U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, OFFICE OF SCIENCE INTEGRATED SUPPORT CENTER—CHICAGO OFFICE

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (NEPA) ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION NOTIFICATION FORM

To be completed by "Applicant," i.e., organization with responsibilities for a "Federal action" involving application to DOE for a permit, license, exemption or allocation, or other similar actions. For assistance with this Form, refer to "Instructions for Preparing ISC-CH F-560, Environmental Evaluation Notification Form."

Organization Name: H3D, Inc.	

Proposed Action Title: Ruggedized, High-Resolution CZT Spectrometer for Operation in Inert Hot Cells

Total DOE Funding/Total Funding: 1,350,000\$

- I. <u>Project Description:</u> (Use explanation pages if additional space is required)
 - A. Proposed Project/Action (if applicable, delineate Federally funded/Non-Federally funded portions)

A weak 0.1uCi Ba133 source was purchased under the Phase II SBIR. The Ba133 source, which emits a unique gamma-ray cascade, will be used to measure the coincidence photons. This cheap source, <1k, will be sandwhiched between two M400 detectors to measure this cascade. Due to the weak nature of the source its use will be limited to this DOE SBIR.

B. Would the project proceed without Federal funding?

If "yes," use explanation page.

II. Description of Affected Environment: (Use explanation pages if additional space is required)

This source will be used indoors only. It is an NRC exempt radiation source. The dose rate is so low that it will not impact any workers or members of the public.

Yes

X

П

DOE NEPA Tracking Number

- Yes No Π $\mathbf{\nabla}$ Is the DOE-funded work routinely administrative or entirely advisory or a "paper study?" Α. If "Yes", ensure that the description in Section I reflects this and go directly to Section V. Is there any potential whatsoever for: (Provide an explanation for each "Yes" response) Β. ☑ 1. Work to be performed outdoors? ~ Major modification of a building interior? 2. Threat of violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for 3. environment, safety, and health? 2 4. Siting, construction or major expansion of waste treatment, storage, or disposal facilities? ~ es, pollutants, or contaminants preexisting in the The presence of any environmentally-sensitive resources? 6. Any potential whatsoever for high consequence impacts to human health or the 7. environment? ~ The work being connected to another existing/proposed activity that could 8. potentially create a significant impact? Nearby past, present, and/or reasonably foreseeable future actions such that collectively 2 9. significant impacts could result? 2
 - Scientific or public controversy, uncertainty over potential impacts, or conflicts regarding \Box 10. resource usage?

If "No" to ALL Section III.B. questions, go directly to Section Va

IV. Potential Environmental Effects: (Provide an explanation for each "Yes" response)

- v result in changes and/or A disturbances to any of the following resources?
- Yes No 1. Threatened/Endangered Species and/or Critical Habitats Other Protected Species (e.g., Burros, Migratory Birds, Pollinators) 2. 3. Sensitive Environments (e.g., Tundra/Coral Reefs/Rain Forests) 4. Cultural or Historic Resources 5. Important Farmland Non-Attainment Areas for Ambient Air Quality Standards 6. Class I Air Quality Control Region 7. Special Sources of Groundwater (e.g. Sole Source Aquifer) 8. Navigable Air Space 9. **Coastal Zones** 10. Areas with Special National Designation (e.g. National Forests, Parks, Trails) 11.
 - Floodplains and/or Wetlands 12.

Regulated Substances/Activities: Would the proposed action involve any of the following regulated Items or Β. activities?

- 13. Natural Resource Damage Assessments
- 14. Invasive Species or Exotic Organisms
- 15. Noxious Weeds
- Clearing or Excavation greater than one acre or Removal of Trees Governed by 16. Local Requirement
- 17. Dredge or Fill (under Clean Water Act, Section 404, greater than one acre)

III. Preliminary Questions:

5.	Disturbance to hazardous substance
	environment?
•	T I ((((((((((

۸.	Environmentally Sensitive Resources:	Could the proposed action po	otentially

B. <u>Regulated Substances/Activities:</u> Would the proposed action involve any of the following regulated Items or <u>activities? (continued)</u>

C.	 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31. 32. 33. 34. 35. 36. 37. 38. 39. Other I 40. 41. 42. 43. 44. 	Noise (in excess of regulations) Asbestos Removal Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) Import, Manufacture, or Processing of Toxic Substances Chemical Storage/Use Pesticide Use Hazardous, Toxic, or Criteria Pollutant Air Emissions Liquid Effluents Spill Prevention/Surface Water Protection Underground Injection Hazardous Waste Underground Storage Tanks Radioactive or Radioactive Mixed Waste Radiation Exposure Nanoscale Materials Genetically Engineered Microorganisms/Plants or Synthetic Ozone Depleting Substances Greenhouse Gas Generation/Sustainability Off-Road Vehicles Biosafety Level 3-4 Laboratory Research on Human Subjects or other Vertebrate Animals Facility footprint exceeds 5,000 Square Feet Relevant Information: Would the proposed action involve the Disproportionate Nearby Presence of Minority and/or Low I Existing, Modified, or New Federal/State Permits Involvement of Another Federal Agency (e.g. license/permi Action in a State with NEPA-type law Expansion of Public I Utilities/Services	: Biology <u>e followin</u> ncome P it, funding	g? opulations , approval)	Yes	×□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□
	45. 46	Depletion of a Non-Renewable Resources	trol Proce	226	R	
	47.	Other Pertinent Information Which Could Impact Human He	ealth or th	ne Environment	d	d
Applicant certification that to the best of their knowledge all information provided on this form is accurate:						
Do	bes this di buld not b	isclosure contain: classified, sensitive business, or other exe e obligated to disclose pursuant to the Freedom of Informatio	empt infor on Act.	mation that DOE	Yes	No
A.	Organ	ization Official (Name and Title): David Goodman, R	lesear	ch Engineer		
	Signat	ure: Navit Deadman	Date:	06/01/2023		
	e-mail	dgoodman@h3dgamma.com	Phone:	7346616416		
В.	Option	al Secondary Approval (Name and Title):				
	Signat	ure:	Date:			
	e-mail	·	Phone:			

V.

DOE NEPA Tracking Number

Remainder to be completed by DOE

VI.	DO	E Concurrence/Recommendation/Determination:				
	Α.	DOE Project Director/Program Manager or Contract/Grant Management Specialist:	Voo	No		
		Has the Applicant completed this Form correctly? Does an existing generic categorical exclusion apply? If yes, indicate:				
		Name and Title: Contract Specialist				
		Signature: BARTLOMIEJ MALEWSKI Digitally signed by BARTLOMIEJ MALEWSKI Date: 2023.06.23 10:42:28 -05'00' Date:				
	В.	DOE NEPA Team Review (if requested):				
		Is the class of action identified in the DOE NEPA Regulations (Appendices A-D to Subpart D (10 CFR § 1021))? If yes, specify the class(es) of action:B3.6	Yes I	No □		
		Name and Title: Environmental Engineer				
		Signature: Jayashree Jayaraj Digitally signed by Jayashree Jayaraj Date: 2023.06.23 10:50:58 -05'00' Date:				
	C.	DOE Counsel (if requested):				
		Name and Title:				
		Signature: Date:				
	D.	DOE NEPA Compliance Officer:				
The preceding pages are a record of documentation required under DOE Final NEPA Regulation, 10 CFR § 1021.410.						
	X	Action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review. I have determined that the proposed action meets the requirements for Categorical Exclusion referenced above.				
		Action requires approval by Head of the Field Organization. Recommend preparation of an Environmental Assessment.				
		Action requires approval by Head of the Field Organization or a Secretarial Officer. Recommend preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement.				
	Comments/limitations if any:					
		NEPA Compliance Officer:				
		Name:				
		Signature:				

.

Optional Additional Narrative: (add additional detail to description to Sections I and II or explanations to responses in Sections III and IV.

Explanation of whether this work would continue without funding: The SBIR, which funds far more work than the coincidence measurements, enables a large variety of work. The vast of majority of these efforts would not occur without federal funding. In particular, coincidence measurements would not occur without federal funding. We would not purchase this source for such a niche measurement otherwise.

Explanation Page 2