

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY
NEPA DETERMINATION**



RECIPIENT: The University of Texas at Dallas

STATE: TX

PROJECT TITLE : Rapid Optimization of Curing Cycle in Large-Scale Composite Blade Manufacturing Enabled by A Smart Digital Twin

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number	Procurement Instrument Number	NEPA Control Number	CID Number
DE-FOA-0002960	DE-EE0011016	GFO-0011016-001	GO11016

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Policy 451.1), I have made the following determination:

CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

A9 Information gathering, analysis, and dissemination

Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

B3.6 Small-scale research and development, laboratory operations, and pilot projects

Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) frequently conducted to verify a concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide funding to the University of Texas at Dallas (UTD) to develop a digital twin system to optimize the curing cycles of Vacuum Assisted Resin Transfer Molding (VARTM) for wind turbine blade manufacturing.

Award activities would focus primarily on computer modeling, engineering and design, and laboratory research of curing cycle models for composite blade manufacturing, a machine learning tool, and developing composite blade and coupon experiments. The types of activities associated with the awards would include materials test selection, testing of blade and coupon experiments, model and software development, x-ray scanning, and finally the development of a Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) plan.

UTD (Richardson, TX) would carry out the design, fabrication, and coupon experimentation. Samples would be made by VARTM and would be tested under a variety of different pressures and temperatures. UTD would also perform x-ray scanning of specimens. UTD would also carry out DEI activities, including creating a summer course for high school students and undergraduates, as well as developing education materials. TPI Composites (Warren, RI; Newton, IA) would infuse and cure composite samples, collect data, and manufacture blade sections. GE Research (Niskayuna, NY) would carry out resin curing experiments and mechanical property tests. GE Vernova (Greenville, SC) would infuse and cure composite samples.

Award work would be performed at pre-existing facilities that are purpose-built to accommodate the type of laboratory work and testing to be conducted for this award. All award activities would be carried out in-lab. Award activities would involve handling and use of epoxies, solvents, mold release agents, cleaning chemicals, detergents, and resin curing materials. X-ray scanning would also take place. Existing safety policies and procedures would be followed, including those for carrying out all x-ray activities within a properly enclosed lead cabinet. All applicable Environmental Health and Safety regulations and federal, state, and local health, safety, and environmental regulations would be followed.

DOE has considered the scale, duration, and nature of proposed activities to determine potential impacts on resources, including those of an ecological, historical, cultural, and socioeconomic nature. DOE does not anticipate impacts on these resources which would be considered significant or require DOE to consult with other agencies or stakeholders.

NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination.

Notes:

Advanced Materials & Manufacturing Technologies Office (AMMTO)
NEPA review completed by Alex Colling on 01/08/2024.

FOR CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATIONS

The proposed action (or the part of the proposal defined in the Rationale above) fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D. To fit within the classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, a proposal must be one that would not: (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders; (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities; (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to, those listed in paragraph B(4) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B; (5) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those listed in paragraph B(5) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposed action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposed action has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. This proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211 concerning limitations on actions during preparation of an environmental impact statement.

The proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.

NEPA Compliance Officer Signature:  _____ Date: 1/8/2024
NEPA Compliance Officer

FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION

- Field Office Manager review not required
- Field Office Manager review required

BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO :

Field Office Manager's Signature: _____ Date: _____
Field Office Manager