### OFFICIAL REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS

CORY

Before The

NATIONAL PETROLEUM COUNCIL MEETING

### DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

		Do	Docket No					
<b>Water State of State</b>						****		
	Washington,	D.C.						
Place			<del></del> -					
Date	14 December	1956						
		Pages		1	thru	68	-	

### ACE REPORTING COMPANY

26) CONSTITUTION AVE., N. W. WASHINGTON 1, D. C.

Official Reporters

MEtropolitan 8-4780

# 

#### SAFTHER-1

### NATIONAL PETROLEUM COUNCIL MEETING

2

3

\_

5

6

7

•

. 1

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Robert O. Anderson

Jack Abernathy

Hines II. Baker

Paul G Bennedum

Jacob Braustein

Reid Brazell

J.S. Bridwell

Robert W Carney

Charles A Chipman

Howars A. Cowden

Wasliam H. Curry

J.C. Benneil, II

Fred b Bergiors, Sr.

•

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

) &

•

20

21

72

4

24

Rainey Elliott

Gordon Dake

Fayette F. Dow

December 14,1956

December 14,1550

Conierence Rooms A &B

Departmental Auditorium

Washington, D.C.

R. G. Follis

B. C. Graves

Walter S. Hallanan (Chairman)

Jake L. Hamon

John Harper

I.W. Hartman

Cash B. Hawley

A.E. Herrmann

Harry B. Hilts

G.B. Hunter

A. Jacobsen

B Brewster Jennings

Paul Kayser

W. Keeler

B J. Majewski

J. Howard Marshall 2

SE.

22

COBY

30

1 MEMBERS PRESENT (Continued) Rowan Glenn E Nielson Н Staples D. T S. F. Niness Sidney A Swensrud Maston Nixon W. W. Vandeveer Joseph L. Nolan J.R. Parten S M Vockel J. Ed Warren Joseph E Pogue John H. White Frank M. Porter Robert E Windfohr Maurice G Powers James V Brown, Secretary-Treasurer 10 OBSERVERS FOR COUNCIL MEMBERS: 11 Douglas Campbell for Munger T Ball 12 E F. Wells for Paul G. Blazer 12 Dan Jones for Russell B. Brown F. J. Hayes for H.S.M. Burns 18

SR

d Otis Eliis for John F. Cummins

Homer Batzell for James P Dunnigan, Richard G. Lawton and M. H. Robineau

Mr. Schiller for Max M. Fisher

Mr. Barr for Clyde T Foster

Donald O'Hara for George J. Hanks

B. Watson for W. Alton Jones

Mr. Johnson for William M. Keck, Sr.

Mr. Parkinson for L.F. McCollum

M Schwarz for R.S. Mc Farland

23

1 2

19

20

41

22

23

24

23

Oscar Morton for William G. Maguire

SR

24

24

COBA

### $\underline{C} \ \underline{O} \ \underline{N} \ \underline{T} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{N} \ \underline{T} \ \underline{S}$

2		Page
3	Roll Call and Introduction of New Members	5
4	Approval of Minutes of Last Meeting	5
5	Report of Agenda Committee Approved	5 7
ď 7	Report of Committee on Tanker Requirements Approved	7 14,
	Remarks of Major General L.E. Cotulia	14
•	Remarks of Rear Admiral O.P. Lattu	17
0	Remarks of the Honorable Joseph C. O'Mahoney	22
1	Remarks of the Honorable Fred A. Seaton	29
2	Remarks of the Honorable Herbert Hoover, Jr.	33
	Remarks of the Honorable Arthur S. Flemming	39
4	Remarks of the Honorable <b>Felix</b> Wormser	49
	Remarks of Mr. Hugh A. Stewart	51
6	Remarks of Mr. Ralph Fowler	58
7	Remarks of Mr. Ed. Warren	62
8	New Business	66

SR >

12

20

21

22

18

25 24

\_

#### BARTHER-5

### PROCEEDINGS

2 CHAIRMAN HALLANAN: The Council will please come to 3 order. The Secretary will now call the roll. Before proceeding, however, I would like to present to you a new member of the Council, Mr. Jack Abernathy, who is the President of the American Association of Oilwell Drilling Contractors of Oklahoma City. We are happy to have you as a member of the Council, Mr. Abernathy. (Appluase.) 10

(Whereupon, the Secretary proceeded with the roll call)

CHAIRMAN HALLANAN: Gentlemen, you have received copies of the minutes of the last meeting of the Council held on September 28 last. What is your pleasure?

MR. MAJEWSKI: I move that they be accepted.

VOICE: I second it.

CHAIRMAN HALLANAN: It has been moved and second that the minutes be approved.

> (Whereupon, the motion was put to a vote and carried unanimously,)

CHAIRMAN HALLANAN: Mr. Jacobsen, may I call on youat this time to present the report of the Agenda Committee?

REPORT OF AGENDA COMMITTEE

MR. JACOBSEN: On the date of October 30, 1956, Mr. Walter S. Hallanan, Chairman of the National Petroleum Council,

SR

11

12

18

14

15

14

17

19

20

21

2.2

2.8

24

25

I sent to the members of the Agenda Committee a copy of a letter addressed to him on October 29, 1956 by Mr. Felix E. Wormser, 3 Assistant Secretary of the Interior, a copy of which is 4 attached, in which Mr. Wormser requests that a committee of g the National Petroleum Council be appointed to make a study s of the petroleum tanker situation.

In accordance with the emergency procedure provided in the Articles of Organization of the Council, the Chairman of the Agenda Committee communicated by telephone or telegraph with all the members of the Committee, who agreed unanimously that il a committee of the National Petroleum Council be appointed to 12 prepare the study requested by Mr. Wormser on the understanding 18 that the committee should not suggest, or participate in the 14 discussion of, plans or programs, but should confine its report is to findings of fact.

Respectfully submitted, A Jacobsen, Chairman, Agenda 14 Committee, National Petroleum Council.

This report was forwarded to Mr. Hallanan, who immediately appointed a committee to study the subject and the interim report will be in your hands this morning.

A meeting of the Agenda Committee had been called for 13 yesterday in order to be able to deal promptly with any last 28 minute requests for study that might come from the Department of Interior. No such request was forthcoming, so the meeting that 25 had been called for yesterday was not held.

SR

18

21

ş

I move the adoption of this report.

2

3

\$

ø

7

2

10

11

11

SR

18

14

15

14

17

18

19

20

21

21

24

24 28

25

CRAIRMAN HALLANAN: You have heard the report of the Agenda Committee as presented by the Chairman, Mr. Jacobsen, who has moved its adeption. Is there a second?

> I second the motion. VOICE:

(Whereupon, the motion was put to a vote and carried unanimously.)

CHAIRMAN HALLAMAN: Now gentlemen, we move to the matter of hearing an interim report from the Committee on Tanker Requirements which was authorized under the proceedings just referred to by Mr. Jacobsen.

This is an interim report, as Mr. Jeaniags the Chairman will indicate and there has been no opportunity to have the interim report presented to the full Committee for consider-However, in view of the importance of this report, we would like to ask for its consideration at this time. Jennings.

> INTERIM REPORT OF THE WORKING GROUP OF THE COMMITTEE ON TANKER REQUIREMENTS

MR. JENNINGS: Mr. Chairman, gentlemen: Hallanan explained, we have been trying to get on with this job as quickly as we could, and the working group was unable to prepare this interim report and have it completed before Wednesday afternoon and that obviously, left no time for the main Committee to consider it, and so I would like to present this as an interim report of the working group with the understanding that it is subject to further review by our Committee.

I might add that the latter part of last week we mailed 3 out to all of the tanker owners of any consequence, world-wide, letters which included with them a statement of the ships that we understood that each owner had on order and asking the owner to write back and tell us whether those lists were correct, and if they were not correct, to correct them. And we hope by that means to have a really very accurate report ready to be submitted sometime in January.

I will now read this report of the subcommittee.

As of November 1, 1956 there were 2,509 ships of 12 18 43,195,426 deadweight tons (that includes 6,000 deadweight tons 14 and over) in the world fleet, including government and military tankers and excluding those flying the flag of Russia and its satellite countries. These tankers expressed in equivalent T-2 parry capacity, that is, 16,000 tons at 14 and six-tenths knots, aggregate 2544 T-2's. Prior to the closure of the Suez Canal and loss of certain Middle East pipelines, there were only three 20 over-age tankers (1.2 T-2) in tie-up which leads the Working 21 Group to believe that the tanker requirements just balanced 21 availability at that time.

As of November 1, in tankers of 6,000 dwt and over, there were 814 ships of 23,352,200 deadweight tons (estimated 25 Equivalent to 1,545 T-2's) on order or under construction for

SR

11

which contracts had been definitely signed. This information was obtained from Maritime Administration sources and does not include a number of tankers which the Committee feels has reached a firm point in negotiations between shipowners and shippards and, therefore, should be added to the Maritime Administration list.

Therefore, the Working Group, through preliminary investigations of their own, show an indication of 5,570,400 dead-weight tons, equivalent to 383 T-2's of tankers on order or planned over and above the 23,352,200 dwt indicated in the preceding paragraph. Letters have been sent to major shipowners world-wide, requesting their plans for tankers to be delivered before the end of 1965, and an analysis of their replies will be reported in the final report of the Working Group.

categories of the 23, 352,200 dwt definitely on order or under construction as of November 1, 1956, plus the 5,570,400 dwt additional indicated on order or planned. It is interesting to note on Statement "A" the number of large sized tankers definitely on order or under construction over the next several years. You will notice, if you add those figures together, that approximately 25 percent of the new ships planned will be 40,000 tons or better.

The Working Group feels that the tanker construction capacity of the world shippards is about 300 equivalent T-2's 32

SR

7

10

ÌΙ

1.2

14

18

14

17

12

19

20

21

21

2.8

24

àS

1 per year, broken down 275 in free foreign yards and 25, in U.S. This indication of shippard capacity has been arrived at by analyzing building performance of the world shipyards and assessing total shipbuilding capacity vis-a-vis ways available for tanker construction. In view of the present indicated steel shortage over the next few years, it is felt that the assessed shippard capacity is probably a maximum figure. It will be noted on Statement "B" that the last two months of 1956 and the years 1957 and 1958 indicate tanker deliveries in excess of this assessed shipyard capacity. rate of delivery in that period suggests a rate higher than 11 the 300 T-2's, but it is felt that slippages in delivery dates

will bring these deliveries in line with estimated yard capacity

It is recognized that the combined effects of tanker scrappage, conversions to dry cargo and other non-petroleum services plus any marine losses, will partially offset the estimated future construction mentioned above. However, the Working Group feels these reductions will be only nominal (approximately 25 T-2s annually) during the 1957-1961 period. On this basis it appears that the world fleet will be increased 54 percent between now and 1961 by tankers now under construction if for on order for which contracts have been signed based on Maritime Administration figures alone; this amounts to a compounded annual growth rate of 9 percent for the next five 25 years. This rate of growth should continue through 1962

when considering those tankers, aggregating 5,570,400 dwt, which

SR

14

17

as yet have not been contracted for but are, to the knowledge of the working Group, definitely planned.

It is difficult to estimate the number of tankers now on order or under construction which could be increased in size although it is felt that an increase would be possible in particular yards for those tankers scheduled for delivery from 1959 on. A very rough estimate under these conditions would indicate about 25 to 50 ships could be enlarged to the 60,000 ton or over category. However, the exact amount of additional onnage that could be gained by enlarging individual vessels over the size now ordered or planned is somewhat academic, since increasing the size of each tanker would cause a delay in its completion and thus only accomplish building big ships at the expense of reducing the number delivered. Furthermore, even with larger ships delivered, the shippard capacities would not be appreciably increased above the approximate annual 300 T-2 equivalents mentioned previously, particularly in view of anticipated short supply of steel.

The Working Group feels that its preliminary report would not be complete without mentioning the apparent shortage of drydocks, world-wide, in which repairs could be made to tankers of 60,000 dwt and over. A list of drydocks available, worldwide, to handle ships in excess of 102 foot beam, which is about 50,000 dwt, is attached, from which it will be noted that the United States East Coast is woefully lacking in these tacilities.

SR

3

11

18

1 #

14

17

12

19

20

21

12

2.5

24

25

A statement showing satient characteristics of typical
lankers of various sizes is also attached, and attention is
carticularly directed to the beam and draft of these large tanker
in general, the tankers of 45,000 dwt and over can only be
stillized efficiently in certain specific long-haul trades, such
as Persian Gulf to the U.S. West Coast, and Persian Guld to
rectain major European and U.S. East Coast ports. Tankers of
50,000 tons and up can only be loaded fully in certain Persian
Gulf ports and could be discharged fully loaded only at LeHavre
and possibly lower Delaware Bay and certain U.S. West Coast ports
which indicates the port development job ahead in order to
titilize these larger ships efficiently. In this connection,
certain Caribbean loading ports are now being improved to fully

It appears that the Suez Canal and Panama Canal under present conditions would limit vessels to a maximum of about 17 60,000 dwt with draft limitations of about 30 feet in Suez and about 37 feet 0 inches in Panama.

I would like to mention at this point that the actual depth of the water in the Suez Canal is greater than 30 feet. The ships drawing 35 feet were able to transit prior to shutdown and the project department would have permitted about 36 feet to be carried through next year. The reason for this limitation of 30 feet is that there is a ruling in the Canal operation that the total area of the skin of the ship has to

SR

In the case of the Panama Canal, the limitations are a maximum beam of 107 feet on account of the lock width of 109 feet and 41 to 42 feet depth in fresh water. Within these limitations vessels larger than 60,000 dwt, up to a maximum langth of 900 feet, could transit the Panama Canal locks.

A preliminary review of this Interim Report indicates
to the Committee the following:

- (1) Active world shippards are presently booked to capacity through 1961.
- (2) There is an indicated shortage of steel for the present ship construction program.
- (3) Considerable work is required in development of ports and port facilities, including drydocks and repair facilities, for large vessels.

A final report will be submitted, we nope, by the Working Group during the first part of January.

That is all I have. Mr. Chairman. I don't know whether
you want to formally adopt the report or not; I think it is
pust for information. However, if you do, I move the adoption of
the report.

CHAIRMAN HALLANAN: Gentlemen, you have heard the interim report of the tanker facilities committee presented by

SR

10

11

11

18

14

15

14

17

Mr. Jennings, and while Mr. Jennings is on his feet, I should like to ask if there are questions that any of the members desire lso ask. Mr. Morse of the Maritime Commission, do you have any 5 Comments in connection with the report just made? MR. MORSE: No, we find it substantially coincides with The information available to us, and it is a very good document. CHAIRMAN HALLANAN: Any questions, gentlemen? (No response.) If not, the motion is for the adoption of this interam 10 eport as presented by Mr. Jennings. VOICE: I second the motion. 1 2 (Whereupon, the motion was put to a vote and carried 13 4 unanimously.) CHAIRMAN HALLANAN: The report is unanimously adopted. 18 Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. While we are waiting for some of the government repre-17 is sentative to arrive who were delayed by reason of a cabinet meeting this morning, I should like to jump over the agenda lat this time to item number 10 and present Major General L.E. Cotulia. Staif Director of the Petroleum Togistics Division of the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense. He will bring as up to date on the military petroleum requirements. REMARKS OF MAJ. GENERAL L.E. COTULLA 24

GENERAL COTULLA: The agenda stem bills me for a

SR

2

5

7

8 9

10

11

14

12

14

SR

18

14

. 17 18

19

30

21

22 2.5

14

15

discussion of mulitary requirements. At this particular juncture I think it is perhaps not the best time in the world to be discussing military requirements, because actually the picture is a little bit hazy at the present time as to the future trend in military requirements, and perhaps Admiral Lattu who will speak to you in a few minutes will give you more specific information on that.

I personally would not predict precisely the military requirements over the next few years. So rather than talk about that, I thought perhaps you would be interested, since you have just heard a very excellent report on the tanker situation, in my bringing you up to date on what the military has done with its tanker fleet.

There seems to have been a good deal of mis-information that has inadvertently gotten out and circulated both here and abroad as to the capabilities of the military transport It could be summed up briefly in that the Military fleet. Sea TransportationService has had a basic \$2 tanker fleet of about 47 vessels in service hauling military products from various points to military forces overseas and in some instandes to the East Coast and West Coast.

Now this has been augmented within the past few weeks by the activation or the proposed activation of some 12 of the Maritime Reserve fleet tankers, 13 Navy fleet oilers and 8 MSTS, that is, Military Sea Transportation Service, Reserve lleet.

l

5

7

11

12

SR

14 3

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

39

24

25

vessels going into the military fleet at the present time. Eight of those are in service at the present time and the rest are proceeding on activation schedule and will probably be in service within the next month or six weeks. In addition to that, we are getting, I hope this week or next week at any rate, one new tanker from construction, a 25,000 tonner, and we hope to get one more next month with

So we have a total of 8 and 12 and 13, or 33 additional

give us a basic fleet of about 84 vessels. Then of course we had a few -- I say we; I am using that as a broad term because actually this is strictly a Navy and Military Sea Transportation Service matter, although those of us in the Defense Department attempt to keep close track of these things -- in

two more coming off sometime early in the Spring.

addition to that we have a certain number of voyage, of time charter vessels, which MSTS has secured in order to meet the

military requirements. At the present time we have eight of

those. The type of charter, the length of charter, whether

they are just voyageor long-term time charters, I do not know.

So we will have, potentially, 92 vessels in the

military fleet sometime within the next few months. That, I feel, is just about marginal so far as meeting the military

requirements as we see them now.

You must remember that in addition to causing certain disturbances in the normal pattern distribution and supply-

Mv remarks

1 demand picture in overseas areas, this has also caused certain repurcussions in the military demand. We normally have local procurement contracts in certain countries; it is questionable whether they will be able to perform and in certain instances we have had additional requirements generated because of increased tempo and activity. We are just about even with the board now. Whether or not we are going to be able to say that 7 in the next month or not, I don't know. It is quite likely that there may be some additional demands placed on the commercial fleet in order to take care of the U.S. military requirements. I don't wish to alarm you at all, because that 11 certainly isn't going to help the situation if we find it **, 2** 13 necessary. It will not be done unless it is absolutely mandatory but there is that possibility. So that, very briefly, is 15 the military tanker fleet. There are no reserve tankers hanging on the hook any place that we can use. We are about 17 ! up to the ears at the present time. 18 If there are any questions in that connection, I would 9 be happy to answer them. Thank you very much, 20 CHAIRMAN HALLANAN: Thank you, General Cotulla. 21 Next we will hear from Rear Admiral Lattu, who is 2.2 Executive Director of the Military Petroleum Supply Agency.

REMARKS OF ADMIRAL LATTU

ADMIRAL LATTU: "Mr. Chairman, gentlemen:

45 will be confined to two points this morning: the procurements

 $\mathbf{SR}$ 

40

23

in the European theatre and some of the problems that happen during this crisis.

"Now the bulk of our military requirements for the European theatre are purchased from the East Coast and the Gulf Coast and the Caribbean area. We do buy some products from our European contractors, maybe special, some jet, particularly JP 4 keorsene and diesel oil. Now our European contracts were firm through March 1957 and naturally a month ago we were very much concerned whether they would be able to deliver. General Cotulia pointed out, the tanker situation was bad and we were very much concerned whether we could cover those requirements from the United States. At that time our suppliers stated that they felt that they could meet our requirements through 31 December, but could promise nothing beyond that.

"Fortunately, things perhaps have eased up and today we get the word that most of the contractors feel they can meet our requirements through those contracts, through 31 March 1957. There have been some losses here and there that we have managed to cover in spot cargoes and things of that sort.

Now in addition to this, of course there were increased deployments of fleet units and there wereincreased uses by the Air Force. This meant that we had sudden requirements which we had not anticipated. Our worst problem was the 115 and 145 Av gas and JP 5. Our normal procurement methods did not bring 25 P

SR

2

3

7

10

11

12

13

ì 5

17

20

21

23

sufficient supplies to meet these sudden requirements and we had to send a telegram to our normal suppliers, to the presiden of the companies, to bring it to their attention to try and get these urgent requirements.

( Now your response was very good indeed, and our immediate requirements were met. I certainly want to take this opportunity to thank all of all gentlemen who came to my rescue during that tight period. We were unable to procure all of our JP 5 requirements. The Navy in the future will need larger amounts of this particular fuel. We estimate that today we are using 6,000 barrels a day and in the next 12 month it will go up to 10,000 barrels a day.

Now you will probably want to know what is this JP 5 and why does the Navy need this particular fuel. It has two requirements which set it apart from the commercial kerosene. First, the flash point of 140 degrees Fahrenheit is 20 degrees higher. Secondly, the freezing point is minus 55 degrees. The flash point is necessary for the safety of the personnel on aircraft carriers who store this on the outside of the ship in the hull, in the skin of the ship. We are now getting jet aircraft with tanks in the wing structure and because of the thinness and complexity of the structure, thermoinsulation is not feasible. Aircraft flying combat air patrols at 40,000 feet altitude must have this minus 55 degrees freezing point fuel. Our requirements in the past for JP 5 were small and

SR

2

3

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

us and they satisfied our requirements. Now, in the future, we certainly want to develop more sources for this product, particularly on the West and East Coasts. Therefore, essentially our European distribution has not changed materially due to the crisis. Our longer range picture not only for JP 5 but for 115 and 145 Av Gas is tight. In addition, we have always had difficulty securing sufficient navy special on the West Coast. We hope that we can correct this in the future.

Now from this, from my remarks, you may gather that we have many problems. Petroleum has been a very hot subject in Washington and in the month that I have taken over at MPSA most of my problems were putting out fires and believe me there were plenty of them. We had a conference for three days of representatives from all over the world who represented those commands in petroleum matters, and they brought a lot of excellent suggestions in as to how to meet some of their emergency problems.

One of the longer range problems that has developed as a result of this crisis is storage. That is our problem, to try and get more storage in certain particular areas. Again, I would like to thank those gentlemen that came to my rescue recently.

Thanks very much for this opportunity of giving you a few remarks, thank you.

SR

-

CHAIRMAN HALLANAN: "Thank you very much," Admiral.

( We are tremendously honored and pleased to have a distinguished member of the United States Senate as a visitor with us this morning, a man who has always taken a very devoted and a very keen interest in the problems of the petroleum industry. It has been my observation that Schator O'Mahoney of Wyoming has given such attention to the problems of the oil industry that he has always constituted himself as one of the very best informed men in Congress on the problems that face us. I know that from the experience we had with the old O'Mahoney committee which was organized some years ago to investigate and to look into this oil problem, that his approach was constructive, friendly, and was completely objective and those of us who had an opportunity to appear before him at that time when he was conducting those hearings, recall his eminent fairness and his great prudence and wisdom in approaching all of the varied problems that stack up with a great industry like ours.

I know that he is very much interested in the problems of this day and he is here this morning because of that interest. I know that there is a great respect within the men of the oil industry for Senator O'Mahoney, and I am delighted to present him to the Council at this time.

(Applause.)

Silv

2

3

5

T

10

11

11

12

14

15

16

17

19

19

20

ÌĹ

22

23

24

25

ì 2

7

10

11

18

15

17

18

ìĐ

21

14

3.5

REMARKS OF THE HONORABLE JOSEPH C. O'MAHONEY

Y. SENATOR O'MAHONEY: "Mr. Jennings tells me that I have got to hold a hand on a button here in order to be heard. Well, I am accustomed to having buttons around and seeing buttons around in the managerial economy/which this nation has come .

a Mr. Hallanan, I didn't recognize myself from the very gracious introduction that you have given to me and I thank you for it. I will wear the disguise for a few moments as I stand before this very efficient group.

I would like to say first of all that the National Petroleum Council during World War II and during World War I, cooperated with the government without reservation in the great task that the Government had of conducting these military ventures. Fortunately they were successfully conducted.

The National Petroleum Council and the government were responsible for supplying the fuel, without which the military activities which were necessary to win those wars could not have been carried on,

! I want to express my appreciation also of the cooperation of the government, the Executive Branch of the government, in the present crisis. The State Department, the Office of Delense Mobilization, the Department of Justice, have come to me because I express some opinions with respect to the organization which must take place in my view. If the same success which the petroleum industry and the government had in past years is to

SR

important I think to remember that the Defense Product Act under which the new Middle East Emergency Committee has been established is a law of Congress, delegating legislative powers to the Executive. It is important to remember that the very central point of the world-wide struggle that is now going on, an economic struggle, the cold war it is sometimes called, is the just participation of the masses of the people in what government does with respect to the regulation of commerce.

achievements of the United States as a people have been due to the fact that we have had freedom in this country and that every mind, every human body, wherever it existed, both mind and body, were free to utilize the resources of mature, the advance of technology and of science in order to better serve the needs of the people and of the states. We are struggling to maintain that principle of government. It cannot be maintained if we drift into the field of theory that management itself is sufficient. Management, from a central resource, whether political or economic, is not the basis upon which the constitution of the United States was drafted.

Now in this industry of yours, the public land states are vitally interested because many of them when they were admitted to the Union were granted public land to be used for the support of schools and they draw royalties from the

SR

ÿ

1 \$

2.1

## COPY

development of the oil on the school lands which they lease. These leases are granted to small companies, and to big compan-There are interested those who purchase the oil products of the oil industry, whether they be industrial corporations using diesel fuel, transportation companies, or just a man and his wife and family going on a Sunday jaunt in a jalopy. They are entitled to receive these products and to know that they are receiving them at a price which is fair and just to That is what the Constitution, that is the all concerned. power which the Constitution gave to the Congress, namely, to regulate commerce among the states, with foreign nations, and with independent distributors. Now the whole world is in turmoil. A special committee has been established, the Middle East Emergency Committee. That committee has been representative, as originally concieved, only of those corporations which are engaged in the international oil industry. But what is done in the world at large is bound to affect the plain citizer of the United States, the independent refiner, the radependent producer, the states, and the land owners on whose land oil is to be found.

United States, we have got to make certain that in the administration of world affairs we do not take steps which undermine
the economic capacity of the United States to produce the
revenue which sust after all lineage this whole economic war

 $\mathcal{M}$ 

3

5

7

10

11

12

12

14

15

15

17

12

19

10

21

2.2

23

2 4

7 "

on the part of free men. We know that the Bureau of the Budget is now struggling with the problem of increasing the expenditures of the United States next year, next fiscal year, by probably two and a half to three billion dollars. That in itself, because of the government expenditure for defense, for economic aid abroad, will have an effect upon the economy of the United States. We know that there is a demand in domestic United States for more dollars than are available. This demand apon the shortage of dollars is already producing rising rates of interest, with the strange result too that the Government is now required to pay more for the money it needs to carry on this program fighting the economic war on the world scene than ever before.

to say not only thank you to the representatives of the government, who have cooperated with the Congress— through me I was about to say: Congress is in recess. I happen to be a member of the committee of Interior and Insular Affairs which deals with the production and distribution of oil in the United States on the public lands. I happen to be a member, also act of chairmant of the Judiciary Subcommittee on Anti-trust and Equation. If we fight for a free world at all, we light for a free world at all free world at all

11

14

٠, ١

1.4

to preserve absolutely free independent enterprise with its opportunity,

I am confident that the cooperation of the government, the National Petroleum Council, the leaders of the oil industry big and little with the times, will produce as successful a result as was produced during World War II and during the Korean War. So I say, I am happy to be with you in your consideration of these problems and I venture to call your attention to the fundamental principles which are at stake and I feel confident that there will be a success to the cooperative effort which is now being made. The fact that your Council has been called to meet here today to discuss with the leaders of the government, the Executive Branch of the government, this problem is an indication that it is going to come out all right, and I want to assure you, Mr. Hallanan and all who are here, that I shall attempt to cooperate in the future as I have done in the past. I am now merely a junior senator, but I will do my best, 15

(Applause.) 4 9 4

CHAIRMAN HALLANAN: Senator, it isn't necessary for me to stress our great pleasure in having you here and hearing what you have had to say. I think it is appropriate at this time 24 to say that originally when this Council was organized, that it 2. (was contemplated specifically in the Articles of Organization Withat these meetings should be open to the representatives of the

SR

1

11

19

government, to the representatives of Congress, and it gives us a very heartening feeling to have men like you come to join us in these deliberations and we are happy and we hope you will come frequently, Senator.

While waiting for the arrival of some of our other government representatives, I am going to call for a recess of 10 minutes.

(Mercupon, a short recess was taken.)
RECESS

SR

2.2

GHAIRMAN HALLANAN: The Council will be in order, gentlemen. This special meeting of the National Petroleum Council was called for the purpose of having the member hear a review of the overall situation facing the petroleum industry as a result of the Middle East crisis. The purpose is to inform the industry on the nature of the crisis and to enable the members and units in the industry to act with intelligence on the problems that are involved.

This meeting is not for the purpose of Council action. Ail of us on the Council and the Secretary and the Director of the Office of Oil and Gas and their staff well know the procedures involved in council action. If Secretary Seaton or the Director of the Office of Oil and Gas wishes council action on any matters related to the crisis, they will no doubt submit them to the Council in accordance with the procedures established in the Articles of Organization and if this is done, such matters will be considered promptly in accordance with our procedure.

I know that we are all happy to have this opportunity to hear first hand from the representatives of government as to the fact involved in the Fiddle East crisis as they affect the petroleum industry and we are honored this morning to have with us those smallemen who are dealing directly with the problems at issue.

I now take pleasure to presenting to the Council the

SR

•

Ю

3.0

r distinguished Secretary of the Interior, the Honorable Fred

(Applause.)

REMARKS OF THE HONORABLE FRED A. SEATON, SECRETARY
OF THE INTERIOR

MR. SEATON: Chairman Hallanan and members of the National Petroleum Council: Some of you in the room here know that I have just returned from a visit to the Virgin Islands and therefore there hasn't been enough time for me to catch up on all recent developments in our oil problems. While I was away, Fleix Wormser and Hugh Stewart have been carrying forward the Department's oil activities in connection with the Middle East emergency. On them — and I honor them for it — has fallen the burden of developing a petroleum program within the administration's overall policies.

I am well aware, as I know you are, of the seriousness of the problem which the free world faces as a result of transportation stoppages in the Widdle East. I am also aware of the complications that you in the industry are facing.

record, have guided our efforts to date and will continue to guide our efforts until oil from the Middle East flows normally again. The first orthosple is this: Let the industry handle this problem to the maximum extent possible with the least governmental interference consistent with the national interest

311

11

16

and federal law. The Middle East Emergency Committee was created to meet a government need. The plan of action under which it operates was developed jointly by government and industry and approved by the government as a means of permitting the industry to take actions to supply oil to Europe. Government gave industry extraordinary freedom including certain immunity under the anti-trust laws. The government has an obligation to review the actions of the Middle East Emergency Committee. We gave the American petroleum industry tools to help solve a mutual problem and at the same time the government holds a check rein.

The second principle is: The increased flow of
Western Hemisphere oil to Europe and the rest of the free world
must not result in petroleum shortages in the United States.

The American petroleum companies represented on the Middle East
Emergency Committee must be, and I hope will be, constantly
alert to the effect of their proposed actions on the supplies
of oil for the American people.

The third principle is: Efforts will be made to keep to a minimum the adverse effect on the various segments of the petroleum industry. Here the administration has only limited authority granted by the Congress. You in the petroleum industry must by your own actions ward off adverse effects on other segments of your industry.

The question frequently raised is what will happen

SR

I II

to prices? The Admin stration, as you know, has an authority to control the prices. And therefore the industry must be fully aware of and certainly is responsible for the effects of any price changes it makes.

The fourth principle is: To meet the objective without burdensome controls over the petroleum industry and here the Administration believes and hopes that this can be done through the voluntary cooperative action of government and industry.

The fifth principle: The Federal Government will not encroach upon states rights. In the solution of this petroleum problem, the Federal Government will rely on cooperation and support of the oil regulatory bodies of the states. There is no reason to believe that the states will not by their own individual actions render maximum support to this program.

The Office of Oil and Gas has been assigned Interior's oil responsibilities in connection with this Middle East emergency. Wr. Hugh Stewart will explain later how that office plans to increase its staff to discharge its increased responsibilities and now I have called upon Wr. Herbert Hoover, Jr., the Under Secretary of State, Doctor Arthur Flemming, Director of the Office of Defense Mobilization, Assistant Secretary Felix Aormser, and Mr. Hugh Stewart to outline to you the administration's general policies on this problem and their application to oil.

They will describe the critical nature of the emergency aused by the transportation stoppage in the Middley hast and

SR

2

×

ď

7

10

11

12

18

15

13

17

19

19

פע

21

22

24

""

the reasons why the povernment decided to reduce the oil

how in closing, I would like to say this, and say it with all the sincerity at my command: So far as I am concorned and I am sure that I speak for the administration, we are delighted you gentlemen are nere today; we think your participation in this meeting and those of you who participate in the Committee are simply another evidence that industry and government can work together under a proper framework of Moders and intent and purpose, and I am quite certain that your elligets will read and to the benefit of your country and i say that to you gentlemen in spite of the certain critics of your position and ours, who either reflect themselves in eatternal columns or golde properly, as certainly is their principle, in the nails of (ongress. At least so far as we and you are concerned today, yesterday and tomorrow, we are trictner in the objectives for which we are striving in this presont emergency.

Chank you very much,

(applates)

Scaton. Gentlemen, as Secretary Seaton has indicated, we are also donored here this corning with the presence of the Under Secretary of State, a man who has called a tremendous ourden since the development of this international crisis, the

ï

11

20

man for whom we have the greatest respect and regard and affection, and I am happy to introduce the Honorable Herbert Hoover, Jr., the Under Secretary of State.

(Applause.)

3

7

ĸ

10

11

12

.

1.4

15

16

17

1 2

4

20

21

22

14

45

REMARKS OF THE HONORABLE HERBERT HOOVER, JR.,
UNDER SECRETARY OF STATE

MR. HOOVER: Thank you very much, Walter. I am grateful for this opportunity to speak briefly to the National Petroleum Council representing as it does the entire petroleum industry of the United States.

The events of the last few weeks are well known to all of you and I do not need to review them in any detail here this morning. I right simply say that the United States has stood firmly for certain basic and fundamental principles. This has take a great deal of courage because among other things we have had to differ with some of our oldest friends and that we deeply regret. It the same time we have averted the most serious threat to world peace since the end of World War II. But the danger to our National security is by no means past, and the problems that face us today are ones in which you, the members of this Council, must play a very vital part.

of the British and French troops will be withdrawn from the Suez Canal area, and the Shield Nations' forces will have taken over. There remains an urgent task of restoring the Canal to

5

·

progress has already been made in the vicinity of Port Said but still there remains much to be done. In the meantime, a million and a half barrels of oil daily passing through the Canal, most of which was destined for Western Europe, has been cut off. A preliminary survey indicates that even if no unforeseen obstacles arise, shallow draft traffic will not be able to move through the Canal for at least three months.

Restoring the Canal to its full capacity will take very much longer, of course.

The work of clearing the obstructions, a responsibility which the United Nations has assumed, is under the capable direction of Raymond A. Wheeler, who is formerly Chief of the Corps of Engineers of the United States Army. We have full confidence that the project will be accomplished as rapidly as it is humanly possible to do it.

At the same time that fighting broke out in Egypt and the Suez Canal was blocked, the pipelines were sabotaged in Syria. This action cut off an additional 540,000 barrels a day, virtually all of which was also destined for western Europe. The most strong and continuing representations are being made to the Syrian Government to permit repairs to these lines, but so far they have not seen fit to grant such permission. In the meantime production in Iraq is practically at a standstill. It is our hope and our expectation, however,

 ${
m SR}$ 

1 🗳

that the Syrian Government in its own interest as well as that of the world at large will accode to these requests.

It has been estimated that temporary repairs could be effected to the pipelines within about three months from the time of commencing work, and that would restore approximately from 200,000 to 300,000 barrels daily through these lines.

rebuild the pumping stations and oring back the system to its full capacity. There is, therefore, today a blockage of normal shipments to Western Europe through the Suez Canal and the Syrian pipelines of approximately 1,700,000 barrels per day out of a normal consamption of 3,800,000 barrels per day, on a blockage of over 60 percent.

Sufficient tankers are available to divert part of
this flow around the Cape of Good Hope, but it will probably
be six months before an appropriable volume can be restored
through the month channels. In the meantime, western Europe,
which for reographic reasons in the area where the major
impact of the Canar and pipeline stoppage is felt, must look
to the instern Hemisphere for help in meeting its requirements.
It best, however, shortages of 20 percent are expected to
remain. Already harope has sharply reduced its use of oil.
hast stommer soon after the expressitation of the Suez Canal, the

1 2

1.7

; 7

for European Economic Cooperation, known as the OEEC, to consider plans to cope with the petroleum shortage in the event that the Canal should become closed. These governments decided that in the event of a blockage they would share and share alike if an emergency arose and that any necessary deviations from this principle should be settled in the OEEC. At the same time they set up the machinery for a special committee to handle the practical problems of the industry in the distribution in Europe.

In August also the Office of Defense Mobilization, under Doctor Plemming, requested the formation of the Middle East Emergency Committee under the authority of the Defense Production Act. It was formed, and it undertook extensive studies of the actions that would be required to meet whatever contingencies might arise. The plans and machinery were ready when the time came therefore, and virtually no time was lost before it was possible for it to go into action.

Since the close of world War II, the economy of Western Europe was necoming increasingly dependent upon oil. demonstrated by the fact very simply that the annual increase in consumption due to the change-over from coal had been almost twice as high as in the United States. It has averaged almost 14 percent each year over the preceding year. Under such circumstances, these countries with their highly industrialized economy and often with rigorous climates, face untold hardships in a period of petroleum shortage. Difficulties in power

SR

3

7

10

11

12

18

14

16

14

17

12

19

30

21

11

22

generation and transportation, together with industrial unemploy—
ment and the approaching winter, make the problem one of
immediate gravity. While the situation is not one of our
making, it is one where we naturally wish to minimize the
threat of an economic depression in Europe with all of the
implications of political and social instability that inevitably
might accompany it.

in Western Europe are our allies in NATO. With them, in our mutual defense, we have counteracted the threat of cutright military aggression by the Soviets with increasing effectiveness. There is no question whatsoever that a serious petroleum shortage in NATO will vitally affect our own national security.

There has been considerable public misunderstanding perhaps of the financial problems that are involved in the new pattern of petroleum supply. Actually two problems arose simultaneously. The first one was to restore confidence in sterling, which is the medium of exchange for an important percentage of the world's international trade. This was accomplished primarily through the establishment of a 1.3 billion dollar credit in the international monetary fund.

The second was to assure that sufficient foreign exchange is available in Europe to cover purchases of dollar oil through the normal commercial channels. These objectives have also been assured in part by a secured short-term loan

SR

 $e_{c}$ 

.

•

SR

-8

which is now being negotiated from the import-export bank and which will facilitate commercial exchange transactions over the period of the emergency.

In closing, may I emphasize one additional point.

Incident to recent developments, we have to win the respect and confidence of the large majority of free nations in the world to a greater extent than perhaps ever before in recent history.

These countries are looking to the United States for leader—ship and support in the present emergency and particularly with respect to their petroleum requirements. Our government has done and is doing everything that it is possible to do to meet this situation. I have no doubt that the petroleum industry in all its branches will do likewise.

mank you. Latin of Honorable arthur Flermon Mobilyation (Applance) Walter S. Halant Charman

CHAIRMAN HALLANAN: Thank you very much, Mr. Secretary It is a very great pleasure to have these gentlemen with us this morning who have been dealing with this crisis from the time of its inception to give us an opportunity to get a panoraisic picture of the overall world situation today.

When this situation developed in its early stages, I received a communication from Doctor Flamming, Director of the Office of Defense Mobilization, having to do with a conference, an informal conference, to discuss the matter of tanker facilities. And that preliminary conference brought

about the report which was presented, the interim report which was presented earlier in the session dealing with the projected tanker situation over the next several years.

as the Director of Defense Mobilization, in this problem. I want him to be assured that this Council is ready to work and cooperate with him through the Department of Interior and to give him the very best that we have in the way of talent and ability and service. And we are happy to have Doctor Flemming here this morning and I take great pleasure in presenting at this time to the Council the Director of the Office of Defense Mobilization.

## Appliant of

REMARKS OF THE HONORABLE ARTHUR S. FLEMMING,

DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF DEFENSE MOBILIZATION

Council May I say first of all that I appreciate very much having the opportunity of meeting with you at this time. In think this is the first time that I have had the privilege of meeting with the Council as a whole, although as many of you know, I have had the opportunity of meeting with many of you in other seetings dealing with the various segments of the problems that have confronted this industry over a period of the past few years.

t would like to say this, that as a result of the

1.2

હિ

contact and associations that I have had with all segments of this industry, I have developed a great respect and a great admiration for the willingness of all segments of the industry to make whatever contributions it is possible for you to make to the security of our nation. And personally, I feel that all of us who are in positions of responsibility in the government are deeply indebted to you for your willingness to make these contributions.

As most of you know, the Director of the Office of Defense Mobilization really functions as a coordinator in dealing with problems such as the one we have been dealing with over a period of the past few months. In fact, the Executive Order which the President issued in 1953 identifies him as the person who coordinates in behalf of the President all aspects of the mobilization program. And so it is in that role that I have been working in this particular area for the past few months.

I want to say this, that in all of the experiences that I have had in government, particularly over a period of the past four years, I do not know of any experience that has been more neartening to me than the experience that I have had of working with the various departments and agencies of the Executive Branch of the government in connection with this very serious problem. And contrary to what you may read in the newspapers from time to time, I can say to you that throughout

\_î

this crists the Executive Branch has functioned as a team and those who have had a direct and vital interest in this problem have at all times been in agreement as to the steps that should be taken and as to the timing of those stops. Let me be very specific. You have read in the newspapers, as I have, and others have, statements to the effect that the distinguished public servant whom you have just heard was reluctant to put the plan of action into operation for various reasons that are assigned by various writers. I happen to know, because of the fact that I have been in the middle of this operation, that that is not true. The reverse of that is true. The Under Secretary, Acting Secretary of State, was very anxious to put this plan of action into operation just as soon as the international situation made it possible to do so. We met constantly, those of us who are charged with responsibility in this area, and we did, throughout this very difficult period, function as a team.

As I see it, that is the responsibility of one who serves in the kind of office we serve in, to make sure that all interested departments and agencies have the opportunity of expressing their point of view and to make sure of the fact that those points of view are before the President before he makes a final decision.

As you know, one of the responsibilities of the Director of Defense Mobilization is to supervise the administration of

SR

2

1

10

11

12

15

15

15

17

18

19

Łΰ

21

27

22

24

25

the Defense Production Act. And as you also know, the action which has been taken in this particular area has been taken under the authority of the Defense Production Act. The particular section under which we are operating is a section that was subjected to very close scrutiny by the Congress of the United States when the Act was up for extension just two years ago. I have had the opportunity of testifying before the Senate Banking and Currency Committee and before the House Banking and Currency Committee on this section. There were those who felt that this particular section should be stricken from the law. We took the position that this section was still needed, that emergencies could develop which would call for the kind of action provided for under this section. And in the light of the developments of the past few months, I am very thankful that we did maintain that particular position and I am very thankful that a majority of both the Senate and House Banking and Currency Committees and the Senate and House as bodies concurred in our judgment.

However, as I participated in the discussions which led to the decision to include or to keep this particular section in the Defense Production Act, I did become very much aware of the issues of public policy that are involved in the United States of this particular section.

Personally, I feel that it should be used only when this natum is confronted with a very serious emergency. And

SR

2

3

5

7

11

12

18

14

15

16

17

15

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

I also feel that when it is used, those of us who are in a position of public office should recognize the fact that we assume responsibility for what happens when the section is brought into being or when the section is used to bring a plan of action into being. I like to think of it in this way, as I think particularly in terms of the Middle East Emergency Committee: The government of the United States has really delegated authority to act to that committee. members of that committee have expressed their willingness on a voluntary basis to accept that delegation of authority to act from the United States Government. We can delegate authority to act, but we cannot divest ourselves of responsibility for what happens. And I know that I express the feelings of all of the heads of the Departments and agencies that are concerned when I say we recognize the fact that we cannot divest ourselves of the responsibility for what happens.

That is why, of course, under the plan of action we have provided for the submission to the government, specifically to the Secretary of the Interior, for his approval, the programs or schedules. As you undoubtedly know, when those programs and schedules are referred to the Secretary of the Interior, the Secretary of the Interior is making sure of the fact that all of the interested departments and agencies have the apportunity of examining those programs or schedules and making recommendations relative to the programs and schedules

SR

3

5

7

10

11

14

13

14

15

16

17

ï

19

**2**0

21

22

23

24

**2**5

Two have been submitted to him and before they are approved. I can assure you that they were subjected to very careful. scrutiny on the part of the interested agencies of the government before the Acting Secretary of Thtesior, Mr. Wormser, indicates his approval of those programs or schedules. approved the programs or schedules, we feel of course that there is a responsibility upon us to keep in touch with the actions that are taken under those programs or schedules and to subject them to a careful post-audit. If the post-audit brings to light any issues of public policy, those matters will be called immediately to the attention of the heads of the 11 departments and agencies that have responsibility in this 11 area, and if necessary the programs and schedules of course will 18 be amended in order to make sure of the fact that not only 14 the programs and schedules but the actions under those programs 15 and schedules conform to public policy. 14

I appreciate the fact that there are a great many currents and cross currents playing in on this situation and I appreciate the fact that they have to be weighed very very carefully. And I know that all of you will cooperate with us in making sure of the fact that they are balanced in such a way that we serve just a single objective, namely, promoting the national defense and national security interests of our nation. I think it is tremendously important as far as our total defense mobilization is concerned, for this plan of

SR

17

18

19

10

21

22

23

24

23

 $e_J$ 

Congress of the United States and to the people of this nation because if it does, then there won't be any question about using it again if an emergency develops in the realm of national security that requires it to be used.

In order to do everything we can to inspire confidence on the part of the people in the way in which government and industry is working together in this particular area, the government has adopted the policy of full publicity as far as our operations are concerned under this plan of action. We feel that if no air of mystery surrounds what is going on, that the people of the nation will have a great deal more confidence in what we are doing than would otherwise be the case.

May I just say this to you; although in a sense
I am repeating what both Secretary Seaton and Secretary Hoover
have already said. As you know, the Director of Defense
Mobilization has the privilege of serving as a member of the
National Security Council. Consequently, it has been possible
for me to watch the development of this crisis and the handling
of this crisis from the inside. It is difficult for me to
underline too emphatically the seriousness of the situation.
The objectives that are set forth in this plan of action, the
objectives that are set forth in connection with the work of
the middle East Emergency Committee, must be achieved in the

SR

. - -

 $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}$ 

25

Z

3

7

8

េ

11

12

13

14

19

16

17

1 \$

19

20

21

22

2.4

interests of the security of our nation and the security of the free world. And as we work towards the achievement of those objectives, I know I speak for all of my colleagues in the government, when I say to all of you, if you have the slightest question about the way in which a particular aspect of the problem is being handled, give as the benefit of your view, give us the apportunity of going into the point or points thoroughly that you feel should be raised and should be looked liito.

I know that in an industry as large as this, that not all segments of the industry will at all times be completely in agreement with the things that are done. If you are not, please tell us about the point which you disagree with the action that has been taken so that we will be able to explore what is being done in the light of your point of view.

We solicat your comments and your recommendations at all times just as we solicit the comments and recommendations of the members of Congress and of any other segment of the public at all times. Our sole desire and I know it is the sole desire of this industry, is to make this plan work in such a way as to strengthen the position of the United States and of the free world. And I am sure that as all of us have watched ddevelopments over a period of the past few months, 24 | we are more convinced than ever before of the absolute necessity of our nation and the free world dealing with the

7

10

11

14

1#

1 4

1 4

14

17

1歳

19

30

21

1.1

forces of international communism from a position of strength rather than weakness. When one occupies the kind of a position I occupy, it seems to me that he has to develop some kind of a personal philosophy of life in relation to the duties and responsibilities which are assigned to him. The longer I have been in this job, the more convinced I have been that in this kind of a world it is imperative for us to deal with the forces of international communism from a position of strength rather than a position of weakness and that if we do, it is altogether possible that we may deter the potential agressor.

of an opportunity of dealing with this particular crisis as it relates to the petroleum industry have an obligation to make a major contribution to the strengthening of our nation and of the free world and to demonstrate to the forces of international communism that we can proceed from a position of strength rather than from a position of weakness and in so doing I believe that each one of us is making a contribution to the prevention of war. We are making a contribution in the direction of deterring the potential aggressor and I am sure that all of us are going to approach our work in the weeks that I is ahead in that particular spirit.

May I express to you my deep appreciation for the work that your Committee has done in connection with this problem of the large tankers. I am delighted that your report

SR

. 2

SR

is now available to us, and as soon as I have the opportunity

of becoming acquainted with it, I will ask representatives

of the Council to meet again with the Cabinet officers designated by the President in his memorandum to me a couple of

months ago, and on the basis of this report, we in turn will

make a report with recommendations to the President, as to what,

if anything, the government should be doing in this particular

area.

I know that this is going to be an invaluable report to us as we seek to deal with this particular segment of the total problem.

Again, thanks a lot for all that you have done to strengthen our mobilization program in the past, and thanks a lot for all that you are doing today and that I know you will continue to do in the future. It is a great privilege to work with you.

(Aprance.) Still

CHAIRMAN HALLANAN: Doctor Flemming, may I, on behalf of the Council, express to you our genuine appreciation for coming. I think you are correct in your statement that this is the first time that you have appeared before the Council, but we hope that in the course of the future you will be back with us again, and it is fine to have you with us and to enjoy the opportunity of hearing your inspirational speech.

We now pass along in the agenda to the Assistant

Secretary of the Interior, Mr. Felix Wormser, who has been in close touch with the problems that have arisen since the Middle East crisis and I know that the members of the Council are always pleased to hear from Felix Wormser.

I present the Assistant Secretary of the Interior.
REMARKS OF THE HONORABLE FELIX WORMSER,

ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR

MR. WORMSER: Mr. Chairman, distinguished friends, members of the Council: There is little that I can add to the eloquence and lucidity with which Doctor Flemming expressed his attitude towards the work of the National Petroleum Council It has been my good fortune, as you know, to have worked closel with you for several years and I too am almost inexpressably delighted with the attitude, the cooperation, and the industry with which you have tackled some of the serious problems facing the government in relation to your own productive activities.

as you know as the administrative agency for the operation of the Voluntary Agreement. And I think today therefore it is quite appropriate that we put on the record what the Department's policies and activities will be at this time. So I should like to state that in administering the Voluntary Agreement, the Department of the Interior will furnish supervision and guidance to accomplish the following objectives:

SR

ĭ

- (a) Maintain domestic supply levels to take care of all requirements.
- (b) To administer supervisory controls upon all and every program and transaction taken under the Voluntary Agreement.
- (c) To compile statistical information on crude oil and products supply and demand, current statistics on all movements resulting from NEEC action, and capability and availability studies necessary for planning these.

I think also it may be desirable to insert in our record some of the criteria which the Department has used in approving the schedules that have been presented so far and may be presented in the future.

They are, as you probably already know: (1) That the program set forth in the schedule is reasonably calculated to reduce petroleum snortages in friendly foreign nations which have arisen as a result of the Middle East petroleum transport stoppage; and (2) That the program will not either by itself or in conjunction with actions taken under the approved schedules, cause a deficiency of petroleum supplies within the United States; and finally, that the program is in the public interest.

That, gentlemen, will guide the Department in its cooperation in making this terriply important program completely effective. Frank you.

SR

(Anplause.)

ì

2

3

5

Ŋ

10

11

I I

12

14

18

14

17

13

19

20

21

2.1

28

CHAIRMAN HALLANAN: The next one who will present a continuance of the review and the briefing which we are receiving today is Mr. Hugh Stewart, Director of the Office of Oil and Gas. Mr. Stewart.

(Applause.)

REMARKS OF HUGH A. STEWART, DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF OIL AND GAS

MR. STEWART: Mr. Chairman, gentlemen: This is an awkward position for me because the last time we had a council meeting I did all the talking. Now we have had some eminent speakers who have been able to give you clear-cut pictures of their position in this current emergency.

Before I launch into some of the particular detail that I want to cover, I want to make a comment on one statement that Doctor Flemming made to the effect that we proposed in the government to make a post-audit of each individual transaction under the schedule or program as well as reviewing the schedule or program itself. Now the word "post-audit" means afterwards, and a post-audit two or three or six months late would have no value whatever. And it is not that type of a post-audit that Doctor Flemming meant. We propose to make two audits, one as audit day by day in our New York office at which time any feature of any action under the schedule will be scrutinized from the standpoint of the

SR

25

SR

1.5

sure that the schedules as adopted, the individual transactions as set up, do conform exactly to the criteria and to the needs.

problems involved; we are very conscious of the anti-trust arise in the government and in the Congress on the anti-trust aspects. We likewise know that there is no other way in which we can meet this emergency except through the type of machine that is set up in this Middle East Committee.

We know that when the emergency fades, the Middle East Committee will be disbanded, a plan of action will be annulled. But we want to have as we go along close supervision, close auditing, and a clear-cut record and we want to convince any of the people who want to know or people who might otherwise be critics, of what we are doing, how we are doing it, and if they can help us improve it, we will be glad to adopt their suggestions.

Now getting away from that, Under Secretary Hoover,
Doctor Flemming, our own Secretary, and Secretary Wormser have
covered this problem quite effectively. All that remains is
for me to carry out the job that they have outlined to you.

I have got to pick up the pieces, I have got to keep the
machine put together and I have got to keep it working and
the doing that, I have already some top flight help, and I am

going to need some more. I thought it would be well to tell you that because of the operation of the Middle East Committee, we have had to establish an office in New York City, in which the Middle East Committee and its subcommittees will meet and in which we have Mr. Ralph B. Fowler, one of our fulltime employees, as Director of the Voluntary Agreement, and Ralph is here. Will you please stand, Ralph?

Ralph Fowler has a peculiar advantage to us. was a lawyor in his earlier days, he has had long experience in actual oil operations, and long experience in Europe. he understands many of the problems that we tace in Europe today.

We have Mr. Charles E. Gaitly (?) working in the Washington office along with Mc. Fowler. Then when the committees or subcommittees are working, we have to have a technical man from our staff present at each one of the meeting as his particular live makes it important, because we have to understand thoroughly down here just exactly what is done and what it means and how the picture unfolds as the information comes in to the subcommittees or the committee itself.

We have a fair staff to carry on that part of the work, that is our regular staff, but we don't have some of the specialists whom we need in Washington to help us through this present emergency. 'Among the ones we need As a man who has a thorough knowledge of domestic supply and transportation. We have got to get somebody in for 1, 2, 3 months to make sure

SR

15

17

7

10

11

ij

10

14

19

20

21

21

28

24

that the maximum is being attained from the supply available
to us for shipment abroad and that the transportation capacity
of the country is being used to its maximum capacity.

Likewise, we need him to guide us in reaching any conclusions that we have to reach for any actions that may need to be made somewhere down the road.

Now refining is a serious matter both in this country and abroad and we must have clear-cut understanding of the refining problems, current refining problems in the industry here at home and the industry abroad. And we were very fortunate in being able to induce Mr. William Argygle, who is retired vice president of refining for the Sinclair Oil Company to come in and sit with us on a consultant basis until we get that part of the work well organized.

I think most of you have known Bill Argygle at one time or another, but Bill, I wish you would stand up and let them all look at you.

(Applause.)

inthe production problems in the United States and we believe particularly the production problems in the State of Texas.

There we have not only the largest reserves and the largest reserve producing capacity, but it is close to tidewater and the problems of Texas are very complex in relation to meeting the requirements as we see them developing for oil to meet the

SR

present emergency. Consequently, we want a production man, we want a good one, and we would like to have him from Texas.

Now we are currently trying to persuade one individual to come in. I think he will be theroughly acceptable to the Texans and thoroughly acceptable to the members of this Council if we can only get him. We may be calling on some of you whom we think may have some influence with the gentleman to help put the twist on him to get him in here.

Our program work will have to be expanded. We have this week brought in on a temporary basis four consultants on programming because here at home we must be sure of what the petroleum requirements by products are going to be by areas. We must be sure of the deliverability of those products, where needed, and on a timely basis, and we must be able to feed at a proper rate information from the domestic situation to the Middle East Committee so that they in turn can have a realistic appraisal of the petroleum that we can make available from this side.

In the programming, this Committee yesterday advised that they believed that two program men, would serve our purpose in addition to the programming division that we have under Fred Fowler and we are now undertaking to recruit those two men.

Beyond these, we presently don't see the need for

SR

additional staff. We are looking at what we hope will be a short period. We are throwing the main burden overseas on the Middle East Committee and we think that with this small accrual to our staff and the assistance we can get directly from the industry, in the flow of information, that we will be able to do a satisfactory job and keep abreast of our requirements.

Now the Defense Production Act as amended still authorizes the employment of consultants on a without compensation basis. We intend to go that route in order to get the very best men we can get. They will be here as consultants; they will not be in here to take any administrative responsibility but they will be here as consultants and they will be here for the shortest time that we find we have got to keep them, and under that general principle, we can again use consultants or even regular employees if necessary on a without compensation basis and do it with no fear of any recriminations

I think that at this time -- and we have a few minutes
-- that I would like to have Mr. Ralph Fowler come up and with
the use of the map give you quickly some of the efforts that
are being made and the problem that we are trying to solve in
meeting our needs abroad. But while Mr. Fowler comes forward,
i would like to give you certain figures. Before we could
get this Middle East Committe in motion, before the President
would release the operation, the United States had already been

SR

٠.2

there were rate of newspaper comments to the effect that we were denying surope oil, that United States was lagging, that we wouldn't come to their assistance in any way. When we shut down on November first, it was done with a statement to the company that any normal transactions of any of the companies wanted to carry on they were free to do so and if they wanted to ship oil from this country to Europe, they were free to do it, but we would not interfere in any way nor would we dictate. We simply couldn't give the blessing of the government until we got the release which came the last of November.

Now it might interest you to know that in the first week of November there were 41,000 barrels a day of products, no crude oil, shipped to Europe. In the second week of November the shipments had risen to 212,000 barrels, of which 81,000 barrels per day was crude. In the third week, the shipments rose to 321,000 barrels daily of which 233 barrels were crude oil. In the fourth week, shipments had risen to 944,000 parrels per day, and I don't think our friends in Europe can complain that we denied them oil.

I think that the industry going through their normal routes was doing an excellent job. The total shipments from this country to Europe of crude oil and products in the month of Nevember was approximately 12,000,000 barrels and by the fifth of December it had reached 14,000,000 barrels.

SR

12

13

16

17

2.1

going up to the map, will we have an opportunity while we have the distinguished Senator from Wyoming and Doctor Flemming present and Mr. Wormser, to make an observation or two? We come from the hinterlands and I am a terrible writer and I would like to say something. These figures are interesting, but dull. I would like to get down to the meat cutting.

CHAIRMAN HALLANAN: You will have an opportunity for that later.

MR. STEWART: This is Ralph Fowler, Director of the Voluntary Agreement and he has the active supervision of the operation of the Committee, of following them and reporting to us. I would like to have you give them the broad outline without a lot of figures because Mr. Majewski doesn't like them.

REMARKS OF MR. RALPH FOWLER, DIRECT OF VOLUNTARY

AGREEMENT

MR. FOWLER: Well, gentlemen, I think perhaps if I can give you briefly just the way the Committee is functioning, it might help to clarify a lot of questions.

Supply and Distribution, Pipeline, Production, Tanker Transportation, Refining, and Statistical. The supply and distribution subcommittee, together with the tanker committee, are
really the working group. The refining subcommittee and the

SR

10

11

i 2

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

BJ

Committee is doing this: It is endeavoring to find out what the European requirements are going to be in the affected area, which is primarily Europe, the Mediterranean, and parts of Africa. To find out what the normal requirements will be for the first quarter of 1957, giving due account to the ration programs which have already been put in.

They are then gathering information of the stock position in each of the affected countries, together with the movements of crude oil or products which are to be shipped into those countries during a given month. That information is collected twice a month. It comes into the Committee on Friday and goes into the IBM machines over the weekend, and comes back to the staif on Monday, and the Committee reviews it on Tuesday, so that they are talking about information which is only three or four days old.

Now the problems that the committee is faced with are one, lack of supplies in the proper places, and two, lack of transportation facilities. The only thing that the Committee can do is to endeavor to increase tonnage by a number of means, eliminate the long hauls such as from the Persian Gulf to the EastCoast of United States and Canada, to endeavor to have the tankers employed in shorter nauls and to make full utilization of back hauls. Another method is to increase the tonnage by relaxing the load line regulations, speeding up

SR

Ħ

turn-around time in poit, eliminating lack of or loss of time
in quarrantine and such other methods that were employed during
the war.

I should also mention that the Committee is not without a great many obstacles. Those are one, the restrictions which are placed upon coast-wise movements in the United States that an American flag tanker has to be used, and secondly, certain restrictions which have been imposed by the producing countries, restricting the destination of cargoes and even in some cases restricting the certain vessels from loading. Some of the load line relaxations are governed by an international convention and there being no war on, there is no provision for having that relaxation world-wide.

should be is one that produces a very serious problem. I am not going to give you any figures because as has been pointed out neither Mr. Stewart or Mr. Majewski like them, but I do want to say this, that if we could, speaking for the Committee, get all of the supplies that were necessary, it would still be impossible to meet the full requirements of Europe. The only thing that can be done is to minimize those requirements.

The information which the Committee solicits is not from within the United States. It has nothing to do with any relating operations in the United States, any producing operations in the United States, any producing operations in the United States. It does touch Canada and the rest

ŚR

2.1

22 1

of the free world. Any information as to the availability of Is submitted to the Committee through the Department of the Interior. The Committee has absolutely no authority to impose any pooling of tankers, or pooling of stocks or 5 any other combination of steps which might be advantageous. The pooling that may be done, or the combining of stocks, changing of refining operations abroad, can only be done on 7 a voluntary basis. And that is by agreement of the parties immediately affected by that particular operation. not the Committee will ultimately be successful remains to be seen. We certainly hope that it will, and I can assure you 11 that all the people working on the main committee as well as 13 the subcommittees and the staff, are devoting their entire 14 time and energy and thought to meet the desired objective.

I don't know if there is anything else I can add, but I will be happy to answer any questions if I can.

CHAIRMAN HALLANAN: Are there any questions?

VOICE: I would like to ask if these pools of tankers are going to operate at any common rate?

MR, FOWLER: No, sir, they will not. We have no way either as the government or as the Committee to control the rates. We are in hopes that some of the foreign governments who have that ability will put some ceilings on the rates to keep them from going up.

VOICE: Do youhave any program for increasing the

SR

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

flow of steel place to the yards so that they can get these tankers out?

MR. FOWLER: That would not be a function of the Middle East Emergency Committee. That would be strictly a government operation.

CHAIRMAN HALLANAN: Thank you very much, Mr. Fowler. (Applause.)

CHAIRMAN HALLANAN: I should like at this time to call upon another gentleman who has been here acting as a consultant both with the Department of Interior and to Doctor Flemming. He is a member and President of the Independent Petroleum Association and former Director of the Petroleum Administration for Defense and a member of the Council, Mr. Ed Warren.

(Applause.)

REMARKS OF MR. EDWARD WARREN

MR. WARREN: Gentlemen, I passed a note up to Walter to skip me because I thought everything was very well stated and that there was very little I could add.

I might say that I am a consultant and a government consultant has no administrative powers. He offers advice that can be taken or it can be rejected. So what I have to say here in these very few minutes is pretty much my personal opinion and ideas and if it has any significance, it might be related to the advice I have been dishing out, and so the government on

SR

Ĺ

CONTRACTOR DATE OF THE RESERVE OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE P

the program of the inches of the reaching and I am sure from what has been said here that everybedy is very much impressed with the seriousness of this problem that is facing not only the nation, but the industry

this have on our segment of the industry is well-by and by by the four they can do or what action they should take to counteract anything that might have an ill effect.

for a long time that he has been rather locked in on recapturing increased costs in steel and things of the format is demand a monty of the has been and things of the format in and now that the demand a monty of the locked in on the format is demand a monty of the has and the format in the has and the format in the format

ंक्

Stewart and the others have received several telegrams, are really concerned, at least part of them, about what is going to happen in this sort of a situation.

questions, and the only thing I would like to say is that I think at this time it behooves all of us to seriously analyse and appraise the situation in the light of sound and responsible procedures. And in that connection, I think that there are two things that are realities that have been expressed here, that what is happening in the industry happened before the Middle East Emergency Committee was activated, and secondly, that the Middle East Emergency Committee only answered that in a degree.

If, in making supplies available, if the industry or a segment of the industry wants to have the balance achieved by the government in this thing, it can only be done by controls. And I don't think with the termporary nature of this thing that anybody in the industry or the government wants that sort of thing.

So I urge you, and it is everybody's privilege certainly to look into it and to advocate a position in this thing and I know the government is going to invite that, but let's as an industry do it in a responsible way and try to get this job over for the industry and the nation in the best way possible.

Thank you very much.

SR

2.2

 $r_{g}$ 

## COPY

(Applause.)

CHAIRMAN HALLANAN: That completes the program, I believe, that we have been called here to hear. We now pass on to new business.

Į

•

•

SR

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN HALLANAN: Are there any remarks, gentlemen?

MF. WHITE: Mr. Chairman, I feel that this is a matter 2

that comes within the scope of the Council. I have been a 3

member of this Council for four years now, and this is the first

time that I have felt that it is necessary for me to rise to 5

my feet and comment.

From the reports that I have heard this morning, I have not been aware that there has been any consideration given to the impact upon our economy as far as it relates to the small independent distributor who distributes a large portion of the products in this country. I believe the figure is -- and we have not had these contested as yet -- 35 percent of the gasoline and better than 50 percent of the fuel oil, which in turn, this impact, would affect the consumer also.

Now I present this matter not in a controversial spirit to this Council, but in a constructive spirit and Mr. Edward Warren touched briefly on this matter in his remarks, and I request that such consideration of this impact upon the small independent distributor and the consumers which they serve, that serious consideration be given by this Council to appoint a committee to study this matter and I make this request on behalf of the small jobbers of this country, numbering 12 to 15 thousand, and also in the interest of the consumers which they serve.

> You are familiar, of course, with CHAIRMAN HALLANAN:

SR

making that request. But it should be directed to the Director of Oil and Gas, who in turn considers it in the light of its importance in this particular matter. In other words, there is no way for the Council to act directly upon any matter that does not originate in request form from the government department.

MR. WHITE: Mr. Chairman, I take that to mean that I should make this request in writing specifically?

CHAIRMAN HALLANAN: That is right.

MR. WHITE: Through the proper channels and that you, as Chairman, cannot make that request?

CHAIRMAN HALLANAN: That is right.

MR. JACOBSEN: I haven't got the book with me, but if I remember correctly, the procedure is that the request for the study should be made to you as Chairman and then you pass it over to the Department of the Interior.

CHAIRMAN HALLANAN: Not with Council action. It is just made directly, from an individual.

MR. JACOBSEN: Yes, but I think he addresses his communication to you. I may be wrong, but I believe that is the case, and then I believe you pass it on to Interior. And then they come back to you and say either yes or no.

CHAIRMAN HALLANAN: Mr. White, if you will direct that request to me, I will be glad to follow it through.

SR

 $o_{\mathcal{O}}$ 

## BARTHER-68 1

2

3

10

11

11

18

14

15

14

17

MR. WHITE: I do so now orally, and if you request,

I shall do so in writing.

MR. BAINEY ELLIOTT: Mr. Chairman, I was rising to a point of information following Mr. White's because he spoke of the jobber and the consumer. As you know, I represent the dealers and there are several hundred thousand of them, I believe 181 to 200 thousand, and I wanted to get the dealer in there somewhere between the jobber and the consumer. We do pump a little gas.

I have my information now, so we will direct the same letter to you.

CHAIRMAN HALLANAN: Is there anything further, gentlemen?

VOICE: I move we adjourn.

CHAIRMAN HALLANAN: I hope that the members feel amply rewarded for their coming here to hear the briefing on the critical situation which we have had from the government today.

The meeting is now adjourned.

(Whereupon, at 12:35 p.m. the meeting was adjourned.)

18

20

21

22

2.8

24

25

97

SR

THE ATTACHED TRANSCRIPT OF REMARKS HADE BY MR. B. L. MAJEWSKI, MITCHER OF THE MATTONAL PETROLEUM COUNCIL, AT THE COUNCIL MEETING ON DECEMBER 14, 1956, WERE RULED OUT OF CRUER BY THE CHAIRMAN INSOFAR AS THESE REMARKS TOUCHED ON SCONONIC AND COMPETITIVE MATTERS, WHICH THE COUNCIL UNDER I'MS ARTICLES OF ORGANIZATION IS PROBLETTED FROM COMSIDERING. AFTER THE MEETING, MR. MAJEWSKI REQUESTED THAT HIS REMARKS SE EXPUNDED FROM THE RECORD. IN VIEW OF THE SITUATION, THAT PORTION OF THE TRANSCRIPT INCLUDING MR. MAJEWSKI'S REMARKS WAS, UNDER MY INSTRUCTIONS, PROPARED SEPARATELY FROM THE MAIN TRANSCRIPT.

H. A. JENACE

LIREUNUL

OFFICE OF CIL AND CALL

December 17, 1950