# **Categorical Exclusion Determination**

Western Area Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: 2021 Byron-Bethany 69-kV 210101 ROW vegetation removal

**Project No.:** 100153025

**Project Manager:** Ricardo Velarde

Location: Alameda County, California

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3 Routine maintenance

## **Description of the Proposed Action:**

Western Area Power Administration, Sierra Nevada Region (WAPA) is responsible for the operation and maintenance of several federally owned and operated transmission lines throughout California, including the Byron-Bethany Tap 69-kV transmission line between the Tracy-Contra Costa transmission line and the Byron-Bethany Canal (near the Alameda-Contra Costa County line). Part of this responsibility includes ensuring WAPA maintains reliable access to transmission towers and other facilities to comply with the National Electric Safety Code, Western States Coordinating Council, and WAPA directives for protecting human safety and maintaining the reliable operation of the transmission system.

WAPA proposes to remove one Elm tree outside but adjacent to the right-of-way (off-ROW). The hazard tree has the potential to fall on, grow into, or otherwise compromise the integrity of the transmission lines. WAPA Order 450.3A dictates the removal of hazard trees in compliance with the North American Electric Reliability Council (NERC) Standard FAC-003-1. WAPA has limited discretion on the removal of trees that meet hazard standards. All wood and debris will be hauled off site and not disposed of in a landfill.

### **Findings:**

In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), WAPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, WAPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Latisha M. Signed: Saare

Digitally signed by Latisha M. Saare Date: 2021.01.22

Date: 1/22/21

Attachment: Environmental Checklist

# **Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist**

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

**Proposed Action:** 2021 Byron-Bethany 69-kV 210101 ROW vegetation removal

### **Project Site Description**

The proposed project is within Alameda County, California. The Byron-Bethany Tap 69-kV Transmission Line is within an agricultural setting with the largest habitat type consisting of row crop. Additional habitat is mostly nonnative annual grassland followed by urban, commercial, and man-made waters. The Elm tree is located outside the WAPA right-of way (ROW) and work would be within one guarter mile length line.

Equipment staging areas would be on roads and within the ROW whenever possible.

#### **Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

Environmental Resource Impacts		No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions	
1. Historio	c and Cultural Resources	$\checkmark$		
managem if archeolo	Tree removal will not cause significant ground disturbance. The O&M activities and vegetation management at these sites would not impact cultural resources. Natural Resources will be contacted immediately if archeological, paleontological, or historic evidence is found. This activity is covered under the 2010 Programmatic Agreement for O&M activities with the CA SHPO.			

2.	Geology and Soils <u>Explanation:</u>	<b>✓</b>	
	Ground disturbance is not anticipated in this project; therefore	e, no impacts would occur.	
	Plants (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)  Explanation:	<b>✓</b>	
•	No special-status plants are known or expected in the project be required to be clean before entering the project location to		
	Wildlife (including Federal/state special-status		
	species and habitats)		
	Explanation:	Ш	
	No special status wildlife is known or expected in the project	area.	
	For the protection of migratory birds, if planned activities occusurveys will be required prior to project activities. If a nest is a which all O&M activities and herbicide applications will be prohave fledged. A standard nest buffer of 50 feet (250 feet for rethe surveying biologist. Nesting surveys can be conducted up	detected, an appropriate buffer will phibited from March 1 to August 15 aptors) will be used, unless otherw	be marked in for until nestlings vise indicated by
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status		
	species, ESUs, and habitats)	7	
1	Explanation:	<u> </u>	
	The project area is not adjacent to any water bodies, floodpla	iins, or fish; therefore no impacts w	ould occur.

6.	Wetlands Explanation:	<b>✓</b>	
	The project area does not have wetlands; therefore, no imp	acts would occur.	
	Groundwater and Aquifers <u>Explanation:</u>	<b>✓</b>	
	Spill prevention measures would be utilized during construct pathway for groundwater contamination.	tion activities. The project would not	t provide a
8.	Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas Explanation:	<b></b>	
	The land use would not change at the project site. Nor is the areas, such as National Scenic Rivers.	e project within, or near, any special	ly designated
9.	Visual Quality Explanation:	<b>✓</b>	
	The visual quality would be consistent with the existing use be no significant change to the visual quality.	of the transmission right-of-way cor	ridor. There would
1	0. Air Quality <u>Explanation:</u>		<b>✓</b>
	A small amount of dust and vehicle emissions would occur significant changes to air quality during or after construction		would be no
	The project location is located in a distressed air basin. This support the state implementation plan to restore air quality i procedures during the project.		
	AQ-SOP-1: The Contractor will adhere to all applicable requality matters, and any necessary permits for O&M will be AQ-SOP-2: Machinery and vehicles will be kept in good open the contractor of	obtained. erating condition and older equipmer	nt will be replaced
	with equipment meeting applicable emission standards; approximation and the standard of the st	APA air-emission requirements.	
	AQ-SOP-4: Dust –control measures will be implemented in Trucks transporting loose material will be covered or maintavisible dust emissions.	in at least two feet of freeboard and	
	AQ-SOP-5: There will be no open burning of construction tr AQ-SOP-6: Grading activities will cease during periods of h management districts.	igh winds (as determined by local air	
	AQ-SOP-7: Major operations will be avoided on days when	the local Air Quality Index is expected	ea to exceed 150.

	11. Noise Explana	tion:		<b>✓</b>		
	There are no residences within the project location. Tree removal construction noise would be temporary and would occur during daylight hours. Operational noise would not change.					
	12. <b>Human</b> <u>Explana</u>	Health and S tion:	Safety	<b>/</b>		
	health or safe 1910.269 spe trimming ope also adhere t and Subchap	ety. Contractor ecifically parag rations perforn o the requirem ster 7 Article 12	shall be required to follow the raphs (a)(2), (b), (c), (g), (k), (pned by line-clearance tree trimients under Title 8 Cal OSHA E2. Contractor shall maintain a s	OSHA standards set for p), and (r) as required to mers who are not qualificational Safety Order stafe working distance from the safe working distance frow the safe working distance from the safe working distance from	activities would not impact huma orth in 29 CFR under (a)(E)(2). Line-clearance tr fied employees. Contractor shall specifically Subchapter 5 Article rom conductors as described in the rance shall be requested 3 days	ee 38 he
			Evaluation of Oth	er Integral Eleme	nts	
	The propose				nts of the categorical exclusio	n.
/			plicable statutory, regulator DE or Executive Orders.	ry, or permit requiren	nents for environment, safety,	and health, or
	Explanation,	if necessary:				
/			uction or major expansion o at are not otherwise catego		oosal, recovery, or treatment	facilities
	Explanation,	if necessary:				
/			nces, pollutants, contamina		cluded petroleum and natural unpermitted releases.	gas products
	Explanation,	if necessary:				
/	species, unle unauthorized	ess the propo I release into	sed activity would be conta the environment and condu	ined or confined in a ucted in accordance	ally designated noxious weed manner designed and opera with applicable requirements nd the National Institutes of F	ted to prevent , such as those
	Explanation,	if necessary:				
	Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination					
	<u>Description</u>	MAPA wou timing.	ıld work closely with the lando	wners to provide adequ	uate notification of construction	
-						
	Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant					

impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: Latisha M.

Saare

Digitally signed by Latisha M. Saare Date: 2021.01.22 11:34:48 -08'00'

Date: 1/22/21