U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, OFFICE OF SCIENCE INTEGRATED SUPPORT CENTER—CHICAGO OFFICE

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (NEPA) ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION NOTIFICATION FORM

To be completed by "Applicant," i.e., organization with responsibilities for a "Federal action" involving application to DOE for a permit, license, exemption or allocation, or other similar actions. For assistance with this Form, refer to "Instructions for Preparing ISC-CH F-560, Environmental Evaluation Notification Form."

Solicitation/Award No. (if applicable): DE-SC0023946

Organization Name: Luna Innovations Incorporated

Proposed Action Title: C56-40.W Optical Fiber Based Distributed Radiation Detection

Total DOE Funding/Total Funding: \$199,935.00

- I. Project Description: (Use explanation pages if additional space is required)
 - A. Proposed Project/Action (if applicable, delineate Federally funded/Non-Federally funded portions)

Luna is teaming with Dr. Tom Blue from OSU, who will assist with design, modeling and testing of the gamma thermometer in the Ohio State University Research Reactor (OSURR), a 500 kW Materials Testing Reactor. Luna will fabricate the radiation probes and conduct extensive modeling, temperature testing and calibration of the completed probes. In addition, Luna will provide sensors, electronics, data analysis and on site personnel support for the testing at OSU. Luna has successfully transitioned fiber optic sensing technology from the laboratory to commercial products, and this innovation would be integrated with our existing product line. It is anticipated that the results could have significant commercial potential in the nuclear reactor sensor market.

B. Would the project proceed without Federal funding?	~

If "yes," use explanation page.

II. Description of Affected Environment: (Use explanation pages if additional space is required)

Luna and The Ohio State University (OSU) propose to combine their expertise to develop an innovative Optical Fiber Based Distributed Radiation Sensor based on a Gamma Thermometer. Installing a permanent system of sensors for LPRM calibration that do not degrade over time would eliminate the risk of releasing radioactive material caused by inserting and removing the TIPs and would also increase the safety and speed of the calibration process while reducing the cost and complexity of the process.

Vee

Min

DOE	NEPA	Tracking	Number

No

Yes

III	Preliminary Questions:

A. Is the DOE-funded work routinely administrative or entirely advisory or a "paper study?"

If "Yes", ensure that the description in Section I reflects this and go directly to Section V.

B .	is there any potential whatsoever for:	(Provide an explanation for each "Yes	" response)
------------	--	---------------------------------------	-------------

1.	Work to be performed outdoors?		~
2.	Major modification of a building interior?		⊡
3.	Threat of violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health?		
4.	Siting, construction or major expansion of waste treatment, storage, or disposal facilities?		☑
5.	Disturbance to hazardous substances, pollutants, or containinants preexisting in the environment?		~
6.	The presence of any environmentally-sensitive resources?		\mathbf{r}
7.	Any potential whatsoever for high consequence impacts to human health or the environment?		
8.	The work being connected to another existing/proposed activity that could potentially create a significant impact?		~
9.	Nearby past, present, and/or reasonably foreseeable future actions such that collective significant impacts could result?	ely	
10.	Scientific or public controversy, uncertainty over potential impacts, or conflicts regardin resource usage?	ng 🗋	

If "No" to ALL Section III.B. questions, go directly to Section V.

IV. Potential Environmental Effects: (Provide an explanation for each "Yes" response)

Α.	Environmentally Sensitive Resources: Could the proposed action potentially result in changes and	Vor	
	disturbances to any of the following resources?		

	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	Threatened/Endangered Species and/or Critical Habitats Other Protected Species (e.g., Burros, Migratory Birds, Pollinators) Sensitive Environments (e.g., Tundra/Coral Reefs/Rain Forests) Cultural or Historic Resources Important Farmland Non-Attainment Areas for Ambient Air Quality Standards Class I Air Quality Control Region Special Sources of Groundwater (e.g. Sole Source Aquifer) Navigable Air Space Coastal Zones Areas with Special National Designation (e.g. National Forests, Parks, Trails) Floodplains and/or Wetlands		200000000000000000000000000000000000000
В.	<u>Requia</u> activiti	ated Substances/Activities: Would the proposed action involve any of the following re	ell belage	ms or
	13. 14. 15. 16.	Natural Resource Damage Assessments Invasive Species or Exotic Organisms Noxious Weeds Clearing or Excavation greater than one acre or Removal of Trees Governed by Local Requirement		

17. Dredge or Fill (under Clean Water Act. Section 404, greater than one acre)

Page 2 of 4

 \checkmark

DOE NEPA Tracking Number

	and strength				Yes	No
	18.	Noise (in excess of regulations)			Ц	~
	19.	Asbestos Removal			H	~
	20.	Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)			Ц	
	21.	Import, Manufacture, or Processing of Toxic Substar	nces		H	
	22.	Chemical Storage/Use			Н	5
	23.	Pesticide Use			H	1
	24.	Hazardous, Toxic, or Criteria Pollutant Air Emissions			Ц	E
	25.	Liquid Effluents			Ц	1
	20.	Spill Prevention/Surface Water Protection			H	
	27.	Underground Injection			Ц	
	28.	Hazardous Waste			H	2
	29.	Underground Storage Tarks				1
	30.	Radioactive or Radioactive Mixed Waste			_	
	31.	Radiation Exposure			1	
	32	Canatically Engineered Managementations (Directory C	without a Distance		H	
	33.	Genetically Engineered Microorganisms/Plants or Sy	Inthetic Biology		H	1
	34.	Ozone Depleting Substances			H	1
	35.	Greenhouse Gas Generation/Sustainability			H	1
	30.	On-Road vehicles			H	E
	37.	Biosatety Level 3-4 Laboratory	a transfer		H	1
	38.	Research on Human Subjects or other Vertebrate A	nimais		H	-
	38.	Facility lootprint exceeds 5,000 Square Feet				
•	Other F	Relevant Information: Would the proposed action inv	olve the followin	ig?	Yes	No
	40.	Disproportionate Nearby Presence of Minority and/o	r Low Income F	Populations		
	41.	Existing, Modified, or New Federal/State Permits	1 1 21 3	in the second se	~	
	42.	Involvement of Another Federal Agency (e.g. license	s/permit, funding	g, approval)		~
	43.	Action in a State with NEPA-type law				~
	44.	Expansion of Public Utilities/Services				~
	45.	Depletion of a Non-Renewable Resources				~
	46.	Subject to an Existing Institutional Work Planning an	nd Control Proce	BSS		~
	47.	Other Pertinent Information Which Could Impact Hu	man Health or t	he Environment		~
00	licant ce	rtification that to the best of their knowledge all inform	nation provided	on this form is accu	irate:	
					Yes	No
OB	s this di	sclosure contain: classified, sensitive business, or ot	her exempt info	rmation that DOE		~
ou	nu not be	e obligated to disclose pursuant to the Preedom of Im	onnabon Act.			
+	Organi	zation Official (Name and Title): Matt Moore/ N	Aanager, Co	ontracts & Co	mpliar	nce
	Signatu	ure: Matthew E. Moore	Date:	8/2/2023		
	e-mail:	moorem@lunainc.com	Phone:	540-961-672	9	
	Option	al Secondary Approval (Name and Title):	10			
	Signatu	ure:	Date:			
	- the tasks			×		
	a free state of the					

V.

Page 3 of 4

.....

DOE NEPA Tracking Number

Remainder to be completed by DOE

VI. DOE Concurrence/Recommendation/Determination:

Α.	DOE Project Director/Program Manager or Contract/Grant Manager	nent Specialist:				
	Has the Applicant completed this Form correctly? Does an existing generic categorical exclusion apply? If yes, indicate: CX signed by the NEPA Complian	nce Officer is filed	Yes X X in ACQ-SD			
	None and Time, Julian Leal Contract Specialist					
	Signature: Julian Leal Digitally signed by Julian Leal	Date: 08/03/2023				
8.	DOE NEPA Team Review (if requested):		Yes	No		
	Is the class of action identified in the DOE NEPA Regulations (Appe Subpart D (10 CFR § 1021))? If ves, specify the class(es) of action:B3.6	ndices A-D to				
	Name and Title:Environmental Engineer					
	Signature: Jayashree Jayaraj Date: 2023.08.04 16:41:22 - 05'00'	Date:				
C.	DOE Counsel (if requested):					
	Name and Title:					
	Signature:	Date:				
D.	DOE NEPA Compliance Officer:					
The 1021	preceding pages are a record of documentation required under DOE I .410.	Final NEPA Regulation.	10 CFR §			
X	Action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review. I have determined that the proposed action meets the requirements for Categorical Exclusion referenced above.					
	Action requires approval by Head of the Field Organization. Recommend preparation of an Environmental Assessment.					
	Action requires approval by Head of the Field Organization or a preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement.	Secretarial Officer. Re	ommend			
	Comments/limitations if any:					
	Actions are limited to those bounded by the award scope AND covered OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY RESEARCH REACTOR ENVIRONMENT ASSESSMENT REGARDING RENEWAL OF FACILITY LICENSE NO. (TAC NO. MA7724) and the License itself.	by the FAL R-75				
	NEPA Compliance Officer:					
	Name:					
	Sign ature: PETER SIEBACH Digitally signed by PETER SIEBACH Date: 2023.08.14 16.09.48 -05'00'	Date:				

Page 4 of 4

DOE NEPA Tracking Number

Optional Additional Narrative: (add additional detail to description to Sections I and II or explanations to responses in Sections III and IV.

For those experiments that monitor radiation in the reactor, Cr-51 will be generated in the nichrome thermocouples. These will be allowed to decay in OSURR's holding pool, and properly disposed of by OSURR. OSU will handle all radiation work, including reactor operation, sensor installation and removal and waste disposal. The low level waste will be disposed of via OSURR's disposal procedures in accordance with the OSURR's NRC License and the OSURR ALARA program.

Current license /permit associated ith the project: The Ohio State University Research Reactor (OSURR) is owned and operated by OSU, a non-profit educational institution, and is licensed by the US Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Its current license, issued in June 2008, authorizes steady-state 500kW operation for 20 years. All work being performed and material disposal is covered by OSURR's License.

Explanation Page 1

Explanation Page 2