 2024	C-9
C.5.0	References	C-10

# Tables

Table C-1. Annual Debris Quantities	C-11
Table C-2. OSDF Vegetation Monitoring Results for Cells 1, 2 and 3	C-11
Table C-3. Forest and Perimeter Successional Areas Florist Inventory	C-12
Table C-4. Comparison of Forest and Perimeter Successional Areas Ecological Moni-	toring
Metrics	C-19

# Figures

Figure C-1. Site Inspection Findings C	2-20
Figure C-2. OSDF Inspection Findings C	2-21
Figure C-3. Quarterly OSDF Photograph Locations C	2-22
Figure C-4A. Cell 1, East Perspective C	2-23
Figure C-4B. Cell 1, North Perspective C	2-23
Figure C-4C. Cell 1, West Perspective C	2-24
Figure C-5A. Cell 2, East Perspective C	2-24
Figure C-5B. Cell 2, West Perspective C	2-25
Figure C-6A Cell 3, East Perspective C	2-25
Figure C-6B. Cell 3, West Perspective C	2-26
Figure C-7A. Cell 4, East Perspective C	2-26
Figure C-7B. Cell 4, West Perspective C	2-27
Figure C-8A. Cell 5, East Perspective C	2-27
Figure C-8B. Cell 5, West Perspective C	2-28
Figure C-9A. Cell 6, East Perspective C	2-28
Figure C-9B. Cell 6, West Perspective C	2-29
Figure C-10A. Cell 7, East Perspective C	2-29
Figure C-10B. Cell 7, West Perspective C	2-30
Figure C-11A. Cell 8, East Perspective C	2-30
Figure C-11B. Cell 8, South PerspectiveC	2-31
Figure C-11C. Cell 8, West Perspective C	2-31

Figure C-12A. East Drainage Cell 1, South Perspective	C-32
Figure C-12B. East Drainage Cell 4, South Perspective	C-32
Figure C-12C. East Drainage Cell 7, South Perspective	C-33
Figure C-12D. North Drainage, West Perspective	C-33
Figure C-12E. South Drainage, West Perspective	C-34
Figure C-12F. West Inner Drainage, Cell 1, South Perspective	C-34
Figure C-12G. West Inner Drainage, Cell 4, South Perspective	C-35
Figure C-12H. West Inner Drainage, Cell 7, South Perspective	C-35
Figure C-12I. West Outer Drainage, Cell 1, South Perspective	C-36
Figure C-12J. West Outer Drainage, Cell 8, North Perspective	C-36
Figure C-12K. West Outer Drainage, Cell 8, South Perspective	C-37
Figure C-13A. Location 4A, Top of OSDF Cell 8, South Perspective	C-39
Figure C-13B. Location 4A, Top of OSDF Cell 8, North Perspective	C-39
Figure C-13C. Location 4B, Top of OSDF Cell 8, East Perspective	C-40
Figure C-13D. Location 4C, Top of OSDF Cell 8, West Perspective	C-40
Figure C-14A. Location 5A. Top of OSDF Cell 5. South Perspective	C-41
Figure C-14B. Location 5A. Top of OSDF Cell 5. North Perspective	C-41
Figure C-14C. Location 5B. Top of OSDF Cell 5. East Perspective	C-42
Figure C-14D Location 5C Top of OSDF Cell 5 West Perspective	C-42
Figure C-15A Location 6A Top of OSDF Cell 1 South Perspective	C-43
Figure C-15B Location 6A Top of OSDF Cell 1 North Perspective	C-43
Figure C-15C Location 6B Top of OSDF Cell 1 Fast Perspective	C-44
Figure C-15D Location 6C Top of OSDF Cell 1 West Perspective	C-44
Figure C-16A Location 22 OSDF Survey Marker No. 01 (Northwest Corner)	C-45
Figure C-16B Location 23, OSDE Survey Marker No. 02 (Northeast Corner)	$C_{-45}$
Figure C-16C Location 24, OSDF Survey Marker No. 02 (Northeast Corner)	C-46
Figure C-16D Location 25, OSDF Survey Marker No. 03 (Southeast Corner)	C-46
Figure C-17 Location 26, OSDE Southwest Gate, North-Northeast Perspective	$C_{-47}$
Figure C-18 Location 27, OSDF South Gate, North-Northeast Perspective	$C_{-47}$
Figure C 10A Location 28 OSDE East Eance North Perspective	C - 47
Figure C-19R. Location 28, OSDF East Fence, North Perspective	C - 40
Figure C 10C Location 28, OSDF East Fence Signage West Perspective	C - 40
Figure C 10D L coation 28, OSDF East Fence Signage, West Perspective	C - 49
Figure C 20 Location 20, OSDF East Fence North Perspective	C 50
Figure C 21A Location 20, OSDE North Cate, Southwest Derenactive	C-50
Figure C-21A. Location 30, OSDF North Fence, West Perspective	C-50
Figure C-21D. Location 30, OSDF North Perice, west Perspective	C-51
Figure C-22. Location 31, OSDF Northwest Gate, North-Northeast Perspective	C-51
Figure C-23. Location 32, OSDF West Fence, South-Southeast Perspective	C-52
Figure C-24. Location 33, OSDF valve Houses 8 Inrough 1, North Perspective	C-52
Figure C-25. Location 34, OSDF valve House 1, west-Northwest Perspective	C-53
Figure C-26. Location 35, OSDF Cell 1 wells, Northeast Perspective	C-53
Figure C-27. Location 36, OSDF Valve House 2, West-Northwest Perspective	C-54
Figure C-28. Location 37, OSDF Cell 2 wells, Northeast Perspective	C-54
Figure C-29. Location 38, OSDF Valve House 3, West-Northwest Perspective	C-55
Figure C-30. Location 39, OSDF Cell 3 Wells, Northeast Perspective	C-55
Figure C-31. Location 40, OSDF Valve House 4, West-Northwest Perspective	C-56
Figure C-32. Location 41, OSDF Cell 4 Wells, Northeast Perspective	C-56
Figure C-33. Location 42, OSDF Valve House 5, West-Northwest Perspective	C-57

Figure C-34. Location 43, OSDF Cell 5 Wells, Northeast Perspective	C-57
Figure C-35. Location 44, OSDF Valve House 6, West-Northwest Perspective	C-58
Figure C-36. Location 45, OSDF Cell 6 Wells, Northeast Perspective	C-58
Figure C-37. Location 46, OSDF Valve House 7, West-Northwest Perspective	C-59
Figure C-38. Location 47, OSDF Cell 7 Wells, Northeast Perspective	C-59
Figure C-39. Location 48, OSDF Valve House 8, West-Northwest Perspective	C-60
Figure C-40. Location 49, OSDF Cell 8 Wells, Northeast Perspective	C-60
Figure C-41. Representative Photograph of Animal Burrow	C-61
Figure C-42. Representative Photograph of Erosion	C-61
Figure C-43. Area-Based Approach Ecological Monitoring Areas (2014 Through 2020)	C-62
Figure C-44. Ecological Restoration Management Areas (2021 to Present)	C-63
Figure C-45. Results of Ecological Monitoring	C-64

## Abbreviations

CC coefficient of conservatism DOE U.S. Department of Energy Floristic Quality Assessment Index FQAI Geospatial Environmental Mapping System **GEMS** LMICP Comprehensive Legacy Management and Institutional Controls Plan NRRP Natural Resource Restoration Plan OSDF **On-Site Disposal Facility** Restored Area Maintenance Plan RAMP

# **Measurement Abbreviation**

m<sup>2</sup> square meters

## C.1.0 Introduction

This appendix presents results of the routine inspections of the site and On-Site Disposal Facility (OSDF), OSDF inspection photographs, and data collected as part of natural resource management at the Fernald Preserve, Ohio, Site.

## C.2.0 Site and On-Site Disposal Facility Inspections

The Fernald Preserve *Comprehensive Legacy Management and Institutional Controls Plan* (LMICP), Volume II, *Institutional Controls Plan*, and Attachment B, "On-site Disposal Facility Post-Closure Care and Inspection Plan," (PCCIP) (DOE 2023) identifies the inspection processes for the site and OSDF, respectively.

Site and OSDF inspections are conducted quarterly with participation from regulators. Site inspections also include quarterly point-specific institutional control inspections and weekly trail inspections. Inspections document evidence of unauthorized uses of the site, the effectiveness of institutional controls, and the need for repairs. Additional inspections are completed following prescribed burns. Figures C-1 and C-2 show the 2023 site and OSDF inspection findings, respectively. Site and OSDF inspection findings are also presented in Section 5.0. DOE is proposing to modify the frequency of trail inspections from weekly to quarterly. Trail inspections may be performed more frequently based on an event driven issues such as severe weather. Upon receipt of feedback from the public and stakeholders and approval from regulators, these inspection change will be implemented in 2025.

Through calendar year 2021, quarterly inspection reports that included the specific findings of the site and OSDF inspections, and a series of photographs of the OSDF individual cell caps and drainages, were submitted to the regulators. Beginning with calendar year 2022, a more streamlined reporting process was implemented which documents for the regulators the completion of each quarterly inspection. Findings that indicate activity and use limitations for the site are not in compliance are also included in the report. No activity and use limitation inspection findings were reported in the 2023 quarterly inspection reports. Inspection reports are also posted at

https://lmpublicsearch.lm.doe.gov/sitedocs/CERCLA\_Fernald\_Preserve\_PD\_Index.pdf. With the reporting change in 2022, the OSDF quarterly inspection photographs are no longer included in the quarterly reports. For 2023, the photos are instead reported in the Site Environmental Report as Figures C-3 through C-12K. The OSDF 2023 annual inspection photographs are included as Figures C-13A through C-40. Requirements concerning photographs of significant OSDF findings and subsequent notification of the regulators are discussed in Attachment B, "OSDF Post-Closure Care and Inspection Plan" of the LMICP. The PCCIP requires quarterly inspection photographs as needed of significant findings. With the approval of stakeholders and regulators, the quarterly inspection photographs will no longer be taken with the exception of those required in the PCCIP which are outlined below:

- Any evidence of erosion (e.g., gullies, rivulets, rills) that the inspector considers significant and documents in the inspection notes.
- Any evidence of burrowing animals.

- Any off-OSDF features that may affect the OSDF in the future and that the inspector considers significant and documents in the inspection notes.
- Any evidence of ponded water.
- Erosion protection material (riprap).
- Evidence of leachate seeps.
- Damaged monitoring wells.

### **C.2.1 Site Inspections Findings**

To manage the site inspections more easily, the site was divided into four quadrants: central, south, east, and west. The field walkdowns are conducted by quadrant. Inspection of the west quadrant, originally scheduled for December 2022, was delayed until early 2023 due to inclement weather. As a result, two west quadrant inspections were conducted in 2023 and the findings for both inspections are included in this report. A prescribed burn of 20 acres of prairie in the former production area was completed in 2022. A post-burn inspection was conducted in January 2023 as part of the central quadrant inspection.

The 2023 site inspection findings consisted mainly of noxious or invasive vegetation, deer exclosure fence damage, and debris. Debris (e.g., asphalt, tile, and concrete) continues to be identified, primarily in the Former Production Area and former Waste Storage Area which are both located in the central quadrant. The debris findings during site inspections were higher in 2023 than in recent years, especially in the central quadrant. The higher number of debris findings is attributed to the greater exposure and visibility of the ground surface following the December 2022 prescribed burn. Overall, debris finding numbers continue to decrease. Table C-1 provides a comparison of debris quantities by year. Debris is discovered through the site inspection process as well as during construction activities, site maintenance, and casual observation. In 2023, 79 pieces of debris were identified, radiologically surveyed, and removed. A radiological scan is completed for all debris identified. None of the debris discovered in 2023 had fixed radiological contamination above background levels.

A site inspection finding reported in 2022 was addressed in October 2023, when the access control grating was replaced on the main drainage corridor culvert. The original access control grating had experienced natural degradation of the concrete which caused the rebar to become dislodged. The finding was identified during the December 2022 point-specific institutional control inspection. The culvert, along with an adjacent 18-inch culvert that is completely buried, was left in place even though it has fixed radiological contamination. These culverts are located directly below the OSDF leachate conveyance system and the main effluent line running between the Converted Advanced Wastewater Treatment facility and the Great Miami River. Because of their location, these culverts could not have been removed without potentially impacting ongoing Converted Advanced Wastewater Treatment and OSDF operations. Instead, metal grating was installed to prevent access to the 60-inch culvert. Site inspections ensure that the 60-inch culvert grating is in place and is serviceable and that the 18-inch culvert is not exposed through erosion or other ground disturbance. The approximate location of the main drainage corridor grating is identified on Figure C-1.

## C.2.2 Annual Site Photographs

Annual site inspection photographs were taken across the site from 2007 through 2022. The 2018 Site Environmental Report (DOE 2019b) was the first time these photographs were included in the Site Environmental Report. Prior to 2018, the photographs were made available through the Geospatial Environmental Mapping System (GEMS), an internet-based interface that allows for public access to monitoring and inspection data. The annual site inspection photograph process was established to document the restoration following the extensive soil remediation completed in 2006. Because of the successful establishment of vegetation throughout the site, these annual site inspection photographs are less useful in documenting changing conditions.

## **C.2.3 OSDF Inspections Findings**

OSDF inspections consist of a quarterly walkdown around the perimeter of the OSDF and an annual walkdown of the vegetated cap. As discussed in Section 5.1, a prescribed burn of the OSDF vegetated cap, approximately 75 acres, was completed in February 2023. The required post-burn walkdown of the OSDF was completed in March 2023 in conjunction with the regularly scheduled quarterly OSDF inspection. The inspections are conducted to look for indications that there may be an issue with the proper functioning of the cap. If findings are identified, such as erosion rills, animal burrows, noxious weeds, woody vegetation, or settlement cracks they are documented and repaired. Figure C-2 identifies the approximate location, type of finding, and if the finding has been resolved for each finding identified during the March, June, September, and December 2023 inspections.

In 2023, there were no signs that the integrity of the cap had been compromised. As in previous years, findings consisted mainly of woody vegetation and noxious weeds. Woody vegetation continues to be found on the OSDF cap. Field personnel physically remove or apply herbicide to woody vegetation to keep trees and shrubs from becoming established on the cap. Following the prescribed burn, more woody vegetation was exposed and documented in the follow-up inspections. All woody vegetation identified in 2023 was treated with herbicide or removed. Additional findings on the cap included, but were not limited to, animal burrows and evidence of previous erosion that has been stabilized. Representative photographs of these OSDF findings are included as Figures C-41 and C-42, as required by the PCCIP. These findings did not require follow-up inspection because both findings were not active.

Because a prescribed burn allows for a more thorough inspection of the surface of the OSDF, with approval from the regulators and stakeholders, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) plans to change the annual walkover inspection required in the PCCIP to every other year to align this complete cap walkover with OSDF prescribed burns. In the event that an OSDF burn cannot be completed, DOE will still complete a walkover of the entire OSDF cap at least every other year. The next prescribed burn of the OSDF is planned for spring 2025.

## C.2.4 OSDF Vegetation

Vegetation monitoring on the OSDF is required in accordance with Volume II of the LMICP (DOE 2023). DOE and the regulatory agencies agree that the goal is not necessarily to establish a functioning prairie on the OSDF cap, but 90% total cover with 50% native vegetation are the goals for the vegetated cap. Monitoring to determine the percentage of native cover on one-third

of the OSDF cap is completed annually so that the entire cap is monitored over a 3-year period. Each individual cell cap is divided into a grid that covers the surface of the cap, 4 blocks wide (east to west) and 3 blocks long (north to south). Within each grid block, a 1-meter-square quadrat is randomly located, resulting in 12 vegetation monitoring locations for each cell cap. Total cover and species richness data are collected for each quadrat. From this information, total cap cover, native species composition, and relative frequency of native species are calculated. Vegetation on OSDF cell caps 1, 2, and 3 were monitored in 2023, and the results are presented in Table C-2. The total cover for Cells 2 and 3 averaged 98% and 95%, respectively, exceeding the goal of 90%. The total cover for Cell 1 averaged 87%, slightly below the 90% goal. It is suspected that the less than 90% cover may be due to a combination of the February 2023 prescribed burn removing the thatch build up, followed by spring herbicide application to invasive vegetation on the cap. Native cover for cell caps 1, 2, and 3 were 64%, 60%, and 68%, respectively, exceeding the 50% native vegetation goal.

# C.3.0 Ecological Monitoring

Monitoring of ecologically restored areas was required as part of the natural resource damage settlement among DOE, the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, and the U.S. Department of the Interior who is represented by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Fernald Natural Resource Trustees). The Fernald Preserve Natural Resource Restoration Plan (NRRP) (State of Ohio 2008) specified ecological restoration monitoring requirements and established the ecological restoration monitoring program at the Fernald site.

The *Fernald Preserve, Ohio, Restored Area Maintenance Plan* (RAMP) (DOE 2012) was an additional document required by the NRRP. The RAMP established a maintenance program for ecologically restored areas across the site. The NRRP called for a 10-year review of the RAMP by the Fernald Natural Resource Trustees. That review was conducted in 2020 and resulted in the development of the *Fernald Preserve, Ohio, Site Natural Resource Management Plan.* In 2022, DOE provided a detailed status of the commitments for ecological restoration, monitoring, and maintenance at the site (DOE 2022) which originated from the "Consent Decree Resolving Ohio's Natural Resource Damage Claim Against DOE" in the State of Ohio versus DOE, et al. 2008 court decision. In 2023, the Fernald Natural Resource Trustees agreed that DOE had completed ecological restoration of the Fernald Preserve in accordance with the requirements identified in the Consent Decree and the NRRP (Ohio EPA 2023 and USFWS 2023). Additionally, DOE communicated that DOE Legacy Management will continue long-term stewardship of site restoration pursuant to the *Fernald Preserve, Ohio, Site Natural Resource Management Plan.* 

Beginning in 2023, the Natural Resource Management Plan is included as Appendix A of Volume I of the Fernald Preserve LMICP (DOE 2023). Further detail regarding ecological monitoring for 2023 is provided below.

## C.3.1 Ecological Monitoring Methodology

Prior to 2021, a two-tier ecological monitoring program was used to assess restoration efforts. Implementation monitoring was used to evaluate vegetation establishment following seeding and planting projects. Functional monitoring was used to assess the progress of the development of a restored community (prairie, wetland, forest) by comparing floristic quality parameters to those of baseline and reference sites (DOE 2002). Reference sites are offsite communities that represent an ideal end-state for site restoration projects.

Prior to 2015, functional monitoring was conducted on a sitewide community basis, with wetland monitoring completed one year, prairie monitoring the next, and forest monitoring the third year. From 2015 through 2020, a management-area approach was implemented to ensure that restored areas were maintained on a 3-year rotation (Figure C-43). Functional monitoring in prairie and woodland areas consisted of establishing 15 random 1 square meter (m<sup>2</sup>) quadrats that were surveyed for herbaceous vegetation during the growing season (April through September). Surveys were divided into three rounds of five quadrats for each designated monitoring sub-area to ensure coverage throughout the growing season. For each quadrat, species richness and cover data were recorded for herbaceous vegetation. Additional 1,000 m<sup>2</sup> plots were used to collect woody data from each forest community. Species abundance and size data using diameter at breast height measurements were collected for woody vegetation in forest communities. Wetland communities were surveyed via fixed grids as described in the *Fernald Preserve Wetland Mitigation Monitoring Plan* (DOE 2009).

In 2020, a review of 10 years of data showed that NRRP goals for native species were mostly met, there had been much improvement over baseline conditions, and comparison to reference sites were sometimes met. Based on this review, the Fernald Natural Resource Trustees agreed that a shift from project-specific monitoring to a community-based approach for ecological monitoring is more appropriate.

The community-based monitoring involves the development of floristic inventories for each restoration community. Floristic inventories are compiled by conducting a series of walkdowns to survey the vegetation within a particular community type throughout the growing season. The result is a comprehensive list of vascular plant species for each monitoring community type. Figure C-44 shows the breakdown of community types for which floristic inventories are completed. Remediation wetland areas, remediation prairie areas, and remediation successional areas are all located in areas of the site where extensive ground disturbance took place. They are characterized by having little to no topsoil or nearby established vegetation in place when ecological restoration efforts began. Perimeter wetland areas, perimeter successional areas, restoration forest areas, and existing forest areas are all located in areas where little or no ground disturbance took place. Topsoil was usually still in place at the time ecological restoration efforts began. Each community type will be evaluated on a 3-year rotation. In 2021, wetland communities were evaluated using the new floristic inventory method. In 2022, remediation area communities across the site were evaluated through this revised approach to functional monitoring. Remediation areas were divided into remediation successional areas, where the long-term management goal is to allow natural forest succession to take place, and remediation prairie areas, where restored prairies will be maintained as prairie communities through vegetation management. Existing forest areas, restoration forest areas, and perimeter successional areas were monitored in 2023 and the results are presented in this report. In 2024, the monitoring cycle will repeat, beginning with monitoring of perimeter and remediation wetland areas. Prairies will be monitored in 2025 and forests will be monitored in 2026. Floristic monitoring will be reevaluated after 2026.

## C.3.2 Ecological Monitoring Results for 2023

The year 2023 is the first year that existing forest, restoration forest, and perimeter successional areas were surveyed using the floristic inventory method. While the long-term goal for all three of these areas is to develop into healthy deciduous woods, the three areas are currently in various stages of succession. The existing forest areas onsite are second growth woodlands that have existed for many decades. Management activities in the existing forest areas is primarily focused on invasive species removal. The restored forest areas are locations where active management has taken place to expand an existing forest area or riparian corridor. These restored forest areas vary from established young woodlands to more open areas still early in the succession process. Some vegetation still resembles that found in prairie communities. Management activities will continue in the restored forest areas, including invasive species removal and supplemental tree and shrub plantings, as needed. The perimeter successional areas onsite were originally planted and managed as prairie communities. In 2020, the decision was made to allow these perimeter prairie pockets, located along Paddys Run Road, to succeed into forest areas. This will establish a contiguous forest community between the western site boundary and Paddys Run. These perimeter successional areas still largely resemble the prairie community type, as succession has just recently been allowed to progress. Management activities for these perimeter successional areas will include invasive species removal and supplemental tree and shrub plantings, only as needed, to help ensure forest development.

The three community types were surveyed in three rounds to ensure that data were collected through the entire growing season. Native and non-native species richness and species composition, average coefficient of conservatism (CC), and Floristic Quality Assessment Index (FQAI) were calculated from the data. Processes for calculating monitoring parameters for all communities are described in the *Fernald Preserve, Fernald, Ohio, Ecological Monitoring Methods Plan and Procedures* (DOE 2021). The latest Ohio FQAI database (Gara 2013) was used to determine nativity status and CC values. Table C-3 lists species identified in 2023 and allows for comparison of the species lists for the monitored communities. A total of 381 species were observed overall, with the most (290) species identified in the restored forest areas. Figure C-45 shows the locations of the notable species from 2023 monitoring.

Table C-4 provides a multiyear comparison of mean CC value, FQAI, and percent native species for the areas surveyed in 2023. For the data presented from the years 2011 to 2020, a species list was compiled from the ecological monitoring data collected during those years. The species list was used to calculate mean CC, FQAI, and percent native species. While FQAI is included in Table C-4, this value is influenced by the size of the surveyed area. The floristic inventory method surveys much larger areas than those surveyed prior to 2021. Because of this, FQAI will be more useful when comparing 2023 data to 2026. Mean CC is a more appropriate index for historical comparisons using previous methods. Spyreas (2016) has shown that mean CC values are useful for comparison when there is variability in plot size and sampling intensity, as well as species misidentification. Mean CC will also be useful for comparisons; however, this could also be influenced slightly by the larger survey areas. This metric will also be useful for future comparisons.

Table C-4 shows a slight increase in mean CC from 2011 to 2023 for existing forest areas; restored forest areas are mostly unchanged since 2011. Mean CC values for perimeter

successional areas increased steadily during this time. FQAI scores for existing forests and restored forest areas were relatively stable through the first four monitoring cycles (2011 through 2020); however, both values increased in 2023. The perimeter successional areas show an upward trend in FQAI since 2011; however, this trend leveled off in 2023. Native species percent in the existing forest areas and restored forest areas show only a slight increase since 2011. In contrast, perimeter successional areas show a strong increasing trend in native species percent since 2011.

Several species of interest were observed in existing forest areas in 2023. Sweet pignut hickory (*Carya ovalis*) and spinulose wood fern (*Dryopteris carthusiana*) were observed for the first time at the Fernald Preserve. Native plants with CC values of 7–10 have very specific habitat requirements and are indicative of healthy communities. Forty-two high CC value (6 and above) species were observed in existing forest areas including:

- Sycamore (*Platanus occidentalis*)
- Chinquapin oak (Quercus muehlenbergii)
- Shellbark hickory (Carya laciniosa)
- American beech (Fagus grandifolia)
- Northern three-lobed bedstraw (Galium trifidum)
- Wild hydrangea (*Hydrangea arborescens*)
- Black-gum (*Nyssa sylvatica*)
- Shumard oak (Quercus shumardii)
- Black oak (*Quercus velutina*)
- Fescue sedge (*Carex festucacea*)
- Muhlenberg's sedge (*Carex muhlenbergii*)
- Blue ash (*Fraxinus quadrangulata*)
- False rue-anemone (*Isopyrum biternatum*)
- Red mulberry (*Morus rubra*)

Species of interest observed in the restored forest areas in 2023 included three species that had not previously been recorded at the Fernald Preserve: tufted-fescue sedge (*Carex brevior*), cross-vine (*Bignonia capreolata*), and bottomland aster (*Aster ontarionis*). Forty-one high CC species were observed in this community. Highlights from the 2023 inventory, with CC values of 7 and higher include:

- Rattlesnake-master (*Eryngium yuccifolium*)
- Sycamore (*Platanus occidentalis*)
- Chinquapin oak (*Quercus muehlenbergii*)
- Shellbark hickory (*Carya laciniosa*)
- American beech (Fagus grandifolia)
- Northern three-lobed bedstraw (*Galium trifidum*)

- Wild hydrangea (*Hydrangea arborescens*)
- Black-gum (Nyssa sylvatica)
- Shumard oak (Quercus shumardii)
- Black oak (*Quercus velutina*)
- Purple prairie-clover (*Dalea purpurea*)
- Compass plant (*Silphium laciniatum*)
- Stiff goldenrod (*Solidago rigida*)
- Side-oats grama grass (Bouteloua curtipendula)
- Tufted-fescue sedge (*Carex brevior*)
- Swamp white oak (*Quercus bicolor*)
- Bottomland aster (*Aster ontarionis*)
- Cross-vine (Bignonia capreolata)

In the perimeter successional areas, sullivant's milkweed (*Asclepias sullivantii*) was recorded for the first time at the Fernald Preserve. Seventeen high CC species were observed in this area including:

- Rattlesnake-master (*Eryngium yuccifolium*)
- Sycamore (*Platanus occidentalis*)
- Chinquapin oak (Quercus muehlenbergii)
- Compass plant (*Silphium laciniatum*)
- Stiff goldenrod (*Solidago rigida*)
- Swamp white oak (*Quercus bicolor*)
- Sullivant's milkweed (Asclepias sullivantii)

Both the existing forest and restored forest areas had more than twice the number of high CC species (value of 6 or greater) than those observed in the perimeter successional areas during the 2023 surveys. The perimeter successional areas are predominantly open habitats with little woody vegetation growth. Over time, these areas will develop into woodlands, but the areas are very early in this process. The restored forest areas have the most diverse habitats, varying from areas that have been woodlands for several decades to open areas that largely lack woody plants. The presence of the open areas is reflected in the high CC species compositions for each area. In the perimeter successional areas, more than half of the high CC species observed are species not found in woodland habitats, but rather, are representative of a prairie community. The same is true for the restored forest areas, in which nearly a quarter of the high CC species observed are species that are not typical of woodland community. Of the 42 high CC species observed, only three are not typical woodland species. These results are expected and demonstrate that the restored forest areas.

Spring ephemeral wildflowers are a group of plants that are characteristic of woodland habitats. These plants bloom in the early spring from March through May before canopy level trees above them leaf out, resulting in significantly more shade on the forest floor. Examples include toadshade (*Trillium sessile*), bloodroot (*Sanguinaria canadensis*), Dutchman's breeches (*Dicentra cucullaria*), Virginia bluebells (*Mertensia virginica*), and white trout-lily (*Erythronium albidum*). Nearly all spring ephemeral species at the site were growing in the existing forest areas. Some of these species were also found in the restored forest area, but not as many as in the existing forest areas. Relatively few of these species were observed in the perimeter successional areas is another indicator of their current stages of ecological succession, with the perimeter successional areas being the earliest successional areas, varying stages of succession in the restored forest areas, and the existing forest areas resembling healthy forest habitats.

Monitoring results are used to assess the health of the restored areas and ensure that proper maintenance, if needed, is accomplished. Woody invasive species will continue to try to invade the successional and restoration forest areas where native forest species are only just becoming established or are early in their successional development. Removal of those invasive species will be necessary to ensure that the successional areas continue to follow ecological succession on a desirable trajectory. That success is determined by the sensitivity, diversity, and the frequency of the species present. For example, during the 2023 floristic inventory, a much larger presence of invasive tree-of-heaven (*Ailanthus altissima*) was identified. While quantitative data is not collected via this method, the floristic inventory effort allowed site personnel to identify and map new areas for this invasive species. Because this species is a primary food source and attractant for the invasive spotted lanternfly, treating and removing tree-of-heaven will be a priority for 2024 maintenance activities.

# C.4.0 Inspection and Ecological Monitoring Activities in 2024

Quarterly site inspections will continue to be used to identify issues that need to be addressed. To better access remote areas of the site, the timing of field walkdowns is focused in the winter months. This allows for greater visibility and access in densely vegetated areas. Post-burn walkdowns in the central and east quadrants will also be conducted. Vegetation monitoring of the OSDF cap will also continue. Cell caps 4, 5, and 6 will be evaluated in 2024.

The floristic inventories implemented in 2021 will continue in 2024 for remediation wetland areas and perimeter wetland areas. Select perimeter wetland areas will also be monitored for amphibian activity. The inventories and monitoring activities are used to determine the health of, and ensure the continued development of, the various ecological communities onsite as part of ecological stewardship.

## C.5.0 References

10 CFR 835. "Occupational Radiation Protection," Code of Federal Regulations.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2002. 2002 Consolidated Monitoring Report for Restored Areas at the Fernald Closure Project, 20900-RP-0017 Revision B Draft, Office of Environmental Management, Fernald Area Office, Cincinnati, Ohio, April.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2009. *Fernald Preserve Wetland Mitigation Monitoring Plan*, LMS/FER/S05034, Office of Legacy Management, Cincinnati, Ohio, October.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2012. *Fernald Preserve, Ohio, Restored Area Maintenance Plan*, LMS/FER/S05080, Office of Legacy Management, January.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2021. *Fernald Preserve, Fernald, Ohio, Ecological Monitoring Methods Plan and Procedures*, LMS/FER/S06195, Office of Legacy Management, June.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2022. *Transmittal of Final Fernald Preserve Natural Resource Trusteeship Commitment Crosswalk*, letter from Brian Zimmerman, Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, to Tom Schneider, Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, and Jennifer Finfera, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, October 26.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2023. *Comprehensive Legacy Management and Institutional Controls Plan*, LMS/FER/S03496, Revision 13, Office of Legacy Management, January.

Gara, B., 2013. *The Vegetation Index of Biotic Integrity "Floristic Quality" (VIBI-FQ)*, Ohio EPA Technical Report WET/2013-2, Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, Wetlands Ecology Group, Division of Surface Water, Columbus, Ohio.

Ohio EPA, 2023. Concurrence on the Fernald Natural Resource Trusteeship Commitment Crosswalk, Dated April 26, 2023, letter from Thomas Schneider to Brian Zimmerman, Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, June 15.

Spyreas, G., 2016. "Scale and Sampling Effects on Floristic Quality," *PLoS One* 11(8):e0160693.

State of Ohio, 2008. Consent Decree Resolving Ohio's Natural Resource Damage Claim Against DOE, *State of Ohio v. United States Department of Energy, et al*, Civil Action No. C-1-86-0217, Judge Spiegel.

USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service), 2023. Jennifer Finfera, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, letter (no subject line) to Brian Zimmerman, Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, June 16.

Year	Free-Release Debris Count <sup>a,b</sup>	Contaminated Debris Count <sup>a</sup>	Percent Contaminated <sup>a,b</sup>
2007	-	108	-
2008	-	128	-
2009	-	36	-
2010	-	21	-
2011	204	4	1.9%
2012	1,480	12	0.8%
2013	391	8	2.0%
2014	814	8	1.0%
2015	453	13	2.8%
2016	261	9	3.3%
2017	574	3	0.5%
2018	294	3	1.0%
2019	925	0	0.0%
2020	241	1	0.4%
2021	143	6	4.0%
2022	128	0	0.0%
2023	79	0	0.0%

Table C-1. Annual Debris Quantities

<sup>a</sup> 10 CFR 835. "Occupational Radiation Protection."
<sup>b</sup> DOE began recording free-release debris counts in 2011.

Table C-2. OSDF Vegetation Monitoring Results for Cells 1, 2 and 3

_			
	Cell 1	Cell 2	Cell 3
Total Species	25	25	19
Native Species	16	15	13
Non-Native Species	9	10	6
Native Species (Percent)	64%	60%	68%
Relative Frequency of Native Species (Percent)	71%	61%	66%
Average Coefficient of Conservatism (CC), range between 0-10	2.4	1.9	2.2
Floristic Quality Assessment Index	12.2	9.6	9.4
Average Total Cover (Percent)	87%	98%	95%

				Rela	Relative Frequenc		
Scientific Name	Common Name	Туре	СС	Cell 1	Cell 2	Cell 3	
Achillea millefolium	YARROW	forb	1	-	8.3%	-	
Ambrosia artemisiifolia	COMMON RAGWEED	forb	0	58.3%	83.3%	83.3%	
Andropogon gerardii	BIG BLUESTEM	grass	5	100.0%	25.0%	41.7%	
Apocynum cannabinum	INDIAN HEMP	forb	1		8.3%	8.3%	
Asclepias syriaca	COMMON MILKWEED	forb	1	8.3%	33.3%	41.7%	
Aster novae-angliae	NEW ENGLAND ASTER	forb	2	8.3%	50.0%	41.7%	
Bouteloua curtipendula	SIDE-OATS GRAMA GRASS	grass	8	25.0%	8.3%	25.0%	
Carex frankii	FRANK'S SEDGE	sedge	2	8.3%	-	-	
Desmodium paniculatum	SHOWY TICK-TREFOIL	forb	3	-	-	8.3%	
Echinacea purpurea	PURPLE CONEFLOWER	forb	6	-	8.3%	-	
Elymus canadensis	CANADA WILD RYE	grass	6	8.3%	41.7%	50.0%	
Erigeron species	FLEABANE SPECIES	forb	0	8.3%	-	8.3%	
Eupatorium altissimum	TALL BONESET	forb	0	-	33.3%	-	
Heliopsis helianthoides	SMOOTH OXEYE	forb	5	16.7%	-	-	
Panicum virgatum	SWITCH GRASS	grass	4	8.3%	16.7%	8.3%	
Ratibida pinnata	GRAY-HEADED CONEFLOWER	forb	5	16.7%	41.7%	50.0%	
Schizachyrium scoparium	LITTLE BLUESTEM	grass	5	33.3%	-	-	
Solidago canadensis	CANADA GOLDENROD	forb	1	33.3%	75.0%	66.7%	
Solidago rigida	STIFF GOLDENROD	forb	8	8.3%	-	-	
Sorghastrum nutans	INDIAN GRASS	grass	5	25.0%	33.3%	16.7%	
Verbena hastata	BLUE VERVAIN	forb	4	8.3%	-	-	
Verbena stricta	HOARY VERVAIN	forb	3	-	8.3%	-	
Allium species	GARLIC SPECIES	forb	0	16.7%	-	-	
Bromus inermis	HUNGARIAN BROME	grass	0	-	58.3%	8.3%	
Calystegia species	BINDWEED SPECIES	forb	0	-	25.0%	-	
Coronilla varia	CROWN-VETCH	forb	0	8.3%	-	-	
Daucus carota	QUEEN-ANNE'S-LACE	forb	0	16.7%	16.7%	-	
Dipsacus laciniatus	CUT-LEAVED TEASEL	forb	0	-	-	8.3%	
Lactuca species	LETTUCE SPECIES	forb	0	-	8.3%	-	
Medicago lupulina	BLACK MEDICK	forb	0	50.0%	91.7%	100.0%	
Melilotus alba	WHITE SWEET-CLOVER	forb	0	8.3%	-	-	
Melilotus officinalis	YELLOW SWEET-CLOVER	forb	0	25.0%	-	-	
Poa species	BLUEGRASS SPECIES	grass	0	8.3%	50.0%	75.0%	
Rumex crispus	CURLY DOCK	forb	0	-	8.3%	-	
Solanum carolinense	HORSE NETTLE	forb	0	-	8.3%	-	
Trifolium hybridum	ALSIKE CLOVER	forb	0	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	
Trifolium pratense	RED CLOVER	forb	0	-	33.3%	33.3%	
unknown grass	UNKNOWN	grass	0	8.3%	-	-	
Shaded species are non-native	· · · · ·						

Scientific Name	Common Name	Туре	Coefficient of Conservatism	Existing Forest Area	Restoration Forest Area	Perimeter Successional Area
Acer negundo	BOX ELDER	tree	3	Х	Х	Х
Acer rubrum	RED MAPLE	tree	2	Х	Х	Х
Acer saccharinum	SILVER MAPLE	tree	3	Х	Х	Х
Acer saccharum	SUGAR MAPLE	tree	5	Х	Х	
Achillea millefolium	YARROW	forb	1	Х	Х	Х
Aesculus glabra	OHIO BUCKEYE	tree	6	Х	Х	Х
Aesculus pavia	RED BUCKEYE	tree	0	Х		
Agalinis purpurea var. purpurea	LARGE PURPLE FOXGLOVE	forb	6	Х		
Agrimonia parviflora	SMALL-FLOWERED AGRIMONY	forb	2	Х	Х	Х
Ambrosia artemisiifolia	COMMON RAGWEED	forb	0	Х	Х	Х
Ambrosia trifida	GIANT RAGWEED	forb	0		Х	
Amelanchier laevis	SMOOTH SERVICEBERRY	small tree	5	Х		
Amphicarpaea bracteata	HOG-PEANUT	forb	4	Х	Х	
Andropogon gerardii	BIG BLUESTEM	grass	5		Х	Х
Andropogon virginicus	COMMON BROOM-SEDGE	grass	3		Х	
Anemone virginiana	WOODLAND THIMBLEWEED	forb	3	Х		
Anemonella thalictroides	RUE ANEMONE	forb	6	Х		
Apocynum cannabinum	INDIAN HEMP	forb	1	Х	Х	Х
Asarum canadense	WILD GINGER	forb	6	Х	Х	
Asclepias incarnata	SWAMP MILKWEED	forb	4		Х	Х
Asclepias sullivantii	SULLIVANT'S MILKWEED	forb	8			Х
Asclepias syriaca	COMMON MILKWEED	forb	1	Х	Х	Х
Asclepias tuberosa	BUTTERFLY-WEED	forb	4		Х	
Asimina triloba	PAWPAW	small tree	6	Х	Х	
Asplenium platyneuron	EBONY SPLEENWORT	fern	3	Х	Х	
Aster cordifolius	BLUE WOOD ASTER	forb	4	Х		
Aster lanceolatus	EASTERN LINED ASTER	forb	3	Х	х	
Aster lateriflorus	CALICO ASTER	forb	2	Х	х	Х
Aster novae-angliae	NEW ENGLAND ASTER	forb	2		х	Х
Aster ontarionis	BOTTOMLAND ASTER	forb	7		х	
Aster pilosus	AWL ASTER	forb	1	Х	Х	Х
Aster racemosus	SMALL-HEADED ASTER	forb	2		Х	
Baptisia australis	BLUE FALSE INDIGO	forb	6	Х	Х	Х
Bidens bipinnata	SPANISH-NEEDLES	forb	2	Х		
Bidens cernua	NODDING BEGGAR'S-TICK	forb	3	Х		
Bidens frondosa	DEVIL'S BEGGAR'S-TICK	forb	2	Х	Х	
Bignonia capreolata	CROSS-VINE	vine	7		Х	
Blephilia hirsuta	HAIRY WOODMINT	forb	4	Х		
Boehmeria cylindrica	FALSE NETTLE	forb	4	Х	Х	Х
Botrychium virginianum	RATTLESNAKE FERN	fern	4	Х		
Bouteloua curtipendula	SIDE-OATS GRAMA GRASS	grass	8		х	
Calamagrostis canadensis	CANADA BLUEJOINT	grass	4		Х	
Calystegia sepium	HEDGE BINDWEED	forb	1	Х		
Camassia scilloides	WILD HYACINTH	forb	6	Х		
Campanula americana	TALL BELLFLOWER	forb	4	Х		
Campsis radicans	TRUMPET-CREEPER	vine	1	Х	Х	
Cardamine concatenata	CUT-LEAVED TOOTHWORT	forb	3	Х	x	
Cardamine doualassii	PURPLE SPRING CRESS	forb	5	Х	x	
Cardamine rhomboidea	SPRING CRESS	forb	5		X	
Carex aggregata	GLOMERATE SEDGE	sedae	2	Х	X	Х
Carex albicans var. albicans	OAK SEDGE	sedae	4	X		
Carex albursina	WING-STEMMED WOOD SEDGE	sedge	6	Х		

Carex albursina	WING-STEMMED WOOD SEDGE	sedge	6	Х		
Carex amphibola	E. NARROW-LEAVED SEDGE	sedge	5	Х	Х	Х
Carex annectens	YELLOW FOX SEDGE	sedge	3		Х	Х
Carex blanda	COMMON WOOD SEDGE	sedge	1	Х	Х	Х
Carex brevior	TUFTED-FESCUE SEDGE	sedge	8		Х	
Carex cephalophora	OVAL-HEADED SEDGE	sedge	5	Х		
Carex cristatella	CRESTED SEDGE	sedge	3	Х		
Carex davisii	DAVIS' SEDGE	sedge	5	Х	Х	
Carex digitalis	SLENDER WOOD SEDGE	sedge	4	Х	Х	
Carex festucacea	FESCUE SEDGE	sedge	7	Х		
Carex frankii	FRANK'S SEDGE	sedge	2	Х	Х	Х
Carex granularis	MEADOW SEDGE	sedge	3	Х	Х	Х
Carex grisea	NARROW-LEAVED SEDGE	sedge	4	Х	Х	Х
Carex hirsutella	HIRSUTE SEDGE	sedge	2		Х	
Carex hystericina	PORCUPINE SEDGE	sedge	5		Х	
Carex jamesii	JAMES' SEDGE	sedge	6	Х	Х	
Carex laevivaginata	SMOOTH-SHEATHED FOX SEDGE	sedge	6	Х		
Carex leavenworthii	LEAVENWORTH'S SEDGE	sedge	3			Х

#### Table C-3. Forest and Perimeter Successional Areas Florist Inventory (continued)

Scientific Name	Common Name	Туре	Coefficient of Conservatism	Existing Forest Area	Restoration Forest Area	Perimeter Successional Area
Carex lurida	BOTTLEBRUSH SEDGE	sedge	3		Х	
Carex mesochorea	MIDLAND SEDGE	sedge	6			Х
Carex molesta	TROUBLESOME SEDGE	sedge	3	Х	Х	
Carex muhlenbergii	MUHLENBERG'S SEDGE	sedge	7	Х		
Carex normalis	LARGE STRAW SEDGE	sedge	4	Х	Х	Х
Carex oligocarpa	FEW-FRUITED SEDGE	sedge	6	Х		
Carex pensylvanica	PENNSYLVANIA SEDGE	sedge	3	Х		
Carex rosea	ROSE SEDGE	sedge	3	Х	Х	Х
Carex scoparia	POINTED BROOM SEDGE	sedge	3		X	
Carex shortiana	SHORT'S SEDGE	sedge	2	X	X	
Carex sparganioides	BUR-REED SEDGE	sedge	3	X	X	
Carex tribuloides	BLUNT BROOM SEDGE	sedge	4	X	X	X
Carex vulpinoidea	FOX SEDGE	sedge	1	X	X	X
Carpinus caroliniana		small tree	5	X	X	
Carya corditormis		tree	5	X	X	X
Carya laciniosa	SHELLBARK HICKORY	tree	7	X	Х	
Carya ovalis	SWEET PIGNUT HICKORY	tree	5	X		
Carya ovata	SHAGBARK HICKORY	tree	6	Х	Х	
Carya tomentosa	MOCKERNUT HICKORY	tree	6	X	X	
Celtis occidentalis	HACKBERRY	tree	4	Х	Х	
Cephalanthus occidentalis	BUTTONBUSH	shrub	6		Х	
Cercis canadensis	REDBUD	small tree	3	Х	Х	Х
Chaerophyllum procumbens	WILD CHERVIL	forb	4	Х	Х	
Chamaecrista fasciculata	PARTRIDGE-PEA	forb	3	Х	Х	
Cirsium discolor	FIELD THISTLE	forb	4	Х	Х	Х
Claytonia virginica	SPRING-BEAUTY	forb	2	Х	Х	Х
Conyza canadensis	HORSEWEED	forb	0		Х	Х
Cornus amomum	SILKY DOGWOOD	shrub	2		Х	Х
Cornus drummondii	ROUGH-LEAVED DOGWOOD	shrub	3	Х	Х	
Cornus florida	FLOWERING DOGWOOD	small tree	5	Х	Х	
Corydalis flavula	YELLOW HARLEQUIN	forb	4	Х	Х	
Corylus americana	AMERICAN HAZEL	shrub	4		Х	
Crataegus crus-galli	COCKSPUR	small tree	3	Х		
Cryptotaenia canadensis	HONEWORT	forb	3	Х		
Cyperus esculentus	YELLOW NUT-SEDGE	sedge	0			Х
Cystopteris protrusa	LOWLAND BLADDER FERN	fern	5	Х		
Dalea purpurea	PURPLE PRAIRIE-CLOVER	forb	9		X	
Delphinium tricorne	DWARF LARKSPUR	forb	4	Х	Х	
Desmodium canadense	CANADA TICK-TREFOIL	forb	4		X	Х
Desmodium paniculatum	SHOWY TICK-TREFOIL	forb	3	X	X	
Dicentra cucullaria	DUTCHMAN'S-BREECHES	forb	6	X	X	
Dioscorea villosa	WILD YAM	vine	4	X		
Diospyros virginiana	PERSIMMON	small tree	4		X	
Dryopteris carthusiana	SPINULOSE WOOD FERN	fern	5	X		
Echinacea purpurea		forb	6		X	X
Elymus canadensis		grass	6	X	X	X
Elymus hystrix	BOTTLEBRUSH GRASS	grass	4	X		
Elymus riparius		grass	5	X	X	
		grass	4	X	X	
		grass	3	X		
Equipotum biomete		dior diama	1		v	Χ
Equisetum nyemale	SCOURING-RUSH	fern	2		X	
Erigenia buibosa		ford	6	N N	X	
Erigeron annuus		forD	0	X	X	Y
		d101	2	λ	X	X X
		forb	7	V	×	×
		IUID fort	/ E	×	<u>^</u>	^
		dior diversite	5	X 		
Euonymus attopurpureus		snrub	3	٨	v	
		forb	U 2	v		
		IUID fort	3 F	×		
		dior dior	5	X 	X	~
		dioi	3	∧ 		× ×
		IOFD forb	2	×	× ×	× ×
				×		^
		tree	/ 	X 	X	
		grass	5	×	X	
			5	^ 	v	
riaxinus americana	WILLE ASH	tree	р	X	X	

Scientific Name	Common Name	Туре	Coefficient of Conservatism	Existing Forest Area	Restoration Forest Area	Perimeter Successional Area
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	GREEN ASH	tree	3	Х	Х	Х
Fraxinus quadrangulata	BLUE ASH	tree	7	Х		
Galium aparine	CLEAVERS	forb	0	Х	Х	Х
Galium circaezans	WILD LICORICE	forb	4	Х	Х	
Galium trifidum	N. THREE-LOBED BEDSTRAW	forb	7	Х	Х	
Geranium maculatum	WILD GERANIUM	forb	4	Х		
Geum canadense	WHITE AVENS	forb	2	Х	Х	Х
Geum laciniatum	ROUGH AVENS	forb	2		Х	
Geum vernum	SPRING AVENS	forb	2	Х	Х	Х
Gleditsia triacanthos	HONEY LOCUST	tree	4	Х	Х	Х
Glyceria striata	FOWL MANNA GRASS	grass	2	Х	Х	
Gymnocladus dioicus	KENTUCKY COFFEE-TREE	tree	3	Х		
Hackelia virginiana	VIRGINIA STICKSEED	forb	2	Х	Х	Х
Hamamelis virginiana	WITCH-HAZEL	small tree	5		Х	
Heliopsis helianthoides	SMOOTH OXEYE	forb	5	Х	Х	Х
Hydrangea arborescens	WILD HYDRANGEA	shrub	7	Х	Х	
Hydrophyllum macrophyllum	LARGED-LEAVED WATERLEAF	forb	6	Х		
Hypericum prolificum	SHRUBBY ST. JOHN'S-WORT	shrub	3		Х	
Hypericum punctatum	SPOTTED ST. JOHN'S-WORT	forb	2	Х	Х	
llex verticillata	WINTERBERRY	shrub	6		Х	
Impatiens capensis	SPOTTED TOUCH-ME-NOT	forb	2	Х		Х
Ipomoea pandurata	POTATO-VINE	forb	2		Х	
Iris versicolor	NORTHERN BLUE FLAG	forb	6		Х	
Isopyrum biternatum	FALSE RUE-ANEMONE	forb	7	Х		
Juglans nigra	BLACK WALNUT	tree	5	Х	Х	Х
Juncus dudleyi	DUDLEY'S RUSH	forb	3	Х		
Juncus tenuis	PATH RUSH	forb	1	Х	Х	Х
Juniperus virginiana	EASTERN RED CEDAR	tree	3	Х	Х	Х
Lactuca canadensis	WILD LETTUCE	forb	1	Х		
Lactuca floridana	WOODLAND LETTUCE	forb	3	Х	Х	
Leersia oryzoides	RICE CUT GRASS	grass	1	Х	Х	
Leersia virginica	WHITE GRASS	grass	4	Х	Х	
Lespedeza capitata	ROUND-HEADED BUSH-CLOVER	forb	5		Х	
Lindera benzoin	SPICEBUSH	shrub	5		Х	Х
Liquidambar styraciflua	SWEETGUM	tree	6			Х
Liriodendron tulipifera	TULIP TREE	tree	6	Х	Х	Х
Lobelia cardinalis	CARDINAL-FLOWER	forb	5			Х
Lobelia inflata	INDIAN-TOBACCO	forb	1		Х	
Lobelia siphilitica	GREAT BLUE LOBELIA	forb	3	Х		Х
Ludwigia palustris	WATER-PURSLANE	forb	3	Х		
Luzula echinata	ROUND-LEAVED WOODRUSH	forb	4	Х		
Lycopus americanus	AMERICAN WATER-HOREHOUND	forb	3			Х
Maianthemum racemosum	FALSE SOLOMON'S-SEAL	forb	4		х	
Menispermum canadense	CANADA MOONSEED	vine	5	Х	Х	

Osmorhiza longistylis	SMOOTH SWEET CICELY	forb	4	Х	Х	
Ostrya virginiana	HOP-HORNBEAM	tree	5	Х	Х	Х
Oxalis dillenii	SOUTHERN YELLOW WOOD-SOR.	forb	0			Х
Oxalis stricta	COMMON YELLOW WOOD-SORREL	forb	0	Х	Х	
Oxalis violacea	VIOLET WOOD-SORREL	forb	6	Х		
Panicum clandestinum	DEER'S-TONGUE PANIC GRASS	grass	2	Х	Х	
Panicum virgatum	SWITCH GRASS	grass	4	Х	Х	Х
Parthenocissus quinquefolia	VIRGINIA CREEPER	vine	2	Х	Х	Х
Penstemon digitalis	FOXGLOVE BEARD-TONGUE	forb	2	Х	Х	Х
Phacelia purshii	MIAMI-MIST	forb	4	Х	Х	
Phlox divaricata	BLUE PHLOX	forb	4	Х	Х	
Phryma leptostachya	LOPSEED	forb	5	Х	Х	
Physocarpus opulifolius	NINEBARK	shrub	4	Х		
Phytolacca americana	POKEWEED	forb	1	Х	Х	Х
Pilea pumila	CLEARWEED	forb	2	Х	Х	
Pinus strobus	WHITE PINE	tree	6	Х		
Plantago rugelii	RUGEL'S PLANTAIN	forb	0	Х		

forb

forb

forb

tree

grass

tree

fern

fern

6

4

3

7

0

7

2

6

Х

Х

Х

Х

Х

Х

Х

Х

Х

Х

Х

Х

Х

Х

Mertensia virginica

Mimulus ringens

Morus rubra

Nyssa sylvatica

Onoclea sensibilis

Monarda fistulosa

Muhlenbergia schreberi

Ophioglossum vulgatum

BLUEBELLS

WILD BERGAMOT

RED MULBERRY

SENSITIVE FERN

NIMBLEWILL

BLACK-GUM

COMMON MONKEY-FLOWER

SOUTHERN ADDER'S-TONGUE

Scientific Name	ic Name Common Name		Coefficient of Conservatism	Existing Forest Area	Restoration Forest Area	Perimeter Successional Area
Plantago virginica	VIRGINIA PLANTAIN	forb	1		Х	
Platanus occidentalis	SYCAMORE	tree	7	Х	Х	Х
Podophyllum peltatum	MAYAPPLE	forb	4	Х		Х
Polygonatum biflorum	SMOOTH SOLOMON'S-SEAL	forb	4	Х	Х	
Polygonum punctatum	DOTTED SMARTWEED	forb	6	Х		
Polygonum virginianum	JUMPSEED	forb	3	Х	Х	
Polymnia canadensis	LEAFCUP	forb	5	Х		
Populus deltoides	EASTERN COTTONWOOD	tree	3	Х	Х	Х
Potentilla simplex	OLD FIELD CINQUEFOIL	forb	1	Х		
Prunella vulgaris	SELF-HEAL	forb	0	Х	Х	
Prunus americana	AMERICAN PLUM	small tree	3	Х		
Prunus hortulana	HORTULAN PLUM	small tree	3		Х	Х
Prunus munsoniana	MUNSON'S PLUM	small tree	3		Х	
Prunus serotina	BLACK CHERRY	tree	3	Х	Х	Х
Pycnanthemum tenuifolium	NARROW-LEAVED MOUNTAIN-MINT	forb	4			Х
Pyrus coronaria	WILD CRABAPPLE	small tree	3	Х	Х	
Quercus alba	WHITE OAK	tree	6	Х	Х	
Quercus bicolor	SWAMP WHITE OAK	tree	7		Х	Х
Quercus imbricaria	SHINGLE OAK	tree	5	Х	Х	Х
Quercus macrocarpa	BUR OAK	tree	6	Х	Х	Х
Quercus muehlenbergii	CHINQUAPIN OAK	tree	7	Х	Х	Х
Quercus palustris	PIN OAK	tree	5		Х	Х
Quercus rubra	RED OAK	tree	6	Х	Х	Х
Quercus shumardii	SHUMARD OAK	tree	7	Х	Х	
Quercus velutina	BLACK OAK	tree	7	Х	Х	
Ranunculus abortivus	KIDNEY-LEAVED BUTTERCUP	forb	1	Х	Х	Х
Ratibida pinnata	GRAY-HEADED CONEFLOWER	forb	5		Х	Х
Rhus aromatica var. aromatica	FRAGRANT SUMAC	shrub	3		Х	
Rhus copallinum	WINGED SUMAC	shrub	4		Х	
Rhus glabra	SMOOTH SUMAC	shrub	2	Х	Х	
Rhus typhina	STAGHORN SUMAC	shrub	2		Х	
Robinia pseudoacacia	BLACK LOCUST	tree	0	Х	Х	Х
Rosa carolina	PASTURE ROSE	shrub	4		Х	
Rosa setigera	CLIMBING PRAIRIE ROSE	shrub	4	Х	Х	
Rubus allegheniensis	COMMON BLACKBERRY	shrub	1	Х	Х	Х
Rubus occidentalis	BLACK RASPBERRY	shrub	1	Х	Х	Х
Rudbeckia hirta	BLACK-EYED SUSAN	forb	1	Х	Х	Х
Rudbeckia triloba	THREE-LOBED CONEFLOWER	forb	5	Х	Х	
Ruellia strepens	SMOOTH RUELLIA	forb	5	Х	Х	
Salix exigua	SANDBAR WILLOW	shrub	1		Х	
Salix nigra	BLACK WILLOW	tree	2		Х	
Sambucus canadensis	COMMON ELDERBERRY	shrub	3	Х	Х	Х
Sanguinaria canadensis	BLOODROOT	forb	5	Х	Х	

#### Table C-3. Forest and Perimeter Successional Areas Florist Inventory (continued)

Senecio obovatus	ROUND-LEAVED SQUAW-WEED	forb	4	Х	Х	Х
Senna hebecarpa	NORTHERN WILD SENNA	forb	4			Х
Silphium laciniatum	COMPASS PLANT	forb	8		Х	Х
Silphium perfoliatum	CUP-PLANT	forb	6			Х
Silphium trifoliatum	WHORLED ROSIN-WEED	forb	5	Х		
Sisyrinchium angustifolium	STOUT BLUE-EYED-GRASS	forb	2		Х	
Smilax hispida	BRISTLY GREENBRIER	vine	3	Х	Х	
Solidago canadensis	CANADA GOLDENROD	forb	1	Х	Х	Х
Solidago gigantea	SMOOTH GOLDENROD	forb	3	Х	Х	
Solidago juncea	PLUME GOLDENROD	forb	2	Х	Х	
Solidago rigida	STIFF GOLDENROD	forb	8		Х	Х
Sorghastrum nutans	INDIAN GRASS	grass	5	Х	Х	Х
Staphylea trifolia	BLADDERNUT	shrub	6		Х	
Symphoricarpos orbiculatus	CORALBERRY	shrub	3	Х	Х	Х
Teucrium canadense	AMERICAN GERMANDER	forb	3	Х		Х
Tilia americana	AMERICAN BASSWOOD	tree	6	Х	Х	
Toxicodendron radicans	POISON-IVY	vine	1	Х	X	Х

forb

tree

grass

sedge

sedge

sedge

sedge

forb

forb

3

3

5

2

1

1

2

5

4

Х

Х

Х

Х

Х

Х

Х

Х

Х

Х

Х

Х

Х

Х

Х

CLUSTERED SNAKEROOT

SOFT-STEMMED BULRUSH

SASSAFRAS

LITTLE BLUESTEM

GREEN BULRUSH

DROOPING BULRUSH

WILD STONECROP

GOLDEN RAGWORT

WOOL-GRASS

Sanicula gregaria

Sassafras albidum

Scirpus atrovirens

Scirpus cyperinus

Scirpus pendulus

Sedum ternatum

Senecio aureus

Schizachyrium scoparium

Schoenoplectus tabernaemontani

Scientific Name	Common Name	Туре	Coefficient of Conservatism	Existing Forest Area	Restoration Forest Area	Perimeter Successional Area
Tradescantia ohiensis	OHIO SPIDERWORT	forb	5		Х	Х
Trillium sessile	TOAD-SHADE	forb	5	Х	Х	
Ulmus rubra	SLIPPERY ELM	tree	3	Х	Х	Х
Verbena hastata	BLUE VERVAIN	forb	4			Х
Verbena urticifolia	WHITE VERVAIN	forb	3	Х	Х	
Verbesina alternifolia	WINGSTEM	forb	5	Х	Х	Х
Vernonia gigantea	TALL IRONWEED	forb	2	Х	Х	Х
Veronica anagallis-aquatica	WATER SPEEDWELL	forb	6		Х	
Viburnum dentatum	ARROW-WOOD	shrub	2	Х	Х	
Viburnum prunifolium	BLACK-HAW	shrub	4	Х	Х	
Viola pubescens	DOWNY YELLOW VIOLET	forb	4	Х	Х	
Viola rafinesquii	FIELD PANSY	forb	2	Х		
Viola sororia	COMMON BLUE VIOLET	forb	1	Х	Х	
Viola striata	STRIPED CREAMY VIOLET	forb	5	Х	Х	
Vitis aestivalis	SUMMER GRAPE	vine	4		Х	Х
Vitis labrusca	FOX GRAPE	vine	3	Х	Х	
Vitis riparia	RIVERBANK GRAPE	vine	3	Х	Х	Х
Vitis vulpina	FROST GRAPE	vine	3	Х	Х	Х
Zizia aurea	GOLDEN ALEXANDERS	forb	6	Х		
Ailanthus altissima	TREE-OF-HEAVEN	tree	0	Х	Х	
Alliaria petiolata	GARLIC MUSTARD	forb	0	Х	Х	Х
Allium vineale	FIELD GARLIC	forb	0	Х	Х	Х
Arctium lappa	GREAT BURDOCK	forb	0	Х		
Arctium minus	COMMON BURDOCK	forb	0	Х		Х
Barbarea vulgaris	YELLOW ROCKET	forb	0	Х	Х	Х
Bromus inermis	HUNGARIAN BROME	grass	0	Х	Х	Х
Cardamine hirsuta	HOARY BITTER CRESS	forb	0	Х	Х	
Castanea x-dentata	AMERICAN CHESTNUT HYBRID	tree	0		Х	
Catalpa speciosa	NORTHERN CATALPA	tree	0	Х	Х	
Celastrus orbiculatus	ORIENTAL BITTERSWEET	vine	0	Х	Х	
Centaurea maculosa	SPOTTED KNAPWEED	forb	0		Х	
Cichorium intybus	CHICORY	forb	0	Х	Х	
Cirsium arvense	CANADA THISTLE	forb	0		Х	Х
Cirsium vulgare	BULL THISTLE	forb	0		Х	
Conium maculatum	POISON-HEMLOCK	forb	0	Х	Х	Х
Coronilla varia	CROWN-VETCH	forb	0		Х	
Crateagus phaeno pyrum	WASHINGTON HAWTHORN	small tree	0	Х	Х	
Dactylis glomerata	ORCHARD GRASS	grass	0	Х	Х	Х
Daucus carota	QUEEN-ANNE'S-LACE	forb	0		Х	Х
Dianthus armeria	DEPTFORD-PINK	forb	0		Х	
Dipsacus fullonum	WILD TEASEL	forb	0		Х	Х
Dipsacus laciniatus	CUT-LEAVED TEASEL	forb	0	Х	Х	Х
Draba verna	WHITLOW-GRASS	forb	0	Х	Х	
Duchesnea indica	INDIAN-STRAWBERRY	forb	0	Х		
Echinacea pallida	PALE PURPLE CONEFLOWER	forb	0		Х	
Echinochloa crusgalli	BARNYARD GRASS	grass	0		Х	
Elaeagnus umbellata	AUTUMN-OLIVE	small tree	0	Х	Х	X
Euonymus alatus	WINGED WAHOO	shrub	0	Х		
Euonymus fortunei	WINTERCREEPER	vine	0	Х	Х	
Festuca pratensis	MEADOW FESCUE	grass	0			Х
Glechoma hederacea	GROUND IVY	forb	0	Х	X	Х

#### Table C-3. Forest and Perimeter Successional Areas Florist Inventory (continued)

Lamium purpuream	PURPLE DEAD-NETTLE	forb	0	Х	X	Х
Lespedeza cuneata	CHINESE BUSH-CLOVER	forb	0		Х	
Liatris pycnostachya	THICK-SPIKED BLAZING-STAR	forb	0		Х	
Lonicera japonica	JAPANESE HONEYSUCKLE	vine	0	Х	Х	Х
Lonicera maackii	AMUR HONEYSUCKLE	shrub	0	Х	Х	Х
Lysimachia nummularia	MONEYWORT	forb	0	Х	Х	Х
Maclura pomifera	OSAGE-ORANGE	tree	0	Х	Х	
Medicago lupulina	BLACK MEDICK	forb	0	Х	Х	Х
Melilotus alba	WHITE SWEET-CLOVER	forb	0	Х	Х	
Melilotus officinalis	YELLOW SWEET-CLOVER	forb	0	Х	Х	Х
Mentha species	MINT SPECIES	forb	0		Х	
Microstegium vimineum	RECLINING EULALIA	grass	0	Х	Х	
Morus alba	WHITE MULBERRY	tree	0	Х	Х	Х
Narcissus sp.	DAFFODIL SPECIES	forb	0	Х		
Phalaris arundinacea	REED CANARY GRASS	grass	0	Х	Х	
Phleum pratense	ТІМОТНҮ	grass	0		X	
Picea abies	NORWAY SPRUCE	tree	0	Х		

forb

0

Х

Х

ORANGE DAY-LILY

Hemerocallis fulva

Scientific Name	Common Name	Туре	Coefficient of Conservatism	Existing Forest Area	Restoration Forest Area	Perimeter Successional Area
Pinus nigra	AUSTRIAN PINE	tree	0	Х	Х	
Plantago lanceolata	ENGLISH PLANTAIN	forb	0		Х	
Poa trivialis	ROUGH BLUEGRASS	grass	0		Х	
Polygonum persicaria	LADY'S THUMB	forb	0	Х	Х	Х
Potentilla recta	ROUGH-FRUITED CINQUEFOIL	forb	0			Х
Pyrus callieryana	CALLIERY PEAR	small tree	0	Х	Х	Х
Quercus acutissima	SAWTOOTH OAK	tree	0		Х	
Ranunculus ficaria	LESSER CELANDINE	forb	0	Х	Х	
Rhamnus cathartica	EUROPEAN BUCKTHORN	small tree	0	Х	Х	
Rosa multiflora	MULTIFLORA ROSE	shrub	0	Х	Х	Х
Rumex crispus	CURLY DOCK	forb	0	Х	Х	Х
Saponaria officinalis	SOAPWORT	forb	0		Х	Х
Senecio glabellus	BUTTERWEED	forb	0	Х	Х	
Setaria glauca	YELLOW FOXTAIL GRASS	grass	0		Х	Х
Setaria viridis	GREEN FOXTAIL GRASS	grass	0			Х
Silphium integrifolium	PRAIRIE ROSINWEED	forb	0			Х
Solanum carolinense	HORSE NETTLE	forb	0	Х	Х	Х
Sorghum halepense	JOHNSON GRASS	grass	0		Х	Х
Stellaria aquatica	WATER CHICKWEED	forb	0		Х	Х
Stellaria media	COMMON CHICKWEED	forb	0	Х		
Taraxacum officinale	COMMON DANDELION	forb	0	Х	Х	Х
Thlaspi alliaceum	GARLIC PENNY-CRESS	forb	0	Х		Х
Thlaspi perfoliatum	PERFOLIATE PENNY CRESS	forb	0	Х		
Torilis arvensis	FIELD HEDGE-PARSLEY	forb	0			Х
Trifolium hybridum	ALSIKE CLOVER	forb	0		Х	Х
Trifolium pratense	RED CLOVER	forb	0		Х	Х
Trifolium repens	WHITE CLOVER	forb	0		Х	Х
Typha angustifolia	NARROW-LEAVED CAT-TAIL	forb	0		Х	
Typha x glauca	HYBRID CAT-TAIL	forb	0		Х	
Urtica dioica var. dioica	EUROPEAN STINGING NETTLE	forb	0	Х	Х	
Valerianella locusta	EUROPEAN CORN-SALAD	forb	0		Х	
Verbascum thapsus	COMMON MULLEIN	forb	0		Х	Х
Veronica arvensis	CORN SPEEDWELL	forb	0		Х	
Veronica hederaefolia	IVY-LEAVED SPEEDWELL	forb	0	Х		
Xanthium strumarium	COMMON COCKLEBUR	forb	0	Х	Х	Х
Yucca filamentosa	YUCCA	forb	0		Х	
Shading indicates non-native species.	X indicates the species is present in the	monitoring a	reas.			

This page intentionally left blank

Timo	Mean Coefficient of Conservatism		Floristic Quality Assessment Index			Native Species			
Period	Existing Forest	Restoration Forest	Perimeter Successional	Existing Forest	Restoration Forest	Perimeter Successional	Existing Forest	Restoration Forest	Perimeter Successional
2011	2.7	2.7	1.7	40.1	37.4	15.3	77%	74%	59%
2014	2.5	2.5	1.9	35.9	34.8	19.4	74%	71%	66%
2015 to 2017 <sup>a</sup>	2.7	2.2	2.1	40.5	32.8	25.4	77%	70%	68%
2018 to 2020 <sup>a</sup>	2.6	2.4	2.3	36.3	38.2	30.4	73%	72%	74%
2023 <sup>b</sup>	3.0	2.8	2.5	48.7	46.9	30.4	81%	76%	73%

Table C-4. Comparison of Forest and Perimeter Successional Areas Ecological Monitoring Metrics

<sup>a</sup> Monitoring rotated among site management areas over a 3-year period.
<sup>b</sup> Revised functional monitoring approach implemented using floristic inventories.



046622-R01 5/21/2024 2:18 PM

Figure C-1. Site Inspection Findings



#### Figure C-2. OSDF Inspection Findings











September



December





March



June





September

December

## Figure C-4B. Cell 1, North Perspective







September



December







June





September

December

## Figure C-5A. Cell 2, East Perspective





September



June



December





March



June





September

December

Figure C-6A Cell 3, East Perspective





September



December





March









September

#### December

# Figure C-7A. Cell 4, East Perspective







September



December





March





June





September

December

## Figure C-8A. Cell 5, East Perspective





June



September



December





March





June





September

December

## Figure C-9A. Cell 6, East Perspective







September



December





March



June





September



## Figure C-10A. Cell 7, East Perspective







September



December





March



June





September



## Figure C-11A. Cell 8, East Perspective







September



December









June





September

December

## Figure C-11C. Cell 8, West Perspective





September



December













September

#### December

## Figure C-12B. East Drainage Cell 4, South Perspective







September



December





March





June



September

#### December

## Figure C-12D. North Drainage, West Perspective







September



December





March







September

#### December

Figure C-12F. West Inner Drainage, Cell 1, South Perspective









September



December

Figure C-12G. West Inner Drainage, Cell 4, South Perspective



March







September

#### December

Figure C-12H. West Inner Drainage, Cell 7, South Perspective







September



December













September

#### December

Figure C-12J. West Outer Drainage, Cell 8, North Perspective







September

June



December

Figure C-12K. West Outer Drainage, Cell 8, South Perspective

This page intentionally left blank





Figure C-13A. Location 4A, Top of OSDF Cell 8, South Perspective





Figure C-13B. Location 4A, Top of OSDF Cell 8, North Perspective





Figure C-13C. Location 4B, Top of OSDF Cell 8, East Perspective













Figure C-14A. Location 5A, Top of OSDF Cell 5, South Perspective



2007

2023







Figure C-14C. Location 5B, Top of OSDF Cell 5, East Perspective





Figure C-14D. Location 5C, Top of OSDF Cell 5, West Perspective











Figure C-15B. Location 6A, Top of OSDF Cell 1, North Perspective





Figure C-15C. Location 6B, Top of OSDF Cell 1, East Perspective





Figure C-15D. Location 6C, Top of OSDF Cell 1, West Perspective







Figure C-16A. Location 22, OSDF Survey Marker No. 01 (Northwest Corner)





Figure C-16B. Location 23, OSDF Survey Marker No. 02 (Northeast Corner)





Figure C-16C. Location 24, OSDF Survey Marker No. 03 (Southeast Corner)





Figure C-16D. Location 25, OSDF Survey Marker No. 04 (Southwest Corner)











Figure C-18. Location 27, OSDF South Gate, North-Northeast Perspective





Figure C-19A. Location 28, OSDF East Fence, North Perspective







Figure C-19B. Location 28, OSDF East Fence, North Perspective





Figure C-19C. Location 28, OSDF East Fence Signage, West Perspective





Figure C-19D. Location 28, OSDF East Fence Signage, North-Northwest Perspective



![](_page_55_Picture_1.jpeg)

Figure C-20. Location 29, OSDF East Fence, North Perspective

![](_page_55_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_4.jpeg)

Figure C-21A. Location 30, OSDF North Gate, Southwest Perspective

![](_page_56_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_1.jpeg)

Figure C-21B. Location 30, OSDF North Fence, West Perspective

![](_page_56_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_4.jpeg)

2007 2023 Figure C-22. Location 31, OSDF Northwest Gate, North-Northeast Perspective

![](_page_57_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_2.jpeg)

2023

Figure C-23. Location 32, OSDF West Fence, South-Southeast Perspective

![](_page_57_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_6.jpeg)

2007 2023 Figure C-24. Location 33, OSDF Valve Houses 8 Through 1, North Perspective

![](_page_58_Picture_0.jpeg)

2007

![](_page_58_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_6.jpeg)

Figure C-26. Location 35, OSDF Cell 1 Wells, Northeast Perspective

![](_page_59_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_1.jpeg)

Figure C-27. Location 36, OSDF Valve House 2, West-Northwest Perspective

![](_page_59_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_4.jpeg)

Figure C-28. Location 37, OSDF Cell 2 Wells, Northeast Perspective

![](_page_60_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_1.jpeg)

Figure C-29. Location 38, OSDF Valve House 3, West-Northwest Perspective

![](_page_60_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_4.jpeg)

**2007** *Figure C-30. Location 39, OSDF Cell 3 Wells, Northeast Perspective* 

![](_page_61_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_1.jpeg)

Figure C-31. Location 40, OSDF Valve House 4, West-Northwest Perspective

![](_page_61_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_4.jpeg)

Figure C-32. Location 41, OSDF Cell 4 Wells, Northeast Perspective

![](_page_62_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_2.jpeg)

Figure C-33. Location 42, OSDF Valve House 5, West-Northwest Perspective

![](_page_62_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Figure_6.jpeg)

Figure C-34. Location 43, OSDF Cell 5 Wells, Northeast Perspective

![](_page_63_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_1.jpeg)

2007 2023 Figure C-35. Location 44, OSDF Valve House 6, West-Northwest Perspective

![](_page_63_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_4.jpeg)

Figure C-36. Location 45, OSDF Cell 6 Wells, Northeast Perspective

![](_page_64_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_1.jpeg)

Figure C-37. Location 46, OSDF Valve House 7, West-Northwest Perspective

![](_page_64_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_4.jpeg)

Figure C-38. Location 47, OSDF Cell 7 Wells, Northeast Perspective

![](_page_65_Picture_0.jpeg)

2007

![](_page_65_Picture_2.jpeg)

2023

Figure C-39. Location 48, OSDF Valve House 8, West-Northwest Perspective

![](_page_65_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_6.jpeg)

2007 Figure C-40. Location 49, OSDF Cell 8 Wells, Northeast Perspective

![](_page_66_Picture_0.jpeg)

Figure C-41. Representative Photograph of Animal Burrow

![](_page_66_Picture_2.jpeg)

Figure C-42. Representative Photograph of Erosion

![](_page_67_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure C-43. Area-Based Approach Ecological Monitoring Areas (2014 Through 2020)

![](_page_68_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure C-44. Ecological Restoration Management Areas (2021 to Present)

![](_page_69_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure C-45. Results of Ecological Monitoring