### U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, OFFICE OF SCIENCE INTEGRATED SUPPORT CENTER—CHICAGO OFFICE

#### NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (NEPA) ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION NOTIFICATION FORM

# To be completed by "Applicant," i.e., organization with responsibilities for a "Federal action" involving application to DOE for a permit, license, exemption or allocation, or other similar actions. For assistance with this Form, refer to "Instructions for Preparing ISC-CH F-560, Environmental Evaluation Notification Form."

Solicitation/Award No. (if applicable): DE-FC02-04ER15533

Organization Name: University of Notre Dame (UND) Notre Dame, Indiana

Proposed Action Title: Transfer of ownership of the Radiation Research Building that houses this award titled Radiation and Photochemistry in the Condensed Phase and at Interfaces.

Total DOE Funding/Total Funding: undetermined

#### I. <u>Project Description</u>: (Use explanation pages if additional space is required)

#### A. <u>Proposed Project/Action (if applicable, delineate Federally funded/Non-Federally funded portions)</u>

Ownership of the Radiation Research Building, currently owned by the Department of Energy (DOE) and located on the UND campus, would be transferred from DOE to UND. The above-mentioned award will continue to be implemented in the building under the current cooperative agreement or a variation thereof until a later point when the building could be renovated, demolished, or decommissioned by UND.

Continuing award includes operation a laboratory to study the chemical effects of radiation on matter. Ionizing radiation (electron beams and gamma rays) and laser light would be used to initiate chemical reactions. The reactions studied are chosen for their relevance to energy technologies as well as their fundamental scientific significance. Work would be performed in the Radiation Research Building on the campus of the University of Notre Dame.

### B. Would the project proceed without Federal funding?

#### If "yes," use explanation page.

#### II. Description of Affected Environment: (Use explanation pages if additional space is required)

The Radiation Research Building occupies an area on the Notre Dame campus in the vicinity of buildings with classrooms, laboratories and the library. 7 faculty work in the building with 2 others on the project working in the building intermittently. There are also 7 postdoctural research associates, approximately 20 students and 8 staff working in the building on the project. The building is 3 floors with a basement and penthouse, and is 70,075 square feet.

The University of Notre Dame campus is in Notre Dame, located in the north-central area of Indiana and neighboring South Bend, IN. Of the approximately 8,800 undergraduate students that attend the University, 4 out of 5 live on campus. There are also approximately 4,000 graduate/professional students and 3,000 faculty and staff that are on campus for education and work.

Yes

No

III.	Pre	Preliminary Questions:			
	A.	<u>ls the l</u>	DOE-funded work routinely administrative or entirely advisory or a "paper study?"	Yes	No
		If "Yes	s", ensure that the description in Section I reflects this and go directly to Section	V.	
	В.	Is there	e any potential whatsoever for: (Provide an explanation for each "Yes" response)		
		1. 2. 3.	Work to be performed outdoors? Major modification of a building interior? Threat of violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for		
		4.	Siting, construction or major expansion of waste treatment, storage, or disposal facilities?		
		5.	Disturbance to hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants preexisting in the environment?		
		6. 7.	The presence of any environmentally-sensitive resources? Any potential whatsoever for high consequence impacts to human health or the environment?		
		8.	The work being connected to another existing/proposed activity that could potentially create a significant impact?		
		9.	Nearby past, present, and/or reasonably foreseeable future actions such that collectiv significant impacts could result?	ely□	
		10.	Scientific or public controversy, uncertainty over potential impacts, or conflicts regarding	ng 🗌	

If "No" to ALL Section III.B. questions, go directly to Section V.

#### IV. Potential Environmental Effects: (Provide an explanation for each "Yes" response)

- Environmentally Sensitive Resources: Could the proposed action potentially result in changes and/or Α. disturbances to any of the following resources?
  - Yes 1. Threatened/Endangered Species and/or Critical Habitats 2. Other Protected Species (e.g., Burros, Migratory Birds, Pollinators) 3. Sensitive Environments (e.g., Tundra/Coral Reefs/Rain Forests) 4. Cultural or Historic Resources Important Farmland 5. Non-Attainment Areas for Ambient Air Quality Standards 6. Class I Air Quality Control Region 7. Special Sources of Groundwater (e.g. Sole Source Aquifer) 8. 9. Navigable Air Space
    - Coastal Zones 10.
    - Areas with Special National Designation (e.g. National Forests, Parks, Trails) 11.
    - 12. Floodplains and/or Wetlands

resource usage?

#### В. Regulated Substances/Activities: Would the proposed action involve any of the following regulated Items or activities?

- 13. Natural Resource Damage Assessments
- 14. Invasive Species or Exotic Organisms
- Noxious Weeds 15.
- Clearing or Excavation greater than one acre or Removal of Trees Governed by 16. Local Requirement
- 17. Dredge or Fill (under Clean Water Act, Section 404, greater than one acre)

<u>NNNN</u>

No

- B. <u>Regulated Substances/Activities:</u> Would the proposed action involve any of the following regulated Items or <u>activities? (continued)</u>
- Yes No 18. Noise (in excess of regulations) N N N Asbestos Removal 19. 20. Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) Import, Manufacture, or Processing of Toxic Substances 21. 22. Chemical Storage/Use TARARA 23. Pesticide Use 24. Hazardous, Toxic, or Criteria Pollutant Air Emissions 25. Liquid Effluents 26. Spill Prevention/Surface Water Protection 27. **Underground Injection** Hazardous Waste 28. 29. **Underground Storage Tanks** Radioactive or Radioactive Mixed Waste 30. 31. Radiation Exposure 32. Nanoscale Materials פמממו 33. Genetically Engineered Microorganisms/Plants or Synthetic Biology 34. **Ozone Depleting Substances** Greenhouse Gas Generation/Sustainability 35. 36. **Off-Road Vehicles Biosafety Level 3-4 Laboratory** 37. Research on Human Subjects or other Vertebrate Animals 38. 39. Facility footprint exceeds 5.000 Square Feet Other Relevant Information: Would the proposed action involve the following? C. Yes No / 40. Disproportionate Nearby Presence of Minority and/or Low Income Populations 41. Existing, Modified, or New Federal/State Permits 42. Involvement of Another Federal Agency (e.g. license/permit, funding, approval) 43. Action in a State with NEPA-type law NNN 44. Expansion of Public Utilities/Services Depletion of a Non-Renewable Resources 45. 46. Subject to an Existing Institutional Work Planning and Control Process Other Pertinent Information Which Could Impact Human Health or the Environment 47. Applicant certification that to the best of their knowledge all information provided on this form is accurate: Yes No Does this disclosure contain: classified, sensitive business, or other exempt information that DOE would not be obligated to disclose pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act. Organization Official (Name and Title): Laura Mortlock, Assistant Director Α. Laura Mortlock Digitally signed by Laura Mortlock Date: 2022.09.22 08:52:58 -04'00' 9/22/2022 Signature: Date: Laura.Mortlock@nd.edu 574-631-6117 Phone: e-mail: Anna Belote, Sr. Dir., Risk Management & Safety B. Optional Secondary Approval (Name and Title): Digitally signed by Anna Belote Anna Belote 9/22/22 Date: 2022.09.22 09:11:00 -04'00' Signature: Date:

V.

e-mail: abelote@nd.edu

Phone: 574-631-8980

## Remainder to be completed by DOE

VI.	DO	E Concurrence/Recommendation/Determination:
	A.	DOE Project Director/Program Manager or Contract/Grant Management Specialist:
		Has the Applicant completed this Form correctly?
		Real Estate Contracting Officer
		Name and Litle:
		Signature: Susan Bourgart Date: 2022.09.23 11:03:29 -05'00' Date: September 23, 2022
	В.	DOE NEPA Team Review (if requested):
		Is the class of action identified in the DOE NEPA Regulations (Appendices A-D to
		Subpart D (10 CFR § 1021))? If yes, specify the class(es) of action: B1.16, 17, 23, 24, 34; B3.1, 6; B6.1
		Name and Title:
		Signature: PETER SIEBACH Digitally signed by PETER SIEBACH Date: 2022.09.26 09:34:06 -05'00' Date:
	C.	DOE Counsel (if requested):
		Name and Title. Michelle R McKown
		Signature: Michelle McKown Digitally signed by Michelle McKown Date: 2022.09.22 13:22:09 -05'00' Date: 09/22/2022
	D.	DOE NEPA Compliance Officer:
	The 102 <sup>-</sup>	preceding pages are a record of documentation required under DOE Final NEPA Regulation, 10 CFR $\underline{S}$ 1.410.
		Action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review. I have determined that the proposed action meets the requirements for Categorical Exclusion referenced above.
		Action requires approval by Head of the Field Organization. Recommend preparation of an Environmental Assessment.

Action requires approval by Head of the Field Organization or a Secretarial Officer. Recommend preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement.

Comments/limitations if any:

NEPA Compliance Officer:

Name:

Optional Additional Narrative: (add additional detail to description to Sections I and II or explanations to responses in Sections 3 and 4.

The questions above and following narrative are based on the scientific program continuing to be housed in the building.

III, B, 7: Without proper safety measures in place, many of the chemicals used in the lab can be hazardous to human health. There is also a risk of a radiation exposure from inappropriate use of the gamma irradiators and electron linear accelerators.

IV,B,19-20 Asbestos/PCBs. Two electric ballasts presumed to contain polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) are present, and well as a cart loaded with capacitors, presumably awaiting disposal. Areas of known and suspected asbestos-containing materials (ACM) and lead-based paint were also observed throughout the building.

IV,B,22: Chemical Storage. Organic solvents and reactants for scientific experiments are purchased as needed. Some of the more common solvents are stored on-site in a locked, separately vented room. The Radiation Laboratory has 10 chemical fume hoods for use of these chemicals. The laboratories in which the experiments are to be performed have fireproof chemical storage cabinets for their short-term storage.

IV.B.28: Hazardous Waste. The work to be performed under this cooperative agreement is chemical research. Moderate quanities of hazardous wastes, primarily organic solvents and vacuum pump oils, will be generated. The University's Office of Risk Management accepts such wastes from the Radiation Laboratory and disposes of them in accordance with Indiana and Federal regulations. Lead-based paint may be present.

IV,B, 31: Radiation Exposures. The Radiation Laboratory operates 3 electron linear accelerators and 3 cobalt-60 use-and-containment gamma irradiators. These radiation sources are used to irradiate chemical samples to initiate reactions for kinetic and product analysis. No exposure of personnel is planned in this project, and stringent measures compliant with NRC and state regulations are in place to avoid accidental exposures to personnel.

IV,B, 32: Nanoscale materials. Some of the research in this project uses nanoscale materials.

IV, B, 39: The footprint of the building is 13,930 square ft, but this project does not include development.

IV, C, 42: Involvement with another federal agency. Use of the cobalt sources in the Radiation Laboratory falls under the jurisdiction of Notre Dame's broad-scope license from the NRC.

IV, C, 43: NEPA-Type Law. The project is in compliance with Indiana's IEPA law. UND will ensure continued compliance if/as the action evolves.

In the event of a renovation or demolition, a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ASTM E1527) of the Radiation Research Building was completed. It may still be necessary to complete an asbestos assessment to determine other hazards of a renovation or demolition.