PMC-ND

(1.08.09.13)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



RECIPIENT: Blip Energy STATE: IL

PROJECT TITLE: Low Cost IoT BESS System Using Second Life Cells

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number Procurement Instrument Number NEPA Control Number CID Number DE-FOA-0002788 DE-EE0010926 GFO-0010926-001 GO10926

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Policy 451.1), I have made the following determination:

CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

A9 Information gathering, analysis, and dissemination

Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

B3.6 Small-scale research and development, and pilot projects

Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) laboratory operations, frequently conducted to verify a concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide funding to Blip Energy (Evanston, IL) to design, develop, fabricate, and test a portable Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) and Battery Management System (BMS) capable of using second-life battery cells.

Award activities would focus primarily on the development of a low-cost BESS unit, safety testing of the BESS unit. building a BMS, software development, field testing, and life cycle and techno-economic analyses. mHUB (Chicago, IL) would carry out design, development, fabrication, and field testing of the BESS, as well as data analysis. Argonne National Laboratory (ANL; Lemont, IL) would carry out battery charge and discharge cycling, and BESS and BMS field testing. MP Consulting (Palatine, IL) would assist in the design and fabrication of the BESS, as well as data analysis. Haylon Technologies (Iselin, NK) and NextFab Ventures (Phildelphia, PA) would design and fabricate the BMS, develop BMS algorithms and software infrastructure, and perform data analysis.

Award work would be performed at pre-existing facilities that are purpose-built to accommodate the type of laboratory work and testing to be conducted for this award. Facility modifications would not be required. There would be no ground disturbing activities as all activities will take place at indoor laboratories at the locations identified above.

Award activities would involve handling and use of hazardous materials, including battery cells. All handling would occur in-lab and would be handled in accordance with federal, state, and local environmental regulations. Existing health and safety policies and procedures would be followed, including proper protective equipment, engineering controls, monitoring, and internal assessments.

DOE has considered the scale, duration, and nature of proposed activities to determine potential impacts on resources, including those of an ecological, historical, cultural, and socioeconomic nature. DOE does not anticipate impacts on these resources which would be considered significant or require DOE to consult with other agencies or stakeholders.

Any work proposed to be conducted at a federal facility may be subject to additional NEPA review by the cognizant federal official and must meet the applicable health and safety requirements of the facility.

| DOE na | s made a miai | NETA determ | mation. |
|--------|---------------|-------------|---------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Notes: | | | |

DOE has made a final NEDA determination

Building Technologies Office (BTO) NEPA review completed by Alex Colling on 10/25/2023

FOR CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATIONS

The proposed action (or the part of the proposal defined in the Rationale above) fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D. To fit within the classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, a proposal must be one that would not: (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders; (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities; (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to, those listed in paragraph B(4) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B; (5) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those listed in paragraph B(5) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposed action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposed action has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. This proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211 concerning limitations on actions during preparation of an environmental impact statement.

The proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.

Relectronically Signed By: Casey Strickland NEPA Compliance Officer Signature: Date: 10/27/2023

| | NEPA Compliance Officer | |
|----------|---|-------|
| FIE | LD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION | |
| ✓ | Field Office Manager review not required Field Office Manager review required | |
| BAS | SED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO: | |
| Fiel | d Office Manager's Signature: | Date: |
| | Field Office Manager | |