DOE NEPA Tracking Number

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, OFFICE OF SCIENCE INTEGRATED SUPPORT CENTER—CHICAGO OFFICE

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (NEPA) ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION NOTIFICATION FORM

To be completed by "Applicant," i.e., organization with responsibilities for a "Federal action" involving application to DOE for a permit, license, exemption or allocation, or other similar actions. For assistance with this Form, refer to "Instructions for Preparing ISC-CH F-560, Environmental Evaluation Notification Form."

Solicitat	ion/Award No. (if applicable): DE-SC0023305		
Organiz	ation Name: Donald Danforth Plant Science Center		
Propose	d Action Title: Harnessing regulatory variation to elucidate drought resilience mechanis	ms in sorghum	
Total D	DE Funding/Total Funding: \$2,699,194.00		
l. <u>P</u>	oject Description: (Use explanation pages if additional space is required)		
A	Proposed Project/Action (if applicable, delineate Federally funded/Non-Federally funded This project investigates the innate drought resilience of sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) bioenergy feedstock and cereal crop. The overall project objective is to define and function genes and pathways related to drought stress tolerance in sorghum and the molecular of these factors drive phenotypic diversity. This will accelerate the development of improve high-yielding with limited water resources. Specific objectives include: 1) Leveraging a reframework to pin-point genetic variation that contributes to drought response across sorgenhancing understanding of how genotype drives phenotype and environmental adapted high-resolution, field-based phenotyping of sorghum diversity and mutant collections, are validating predictions of gene function using molecular and genetic assays and targeted. Please see continuation in explanation pages.	Moench], a cionally characte mechanisms by ed varieties that egulatory genorghum diversity and 3) Experime	whick t are mics , 2)
В		Yes	No ✓
II. <u>D</u>	If "yes," use explanation page. escription of Affected Environment: (Use explanation pages if additional space is requi	red)	

There will be no affected environment in this project.

Page 1 of 4

			DOE NEPA Tracking N	vumber					
III.	Pre	liminary	y Questions:						
				Yes	No				
	Α.	<u>Is the</u>	DOE-funded work routinely administrative or <i>entirely</i> advisory or a "paper study?"		✓				
		If "Yes", ensure that the description in Section I reflects this and go directly to Section V.							
	В.	Is the	re any potential whatsoever for: (Provide an explanation for each "Yes" response)						
		1.	Work to be performed outdoors?	\checkmark					
		2.	Major modification of a building interior?		$\overline{\square}$				
		3.	Threat of violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for		▽				
			environment, safety, and health?	_	_				
		4.	Siting, construction or major expansion of waste treatment, storage, or disposal		\checkmark				
		_	facilities?		\checkmark				
		5.	Disturbance to hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants preexisting in the environment?	Ш	V				
		6.	The presence of any environmentally-sensitive resources?		\Box				
		7.	Any potential whatsoever for high consequence impacts to human health or the	Ħ	V				
			environment?						
		8.	The work being connected to another existing/proposed activity that could		\checkmark				
			potentially create a significant impact?	. —					
		9.	Nearby past, present, and/or reasonably foreseeable future actions such that collective	∕ely∐	\checkmark				
		10.	significant impacts could result? Scientific or public controversy, uncertainty over potential impacts, or conflicts regardi	ing 🖂	✓				
		10.	resource usage?	пуш	· ·				
IV.	<u>Pot</u> A.	<u>Enviro</u>	Environmental Effects: (Provide an explanation for each "Yes" response) onmentally Sensitive Resources: Could the proposed action potentially result in change	es and/or					
		distur	bances to any of the following resources?	Voo	No				
		1.	Threatened/Endangered Species and/or Critical Habitats	Yes □	No [7]				
		2.	Other Protected Species (e.g., Burros, Migratory Birds, Pollinators)	Ħ					
		3.	Sensitive Environments (e.g., Tundra/Coral Reefs/Rain Forests)	ቨ	V				
		4.	Cultural or Historic Resources		$\overline{\checkmark}$				
		5.	Important Farmland		\checkmark				
		6.	Non-Attainment Areas for Ambient Air Quality Standards		✓				
		7.	Class I Air Quality Control Region	님	M				
		8. 9.	Special Sources of Groundwater (e.g. Sole Source Aquifer)	H	씱				
		9. 10.	Navigable Air Space Coastal Zones	H	H				
		11.	Areas with Special National Designation (e.g. National Forests, Parks, Trails)	H	爿				
		12.	Floodplains and/or Wetlands						
	B.	Regul	lated Substances/Activities: Would the proposed action involve any of the following reg	ulated Ite	ms or				
		activit							
		13.	Natural Resource Damage Assessments		\overline{V}				
		14.	Invasive Species or Exotic Organisms		$\overline{\checkmark}$				
		15.	Noxious Weeds		\ \ \ \				
		16.	Clearing or Excavation greater than one acre or Removal of Trees Governed by		\checkmark				
		17.	Local Requirement Dredge or Fill (under Clean Water Act, Section 404, greater than one acre)		✓				
		11.	בוסטקט סו ז ווו (עוועסו טופמוז אימנסו אטנ, טסטנוטוז אטא, עו פמנט נוומוז טווס מטוס)		LV				

	DOE NEPA Tracking	Number	
<u>Prelimi</u>	nary Questions:		
A. <u>Is</u>	the DOE-funded work routinely administrative or entirely advisory or a "paper study?"	Yes	No ✓
lf	"Yes", ensure that the description in Section I reflects this and go directly to Section	on V.	
B. <u>Is</u>	there any potential whatsoever for: (Provide an explanation for each "Yes" response)		
1.	Work to be performed outdoors?	$\overline{\checkmark}$	П
2.		Ħ	Ӣ
3.			V
	environment, safety, and health?	_	_
4.		Ш	\checkmark
5.	facilities? Disturbance to hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants preexisting in		\checkmark
J.	the environment?	Ш	ب
6.			\checkmark
7.			✓
_	environment?		
8.		Ш	\checkmark
9.	potentially create a significant impact? Nearby past, present, and/or reasonably foreseeable future actions such that		
9.	collectively significant impacts could result?	ш	Ľ
10			\checkmark
	regarding resource usage?		
A. <u>E</u>	ial Environmental Effects: (Provide an explanation for each "Yes" response) nvironmentally Sensitive Resources: Could the proposed action potentially result in change sturbances to any of the following resources?	ges and/or	
<u>ui</u>	sturbances to any or the following resources?	Yes	No
1.			
2.			\ \ \
3.	, ,	\sqcup	
4.		Η	띩
5. 6.		H	爿
7.		Ħ	Ħ
8.		□	$\overline{\square}$
9.			$\overline{\checkmark}$
10			☑
1 ¹		\exists	
В. <u>R</u>	egulated Substances/Activities: Would the proposed action involve any of the following		
<u>re</u>	gulated Items or activities?		
1:	3. Natural Resource Damage Assessments		
14		Ħ	Ħ
18			
16			_
	6. Clearing or Excavation greater than one acre or Removal of Trees Governed by		$\overline{\checkmark}$
17	 Clearing or Excavation greater than one acre or Removal of Trees Governed by Local Requirement 		

DOE NEPA	Tracking Numl	ber

	Ь.		<u>s? (continued)</u>	nve arry or	i the following regi	<u>Jialeu ile</u>	<u> </u>
		activitie	s: (continued)			Yes	No
		18.	Noise (in excess of regulations)			П	V
		19.	Asbestos Removal			П	7
		20.	Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)			Ħ	7
		21.	Import, Manufacture, or Processing of Toxic Substances			П	7
		22.	Chemical Storage/Use			Ħ	7
		23.	Pesticide Use			Ħ	Ħ
		24.	Hazardous, Toxic, or Criteria Pollutant Air Emissions			Ħ	ij
		25.	Liquid Effluents			Ħ	爿
		26.	Spill Prevention/Surface Water Protection			H	爿
		27.	Underground Injection			Ħ	ij
		28.	Hazardous Waste			H	爿
		29.	Underground Storage Tanks			H	爿
		30.	Radioactive or Radioactive Mixed Waste			H	爿
		31.	Radiation Exposure			H	
		32.	Nanoscale Materials			H	H
		33.	Genetically Engineered Microorganisms/Plants or Synthet	ic Riology		爿	H
		33. 34.	Ozone Depleting Substances	ic blology		범	片
		34. 35.	Greenhouse Gas Generation/Sustainability			H	
		36.	Off-Road Vehicles			H	벍
		37.	Biosafety Level 3-4 Laboratory			H	片
		38.	Research on Human Subjects or other Vertebrate Animals			H	
		39.	Facility footprint exceeds 5,000 Square Feet	•		H	H
		J9.	racility lootprint exceeds 5,000 Square reet			Ш	LV.
	C.	Other F	delevant Information: Would the proposed action involve the	ne followin	ıg?		
						Yes	No
		40.	Disproportionate Nearby Presence of Minority and/or Low	Income F	Populations		\checkmark
		41.	Existing, Modified, or New Federal/State Permits				✓
		42.	Involvement of Another Federal Agency (e.g. license/pern	nit, funding	g, approval)		$\overline{\checkmark}$
		43.	Action in a State with NEPA-type law				$\overline{\checkmark}$
		44.	Expansion of Public Utilities/Services				$\overline{\checkmark}$
		45.	Depletion of a Non-Renewable Resources				$\overline{\square}$
		46.	Subject to an Existing Institutional Work Planning and Cor	ntrol Proce	ess		
		47.	Other Pertinent Information Which Could Impact Human H	lealth or t	he Environment		\checkmark
V.	App	olicant ce	rtification that to the best of their knowledge all information	provided	on this form is acc	urate:	
						Yes	No
	Doc	ac thic di	sclosure contain: classified, sensitive business, or other ex	remnt info	rmation	162	√
			ould not be obligated to disclose pursuant to the Freedom c			Ш	V
	ulai	L DOL W	·				
	A.	Organi	zation Official (Name and Title): Melissa A. Kerckhoff, S	Sponsore	d Research Com	ıpliance	Manager
		Organii.			0 1 1 00 0		
		Signatu	Melissa A. Kerckhoff Digitally signed by Melissa A. Kerckhoff Date: 2022.09.22 01:53:44 -05'00'	_ Date:	September 22, 2	.022	
			grantsadmin@danforthcenter.org	-	314-587-12	85	
		e-mail:	gramoaanmi@aamoranoomonorg	_ Phone:			
	B.	Optiona	al Secondary Approval (Name and Title):				
		-					
		Signatu	re:	_ Date:			
		e-mail:		_ Phone:			

DOE NEPA	Tracking N	Vumber	

Remainder to be completed by DOE

VI.	DO	DE Concurrence/Recommendation/Determination:						
	A.	DOE Project Director/Program Manager or Contract/Grant Management Specialis		NI-				
		Has the Applicant completed this Form correctly? Does an existing generic categorical exclusion apply? If yes, indicate:	Yes ☑ □	No □ ☑				
		Name and Title: Daniella Duverne, Contract Specialist						
		DANIELLA DILIVERNE Digitally signed by DANIELLA DUVERNE						
	B.	DOE NEPA Team Review (if requested):	V					
		Is the class of action identified in the DOE NEPA Regulations (Appendices A-D to Subpart D (10 CFR § 1021))? If yes, specify the class(es) of action: B3.6, B3.8	Yes o 🗓	No				
		Name and Title:						
		Signature: Date:						
	C.	DOE Counsel (if requested):						
		Name and Title:						
		Signature: Date:						
	D.	DOE NEPA Compliance Officer:						
		preceding pages are a record of documentation required under DOE Final NEPA F 1.410.	Regulation, 10 CFR §					
	V	Action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review. I have dete action meets the requirements for Categorical Exclusion referenced above.	rmined that the propos	ed				
		Action requires approval by Head of the Field Organization. Recommend preparation of an Environmental Assessment.						
		Action requires approval by Head of the Field Organization or a Secretarial C preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement.	officer. Recommend					
		Comments/limitations if any:						
		NEPA Compliance Officer:						
		PETER SIEBACH Digitally signed by PETER SIEBACH Date: 2022.09.22 13:49:41 -05'00'						
		Signature: Date:						

DOE NEPA	Tracking	Number
----------	----------	--------

Optional Additional Narrative: (add additional detail to description to Sections I and II or explanations to responses in Sections 3 and 4. Additional narrative from 1.A:

The proposed work leverages a field-based phenotyping infrastructure at Maricopa, AZ, which provides an exceptional capability for managed stress trials in a hot and arid environment through controlled irrigation. An automated field scanner system collects high-resolution phenotyping data using a variety of sensors throughout the growth season, from seedling establishment to harvest. A customized sorghum diversity panel will be phenotyped under the field scanner to compare drought-stressed and well-watered plants. This will provide abundant opportunities for GWAS analyses, which will be integrated with information collected from sequence-indexed sorghum mutant populations that have also been phenotyped at UA-MAC. This will facilitate associations between genotype and drought-responsive phenotype and ultimately gene discovery. The same diversity panel will be phenotyped on the Danforth Center's Lemnatec Scanalyzer in a controlled-environment drought stress experiment where RNA-seq performed on each line will allow for interrogation of of regulatory variation across sorghum diversity. The project will also leverage an extensive regulatory genomics framework that was established with prior funding to identify genes and regulatory elements that control variation in drought-responsive phenotypes. Candidate loci prioritized through the integration of these resources will be functionally validated in planta using gene editing.

Explanation to "yes" in B.1:

Fieldwork will be performed at the University of Arizona field research site at Maricopa, AZ (UA-MAC). Sorghum plants will be grown in the field for phenotyping over the course of a growing season.

Fieldwork will also be performed at research field sites at Purdue University and Donald Danforth Plant Science Center. At these two sites, sorghum plants will be grown for phenotyping and also crosses and seed propagation.

Explanation to "yes" in B.33:

The molecular component of this project is to functionally test hypotheses made based on genomic predictions in planta, and this typically involves editing the locus of interest using CRISPR/Cas9-based gene editing. Here, Cas9 and a series of synthetic guide (g)RNAs designed to target a genetic region of interest, are expressed in plants. Other times, a gene product such as an enzyme or transcription factor may be overexpressed behind a constitutive promoter or specifically expressed using its native promoter or tissue-specific promoter. This work will be done in Sorghum bicolor. Transgenic plants are monitored closely and propagated in controlled growth environments at the Danforth Center Integrated Growth Facility. For edited lines, the Cas9 transgene can be crossed out in later generations rendering the plants non-transgenic. Transgenic or edited plants will never be grown out in the field in this project.

All constructs are transformed into plants through Agrobacterium after being assembled in E. coli.

DOE NEPA Tracking Number