PMC-ND

(1.08.09.13)

# U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



RECIPIENT: Dehlsen Associates, LLC STATE: CA

PROJECT TITLE: Centipod WEC Design for PacWave

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number Procurement Instrument Number NEPA Control Number CID Number

DE-FOA-0002415 DE-EE0009956 GFO-0009956-001

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Policy 451.1), I have made the following determination:

# CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

A9 Information gathering, analysis, and dissemination Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

B3.6 Smallscale research and development, laboratory operations, and pilot

projects

Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) frequently conducted to verify a concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

# Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide funding to Dehlsen Associates, LLC (Dehlsen) to design a wave energy converter (WEC) and its supporting systems for a potential future deployment at PacWave (Oregon). Deployment would not be part of, or enabled by, this funding.

Award activities would include those of intellectual and analytical nature. Such activities would include planning, design, numerical modeling, document preparation, cost analyses, and engagement with potential suppliers and contractors. Such activities would be supported by numerous private contractors, the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), Sandia National Laboratory (SNL), and Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL).

A model WEC (approximately 12" x 12" x 36") would be assembled by Dehlsen (Santa Barbara, CA). The model WEC would be tested in a wave tank at the University of New Hampshire's (UNH) Jere A. Chase Ocean Engineering Laboratory (Durham, NH). Additional activities would include the laboratory testing of an existing linear generator (approximately 20' x 4' x 4') at McCleer Power, Inc. (Jackson, MI).

All facilities at Dehlsen, UNH, McCleer Power are preexisting purpose-built facilities for the type of work to be conducted for this award. Facility modifications would not be required. Award activities would involve typical hazards associated with engineering laboratories and wave tank facilities, including hazards posed by electrical equipment, injury from tools and machinery, slipping, falling, and drowning. Existing corporate, university, and government health, safety, and environmental policies and procedures would be followed at all facilities, including: personnel training, proper personal protective equipment (PPE), engineering controls, monitoring, and internal assessments.

DOE has considered potential impacts on resources, including those of an ecological, historical, cultural, and socioeconomic nature. DOE does not anticipate adverse impacts on these resources.

Any work proposed to be conducted at a federal facility may be subject to additional NEPA review by the cognizant

federal official and must meet the applicable health and safety requirements of the facility.

#### NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination.

Notes:

Water Power Technologies Office (WPTO) NEPA review completed by Dan Cahill, 06/22/2022.

# FOR CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATIONS

The proposed action (or the part of the proposal defined in the Rationale above) fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D. To fit within the classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, a proposal must be one that would not: (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders; (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities; (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to, those listed in paragraph B(4) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B; (5) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those listed in paragraph B(5) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposed action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposed action has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. This proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211 concerning limitations on actions during preparation of an environmental impact statement.

The proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

# SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.

NEPA Compliance Officer Signature:	Signed By: Kristin Kerwin	Date:	6/22/2022
	NEPA Compliance Officer		
FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMIN	NATION		
<ul><li>✓ Field Office Manager review not require</li><li>✓ Field Office Manager review required</li></ul>	ed		
BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR W	ITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO	:	
Field Office Manager's Signature:		Date:	
	Field Office Manager		