



National Nuclear Security Administration Categorical Exclusion Determination Form



NEPA ID#: HEDLP 15-001-001

Proposed Action Title: CTOS Eastern Region Administrative, Warehouse and Training Office (NV-2017-048)

Program or Field Office: Nevada Field Office

Location(s) (City/County/State): 250 Executive Drive, Suite 1, Edgewood, NY 11717

Proposed Action Description:

The Counter Terrorism Operations Support (CTOS) Administration, Warehouse, and Training Office would support CTOS activities on the East Coast, including the entire Eastern Region of the United States (US) as well as US territories along the eastern seaboard (Puerto Rico, St. Thomas, etc.).

The facility is located in Edgewood, New York, in a commercially leased, light-industrial building. The general purpose of the facility would be for administration and general warehouse use. CTOS personnel would conduct classroom training and demonstration methods, interactive exercises, and drills. The area for the delivery of designated courses of instruction would include the Eastern Region office as well as off-site locations as per the customer's request. Activities might include pick-up and drop-off, use of equipment and materials required for training, and the storage of materials and equipment on site. Training aids could include radioactive materials and or sealed radioactive materials. These materials would be stored in a designated Radiological Materials Area (RMA), inspected and approved by RAD Control personnel under a Radiological Control Work Permit.

Categorical Exclusion(s) Applied:

10 CFR 1021: B1.2 Training Exercises
B1.15 Support buildings

For the complete DOE National Environmental Policy Act regulations regarding categorical exclusions including the full text of each categorical exclusion, see Subpart D of 10 CFR 1021. Regulatory Requirements in 10 CFR 1021.410(b): (See full text in regulation)

The proposal fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposal has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on my review of information conveyed to me and in my possession concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (as authorized under DOE Order 451.1B), I have determined that the proposed action fits within the specified class(es) of action and that other-regulatory requirements set forth above are met. Therefore, the application of a categorical exclusion is appropriate.

NEPA Compliance Officer: Carrie Stewart

Date Determined: June 29, 2017