#### Hybrid Manufacturing for High Performance **Air-to-Refrigerant Heat Exchangers** Year 2 **Final Year**



**DE-EE0009677** 

National Laboratory

# **Project Summary**

#### **Objective and outcome**

- Develop adhesive-based hybrid manufacturing method for air-to-refrigerant HXs which is >50% cheaper & >36% less energy in manufacturing
- Develop novel air-to-refrigerant variable geometry HXs with higher compactness, improved frosting / maldistribution resilience, & less refrigerant
- Framework validation through laboratory-scale & field-scale experimental testing



#### Team and Partners





#### <u>Stats</u>

Performance Period: Oct. 2021 – Sept. 2024 DOE budget: \$1400K, Cost Share: \$350K Milestone 1: 1st adhesive; HX design framework + proof-of-concept prototypes Milestone 2: 2nd adhesive; Lab-scale HXs + testing Milestone 3: Final adhesive; Field-scale HXs + testing

#### **Problem**

- Heat eXchangers (HX) are key components in HVAC&R systems
  - Hold refrigerant charge; impact system efficiency
- Improved HXs can lead to
  - Less refrigerant charge
  - Less material use, size/weight reduction
  - Lower energy consumption, emissions, & costs
- Challenges in bringing new HX technology to market
  - Novel designs must be at least 20% better
  - Lack of basic heat transfer & flow fundamentals, correlations
  - Component availability
  - Joining/manufacturing techniques
  - Flow maldistribution
  - Frost accumulation

## Alignment

- Develop an adhesive based hybrid manufacturing method for air-torefrigerant HXs
  - ≥50% cheaper
  - $\geq 36\%$  less energy in manufacturing
  - More reliable than existing solder-based methods
- Develop novel air-to-refrigerant variable geometry heat exchangers (VGHX)
  - Contain less refrigerant
  - Are more compact
  - Are more resilient to frost growth & refrigerant maldistribution
- Conduct frost accumulation & reliability tests
  - Reduce refrigerant maldistribution from frost growth
- Delivery of HX prototypes to industrial partners for independent performance testing

### **Impact & Target Market**

- Impact
  - New manufacturing method expected to be 50% cheaper & consume 36% less energy than existing solder-based methods
    - Adhesive-based approach has potential to reduce production barriers for next generation HXs
    - Improved reliability over solder-based methods to reduce refrigerant leakage (1.5-2.0% of total emissions)
  - Novel HX designs with improved resilience to field challenges
    - $\geq 10\%$  longer operation time under frost accumulation conditions
    - ≥20% improvement in uniformity of evaporator mass flow rate
  - HX design framework and technical guidelines for adhesive-based hybrid manufacturing thereof
    - Modular technology with multiple product levels, e.g., fully variable geometry HXs (premium) vs. small-diameter round tubes with rectangular headers (affordable)
  - Industry involvement in HX design development & testing with immediate and iterative feedback on commercial viability and tech-to-market
- Target Market
  - Residential and commercial air conditioners and heat pumps
  - New construction and retrofit applications

### **Approach: HX Manufacturing – State-of-the-Art**

- Cast based method
  - High energy consumption to cast metals
  - Requires corrosive fluxes to clean metals
  - Costly EDM cutting of tube ends
  - Requires tanks and gasket seals



#### **Approach: State-of-the-Art Commercial Adhesives**

	Commercial adhesives	Tested substrate	Temperature (°C)	Lap shear strength (MPa)
	3M DP 810 (Acrylate)	Aluminum	90	3.47
	J-B Weld Extreme Heat (Epoxy)	Aluminum	90	1.78
	J-B Weld Hi-temp RTV (Silicone)	Aluminum	90	0.34
Lap Shear Test	DP 810 CF	Extreme Heat	Red Silic	one CF

Cohesive Failure (CF): Failure occurs within adhesive which indicates weak adhesion

## **Approach: Initial Fabrication**

- Commercially available adhesives
  - Previous work evaluated 23 options
    - Most had tube-adhesive leaks
  - Acrylic-Based DP810  $\rightarrow$  most promising
  - Withstood 2.8 MPa at room temperature (Max temperature 50°C)
  - Medium viscosity  $\rightarrow$  hard penetration
- Epoxy-based adhesives
  - Excellent lap shear
  - Low viscosity  $\rightarrow$  easy penetration
  - High-temp capable (>90°C)



#### **Approach: Design of High Temperature Adhesives**



Adhesives	Lap Shear Adhesion (MPa) at 65°C	Lap Shear Adhesion (MPa) at 90°C	Failure Mode					
ORNL-A	7	8.5	Adhesive failure					
ORNL-B	7.2	7.6	Adhesive failure					
ORNL-C	12.8	12.5	Adhesive failure					
ORNL-D	N/A	10.13	Adhesive failure					
ORNL-E	N/A	9.02	Adhesive failure					

#### **Approach: Design & Optimization Framework**



PPCFD = Parallel Parameterized Computational Fluid Dynamics | MOGA = Multi-Objective Genetic Algorithm

#### **Progress: Adhesive Temperature Stability & Solvent Resistance**



#### **Excellent solvent resistance**



#### **Progress: Current Adhesive Development**



#### **Progress: Tube-Adhesive Pressure Testing**

- DP8407NS held 3 MPa at 60°C for 30+ minutes (M2.4)
- ORNL-A epoxy held 3.4 MPa at 90°C for 30+ minutes (M3.2) in a 3/8" OD tube
- Narrow bonding area improves adhesion performance



**Aluminum Alloy Testing** 



**ORNL-A** Test





DP8407NS Test

#### **Progress: Heat Exchanger Fabrication**

- Initial Approach
  - All adhesive header
- Manifold Method
  - Reduced cost and adhesive consumption
  - Poor adhesive penetration  $\rightarrow$  leakage
- Block Header Design
  - 1.5 mm groove for adhesive application









#### **Progress: Frost Accumulation Modeling**

- Multiphysics frost accumulation simulations validated with literature data
- Model development challenges
  - Fin conduction (ice accretion model cannot simulate fin conduction)
  - Ice/frost thermophysical properties cause solver stability issues





### **Future Work**

- Design and Analysis
  - Finalize frost accumulation analysis
  - Increase scope of packaging considerations in flow prediction
  - VGHX design with improved frost resilience (>10% operational time)
- Fabrication
  - Testing and evaluation of block header design
  - Develop additional manufacturing methods
  - HX prototyping (~3 kW lab-scale & ~5-10 kW field-scale)
- Testing
  - Continued adhesive testing with tube-pressure test rig
  - Proof-of-concept HX testing & validation
    - Lab-scale: in-house & industry partners
    - Field-scale: industry partners

# **Thank You**

Performing Organizations: University of Maryland, Heat Transfer Technologies, LLC., Oak Ridge National Laboratory Prof. Reinhard Radermacher, <u>Dr. Vikrant Aute</u> vikrant@umd.edu DE-EE0009677

#### **REFERENCE SLIDES**

#### **Project Execution**

	Project Schedule																										
				BP1						BP2										BP3							
Tasks		Q1 Q2			2 Q3 Q4				4	Q1			Q2			Q3 Q4			G	Q1 (		Q2		Q3		Q4	
		2 3	3 4	5	6 7	8	9 1	0 11	12	1 2	3	4	5 6	7	8 9	9 1	10 11	12	1 3	2 3	4	5 6	7	8 9	10	11 12	
Task 0: Develop PMP plan																											
Milestone 0.1: PMP / IPMP		<	$\rangle$																								
Task 1: Development of Leak Tight Proof-of-Concept Heat Exchanger(s)																											
Milestone 1.1: Comprehensive literature review of the subject matter		<	>																								
Milestone 1.2: Determine Most Promising Applications for Variable-Geometry Heat Exchangers		$\langle$	>																				100			8 8 8	
Milestone 1.3: Develop first-cut adhesive material					$\diamond$				1											3							
Milestone 1.4: Desgin and fabricate proof-of-concept heat exchangers					16				$\diamond$											-							
Milestone 1.5: Improve the in-house air-to-refrigerant heat exchanger design and optimization framework							$\Diamond$																				
Go/No-Go 1									$\bigcirc$																		
Task 2: Fabrication and Testing of Extended Operation Designs																											
Milestone 2.1: Evaluate the results of the adhesive based hybrid method											$\Diamond$																
Milestone 2.2: Further Adhesive Development													$\Diamond$	>													
Milestone 2.3: Design extended operation time heat exchanger(s)											$\Diamond$																
Milestone 2.4: Fabricate heat exchangers from milestone 2.1						) ]					1				<	$\diamond$				3							
Milestone 2.5: Conduct performance measurements and validate the framework									5 25									$\Diamond$									
Milestone 2.6: Conduct model validations and calibration																		$\Diamond$									
Milestone 2.7: Conduct field validation of heat exchangers																		$\Diamond$									
Go/No-Go 2																			$\bigcirc$								
Task 3: Evaluation, Scale-Up, and Validation of Hybrid Manufacutirng Method																											
Milestone 3.1: Evaluate and review progress																					>						
Milestone 3.2: Design a ~5-10 kW heat exchanger																						0	>				
Milestone 3.3: Finalize adhesive development																						0	>				
Milestone 3.4: Complete independent performance tests																1										$\diamond$	
Milestone 3.5: Final report submitted to DOE																										$\diamond$	

♦ Milestone OGo/No-Go

#### Team

- University of Maryland (Prime recipient)
  - Component modeling/design, data analysis, project management
- Heat Transfer Technologies, LLC (Sub-recipient)
  - Heat exchanger design, assembly, technical advisor
- Oak Ridge National Laboratory (Sub-recipient)
  - Adhesive development, laboratory testing, technical advisor
- Industry Partners
  - 3M
  - Carrier
  - Goodman / Daikin Comfort Technologies
  - Honeywell
  - Small Tube Products