PMC-ND

(1.08.09.13)

# U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



RECIPIENT: New York State Energy Research and Development Authority STATE: NY

PROJECT TITLE: National Offshore Wind Research and Development Consortium

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number Procurement Instrument Number NEPA Control Number CID Number

DE-FOA-0001767 DE-EE0008390 GFO-0008390-052 GO8390

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Policy 451.1), I have made the following determination:

### CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

A9 Information gathering, analysis, and dissemination

Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

#### Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide funding to the New York State Energy Research and Development Authority (NYSERDA) to form a not-for-profit 501(c)(3) entity, the "National Offshore Wind Research and Development Consortium" which would be led by NYSERDA, along with key industry stakeholders and research institutions. The Consortium would finance research initiatives seeking to address the technical barriers faced by offshore wind developers, original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) and supply chain partners, with the goal of reducing the Levelized Cost of Electricity (LCOE) for U.S. offshore wind plants and increasing opportunities for U.S. manufacturing.

The proposed project is divided into four (4) Budget Periods (BPs). DOE previously completed NEPA reviews for BP1, 2, 3, and 4 (GFO-0008390-001 CX A1, A9, and A13, 10/09/2018; GFO-0008390-002 CX A1, A9, and A13, 01/13/2020; GFO-0008390-019 CX A1, A9, and A13, 12/02/2020; GFO-0008390-042 CX A1, A9, A11, and A13, 12/28/2021). In addition, DOE completed NEPA reviews for 16 sub awards made by the consortium under Task 19 and 22 sub awards made by the consortium under Task 27, as well as awards under an Annual Operating Plan to the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (various CX determinations and dates). This NEPA review is for a sub award proposed to be made under Task 35 to Clarkson University.

Task 35 in BP4 involves reviewing applications received in response to the solicitation released in Task 34, then choosing specific projects which would receive a sub award. While NYSERDA is allowed under the previous NEPA determination to proceed with choosing projects under Task 35, all projects chosen for sub award are subject to additional NEPA analysis prior to NYSERDA contracting for the sub award and prior to any work being completed on the sub award.

Under the proposed sub award Clarkson University, along with General Electric and New York Power Authority, would develop a new modeling platform and commercial service that would enable wind energy industry players to assess and mitigate risks (risks that result in events such as voltage collapse and blackouts).

Proposed project activities would consist of data analysis, computer modeling, risks evaluation, and dissemination of information. All project activities would be conducted from existing offices or computational facilities and use no materials beyond basic office supplies, software, and computer hardware. No physical modifications to existing facilities, ground disturbance, or changes to the use, mission, or operations of existing facilities would be required. No additional permits or authorizations would be required.

Because project activities would consist solely of computer-based research and analysis, no significant health or safety risks are anticipated. Nonetheless, Clarkson University would adhere to established institutional health and safety policies and procedures when performing project work and would observe all applicable Federal, state, and local health, safety, and environmental regulations.

Any work proposed to be conducted at a federal facility may be subject to additional NEPA review by the cognizant federal official and must meet the applicable health and safety requirements of the facility.

## NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a conditional NEPA determination.

The NEPA Determination applies to the following Topic Areas, Budget Periods, and/or tasks:

**Budget Period 1** 

**Budget Period 2** 

**Budget Period 3** 

**Budget Period 4** 

Sub Award to Clarkson University

The NEPA Determination does not apply to the following Topic Area, Budget Periods, and/or tasks:

All sub awards made under Task 35 (in Budget Period 4) will be subject to additional NEPA review prior to any work being completed on those projects.

Notes:

Wind Energy Technologies Office Review completed by Shaina Aguilar on 2/16/23.

#### FOR CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATIONS

The proposed action (or the part of the proposal defined in the Rationale above) fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D. To fit within the classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, a proposal must be one that would not: (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders; (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities; (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to, those listed in paragraph B(4) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B; (5) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those listed in paragraph B(5) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposed action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposed action has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. This proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211 concerning limitations on actions during preparation of an environmental impact statement.

A portion of the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review. The NEPA Provision identifies Topic Areas, Budget Periods, tasks, and/or subtasks that are subject to additional NEPA review.

## SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.

NEPA Compliance Officer Signature:	Signed By: Casey Strickland	Date:	2/17/2023	
	NEPA Compliance Officer	<del></del>		

## FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION

~	Field Office Manager review not required
	Field Office Manager review required

BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO:						
Field Office Manager's Signature:		Date:				
_	Field Office Manager					