PMC-ND

(1.08.09.13)

# U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



RECIPIENT: UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND STATE: MD

PROJECT TITLE: Low-cost and high-performance heat exchangers for CSP

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number Procurement Instrument Number NEPA Control Number CID Number

DE-TA5-0002378 DE-EE0010191 GFO-0010191-001

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Policy 451.1), I have made the following determination:

# CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

A9 Information gathering, analysis, and dissemination Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

B3.6 Smallscale research and development, laboratory operations, and pilot projects Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) frequently conducted to verify a concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

## Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide funding to the University of Maryland (UMD) to design, fabricate, and test a heat exchanger (HX) for Concentrated Solar Power (CSP)) using a high-performance alloy aimed at reducing the cost of CSP.

All project activities would occur at UMD (College Park, MD) over one Budget Period, including the numerical and mathematical design, sample and prototype fabrication, prototype testing, and data analysis.

Proposed project activities would include heat exchanger design and selection, testing particle flow under different conditions, fabrication of prototype HXs, characterization of samples of high strength alloys, testing of the prototypes and alloys (e.g., density, thermal conductivity, leakage) 3D printing of a full-scale HX made of the selected alloy, and lastly techno-economic analyses and reporting would take place.

This project would include the handling of potentially hazardous materials, including metal powders. All materials would be handled in the lab, which follows strict university standards for handling hazardous materials, including proper disposal in accordance with federal, state, and local regulations. Existing university health and safety policies and procedures would be followed.

There are no physical modifications to existing facilities, no construction of new facilities, no ground disturbing activities, no changes in use of existing facilities, and no installation or deployment of outdoor equipment. There are no new permits or licenses required.

#### NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination.

Notes:

# Solar Energy Technologies Office (SETO) Review completed by Alex Colling on 08/15/2022.

### FOR CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATIONS

The proposed action (or the part of the proposal defined in the Rationale above) fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D. To fit within the classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, a proposal must be one that would not: (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders; (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities; (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to, those listed in paragraph B(4) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B; (5) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those listed in paragraph B(5) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposed action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposed action has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. This proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211 concerning limitations on actions during preparation of an environmental impact statement.

The proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.

NEPA Compliance Officer Signature:	Rectronically Signed By: Casey Strickland	Date:	8/19/2022
	NEPA Compliance Officer	_	
FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMIN	ATION		
<ul><li>✓ Field Office Manager review not require</li><li>☐ Field Office Manager review required</li></ul>	od.		
BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WI	TH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO:		
Field Office Manager's Signature:		Date:	
	Field Office Manager		