

Proposed Action Title: Mead Main Entry Gate Replacement

Program or Field Office: Western Area Power Administration - Desert Southwest Region

Location(s) (City/County/State): Boulder City, Clark County, Nevada

## Proposed Action Description:

The Western Area Power Administration (WAPA) Desert Southwest Region (DSW) plans to replace the main entrance gate of the north fence line to Mead Substation. The substation is located on lands administered by WAPA in Township 23 South, Range 64 East, Section 28. The existing entry gate malfunctions and WAPA personnel utilize it daily to access Mead Substation to maintain the safety and reliability of the bulk electric system. The existing gate will be replaced with a wider gate. To accommodate a wider gate, the access road will be widened 3.5 to 4 feet on each side, culverts will be widened, and the existing asphalt will be replaced with concrete. Existing conduit runs will be modified to accommodate the new operator locations and the existing gate foundation will be replaced with a larger foundation.

The location of the gate is within a previously disturbed area and developed facility and there will be no substantial alteration to sensitive biological or cultural resources.

WAPA plans to start this work as soon as possible.

DSW will follow the procedures and resource protection measures in DSW's executed biological opinions, programmatic agreements, and Environmental Implementation Plans for Routine Maintenance and Minor Construction.

Categorical Exclusion(s) Applied:

B1.3 - Routine maintenance

B1.13 - Pathways, short access roads, and rail lines

For the complete DOE National Environmental Policy Act regulations regarding categorical exclusions, including the full text of each categorical exclusion, see Subpart D of <u>10 CFR Part 1021</u>.

Regulatory Requirements in 10 CFR 1021.410(b): (See full text in regulation)

The proposal fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D.

To fit within the classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, a proposal must be one that would not: (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders; (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to, those listed in paragraph B(4) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B; (5) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those listed in paragraph B(5) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposal has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. This proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211 concerning limitations on actions during preparation of an environmental impact statement.

Based on my review of the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (as authorized under DOE Order 451.1B), I have determined that the proposed action fits within the specified class(es) of action, the other regulatory requirements set forth above are met, and the proposed action is hereby categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

NEPA Compliance Officer: NATALIE ORTEGA Digitally signed by NATALIE ORTEGA Date: 2023.01.10 09:27:05 -07'00'