## **Categorical Exclusion Determination**

Western Area Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: ODA-TCY 6 LZs near 168-2, 171-2, 172-1, 177-1, 179-2, 183-2

**Project No.:** 100153025

Project Manager: James Hill

**Location:** Sacramento and Contra Costa counties

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3 Routine maintenance

### **Description of the Proposed Action:**

Western Area Power Administration, Sierra Nevada Region (WAPA) is responsible for the operation and maintenance of several federally owned and operated transmission lines throughout California, including Olinda Tracy line (ODA-TCY), located in northern California from Tracy to Olinda substations. Part of this responsibility includes ensuring WAPA maintains reliable access to transmission towers and other facilities to comply with the National Electric Safety Code, Western States Coordinating Council, and WAPA directives for protecting human safety and maintaining the reliable operation of the transmission system.

WAPA proposes to replace spacers on spans along the ODA-TCY transmission line. To accomplish this task, six landing zones (LZ) outside the right-of-way near Towers 168/2, 171/2, 172/1, 177/1, 179/2, and 183-2 will be used in locations within Sacramento and Contra Costa counties. Equipment will be staged at the landing zones.

### **Findings:**

In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), WAPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, WAPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Signed: KRISTEN Digitally signed by KRISTEN DALLDORF Date: 2023.10.20 Date: 15:17:29-07'00'

Attachment: Environmental Checklist

# **Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist**

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: ODA-TCY 6 LZs near 168-2, 171-2, 172-1, 177-1, 179-2, 183-2

#### **Project Site Description**

Proposed helicopter landing zones are in Sacramento and Contra Costa counties, California. The landing zones near Towers 168-2 and 171-2 are located on State of California land in Sacramento county. The site near 168-2 is adjacent to W. Sherman Island Road along the Sacramento River on undeveloped agricultural land within a disturbed area. The site near Tower 171-2 is near Sherman Island East Levee Road along the San Joaquin River, on a disturbed access road near undeveloped agricultural land. Both these areas have been used a helicopter landing zones previously. The site near tower 177-1 is on private property adjacent to Bethel Island Road on disturbed undeveloped land in Contra Costa County. The site near Tower 179-2 is off Eagle Lane on private property on disturbed land near farm buildings and farm equipment staging area in Contra Costa County. The site near Tower 183-2 is located on East Bay Municipal Utility property on disturbed undeveloped land adjacent to a marina on Old River in the Delta. The site near Tower 172-1 is located on private property in a disturbed area used as an equipment yard.

#### **Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance with Conditions		
Historic and Cultural Resources <u>Explanation:</u>	$\checkmark$			
No cultural resource concerns were identified in any of the landing zone sites. The right-of-ways were surveyed and no nearby sites were identified. These activities are covered under WAPA's Programmatic Agreement with State Historic Preservation Office for the Operations and Maintenance of our right-of-ways.				
No ground disturbance is required. Natural Resepateontological, or historic evidence is found.	ources will be contacted imme	ediately if archeological,		

	Geology and Soils <u>Explanation:</u>	<b>✓</b>	
	Ground disturbance is not anticipated in this project; therefor	e, no impacts would occur.	
	Plants (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats) Explanation:	<b>7</b>	П
	No special-status plants are known or expected at the landin contain ruderal species.	g zones. Sites are generally clear	of vegetation or
	<b>Wildlife</b> (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)		_
	Explanation:	$\checkmark$	$\square$
	Sites were either surveyed or desktop reviewed for resource expected to be present at landing zone sites. The project will have an effect on migratory birds.		
	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status		
	species, ESUs, and habitats)  Explanation:		<b>✓</b>
•	The project areas are all terrestrial sites. Although many of t separated by levees from rivers. Additionally, secondary cor		

6.	Wetlands <u>Explanation:</u>	<b>✓</b>		
	The project area does not have wetlands; therefore, no imp	acts would occur.		
	Groundwater and Aquifers <u>Explanation:</u>	<b>✓</b>		
	Spill prevention measures would be utilized during construct would not provide a pathway for groundwater contamination			
8.	Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas Explanation:	<b>/</b>		
	The land use would not change at the project site. Nor is the areas, such as National Scenic Rivers.	e project within, or near, any specia	lly designated	
9.	Visual Quality <u>Explanation:</u>	<b>✓</b>		
	The visual quality would be consistent with the existing use be no significant change to the visual quality.	of the transmission right-of-way cor	ridor. There would	
1	0. Air Quality <u>Explanation:</u>		<b>✓</b>	
	A small amount of dust and vehicle emissions would occur operate for a few hours with 2-3 take-off and landings.	luring the conductor repair. The he	licopter would	
	The following relevant SOPs were adopted by WAPA to support the state implementation plan to restore air quality in the region.  AQ-SOP-1: The Contractor will adhere to all applicable requirements of those agencies having jurisdiction over air quality matters, and any necessary permits for O&M will be obtained.  AQ-SOP-2: Machinery and vehicles will be kept in good operating condition and older equipment will be replaced with equipment meeting applicable emission standards; appropriate emissions-control equipment will be maintained for vehicles and equipment, per EPA and/or WAPA air-emission requirements.  AQ-SOP-3: Idle equipment will be shut down when not in active use; visible emissions from stationary generators will be controlled.  AQ-SOP-5: There will be no open burning of construction trash.  AQ-SOP-7: Major operations will be avoided on days when the local Air Quality Index is expected to exceed 150.			

11. Noise	<b>[</b> ]					
Explanation:  There are no residences within the project locati	ion. Helicopter noise would be tem	porary and would occur during				
daylight hours.						
12. Human Health and Safety	_	_				
Explanation:	✓	Ш				
During project activities, all standard safety proto health or safety. Contractor shall be required to 1910.269 specifically paragraphs (a)(2), (b), (c), also adhere to the requirements under Title 8 Ca 38 and Subchapter 7 Article 12. Contractor shall the above OSHA standards. If a safe working disdays in advance.	follow the OSHA standards set fort (g), (k), (p), and (r) as required und al OSHA Electrical Safety Order sp I maintain a safe working distance	h in 29 CFR der (a)(E)(2). Contractor shall ecifically Subchapter 5 Article from conductors as described in				
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements						
The proposed project would also meet cond The project would not:	litions that are integral elements	s of the categorical exclusion.				
Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, similar requirements of DOE or Executive O		nts for environment, safety, and h	nealth, or			
Explanation, if necessary:						
<ul> <li>Require siting and construction or major exp (including incinerators) that are not otherwis</li> </ul>	<u> </u>	sal, recovery, or treatment facilitie	es			
Explanation, if necessary:						
Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, c that preexist in the environment such that the			roducts			
Explanation, if necessary:						
Have the potential to cause significant impathose listed in paragraph B(4) of 10 CFR Pa			ited to,			
Explanation, if necessary:						
Involve genetically engineered organisms, s species, unless the proposed activity would unauthorized release into the environment a of the Department of Agriculture, the Environment	be contained or confined in a mand conducted in accordance w	nanner designed and operated to ith applicable requirements, such	prevent as those			
Explanation, if necessary:						
Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination						
<b>Description:</b> WAPA has notified and received	d permission from the landowner to	use the landing zone sites.				

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed:

 $\checkmark$ 

KRISTEN
DALLDORF
Date: 2023.10.20
15:19:33 -07'00'

Date: