DOE NEPA Tracking Number

### U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, OFFICE OF SCIENCE

### NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (NEPA) ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION NOTIFICATION

To be completed by "Applicant," i.e., organization with responsibilities for a "Federal action" involving application to DOE for a permit, license, exemption or allocation, or other similar actions. For assistance, refer to "Instructions for Preparing Environmental Evaluation Notification."

Solicitatio	n/Award No. (if applicable): DE-SC0024734
Organizat	ion Name: Continuum Dynamics, Inc.
Proposed	Action Title: Bubble Thermography Velocimetry for Urban Flow Field Characterization
Total DOI	Funding/Total Funding: \$249,270.00
I. <u>Pro</u>	ject Description: (Use explanation pages if additional space is required)
A.	Proposed Project/Action (if applicable, delineate Federally funded/Non-Federally funded portions)
	The proposed project involves using soap bubbles and thermal cameras to measure atmospheric turbulence. The proposed technique, named bubble thermography velocimetry or BTV, is aimed to complement existing meteorological observation methods by the capability of characterizing full three-dimensional atmospheric flow field in near real time.
	The proposed action will be carried out with DOE being the sole funding agency.
	The proposed project involves no bench scale tests, no buildings to be built, no utility lines to be laid, and no excavation.
	The proposed project is new.
В.	Yes No Would the project proceed without Federal funding?  ☐ ✓

## II. <u>Description of Affected Environment</u>: (Use explanation pages if additional space is required)

If "yes," use explanation page.

Testing BTV will be necessarily conducted outdoors. BTV would require generating soap bubbles (80-150 mm diameter) as the atmospheric flow tracer. The bubble liquid will be composed of water, glycerin, guar gum and detergent; among them, guar gum is food grade and makes up 0.1% of the total mass, and eco-friendly dishwashing detergent (e.g., Seventh Generation and Method) will be used with a less than 5% mass fraction. Glycerin will have a mass fraction in the range of 1 to 20%, depending on the relative humidity and our test results of bubble lifetime. Given the above, we expect the potential environmental impacts of soap bubbles to be negligible.

The outdoor testing of BTV is planned to be conducted at Ewing Township, Plainsboro Township and Princeton of New Jersey. See the explanation pages for their general geographic setting and population.

	DUE NEPA Tracking I	Number	
<u>Preli</u>	minary Questions:	V.	NI.
A.	Is the DOE-funded work routinely administrative or entirely advisory or a "paper study?"	Yes	No 🗹
	If "Yes", ensure that the description in Section I reflects this and go directly to Section	n V.	
B.	Is there any potential whatsoever for: (Provide an explanation for each "Yes" response)		
	Work to be performed outdoors?	V	
	2. Major modification of a building facade or interior?		ママ
	3. Threat of violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for		V
	environment, safety, and health?	_	_
	4. Siting, construction or major expansion of waste treatment, storage, or disposal facilities?	Ш	V
	5. Disturbance to hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants preexisting in the		~
	environment?		
	6. The presence of any environmentally-sensitive resources?	님	ママ
	7. Any potential whatsoever for high consequence impacts to human health or the environment?	Ш	~
	8. The work being connected to another existing/proposed activity that could		V
	potentially create a significant impact?		
	9. Nearby past, present, and/or reasonably foreseeable future actions such that		V
	collectively significant impacts could result?		
	10. Scientific or public controversy, uncertainty over potential impacts, or conflicts regarding resource usage?		V
Pote	ntial Environmental Effects: ( <i>Provide an explanation for each "Yes" response</i> )		
A.	Environmentally Sensitive Resources: Could the proposed action potentially result in change	ne.	
Λ.	and/or disturbances to any of the following resources?	_	
	Threatened/Endangered Species and/or Critical Habitats	Yes	No Izi
	Other Protected Species (e.g., Burros, Migratory Birds, Pollinators)	H	ママ
	3. Tundra, Coral Reefs, or Rain Forests	Ħ	M
	Cultural or Historic Resources	Ħ	V
	5. Important Farmland		V
	6. Non-Attainment Areas for Ambient Air Quality Standards	V	
	7. Class I Air Quality Control Region	V	
	8. Special Sources of Groundwater (e.g., Sole Source Aquifer)		<u></u>
	9. Navigable Air Space		Ц
	10. Coastal Zones	닏	닏
	<ul><li>11. Areas with Special National Designation (e.g. National Forests, Parks, Trails)</li><li>12. Floodplains and/or Wetlands</li></ul>	H	
	12. Floodplains and/or Wetlands	Ц	Ľ
В.	Regulated Substances/Activities: Would the proposed action involve any of the following		
	regulated Items or activities?		
	13. Natural Resource Damage Assessments		V
	<ul><li>13. Natural Resource Damage Assessments</li><li>14. Invasive Species or Exotic Organisms</li></ul>		\ \ \
	<ul><li>Invasive Species or Exotic Organisms</li><li>Noxious Weeds</li></ul>		\ \ \
	<ul> <li>Invasive Species or Exotic Organisms</li> <li>Noxious Weeds</li> <li>Clearing or Excavation greater than one acre or Removal of Trees Governed by Local</li> </ul>		\ \ \ \
	<ul><li>Invasive Species or Exotic Organisms</li><li>Noxious Weeds</li></ul>		যথায় য

DOE NEPA	Tracking Number	

	Ь.		ned Substances/Activities. Would the proposed action invol	ve arry or	the following		
<i>'</i> .		regula	ed Items or activities? (continued)			Yes	No
		18.	Noise (in excess of regulations)				
		19.	Asbestos Removal			Ħ	ママ
		20.	Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)			Ħ	v
		21.	Import, Manufacture, or Processing of Toxic Substances			Ħ	$\overline{\mathbf{Z}}$
		22.	Chemical Storage/Use Including Emerging Chemicals (e.g.	, PFAS)			V
		23.	Pesticide Use	, ,		Ħ	
		24.	Hazardous, Toxic, or Criteria Pollutant Air Emissions			V	
		25.	Liquid Effluents			一	◪
		26.	Spill Prevention/Surface Water Protection				V
		27.	Underground Injection				V
		28.	Hazardous Waste				
		29.	Underground Storage Tanks				V
		30.	Radioactive or Radioactive Mixed Waste				<u>~</u>
		31.	Radiation Exposure				V
		32.	Nanoscale Materials				<u></u>
		33.	Genetically Engineered Microorganisms/Plants or Synthetic	c Biology			<u>~</u>
		34.	Ozone Depleting Substances				
		35.	Greenhouse Gas Generation/Sustainability			V	
		36.	Off-Road Vehicles				V
		37.	Biosafety Level 3-4 Laboratory				যথায়
		38.	Research on Human Subjects or other Vertebrate Animals				V
		39.	Facility footprint exceeds 5,000 Square Feet				V
	C.	Other	Relevant Information: Would the proposed action involve the	e followin	<u>g?</u>	Yes	No
		40.	Disproportionate Nearby Presence of Minority and/or Low	Income P	opulations		[ [ [ [ [ ]
		41.	Existing, Modified, or New Federal/State Permits				V
		42.	Involvement of Another Federal Agency (e.g. license/perm	it, funding	g, approval)		~
		43.	Action in a State with NEPA-type law			~	
		44.	Action Would Require Expansion of Public Utilities/Service	S			~
		45.	Depletion of a Non-Renewable Resources				V
		46.	Subject to an Existing Institutional Work Planning and Con-				যায়ায়
		47.	Other Pertinent Information Which Could Impact Human H	ealth or tl	he Environment		~
,	Λnr	olicant o	ertification that to the best of their knowledge all information	providad	on this Notification	n ic accur	ato:
٠.	Yh	Dilcarit Ci	entification that to the best of their knowledge all information	provided	OII tills Notilication		
	Da	اء مناه مم	adacura contain, described consitius business or other sy			Yes	No
			sclosure contain: classified, sensitive business, or other ex			Ш	~
	tna	t DOE w	ould not be obligated to disclose pursuant to the Freedom of	informa	tion Act.		
	A.	Organ	zation Official (Name and Title): Melissa Kinney				
		Signat	Melissa Kinney Digitally signed by Melissa Kinney Date: 2024.01.29 13:39:31 -05'00'	Date:	1/29/2024		
					609-538-04	111 ov	/t 128
		e-mail	menssa@continuum-dynamics.com	Phone:	009-330-0	<del>111</del> C/	120
	B.	Option	al Secondary Approval (Name and Title):				
		Signat	ure:	Date:			
		e-mail		Phone:			
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

DOE NEPA	Tracking	Number	

# Remainder to be completed by DOE

DO	E Concurrence/Recommendation/Determination:						
A.	DOE Project Director/Program Manager or Contract/Grant Management Specialist:						
	Has the Applicant completed this Notification correctly?	Yes ☑					
	Does an existing generic categorical exclusion apply?  If yes, indicate:						
	Name and Title: Olivia Ross, Grants Management Specialist						
	Signature: Olivia Ross Digitally signed by Olivia Ross Date: 2024.01.31 11:07:19 -05'00' Date:	31 Janu	uary 2				
B.	DOE NEPA Team Review (if requested):	V.					
	Is the class of action identified in the DOE NEPA Regulations (Appendices A-D to Subpart D (10 CFR § 1021))?  If yes, specify the class(es) of action:  B3.6 & B3.8	Yes ☑					
	Name and Title: Environmental Engineer						
	Signature: Jayashree Jayaraj Digitally signed by Jayashree Jayaraj Date: 2024.01.31 10:27:27 -06'00' Date:						
C.	DOE Counsel (if requested):						
	Name and Title:						
	Signature: Date:						
D.	DOE NEPA Compliance Officer:						
	preceding pages are a record of documentation required under DOE Final NEPA Regulati 21.410.	on, 10 CFR					
V	Action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review. I have determined that the proposed action meets the requirements for Categorical Exclusion referenced above.						
	Action requires approval by Head of the Field Organization. Recommend preparation of an Environmental Assessment.						
	Action requires approval by Head of the Field Organization or the SC Director of Field Operations. Recommend preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement.						
	Comments/limitations if any:						
	NEPA Compliance Officer:						
	Name: PETER SIEBACH Digitally signed by PETER SIEBACH Date: 2024.01.31 13:43:15 -06'00' Date:						

DOE NEPA Tracking Number

Optional Additional Narrative: (add additional detail to description to Sections I and II or explanations to responses in Sections 3 and 4.

Additional notes for Section II:

The outdoor testing of BTV is planned to be conducted at the following locations of New Jersey:

(1) Ewing Township

Total area 40.29 sq. km, including 39.38 sq. km of land and 0.90 sq. km of water; Total population 37,264, as of 2020

(2) Plainsboro Township

Total area 31.37 sq. km, including 30.40 sq. km of land and 0.97 sq. km of water; Total population 24,084, as of 2020

(3) Princeton

Total area 47.69 sq. km, including 46.48 sq. km of land and 1.21 sq. km of water; Total population 30,681, as of 2020

Above information is from Wikipedia.

\_\_\_\_\_

Explanations to 'Yes'

### III.B.1:

Testing BTV will be necessarily conducted outdoors, including tests for soap bubble physical properties, camera thermal visibility range, and verification and validation of BTV performance.

### IV.A.6, 7:

Whether or to what extent releasing soap bubbles at the rate given above (III.B.6) affects air quality is uncertain.

### IV.A.9:

Whether or to what extent releasing soap bubbles at the rate given above (III.B.6) affects navigable air space is uncertain

#### IV.B.24:

Same as IV.A.6

#### IV.B.35:

Technically, water vapor is the strongest greenhouse gas. However, water vapor from airborne soap bubbles has NO negative influence to the environment.

#### IV.C.43:

New Jersey Executive Order 215

DOE NEPA Tracking Number