PMC-ND

(1.08.09.13)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY
NEPA DETERMINATION

RECIPIENT: GTI Energy **STATE:** IL

PROJECT TITLE: Carbonaceous Chemistry Improvement of Municipal Solid Waste with an Artificial Intelligence (AI) for Gasification

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number	Procurement Instrument Number	NEPA Control Number	CID Number
DE-FOA-0002636	DE-EE0010298	GFO-0010298-001	GO10298

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Policy 451.1), I have made the following determination:

CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

A9 Information gathering, analysis, and dissemination	Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)
B3.6 Small-scale research and development, laboratory operations, and pilot projects	Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) frequently conducted to verify a concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide funding to the Gas Technology Institute Energy (GTI Energy; Des Plaines, IL) to utilize an infrared-sensor-equipped sorting technology at Idaho National Laboratory (INL; Idaho Falls, ID) for the purpose of creating an artificial intelligence (AI)-based sorting algorithm for sorting non-recyclable municipal solid waste (NMSW). The award aims to create improved waste feedstocks from the sorted NMSW for laboratory-scale gasification and the eventual production of sustainable aviation fuel.

Award activities are at laboratory scale and include data analysis and computer modeling activities. GTI Energy would utilize an existing research and development facility for receiving improved NMSW-derived feedstock and gasifying it in a fluidized-bed gasifier. Data reduction and analysis as well as AI algorithm development would occur at the West Virginia University Research Corporation in Morgantown, WV. INL would be responsible for sorting NMSW and preparing the gasification feedstock. Gershman, Brickner & Bratton (Vienna, VA) and Wasatch Integrated Waste Management District (Layton, UT) would provide environmental consulting services such as paper studies, data analysis, and report development. All facilities are preexisting purpose-built facilities for the type of work to be conducted for this award. Facility modifications would not be required.

Award activities would involve typical hazards associated with laboratory research and the use and handling of biohazards. Specifically, laboratory operations associated with the award involve gas mixtures, solid materials, and liquid products that could contain hazardous materials. All such handling would occur in laboratory facilities that are dedicated to proper hazardous materials handling and disposal practices. Additionally, INL award activities involve the use and handling of biohazards. To mitigate this hazard, a biohazard safety assessment would be performed; biohazardous materials would be removed, autoclaved to a sterile state, and disposed of in landfill; and an Institutional Biosafety Committee would manage safety work control packages for handling the waste. All activities would comply with existing federal, state, and local laws and regulations.

DOE has considered the scale, duration, and nature of proposed activities to determine potential impacts on resources, including those of an ecological, historical, cultural, and socioeconomic nature. DOE does not anticipate impacts on these resources which would be considered significant or require DOE to consult with other agencies or stakeholders.

Any work proposed to be conducted at a federal facility may be subject to additional NEPA review by the cognizant federal official and must meet the applicable health and safety requirements of the facility.

NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination.

Notes:

Bioenergy Technologies Office
NEPA review completed by Corrin MacLuckie, 11/2/2023.

FOR CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATIONS

The proposed action (or the part of the proposal defined in the Rationale above) fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D. To fit within the classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, a proposal must be one that would not: (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders; (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities; (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to, those listed in paragraph B(4) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B; (5) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those listed in paragraph B(5) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposed action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposed action has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. This proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211 concerning limitations on actions during preparation of an environmental impact statement.

The proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.

NEPA Compliance Officer Signature: Electronically Signed By: Andrew Montano Date: 11/3/2023

NEPA Compliance Officer

FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION

☑ Field Office Manager review not required
☐ Field Office Manager review required

BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO :

Field Office Manager's Signature: _____ Date: _____

Field Office Manager