

## Pronghorn Flats 115-kilovolt Project Project Scoping Comment Summary

1. Adjacent landowner concerned about effects to people and requests analysis of what sound, vibration, blinking lights and strobe effect may have on a person with multiple sclerosis. Expressed concerns about impacts to birds and other wildlife.
2. Expressed support for proposed project
3. Requested coordination with and contacted Orion regarding powerline routing.
4. Expressed support of and a request for locating pole structures on private property.
5. Requested review of potential impacts to jurisdictional dams, floodplain management, registered groundwater wells, stream gages, and surface water rights.
6. Expressed concern with proposed turbine proximity to missile silos and requested appropriate shapefiles for review.
7. Presented questions regarding visual, eminent domain, fencing, land use, noise, light, decommissioning, and proximity to missile silos.
8. Expressed concern with switchyard and project design. Expressed opposition based on a personal opinion that the project is of no public good.
9. Two separate requests were made for coordination and communication with the project developer, topics were not expressed.
10. Expressed concerns and questions regarding ownership and operation of the project because of experiencing prior issues with WAPA and associated land use.
11. No identified issues at this time. Waiting for the EA.
12. Expressed concern regarding: No Easement granted yet (as of 6/26/20 in letter), impact on the character of the land, concerned project planning is centered around wildlife impacts instead of human habitat impacts, loss of unestimated damage on the historic viewshed, socioeconomic value of the ranch, noise pollution to the quiet surroundings from human/electronic events, and industrialization to unoccupied spaces.  
EA should address the human element and loss of enjoyment of life. Impacts to the human/wildlife relationship. Power line persons and lack of proper maintenance and respect to private lands. WAPA issues. Harder (less efficient) to farm land around transmission lines above ground appurtenances.
13. Requested consideration of alternate route for transmission line to reduce wildlife conflicts. Species of greatest conservation need identified in the provided comments and recommended conducting specified surveys, and requested consideration of seasonal work schedules to minimize wildlife impacts.