PMC-ND

(1.08.09.13)

# U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



**RECIPIENT:** Michigan State University

STATE: MI

**PROJECT TITLE :** All-Polyester Multilayer Plastics ('All-Polyester MLPs'): A Redesign for Inherently Recyclable Plastic

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number	Procurement Instrument Number	NEPA Control Number CID Number
DE-FOA-0002473	EE0009947	GFO-0009947-001

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Policy 451.1), I have made the following determination:

#### CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

#### Description:

A9 Information gathering, analysis, and dissemination	Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)
B3.6 Small- scale research and development, laboratory operations, and pilot projects	Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) frequently conducted to verify a concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide funding to Michigan State University (MSU) to produce all-polyester multi-layer plastics (AP MLPs) with packaging performance that matches or exceeds current MLPs. This project would take place over two Budget Periods (BPs).

The proposed project activities including polymer processing, lamination of polymer films, synthesis of polyesters, and performance analyses would primarily take place at MSU in East Lansing, MI. Amcor in Neenah, WI would carry out pilot-scale testing. Techno-economic analysis (TEA) would be carried out by the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL) in Richland, WA.

The proposed project activities would commence with project verification, including a review of project feasibility and data review. Next, the proposed AP MLPs would be designed, fabricated, and tested on a pilot-scale, in order to validate and laminate the different polyester layers. Finally, the end of life (EoL) options for recycling, life cycle analysis, and TEA would be carried out. A diversity, equity, and inclusion plan would be implemented in order to recruit and train underrepresented minority students.

Small amounts of potentially hazardous organic solvent vapors would be released and captured through standard laboratory fume hoods. All materials would be handled through MSU's Environmental Health and Safety protocols and contracted hazardous waste management company. There would be no physical modifications or change in use of existing facilities, no construction of new facilities, or ground disturbing activities. No new permits, licenses, or authorizations would be needed.

Any work proposed to be conducted at a federal facility may be subject to additional NEPA review by the cognizant federal official and must meet the applicable health and safety requirements of the facility.

### NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination.

Advanced Manufacturing Office (AMO) Review completed by Alex Colling on 03/31/2022.

# FOR CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATIONS

The proposed action (or the part of the proposal defined in the Rationale above) fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D. To fit within the classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, a proposal must be one that would not: (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders; (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities; (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to, those listed in paragraph B(4) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B; (5) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those listed in paragraph B(5) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposed action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposed action has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. This proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211 concerning limitations on actions during preparation of an environmental impact statement.

The proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

# SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.

NEPA Compliance Officer Signature:

Electronically Signed By: Casey Strickland NEPA Compliance Officer

Date: 4/4/2022

FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION

- Field Office Manager review not required
- ☐ Field Office Manager review required

# BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO :

Field Office Manager's Signature:

Field Office Manager

Date: