U.S. Liquefaction Capacity Workbook Contents

Worksheet Name	Description	Units	Frequency								
Existing and Under Construction	Existing, under construction, and other large scale U.S. liquefaction facilities that reached final investment decision (FID)	Billion cubic feet per day (Bcf/d); million metric tons per annum (MTPA)	Quarterly								
Approved	U.S. large scale approved liquefaction facilities not under construction	Billion cubic feet per day (Bcf/d); million metric tons per annum (MTPA)	Quarterly								
Definitions	Data classification definitions										
Release Date:	6/29/2023										
Next Release Date:	3Q2023										
Excel File Name:	U.S.LiquefactionCapacity.xlsx										
Available from Web Page:	https://www.eia.gov/naturalgas/data.phpl/imports										
Sources:	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Fossil Energy and Carbon Management (FECM), company websites, and trade press										
For Help, Contact:	Victoria.Zaretskaya@eia.gov										
	(202) 287-5501										
Notes:	U.S. Liquefaction Capacity contains a list of U.S. LNG export projects that have been fully permitted by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) for onshore facilities and U.S. Maritime Administration (MARAD) for offshore facilities, and U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). These export facilities are currently either in commissioning stage, commercial operation, or under construction, or expected to commence construction. The data contained in this workbook are not collected on an EIA survey. This information was compiled from ERC and DOE filings, company websites, trade press, and other industry sources. Actual capacities of liquefaction projects may differ from the capacities listed in this file. The data are not a forecast. For further information, see the "Definitions" tab.										

RECEIVED

By Docket Room at 11/06/2023 4:31 p.m.

Existing, under construction, and other large scale U.S. liquefaction facilities that reached final investment decision (FID)

								Date of the star												
lect name	Train		Baseload nameplate capacity per Peak nameplate capacity Train per Train				In-service date	of commercial service	(U.S. state)	DOE-authori countries	zed export quantity i	TA DOE FTA application docket number	DOE-authorized export quantity non- DOE non-FTA application docket number FTA countries			FERC-authorized export quantity		FERC docket number	Project type	Operator
		Bcf/d	Mtpa	Bcf/d	Mtpa	Project status			(4.4.	Bcf/d	Mtpa		Bcf/d	Mtpa		Bcf/d	Mtpa		,,,	
ne Pass	Train 1	0.59	4.50	0.76	5.76	Commercial operation	Feb-16	May-16	LA	0.76	5.77	10-85-LNG/14-92-LNG/19-125-LNG	0.76	5.77	10-111-LNG/15-63-LNG	0.76	5.76	PF10-24/CP11-72/CP19-515	Brownfield	Cheniere Energy
ne Pass	Train 2	0.59	4.50	0.76	5.76	Commercial operation	Aug-16	Oct-16	LA	0.76	5.77	10-85-LNG/14-92-LNG/19-125-LNG	0.76	5.77	10-111-LNG/15-63-LNG	0.76	5.76	PF10-24/CP11-72/CP19-515	Brownfield	Cheniere Energy
nine Pass	Train 3	0.59	4.50	0.76	5.76		Jan-17	Mar-17	IA	0.76	5.77	10-85-LNG/14-92-LNG/19-125-LNG	0.76	5.77	10-111-LNG/15-63-LNG	0.76	5.76	PF10-24/CP11-72/CP19-515	Brownfield	Cheniere Energy
bine Pass	Train 4	0.59	4.50	0.76		Commercial operation	Aug-17	Oct-17	LA	0.76	5.77	10-85-LNG/14-92-LNG/19-125-LNG	0.76	5.77	10-111-LNG/15-63-LNG	0.76	5.76	PF10-24/CP11-72/CP19-515	Brownfield	Cheniere Energy
nine Pass	Train 5	0.59	4.50	0.76	5.76	Commercial operation	Nov-18	Mar-19	LA	0.76	5.77	13-30-LNG/13-42-LNG/13-121-LNG/19-125-LNG	0.76	5.77	13-30-LNG/13-42-LNG/13-121-LNG	0.76	5.76	PF13-8/CP13-552/CP13-553/CP19-515	Brownfield	Cheniere Energy
bine Pass	Train 6	0.59	4.50	0.76	5.76	Commercial operation	Dec-21	Feb-22	LA	0.76	5.77	13-30-LNG/13-42-LNG/13-121-LNG/19-125-LNG	0.76	5.77	13-30-LNG/13-42-LNG/13-121-LNG	0.76	5.76	PF13-8/CP13-552/CP13-553/CP19-515	Brownfield	Cheniere Energy
	- Hulli O		4.50	0.70	3.70	Commercial operation		100 22		0.70		13 30 110/13 41 110/13 111 110/13 113 110	0.70			0.70	3.70		DIOWING	
ve Point	Train 1	0.69	5.25	0.76	5.75	Commercial operation	Mar-18	Apr-18	MD	1.00	7.82^	11-115-LNG	0.77	5.75^	11-128-LNG	0.76	5.75	PF12-16/ CP13-113	Brownfield	Berkshire Hathaway BHE GT
oa Island	Trains 1-5	0.16	1.25	0.18	1.37	Commercial operation	Sep-19	Sep-19	GA	0.25	2.00^	12-54-ING	0.18	1.25^	12-100-LNG	0.18	1.37	PF13-3/CP14-103	Brownfield	Kinder Morgan
na Island	Trains 6-10 ^A	0.16	1.25	0.18	1.37	Commercial operation	May-20	Aug-20	GA	0.25	2.00^	12-54-LNG	0.18	1.25^	12-100-LNG	0.18	1.37	PF13-3/CP14-103	Brownfield	Kinder Morgan
rpus Christi	Train 1	0.60	4.52	0.80	6.1	Commercial operation	Dec-18	Mar-19	TX	0.80	6.07	12-99-LNG/19-124-LNG	0.80	6.07	12-97-LNG	0.80	6.07	PF12-3/ CP12-507/CP19-514	Greenfield	Cheniere Energy
pus Christi	Train 2	0.60	4.52	0.80	6.1	Commercial operation	Jul-19	Aug-19	TX	0.80	6.07	12-99-LNG/19-124-LNG	0.80	6.07	12-97-LNG	0.80	6.07	PF12-3/ CP12-507/CP19-514	Greenfield	Cheniere Energy
rpus Christi	Train 3	0.60	4.52	0.80	6.1	Commercial operation	Dec-20	Mar-21	TX	0.80	6.07	12-99-LNG/19-124-LNG	0.80	6.07	12-97-LNG	0.80	6.07	PF12-3/ CP12-507/CP19-514	Greenfield	Cheniere Energy
imeron	Train 1 ⁸	0.59	4.50	0.66	4 99	Commercial operation	May-19	Jul-19	ΙΔ	0.71	4 98^	11-145-LNG/14-204-LNG	0.71	4.98^	11-162-LNG/15-67-LNG	0.66 ^a	4 99	PF12-13/CP13-25	Brownfield	Sempra LNG
meron	Train 2 [®]	0.59	4.50	0.66	4.99	Commercial operation	Dec-19	Mar-20	LA	0.71	4.98^	11-145-LNG/14-204-LNG	0.71	4.98^	11-162-LNG/15-67-LNG	0.66	4.99	PF12-13/ CP13-25	Brownfield	Sempra LNG
meron	Train 2	0.59	4.50	0.66	4.99	Commercial operation	Aug-20	Aug-20	IA.	0.71	4.98^	11-145-LNG/14-204-LNG	0.71	4.98^	11-162-LNG/15-67-LNG	0.66 ^a	4.99	PF12-13/ CP13-25	Brownfield	Sempra LNG
nc.on	IIdii 3		4.30	0.00		commercial operation	706 10	708 20			4.30		0.71			0.00			DIOWING	
eport	Train 1	0.66	5.00	0.71	5.42	Commercial operation	Sep-19	Nov-19	TX	0.79	6.02	10-160-LNG/12-06-LNG	0.79	6.02	10-161-LNG/11-161-LNG/16-108-LNG/21-98-LNG	0.79	6.02	PF11-2/CP12-509/CP15-518-000/CP21-470-000	Brownfield	Freeport LNG Development,
eport	Train 2	0.66	5.00	0.71	5.42	Commercial operation	Dec-19	Jan-20	TX	0.79	6.02	10-160-LNG/12-06-LNG	0.79	6.02	10-161-LNG/11-161-LNG/16-108-LNG/21-98-LNG	0.79	6.02	PF11-2/CP12-509/CP15-518-000/CP21-470-000	Brownfield	Freeport LNG Development,
eport	Train 3	0.66	5.00	0.71	5.42	Commercial operation	Mar-20	Apr-20	TX	0.79	6.02	10-160-LNG/12-06-LNG	0.79	6.02	10-161-LNG/11-161-LNG/16-108-LNG/21-98-LNG	0.79	6.02	PF11-2/CP12-509/CP15-518-000/CP21-470-000	Brownfield	Freeport LNG Development,
tasieu Pass	Trains 1-9 ^C	0.66	5.00	0.79	6.00	Commercial operation	Mar-22	May-22	LA	0.88	6.2^	13-69-LNG/14-88-LNG/15-25-LNG	0.85	6.00^	13-69-LNG/14-88-LNG/15-25-LNG	0.79	6.00	CP15-550	Greenfield	Venture Global LNG. Inc.
casieu Pass	Trains 10-18 ^C	0.66	5.00	0.79	6.00	Commissioning	Sep-22		LA	0.88	6.2^	13-69-LNG/14-88-LNG/15-25-LNG	0.85	6.00^	13-69-LNG/14-88-LNG/15-25-LNG	0.79	6.00	CP15-550	Greenfield	Venture Global LNG, Inc.
M3CU 1 U33		0.00	3.00	0.75	0.00				B	0.00	0.2	20 22 23 24 00 210/13 23 210	0.83	3.00		J./3	3.00	2. 13 330	Greenneid	
den Pass	Train 1 ^E	0.68	5.20	0.80	6.03	Under construction	2Q2024		TX	0.86	6.03^	12-88 -LNG	0.86	6.03^	12-156-LNG	0.795 ^D	6.03	CP14-517-000/CP19-20-000/CP20-459	Brownfield	Qatar Petroleum, ExxonMob
lden Pass	Train 2 ^E	0.68	5.20	0.80	6.03	Under construction	4Q2024		TX	0.86	6.03^	12-88 -LNG	0.86	6.03^	12-156-LNG	0.795 ^D	6.03	CP14-517-000/CP19-20-000/CP20-459	Brownfield	Qatar Petroleum, ExxonMob
olden Pass	Train 3 ^E	0.68	5.20	0.80	6.03	Under construction	1Q2025		TX	0.86	6.03^	12-88 -LNG	0.86	6.03^	12-156-LNG	0.795 ^D	6.03	CP14-517-000/CP19-20-000/CP20-459	Brownfield	Qatar Petroleum, ExxonMol
guemines LNG Phase 1	Trains 1-18 ^F	1.30	9.90	1.58	12.00	Under construction	3Q2024		LA	1.89	13.33^	16-28-LNG	1.89	13.33^	16-28-LNG	1.70	12.00^	CP17-66-000 and CP17-67-000	Greenfield	Venture Global LNG
equemines LNG Phase 2	Trains 1-18	1.30	9.90	1.58	12.00		2025		LA	1.96	13.82	16-28-LNG	1.51	10.67^	16-28-LNG	1.70	12.00^	CP17-66-000 and CP17-67-000	Greenfield	Venture Global LNG
squenines Livo rilase 2	1 rains 19-36	1.30	9.90	1.58	12.00	onder construction			LA	1.96	13.82	10-28-LNG	1.51	10.67	10-28-LNG	1.70	12.00*	CF17-00-000 and CF17-67-000	Greenneid	VERTOR CHOOSE ENG
ort Arthur LNG Phase 1	Trains 1-2	1.58	12.00	1.78	13.5^	Under construction	2027		TX	1.91	13.5^	15-53-LNG/18-162-LNG	1.91	13.5^	15-96-LNG	1.78	13.5^	CP17-20-000	Greenfield	Sempra Energy
rpus Christi Liquefaction Stage III		1.32	10.00^	1.51	44.454	Under construction	2025		TX	150	11.45^	18-78-LNG	1.59	11 45^	18-78-LNG	1.51	11.45^	CP18-512/CP18-514		Corpus Christi Liquefaction :

Note:

Indicates the Mipa volumes were provided by the company in the application and/or in the OCI Order. IAB Ed/16 to Mipa conversion factors are not used.

*Cash logisfaction to an at Elba shared UKG opport facility has a baseledd amongstate capacity of 0.33 mipa or 0.03 Ed/4.

*Camero Like Capacity in Sillino cable feet per day was calculated unsigned as capacity of 0.32 mipa or 0.03 Ed/4.

*Camero Like Capacity in Sillino cable feet per day was calculated unsigned as capacity and the capacity of 0.33 mipa or 0.03 Ed/4.

*Camero Like Capacity in Sillino cable feet per day was calculated unsigned as capacity of 0.33 mipa or 0.03 Ed/4.

*Catal instantians that a Capacity in Sillino cable feet per day was calculated based on FEEC (filing Diocet CF15-550-000) of 10 mipa nameplate and 12 mipa peak capacity using a conversion factor (see the "Definitions" for more information.

*Cash logisfaction Solid Sillino Capacity Capacity Capacity Sillino Capacity Capacity Sillino Capacity Capacity Sillino Capacity Capacity Sillino Capacity Sillino Capacity Capacity Sillino Capacity Sillino

U.S. large scale approved liquefaction facilities not under construction ¹
(These facilities will be listed as under construction once they have formally reached a final investment decision)

									DOE-authorized export quantity FTA		TA DOE FTA application	DOE-authorized ex	port quantity r	on- DOE non-FTA application				
Project name	Project operator	Proposed design capacity per train		Number of trains	Proposed design capacity		Project status	Location (state)	countries		docket number	FTA countries		docket number	FERC-authorized export quantity		FERC docket number	Project type
		Bcf/d	Mtpa		Bcf/d	Mtpa			Bcf/d	Mtpa		Bcf/d	Mtpa		Bcf/d	Mtpa		
Cameron LNG Train 4	Cameron LNG, LLC	0.89	6.75^	1	0.89	6.75^	Preliminary FEED on a new design of one larger-size train, rather than 2 train as was initially proposed. FID targeted for 2023.	S LA	1.41	9.97^	15-36-LNG	1.41	9.97^	15-90-LNG	1.4	9.97	CP15-560-000	Brownfield
Magnolia LNG	Glenfarne Group	n/a	n/a	n/a	1.2	8.8^	Completed FEED, awarded EPC contract, FID targeted for 2023	LA	1.23	8.8^	12-183-LNG/13-131-LNG	1.23	8.8^	13-132-LNG	1.16	8.8^	CP14-347/PF13-9/CP19-19-000	Greenfield
Lake Charles LNG	Energy Transfer, LP	0.7	5.5	3	2.2	16.5^	Completed FEED, reviewed EPC tenders, FID targeted for 2022	LA	2.0	15.0^	11-59-LNG/13-04-LNG	2.0	15.0^	11-59-LNG/13-04-LNG	2.2	16.5	CP14-120/PF12-8	Brownfield
Lake Charles LNG (additional DOE application)	Energy Transfer, LP	Note A	Note A	n/a	Note A	Note A	Completed FEED, reviewed EPC tenders, FID targeted for 2022	LA	0.33	2.5	16-109-LNG/16-110-LNG	0.33	2.5	16-109-LNG/16-110-LNG	n/a	n/a	CP14-120/PF12-8	Brownfield
Driftwood LNG	Driftwood LNG LLC (a wholly- owned subsidiary of Tellurian, Inc.)	0.73	5.52	5	3.64	27.6^	Completed FEED, awarded EPC contract to Bechtel Energy, issued limited notice to proceed to commence construction, FID targeted for 2022	LA	3.88	27.6^	16-144-LNG	3.88	27.6^	16-144-LNG	3.6	27.6	CP17-117-000 and CP17-180-000	Greenfield
Freeport LNG Train 4	Freeport LNG	0.67	5.10	1	0.67	5.1^	Completed FEED, awarded EPC contract, FID targeted for 2022	TX	0.42	3.19	12-06-LNG	0.72	5.1^	18-26-LNG	0.7	5.1	CP17-470-000	Brownfield
Texas LNG	Glenfarne Group	0.28	2.00	2	0.56	4.0	Completed FEED , FID targeted for 2022	TX	0.56	4.0^	15-62-LNG	0.56	4.0^	15-62-LNG	0.56	4.0	CP16-116	Greenfield
Rio Grande LNG	Rio Grande LNG, LLC	0.72	5.40	5	3.61	27.0	Completed FEED, awarded EPC contract to Bechtel Energy, FID targeted for 2022	TX	3.61	27.0^	15-190-LNG	3.61	27.0^	15-190-LNG	3.6	27.0	CP16-454, CP16-455	Greenfield
Gulf LNG	Kinder Morgan et al.	0.71	5.43	2	1.43	10.85^	Undergoing FEED	MS	1.50	11.5^	12-47-LNG	1.53	11.6	12-101-LNG	1.5	10.9	CP15-521-000	Brownfield
Delfin FLNG	Fairwood Group	0.40	3.00	4	1.6	12.0	Completed FEED	Offshore/Floating (GOM)	1.8	13.0^	13-129-LNG	1.8	13.0^	13-147-LNG	1.8	13.2	FERC CP15-490; MARAD	Floating
Alaska LNG	Alaska Gasline Development Corporation (AGDC)	0.85	6.67	3	2.55	20.0	Proposed	AK	2.55	20.0^	14-96-LNG	2.55	20.0^	14-96-LNG	2.55	20.0	CP17-178-000	Greenfield

Projects need to receive two major sets of regulatory approvals to move forward: an approval for LNG exports from the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and environmental/construction approval from the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) for ornshore projects and U.S. Maritime Administration (MARAD) for offshore projects.

Projects status reflects the most recent milestoner in iliquefaction project development. Typical projects profession for projects and the project status reflects the most recent milestoner in iliquefaction project development. Typical project milestoner proor to the start of construction include Front End Engineering Design (FEED), award of Engineering, Procurement, and Construction (EPC) contract, and Final Investment Decision (FID).

Note:
A indicates the Mitpa volumes were provided by the company in the application or in the DOE or FERC Order. EIA Bol/d to Mitpa conversion factors were not used.

Note A: Project sponsors filled two applications to export up to 2.33 Bol/d of UNG from the Lake Charles liquirefaction terminal. Combined proposed liquirefaction capacity on two applications does not exceed 2.33 Bol/d.

Definitions		
Field	Description	Comments
	Baseload nameplate capacity of a liquefaction train (in billion cubic feet per day) as per project sponsors' filings with FERC and/or public	The baseload nameplate capacity of a liquefaction facility specifies the amount of liquefied natural gas produced in a calendar year under normal operating conditions
Baseload nameplate capacity per train (Bcf/d)	press announcements	based on engineering design of a facility.
Baseload nameplate capacity per train (MTPA)	Baseload nameplate capacity of a liquefaction train (in million metric tons per annum) as per project sponsors' filings with FERC and/or	See above
	public press announcements	
Bcf/d	billion cubic feet per day	Unit of measurement
cm	cubic meters	Unit of measurement
Commercial Operation	Liquefaction facility has been fully commissioned and EPC contractor transferred control of the facility to project developer	
Commissioning	Introduction of feedgas, system testing, first LNG production, first LNG export	
Date of the start of commercial service	Date of FERC order authorizing the start of commercial service	
Docket	Docket number assigned to a filing by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission or the U.S. Department of Energy's Office of Fossil	
Docket	Energy	Available for public search on the respective government website
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy	An agency in the U.S. Federal Government
DOF FF	U.S. Department of Energy's Office of Fossil Energy	A department within the U.S. Department of Energy
	Docket number assigned by DOE's Office of Fossil Energy to a project application for LNG exports to countries, with which the United	
DOE FTA application docket number	States has a Free Trade Agreement (FTA)	Publicly searchable in DOE's FE library
DOE non-FTA application docket number	Docket number assigned by DOE's Office of Fossil Energy to a project application for LNG exports to countries, with which the United	Publicly searchable in DOE's FE library
DOE HOIPFIA application docket humber	States does not have a Free Trade Agreement (non-FTA)	
DOE-authorized export quantity to FTA countries (Bcf/d)	Export LNG quantity in billion cubic feet per day approved by DOE FE for exports to countries, with which the United States has a Free	Quantities that have been approved vary depending on volumes requested in applications to DOE FE (for exports to FTA and non-FTA countries) and final volumes that
	Trade Agreement	have been approved by DOE FE
DOE-authorized export quantity to FTA countries (MTPA)	Export LNG quantity in million metric tons per annum approved by DOE FE for exports to countries, with which the United States has a Free Trade Agreement	See above
PAR - A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A -	Export LNG quantity in billion cubic feet per day approved by DOE FE for exports to countries, with which the United States does not	A. J
DOE-authorized export quantity to non-FTA countries (Bcf/d)	have a Free Trade Agreement	See above
DOE-authorized export quantity to non-FTA countries (MTPA)	Export LNG quantity in million metric tons per annum approved by DOE FE for exports to countries, with which the United States does	See above
Aport quantity to non- 12 countries (WIFA)	not have a Free Trade Agreement	
EPC Contractor	The contractor responsible for overseeing Engineering/Design, Procurement, and Construction activities associated with development of a liquefaction project.	EPC contractor is responsible for all stages of the project's development, from conceptual design to commissioning and handover of the project to the project's operator
FIΔ	of a liquetaction groject. U.S. Energy Information Administration	An independent government statistical agency within the U.S. Department of Energy
Export quantity (Corporate website)	Baseload design capacity of a liquefaction project as provided on a project's corporate website	n/a
	Baseload design capacity of a ilquefaction project as provided on a project s corporate wedsite	n/a FEED is the initial stage in LNG project development, in which the basic engineering including technical requirements as well as approximate investment cost for the project.
FEED	Front End Engineering Design	FEEL IS the initial stage in Livis project development, in which the basic engineering including technical requirements as well as approximate investment cost for the project have been completed.
FEED contractor	The contractor who designed and is responsible for overseeing the Front End Engineering Design	
FFRC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission	A government agency within the U.S. Department of Energy
FERC-authorized project export quantity (Bcf/d)	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission assesses compliance of a proposed LNG project with existing regulations and if approved, FERC issues an Environmental, Siting, and Construction permit, which specifies approved nameplate and maximum design capacity as per application filed by project sponsors (in units such as million or billion cubic feet, million metric from per annum, etc.): FERC jurisdiction	The full FERC filing process requires project sponsors to provide detailed site engineering and design information as well as environmental and safety analysis and marks studies and typically takes several years to complete. FERC also monitors all project construction to ensure continued compliance with state and federal permits and
	extends to LNG facilities located on land and within state waters. This analysis determines how much each project is allowed to export.	regulations and the National Environmental Policy Act.
FERC-authorized project export quantity (MTPA)	See above	See above
FERC docket number	The docket number FERC has assigned a liquefaction project	Publicly searchable in FERC's library
FID	Final investment decision	After project sponsors make a final investment decision, the project typically enters a construction stage
FTA	Free Trade Agreement	Nations with which the United States has a Free Trade Agreement
GOM	Gulf of Mexico	
In-service Date	Date of shipment of the first commissioning LNG cargo	If project is under construction, the estimated in-service date is based on the project developer's most recent public announcement of an estimated date of project
		completion. These dates are subject to change.
Liquefaction technology	A specific liquefaction technology used in the construction of liquefaction facility	
Location	The U.S. state where the liquefaction project is located	
MTPA	million metric tons per annum	LNG-specific unit of measurement
Non-FTA	Non-Free Trade Agreement	Nations that have not entered into a Free Trade Agreement with the United States
Number of storage tanks	The number of LNG storage tanks located onsite at a liquefaction facility to temporarily store produced LNG prior to its transfer onto an	
	LNG vessel for export	
Number of trains	The number of trains in a liquefaction project	
Operator	An entity that operates a liquefaction facility	
Peak nameplate capacity per train (Bcf/d)	Peak nameplate capacity of a liquefaction train (in billion cubic feet per day) as per project sponsors' filings with FERC and/or public press announcements	Peak nameplate capacity of the facility specifies the maximum amount of liquefied natural gas, which can be produced at the facility in a full calendar year
Peak nameplate capacity per train (MTPA)	Peak nameplate capacity of a liquefaction train (in million metric tons per annum) as per project sponsors' filings with FERC and/or	See abour
	public press announcements	and above
Project name	Name of the liquefaction facility	
Project sponsors	Companies with financial stake in the project	
Project status	The project can be either in operational stage (Substantial Completion or Commercial Operation) or in one of the development stages:	Lists key stages in liquefaction project development or operations. Project must have reached "approval" status to appear in this database
· · · · · · · ·	Proposed; FEED; FID; Under Construction	Brownfield: Liquefaction project is built at the site of an existing regasification facility, which allows project to share existing infrastructure (natural gas pipelines, LNG
Project type	Brownfield or Greenfield	strowmence: Liquetaction project is dulit at the site of an existing regastriction facility, which allows project to share existing infrastructure (natural gas pipelines, two storage tanks, dockling berths, other facilities). Brownfield projects typically have lower costs due to existing infrastructure sharing. Greenfield: Liquefaction project is but at a new site.
Proposed design capacity (Bcf/d)	Total proposed capacity of the liquefaction project in billion cubic feet per day	
	Total proposed capacity of the liquefaction project in million metric tons per annum	
Proposed design capacity (MTPA)		
	Proposed capacity of the liquefaction train in billion cubic feet per day	
Proposed design capacity per train (Bcf/d)	Proposed capacity of the liquefaction train in billion cubic feet per day Proposed capacity of the liquefaction train in million metric tops per annum	Once the project enters construction, this category becomes "Baseload nameplate capacity per train (Bcf/d)" Once the project enters construction, this category becomes "Baseload nameplate capacity per train (MTPA)"
Proposed design capacity per train (Bcf/d) Proposed design capacity per train (MTPA)	Proposed capacity of the liquefaction train in million metric tons per annum	Once the project enters construction, this category becomes "Basedoad nameplate capacity per train (Bcf/d)" Once the project enters construction, this category becomes "Basedoad nameplate capacity per train (MTPA)"
Proposed design capacity per train (Bcf/d) Proposed design capacity per train (MTPA)		
Proposed design capacity per train (Bdf/d) Proposed design capacity per train (MTPA) Substantial completion	Proposed capacity of the liquefaction train in million metric tons per annum	
Proposed design capacity (MTPA) Proposed design capacity per train (MTPA) Proposed design capacity per train (MTPA) Substantial completion Total storage (cm) Train	Proposed capacity of the liquefaction train in million metric tons per annum An operational stage of the project when the EPC contractor transfers control of the liquefaction facility to the project owner(s)	
Proposed design capacity per train (Bdf/d) Proposed design capacity per train (MTPA) Substantial completion Total storage (cm)	Proposed capacity of the layelection train in million metric trons per annum An operational stage of the project when the EPC contractor transfers control of the liquefaction facility to the project owner(s) Total storage capacity of on sale LMS storage task(s) in Judic meters.	
Proposed design capacity per train (Bdf/d) Proposed design capacity per train (MTPA) Substantial completion Total storage (cm)	Proposed capacity of the layelection train in million metric trons per annum An operational stage of the project when the EPC contractor transfers control of the liquefaction facility to the project owner(s) Total storage capacity of on sale LMS storage task(s) in Judic meters.	