PMC-ND

(1.08.09.13)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



RECIPIENT: Colorado State University STATE: CO

PROJECT TITLE: Decarbonized District Energy System with Renewably Fueled Combined Heat, Power, and Cooling

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number Procurement Instrument Number NEPA Control Number CID Number

DE-FOA-0002573 DE-EE0010280 GFO-0010280-001

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Policy 451.1), I have made the following determination:

CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

A9 Information gathering, analysis, and dissemination

B3.6 Small-scale research and development, laboratory operations, and pilot projects Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) frequently conducted to verify a concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide funding to Colorado State University (CSU) to design, develop, fabricate, and field test a combined cooling, heating, and power system (CCHP). The project would be completed over three Budget Periods (BPs) with a Go/No-Go decision point between each BP. Activities conducted in BP1 and BP2 would determine the testing site for BP3. As such, this NEPA determination is applicable only to BP1 and BP2. BP3 will be subject to further NEPA review when the test site has been identified and sufficient information is available to complete a meaningful review.

Activities would take place at Colorado State University Powerhouse Energy Campus in Fort Collins, CO. In BP1, detailed (technical, economic, and system) design studies would be conducted and participants would verify that performance targets can be met. The focus would be on data collection and technoeconomic analysis of two decarbonization options. The designs for initial testing and field demonstration of the CCHP unit would be created. In BP2, the integration plan, package design, laboratory test plan, and field demonstration plan would be finalized. A reduced-scale CCHP system would be fabricated and tested under simulated field demonstration conditions to verify performance hypotheses.

No changes in the use, mission, or operation of existing facilities would be required as part of this project and no additional permits would be required in order to conduct any of the work activities. Project activities would include machining, welding, and fabrication and the use of combustible fuels including hydrogen, natural gas, and propane. Any associated risks would be mitigated through adherence to established health and safety policies and procedures. All waste products would be disposed of by licensed waste management service providers. CSU its project partners would observe all applicable federal, state, and local health, safety, and environmental regulations.

NEPA PROVISION

The NEPA Determination applies to the following Topic Areas, Budget Periods, and/or tasks:

Budget Period 1 – all tasks
Budget Period 2 – all tasks

The NEPA Determination does <u>not</u> apply to the following Topic Area, Budget Periods, and/or tasks:

Budget Period 3 – all tasks

Notes:

Advanced Manufacturing Office
Review completed by Shaina Aguilar on 12/16/22.

DOE has made a conditional NEPA determination.

FOR CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATIONS

The proposed action (or the part of the proposal defined in the Rationale above) fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D. To fit within the classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, a proposal must be one that would not: (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders; (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities; (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to, those listed in paragraph B(4) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B; (5) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those listed in paragraph B(5) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposed action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposed action has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. This proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211 concerning limitations on actions during preparation of an environmental impact statement.

A portion of the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review. The NEPA Provision identifies Topic Areas, Budget Periods, tasks, and/or subtasks that are subject to additional NEPA review.

SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.

NEI	PA Compliance Officer Signature:	Signed By: Casey Strickland	Date:	12/16/2022
		NEPA Compliance Officer		
FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION				
~	Field Office Manager review not required Field Office Manager review required			
BAS	SED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WIT	TH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO:		
Field Office Manager's Signature:				
		Field Office Manager		