## **Categorical Exclusion Determination**

Western Area Power Administration
Department of Energy



**Proposed Action:** Folsom-Roseville 2/2 Off-ROW Willow Removal

**Project No.:** 100153025

**Project Manager:** Ricardo Velarde

Location: 9824 Hopkins Ct, Granite Bay, CA

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3 Routine maintenance

## **Description of the Proposed Action:**

Western Area Power Administration, Sierra Nevada Region (WAPA) is responsible for the operation and maintenance of several federally owned and operated transmission lines throughout California, including Folsom-Roseville 230-kV line (FOL-RSC), located in Placer and Sacramento counties. Part of this responsibility includes ensuring WAPA maintains reliable access to transmission towers and other facilities to comply with the National Electric Safety Code, Western States Coordinating Council, and WAPA directives for protecting human safety and maintaining the reliable operation of the transmission system.

WAPA proposes to remove one multi-stem Willow tree on the north side of the right-of-way (ROW) between towers 2/2 and 2/3 at the rear of 9824 Hopkins Court. This is one tree that is outside of the ROW and therefore is not covered under the 2005 Sacramento Valley Right-of-Way Maintenance Program (Sacramento Valley Program) EA. Additional trees and vegetation removal will be conducted within the ROW and covered under the EA.

The hazard tree is located outside but adjacent to the right-of-way (off-ROW) and has the potential to fall on, grow into, or otherwise compromise the integrity of the transmission lines. WAPA Order 450.3A dictates the removal of hazard trees in compliance with the North American Electric Reliability Council (NERC) Standard FAC-003-1. WAPA has limited discretion on the removal of trees that meet hazard standards. All wood and debris will be hauled off site and not disposed of in a landfill. Remaining stump will be treated with WAPA herbicide recommendation.

## **Findings:**

In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), WAPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, WAPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Latisha M. Signed: Saare

Digitally signed by Latisha M. Saare Date: 2021.01.28

Date: 1/28/21

Attachment: Environmental Checklist

## **Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist**

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

| Proposed Action: Folsom-Roseville 2/2 Off-ROW Willow Removal   |                                  |   |  |  |  |  |
|--|----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Project Site Description   |                                  |   |  |  |  |  |
| The proposed project area is in Placer County,   | California.                      |   |  |  |  |  |
| The dominant habitat at this site consists of ornamental trees within suburban backyard.   |                                  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment staging areas would be on roads and within the ROW whenever possible.  |                                  |   |  |  |  |  |
|  |                                  |   |  |  |  |  |
|  |                                  |   |  |  |  |  |
|  |                                  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources   |                                  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Environmental Resource<br>Impacts  | No Potential for<br>Significance | No Potential for Significance,<br>with Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| Historic and Cultural Resources     Explanation:   | <b>V</b>                         |   |  |  |  |  |
| Tree removal will not cause significant ground of management at these sites would not impact out if archeological, paleontological, or historic evid | ıltural resources. Natural Reso  |   |  |  |  |  |

| 2. | Geology and Soils <u>Explanation:</u>   | $\checkmark$                           |                  |
|----|---|--|------------------|
|    | Ground disturbance is not anticipated in this project; therefore  | re, no impacts would occur.            |                  |
|    | <b>Plants</b> (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)   |  |                  |
|    | Explanation:  | <u> </u>                               |                  |
|    | No special-status plants are known or expected in the project Construction vehicles and equipment would be required to be spread of invasive weeds. |  |                  |
|    | <b>Wildlife</b> (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)   |  |                  |
|    |   |  |                  |
|    | Explanation:  |  |                  |
|    | No special status wildlife is known or expected in the project  | area.                                  |                  |
|    | The project areas was surveyed for migratory birds and other of concern or nests were observed.   | er potential wildlife on January 26, 2 | 2021. No species |
| 5. | Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish   |  |                  |
|    | (including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats)  |  | _                |
|    | Explanation:  | $\checkmark$                           |                  |
|    | The project area was surveyed for water resources on Janua project area.  | ary 26, 2021. No water resources o     | ccur within the  |

| 6. | Wetlands Explanation:  | <b>✓</b>  |                     |
|----|--|---|---------------------|
|    | The project area was surveyed for wetland resources on the project area.   | January 26, 2021. No wetland resourd                | ces occur within    |
|    | Groundwater and Aquifers <u>Explanation:</u>   | <b>✓</b>  |                     |
|    | Spill prevention measures would be utilized during constr<br>would not provide a pathway for groundwater contaminati   |   |                     |
| 8. | Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas<br>Explanation:  | <b>✓</b>  |                     |
|    | The land use would not change at the project site. Nor is areas, such as National Scenic Rivers.   | the project within, or near, any specia             | lly designated      |
| 9. | Visual Quality<br>Explanation:   | <b>✓</b>  |                     |
|    | The visual quality would be consistent with the existing us<br>be no significant change to the visual quality.   | e of the transmission right-of-way cor              | ridor. There would  |
| 1  | 0. Air Quality<br>Explanation:   |   | $\checkmark$        |
|    | A small amount of dust and vehicle emissions would occu<br>significant changes to air quality during or after construction   |   | would be no         |
|    | The project location is located in a distressed air basin. The support the state implementation plan to restore air quality procedures during the project.                                 |   |                     |
|    | AQ-SOP-1: The Contractor will adhere to all applicable re quality matters, and any necessary permits for O&M will b AQ-SOP-2: Machinery and vehicles will be kept in good o                | e obtained.<br>perating condition and older equipme | nt will be replaced |
|    | with equipment meeting applicable emission standards; a maintained for vehicles and equipment, per EPA and/or W AQ-SOP-3: Idle equipment will be shut down when not in will be controlled. | /APA air-emission requirements.                     |                     |
|    | AQ-SOP-4: Dust –control measures will be implemented i Trucks transporting loose material will be covered or main visible dust emissions.  |   |                     |
|    | AQ-SOP-5: There will be no open burning of construction AQ-SOP-6: Grading activities will cease during periods of management districts.  | high winds (as determined by local ai               |                     |
|    | AQ-SOP-7: Major operations will be avoided on days whe   | n the local Air Quality Index is expect             | ed to exceed 150.   |

|          | 11. Noise<br><u>Explanat</u>   | ion:                            |   | $\checkmark$                                   |  |                           |  |
|----------|--|---------------------------------|---|--|--|---------------------------|--|
|          |  |                                 | the project location. Tree remova<br>Operational noise would not cha  |  | ould be temporary and would                                  |                           |  |
|          | 12. Human H  |                                 | afety   | <b>/</b>                                       |  |                           |  |
|          | During project activities, all standard safety protocols would be followed. Project activities would not impact human health or safety. Contractor shall be required to follow the OSHA standards set forth in 29 CFR 1910.269 specifically paragraphs (a)(2), (b), (c), (g), (k), (p), and (r) as required under (a)(E)(2). Line-clearance tree trimming operations performed by line-clearance tree trimmers who are not qualified employees. Contractor shall also adhere to the requirements under Title 8 Cal OSHA Electrical Safety Order specifically Subchapter 5 Article 38 and Subchapter 7 Article 12. Contractor shall maintain a safe working distance from conductors as described in the above OSHA standards. If a safe working distance cannot be maintained, a clearance shall be requested 3 days in advance. |                                 |   |  |  |                           |  |
|          |  |                                 | Evaluation of Other   | Integral Elements                              |  |                           |  |
|          | The proposed The project w   |                                 | d also meet conditions that a   | re integral elements o                         | of the categorical exclusion.                                |                           |  |
| <b>√</b> |  |                                 | licable statutory, regulatory, c<br>E or Executive Orders.  | or permit requirement                          | s for environment, safety, and                               | d health, or              |  |
|          | Explanation,   | if necessary:                   |   |  |  |                           |  |
| <b>√</b> |  |                                 | ction or major expansion of water are not otherwise categorical   |  | al, recovery, or treatment facil                             | lities                    |  |
|          | Explanation,   | if necessary:                   |   |  |  |                           |  |
| <b>√</b> |  |                                 | ces, pollutants, contaminants<br>ment such that there would be  |  |  | products                  |  |
|          | Explanation,   | if necessary:                   |   |  |  |                           |  |
| <b>√</b> | species, unle<br>unauthorized  | ss the propos<br>release into t | ered organisms, synthetic biol<br>ed activity would be contained<br>the environment and conducted<br>fulture, the Environmental Pro | d or confined in a ma<br>ed in accordance with | nner designed and operated<br>a applicable requirements, suc | to prevent<br>ch as those |  |
|          | Explanation, i   | if necessary:                   |   |  |  |                           |  |
|          |  |                                 |   |  |  |                           |  |
|          | Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination   |                                 |   |  |  |                           |  |
|          | <u>Description</u>   | WAPA would schedules.           | d work closely with the landowne  | ers to provide adequate                        | notification of vegetation work                              |                           |  |
| •        | Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant   |                                 |   |  |  |                           |  |

impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: Latisha M. Saare

Digitally signed by Latisha M. Saare Date: 2021.01.28 10:35:15 -08'00'

Date: 1/28/21