U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, OFFICE OF SCIENCE INTEGRATED SUPPORT CENTER—CHICAGO OFFICE

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (NEPA) **ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION NOTIFICATION FORM**

To be completed by "Applicant," i.e., organization with responsibilities for a "Federal action" involving application to DOE for a permit, license, exemption or allocation, or other similar actions. For assistance with this Form, refer to "Instructions for Preparing ISC-CH F-560, Environmental Evaluation Notification Form."

Solicitation/Award No.	(if applicable):	DE-FOA-0002440/DE-SC0022573
Organization Name:	Duke University Durham, NC	
Proposed Action Title:	Two and Thi	ree-body Photodisintegration of the Triton at energies below 30 MeV
Total DOE Funding/To	otal Funding: \$1	,669,413/\$1,669,413
l. <u>Project Descript</u>	tion: (Use expla	nation pages if additional space is required)
A Proposed I	Project/Action (if	applicable, delineate Federally funded/Non-Federally funded portions)

Proposed Project/Action (if applicable, delineate Federally funded/Non-Federally funded portions)

The proposed project will produce two unique data sets: (1) the first angular distribution cross-section data for two-body photodisintegration of tritium (3H), and (2) the first kinematically complete cross- section data for three-body photodisintegration of 3H. The cross sections will be measured with a total uncertainty (combined statistical and systematic) of less than ±10%, setting the data standards for these reactions for the foreseeable future. This work will be the first to determine the s-wave neutron-neutron and neutron-proton scattering lengths using photodisintegration of the triton and one of only a few attempts to determine these scattering lengths in the same experiment. This project uses a gas tritium target and requires neutron detection. It will be carried out by a collaboration of groups from Duke University and the University of Rochester (UR). This collaboration was formed to cover competencies in the critical technical areas required to carry out the work. The Duke group has decades of experience with performing neutron time-of-flight measurements. The UR group has two decades of experience in handling an inventory of tritium gas. They are responsible for delivering the target to Duke for the project.

		Yes	No
B.	Would the project proceed without Federal funding?		~

If "yes," use explanation page.

Description of Affected Environment: (Use explanation pages if additional space is required) II.

The proposed project will be carried out at the Triangle Universities Nuclear Laboratory (TUNL) using a gas cell filled with 1.0 kCurie of tritium gas. The filled encapsulated gas cell will be provided by collaborators at the Laboratory for Laser Energetics (LLE) at the University of Rochester. The LLE has the technical infrastructure and expertise for safe handling of tritium gas. All measurements using the tritium gas cell will be performed at the High Intensity Gamma-ray Source (HIGS) on the campus of Duke University. The work will be performed inside the building. The potential for radiation exposure above minimum levels is very low. Well-established protocol for safely managing tritium gas will be followed in compliance with NRC regulations. The Duke Radiation Safety Office will provide safety oversight. (continued on explanation pages)

	DOE NEPA Tracking	Number				
<u>Prelir</u>	ninary Questions:					
Α.	s the DOE-funded work routinely administrative or entirely advisory or a "paper study?"	Yes	No ☑			
		Ш				
	f "Yes", ensure that the description in Section I reflects this and go directly to Section	n V.				
В.	s there any potential whatsoever for: (Provide an explanation for each "Yes" response)					
	Work to be performed outdoors?		V			
	2. Major modification of a building interior?		\ \ \			
	3. Threat of violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for		~			
	environment, safety, and health?					
,	Siting, construction or major expansion of waste treatment, storage, or disposal	Ш	V			
	facilities? Disturbance to hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants preexisting in the		V			
	environment?	Ш	ت			
	5. The presence of any environmentally-sensitive resources?		V			
	7. Any potential whatsoever for high consequence impacts to human health or the	V				
	environment?					
	The work being connected to another existing/proposed activity that could		~			
	potentially create a significant impact?					
	Nearby past, present, and/or reasonably foreseeable future actions such that collect	ıvely∟	V			
	significant impacts could result?	4in a	V			
	10. Scientific or public controversy, uncertainty over potential impacts, or conflicts regard resource usage?	шу 🗀				
A.	tial Environmental Effects: (Provide an explanation for each "Yes" response) Environmentally Sensitive Resources: Could the proposed action potentially result in change	es and/or				
	disturbances to any of the following resources?	Vaa	Nia			
	Threatened/Endangered Species and/or Critical Habitats	Yes	No Izl			
	2. Other Protected Species (e.g., Burros, Migratory Birds, Pollinators)	H	V			
	3. Sensitive Environments (e.g., Tundra/Coral Reefs/Rain Forests)	Ħ	V			
	4. Cultural or Historic Resources		V			
	5. Important Farmland		V			
	6. Non-Attainment Areas for Ambient Air Quality Standards		V			
	7. Class I Air Quality Control Region		<u>~</u>			
	3. Special Sources of Groundwater (e.g. Sole Source Aquifer)	닏	M			
	9. Navigable Air Space	H	닏			
	10. Coastal Zones	님				
	 Areas with Special National Designation (e.g. National Forests, Parks, Trails) Floodplains and/or Wetlands 	님	בובובובובוב			
3.	Regulated Substances/Activities: Would the proposed action involve any of the following regulated Items of					
:	activities?					
	13. Natural Resource Damage Assessments		V			
	14. Invasive Species or Exotic Organisms		V			
	15. Noxious Weeds	П	~			
		=	=			
	16. Clearing or Excavation greater than one acre or Removal of Trees Governed by		V			
			<u> </u>			

		DOE NEPA Tracking I	Vumber			
III.	Pre	liminary Questions:				
	A.	Is the DOE-funded work routinely administrative or entirely advisory or a "paper study?"	Yes 	No		
		If "Yes", ensure that the description in Section I reflects this and go directly to Section	1 V.			
	В.	Is there any potential whatsoever for: (Provide an explanation for each "Yes" response)				
		 Work to be performed outdoors? Major modification of a building interior? Threat of violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health? Siting, construction or major expansion of waste treatment, storage, or disposal facilities? Disturbance to hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants preexisting in the environment? The presence of any environmentally-sensitive resources? Any potential whatsoever for high consequence impacts to human health or the environment? The work being connected to another existing/proposed activity that could potentially create a significant impact? Nearby past, present, and/or reasonably foreseeable future actions such that collectively significant impacts could result? Scientific or public controversy, uncertainty over potential impacts, or conflicts regarding resource usage? If "No" to ALL Section III.B. questions, go directly to Section V. 				
IV.	<u>Pot</u> A.	ential Environmental Effects: (<i>Provide an explanation for each "Yes" response</i>) Environmentally Sensitive Resources: Could the proposed action potentially result in change	es and/or			
	Λ.	disturbances to any of the following resources?	Yes	No		
		 Threatened/Endangered Species and/or Critical Habitats Other Protected Species (e.g., Burros, Migratory Birds, Pollinators) Sensitive Environments (e.g., Tundra/Coral Reefs/Rain Forests) Cultural or Historic Resources Important Farmland Non-Attainment Areas for Ambient Air Quality Standards Class I Air Quality Control Region Special Sources of Groundwater (e.g. Sole Source Aquifer) Navigable Air Space Coastal Zones Areas with Special National Designation (e.g. National Forests, Parks, Trails) Floodplains and/or Wetlands 				
	B.	Regulated Substances/Activities: Would the proposed action involve any of the following regulated Items or activities?				
		 13. Natural Resource Damage Assessments 14. Invasive Species or Exotic Organisms 15. Noxious Weeds 16. Clearing or Excavation greater than one acre or Removal of Trees Governed by Local Requirement 		\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\		
		17 Dredge or Fill (under Clean Water Act, Section 404, greater than one acre)		V		

DOE NEPA Tracking Number

	B.		ted Substances/	Activities: Would	ld the proposed	d action involv	e any of	the following	<u>regulated</u>	Items or
	C.	activiti 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31. 32. 33. 34. 35. 36. 37. 38. 39.	Noise (in exces Asbestos Remo Polychlorinated Import, Manufac Chemical Stora Pesticide Use Hazardous, Toy Liquid Effluents Spill Prevention Underground In Hazardous Was Underground S Radioactive or Radiation Expo Nanoscale Mate Genetically Eng Ozone Depletin Greenhouse Ga Off-Road Vehic Biosafety Level Research on He Facility footprint Relevant Informa Disproportionat Existing, Modifi Involvement of Action in a Stat	s of regulations) by al biphenyls (PCB cture, or Proces ge/Use tic, or Criteria Po /Surface Water jection ste torage Tanks Radioactive Mixis sure erials ineered Microor g Substances as Generation/S les 3-4 Laboratory uman Subjects of exceeds 5,000 tion: Would the e Nearby Prese ed, or New Fede Another Federa e with NEPA-typ ublic Utilities/Se	sing of Toxic S collutant Air Emi Protection ed Waste ganisms/Plants ustainability or other Vertebres square Feet proposed action nce of Minority eral/State Perm I Agency (e.g. Indicate Incomposed Incomp	ubstances ssions s or Synthetic rate Animals on involve the and/or Low I	Biology e following	g? opulations	Yes Yes	
		46. 47.	Subject to an E Other Pertinent	xisting Institution	nal Work Plann				nt 📙	
V.	Doe	es this d	ertification that to sclosure contain ould not be obliga	classified, sen	sitive business pursuant to the	, or other exe Freedom of	mpt infor Informati	mation on Act.	Ye.	s No] 🗹
	A.	Signat	ore grant		Lauren Fal Digitally signed by Date: 2022.06.09	Lauren Faber 11:41:25 -04'00'	Date:	06/09/2022 919-684-		n Support
		e-mail	013-grant	guanc.cuu			Phone:	313-00-	3030	
	B.	Option	al Secondary Ap	proval (Name a	nd Title):					
		Signat	ure:				Date:			
		e-mail					Phone:			

DOE NEPA	Tracking Number	_

Remainder to be completed by DOE

VI.	DO	OE Concurrence/Recommendation/Determination:							
	A.	DOE Project Director/Program Manager or Contract/Grant Management Specialist:							
		Has the Applicant completed this Form correctly? Does an existing generic categorical exclusion apply? If yes, indicate:		Yes □	No □ ☑				
		Name and Title: Daniella Duverne, Contract Specialist							
		Signature: DANIELLA DUVERNE Digitally signed by DANIELLA DUVERNE Date: 2022.06.09 11:11:33 -05'00'	Date:		06/09/2022				
	B.	DOE NEPA Team Review (if requested):		Voc	No				
		Is the class of action identified in the DOE NEPA Regulations (App Subpart D (10 CFR § 1021))? If yes, specify the class(es) of action: B3.6, B3.10	pendices A-D to	Yes	No				
		Name and Title:							
		Signature:	Date:						
	C.	DOE Counsel (if requested):							
		Name and Title:							
		Signature:	Date:						
	D.	DOE NEPA Compliance Officer:							
		e preceding pages are a record of documentation required under DOE Final NEPA Regulation, 10 CFR § 21.410.							
	V	Action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review. I have determined that the proposed action meets the requirements for Categorical Exclusion referenced above.							
		Action requires approval by Head of the Field Organization. Recommend preparation of an Environmental Assessment.							
		Action requires approval by Head of the Field Organization or a Secretarial Officer. Recommend preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement.							
		Comments/limitations if any:							
		NEPA Compliance Officer:							
		Name:							
		Signature: PETER SIEBACH Digitally signed by PETER SII Date: 2022.06.30 09:57:32 -0	EBACH ^{5'00'} Date:						

DOE NEPA	Tracking	Number
----------	----------	--------

Optional Additional Narrative: (add additional detail to description to Sections I and II or explanations to responses in Sections 3 and 4.

Section II:

The experiment and safety systems are designed to mitigate risk of tritium gas leakage inside the lab or to the outside. During measurements the cell will be mounted inside an evaluated chamber that is inside a secondary enclosure which is connected to a high-speed ventilation system that maintains a constant air flow through the enclosure and exhausting the air at high speed about 50 feet above ground level. Because tritium gas is buoyant in air, it will mostly float upward, i.e., only a minute fraction will fall back to the ground. Area absorbers will be deployed inside and outside the building to monitor for tritium release. When not in use, the cell will be stored in a air tight container inside a dedicated glovebox equipped with a tritium scrubber. The glovebox will be connected to a high-speed ventilation system. Air flowing up the exhaust stack will be monitored for tritium. Also, the air in the room where the glovebox is located is monitored for tritium. The potential impact on people working in the TUNL labs is low, and there will be no impact on the general campus population.

Section IV.B.31:

The target will be mostly stored inside the glovebox. While in storage, we estimate that about 1.0 Curie of tritium will diffuse through the seals and walls of the gas cell each year. Of this amount, we estimate that less than 0.1% of this chronic leakage will be exhausted up the stack, i.e., an average release rate of 1 mCi/year (32 pCi/s). Tritium release inside the building is associated with entry into the glovebox to obtain the gas cell for use in experiments. This will happen once or twice a year. We estimate the total activity released into the room per entry will be less than 500 pCi. The initial exposure is to the researchers involved in handling the tritium cell. The procedures will likely require two to three people to transfer the target from the glovebox to the target room. The size of the room and fresh air makeup rate is dilutes the tritium to have a concentration several orders of magnitude smaller than the NCR limits. The air in the rooms where the source is stored and used in experiments is monitored in real time as input to applying ALARA practices in our procedures.

DOE NEPA Tracking Number