PMC-ND

(1.08.09.13)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



RECIPIENT: Newport Partners

STATE: MD

PROJECT TITLE : VALIDATION OF A CO-OPTIMIZED, DUAL FUEL HYBRID HEATING SYSTEM CONTROL FOR ENERGY AND COST SAVINGS, PEAK LOAD MANAGEMENT, AND GRID RESILIENCY

Funding Opportunity Announcement NumberProcurement Instrument NumberNEPA Control NumberCID NumberDE-FOA-0002099DE-EE0009076GFO-0009076-002GO9076

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Policy 451.1), I have made the following determination:

CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

A9 Information gathering analysis, dissemin	 conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information and dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and
B5.1 Active to conserve energy or water	ve energy efficiency that would not have the potential to cause significant changes in the indoor or outdoor

Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide federal funding to Newport Partners to develop and test a novel residential hybrid heat pump (HHP) system and optimized control (OC) platform. The HHP system and OC platform would be designed to be more energy efficient than comparable fossil-fuel fired furnaces. Both hardware and software would be developed as part of the project. Performance testing of the HHP system and OC platform would then be performed at an existing, occupied residential location. Tasks 0, 1, and 2 activities were previously reviewed by GFO-0009076-001 in June 2020. This NEPA review is for the remainder of the project activities (Tasks 3, 4, and 5).

A residential location in Mechanicville, NY has been selected for performance testing of the HHP system and OC platform. Task 3 activities would include performance measurement of the existing heating system within the home and then repeating the measurements once an HHP with advanced controls is installed. Field testing of the commercialized HHP would apply advanced control logic and measure performance parameters such as energy use, indoor temperature, and relative humidity. Tasks 4 and 5 would then consist of modeling and reporting, based on the results of testing and analysis.

Remaining project activities would occur at Newport Partners office locations in Davidsonville, MD and Schenectady, NY or at the residential location in Mechanicville, NY. No significant health and safety hazards are anticipated for office-based tasks. Nonetheless, Newport Partners would adhere to established corporate health and safety policies and procedures. Installation of the HHP and performance measurement equipment would follow all applicable federal, state, and local health, safety, and environmental regulations. DOE does not anticipate any impacts to resources of concern due to the remaining activities of the project.

NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination.

Notes:

Building Technologies Office

FOR CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATIONS

The proposed action (or the part of the proposal defined in the Rationale above) fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D. To fit within the classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, a proposal must be one that would not: (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders; (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities; (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to, those listed in paragraph B(4) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B; (5) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those listed in paragraph B(5) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposed action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposed action has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. This proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211 concerning limitations on actions during preparation of an environmental impact statement.

The proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.

NEPA Compliance Officer Signature:

Signed By: Casey Strickland NEPA Compliance Officer

Date: 10/31/2022

FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION

- Field Office Manager review not required
- ☐ Field Office Manager review required

BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO :

Field Office Manager's Signature:

Field Office Manager

Date: