# Fiscal Year 2018 Annual Performance Report Fiscal Year 2020 Annual Performance Plan



# **Table of Contents**

Introduction	1
Mission	1
Overview	1
DOE Organization	1
Strategic Framework	2
FY 2018 – 2019 Agency Priority Goals	4
Cross-Agency Priority Goals	7
Cross-Agency Collaborations	7
Management Review	7
Lower-Priority Program Activities	7
Program Performance Goals and Targets	7
National Nuclear Security Administration	8
Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	60
Electricity	93
Cybersecurity, Energy Security, and Emergency Response	100
Fossil Energy Research and Development	103
Petroleum Reserves	109
Nuclear Energy	114
Environmental Management	122
Legacy Management	135
Office of Science	137
ARPA-E	158
Chief Information Officer	160
Office of Management	172
Office of Project Management	178
Human Capital Management	179
Hearings and Appeals	182
Loan Programs	183
Environment, Health, Safety and Security	188
Energy Information Administration	189

	Southeastern Power Administration	. 191
	Southwestern Power Administration	. 194
	Western Area Power Administration	. 198
	Bonneville Power Administration	. 201
	Indian Energy Policy and Programs	. 204
	Office of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization	. 206
A	PPENDIX 1: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	. 208
	Strategic Review Summary of Progress	. 208
	Fiscal Year 2017 Unmet Performance Targets	. 219
	Performance Goals Discontinued as of Fiscal Year 2018	. 224
	Evaluations Completed in Fiscal Year 2018	. 229
	Goals to Address Management Priorities	.241

### Introduction

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2018 DOE Annual Performance Report / FY 2020 Annual Performance Plan contains details of the Department of Energy's (DOE) program performance, showing the historical targets and results from FY 2014 through FY 2018 and performance targets for FYs 2019 and 2020 for the Department's annual performance goals. It fulfills the statutory requirements in the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) of 1993 and the GPRA Modernization Act of 2010 related to production of an annual report on past program performance and an annual performance plan. Performance targets for FY 2018 and FY 2019 reflect enacted appropriations. FY 2020 performance targets reflect the FY 2020 Budget Request level.

#### Mission

The mission of the Department of Energy is to advance U.S. national security and economic growth through transformative science and technology innovation that promotes affordable and reliable energy through market solutions and meets our nuclear security and environmental cleanup challenges.

#### **Overview**

The DOE enterprise is comprised of approximately 14,000 federal employees and over 95,000 management and operating contractor and other contractor employees at the Depart ment's headquarters in Washington, D.C. and 83 field locations. DOE operates a nationwide system of 17 national laboratories that provides world-class scientific, technological, and engineering capabilities, including the operation of national scientific user facilities used by thousands of researchers from academia, government, and industry. The range, scale, and excellence of science and technology (S&T) at the DOE laboratories provide strategic assets to accomplish DOE missions, support government responses to unforeseen domestic and international emergencies, and provide technical capabilities to help shape the global S&T agenda.

DOE is responsible for advancing the energy, environmental, and nuclear security of the United States; promoting scientific and technological innovation in support of that mission; sponsoring basic research in the physical sciences; and ensuring the environmental cleanup of the nation's nuclear weapons complex.

#### **DOE Organization**

In response to changing needs and an extended energy crisis, Congress passed the Department of Energy Organization Act in 1977, creating one of the most diverse agencies in the federal Government. The legislation brought together for the first time, not only most of the Government's energy programs, but also S&T programs and defense responsibilities that included the design, construction, and testing of nuclear weapons. The Department provided the framework for a comprehensive and balanced national energy plan by coordinating and administering the energy functions of the federal Government. The Department undertook responsibility for long-term, high-risk research and development (R&D) of energy technology, federal power marketing, some energy conservation activities, the nuclear weapons programs, some energy regulatory programs, and a central energy data collection and analysis program.

The Department's organizational chart is located at <u>http://energy.gov/about-us/organization-chart</u>.

## **Strategic Framework**

The FY 2018 Annual Performance Report is a retrospective description of activities in pursuit of strategic goals. The FY 2020 Annual Performance Plan is a projection of FY 2020 activities based on the FY 2020 Budget Request.

Strategic Goal	Contributing Programs
Goal 1: Promote American Energy Dominance	Fossil Energy
DOE will pursue energy innovation to achieve American	Energy Efficiency and
energy dominance through the production and use of	Renewable Energy
affordable and reliable energy from a variety of	Electricity
resources, which will drive economic growth, job	Nuclear Energy
creation, and energy security; ensure responsible	Cybersecurity, Energy
environmental stewardship; and improve Americans'	Security, and Emergency
quality of life. We will accelerate technology	Response
development by investing in one of America's greatest	Indian Energy
strengths: its unlimited capacity for innovation,	Strategic Petroleum
enabling safe and prudent development of these	Reserve
domestic resources to make energy more affordable,	
while leveraging American competitive advantages to	
seize market opportunities for manufacturing. An	
energy-dominant America will export its energy and	
innovation to markets around the world and expand our	
technology advantage, increasing our global leadership	
and influence.	
Goal 2: Advance Science Discovery and National Laboratory	Science
Innovation	<ul> <li>Technology Transitions</li> </ul>
DOE will advance American pre-eminence in scientific	National Nuclear Security
discovery through cutting-edge research, primacy in	Administration (NNSA)
high-performance computing, and operation of world-	
class scientific facilities. The Department will take steps	
to improve access to its national laboratory portfolio of	
innovation and enable greater opportunities for	
commercialization of Lab-developed intellectual	
property.	
Goal 3: Ensure America's Nuclear Security	National Nuclear Security
DUE will strengthen national security by maintaining and	Administration (NNSA)
inducernizing the nuclear stockpile and nuclear security	
intrastructure, reducing global nuclear threats, providing	
for naval nuclear propulsion, improving physical and	
cypersecurity, and strengthening key science,	
technology, and engineering capabilities.	
j Goal4: Advance National Nuclear Waste Management	<ul> <li>Nuclear Energy</li> </ul>

DOE will make progress on fulfilling the Federal	•	Environmental
Government's obligations to address commercial spent		Management
nuclear fuel and the environmental legacy of the	•	Legacy Management
Manhattan Project and Cold War.		
Goal 5: Enhance Cybersecurity across U.S. Energy Sector and	•	Cybersecurity, Energy
DOE Infrastructure		Security, and Emergency
DOE will leverage science and technology support from		Response
the national laboratories to enhance the cybersecurity	•	Chief Information Officer
and resilience of the Nation's energy infrastructure and	•	NNSA-Weapons Activities
DOE's enterprise infrastructure.		

## FY 2018 – 2019 Agency Priority Goals

The GPRA Modernization Act of 2010 requires in part that agencies focus on a limited number of nearterm agency priority goals. The table below summarizes the progress on DOE's FY 2018 - 2019 Agency Priority Goals as of September 30, 2018.

GoalStatement	FY 2018 Status
<ul> <li>The DOE will pursue a focused research program to reduce the supply chain risks posed by the limited availability of critical minerals and materials. This program will pursue 1) improvements in domestic production, 2) reuse and recycling, and 3) research into substitutes for critical minerals.</li> <li>By end of Q2 FY 2019, launch a Critical Materials Recycling Prize to spur innovative solutions to solve current challenges associated with collecting, storing, and transporting discarded lithium-ion batteries for eventual recycling.</li> <li>By the end of Q4 FY 2019, complete Phase I: Concept Incubation and select winners from Phase I who will compete in Phase 2: Prototyping and Partnering.</li> <li>Develop and deliver the next generation of integrated high performance computing (HPC) capability by engaging in mutually supportive research and development in hardware and software to create a capable exascale computing system that integrates hardware and software capability delivering approximately 100 times the performance of current 10 petaflop systems across a range of applications representing government needs.</li> <li>By September 30, 2018, complete design of the first of the two exascale machines.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>On-Track - DOE has:         <ul> <li>Selected the leadership team at the National Renewable Energy Lab (NREL) for administering the prize.</li> <li>Allocated funding to NREL through FY 2019 to administer the prize</li> <li>Established a draft plan for timing and award levels</li> <li>Requested Secretarial approval for the Recycling Prize and Delegation Authority to run the competition</li> </ul> </li> <li>On-Track – The final design of the first of two exascale machines has been completed. A procurement contract for the machine has been signed.</li> </ul>
• By September 30, 2019, complete design of the second of the two exascale machines.	
<ul> <li>Stand up a new public-private partnership pilot program at DOE for national laboratory innovation.</li> <li>DOE will: <ul> <li>By September 30, 2018, develop an inventory of the Department's existing commercialization programs and relevant statutory authorities, and document best</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>On-Track - DOE has:</li> <li>Completed an inventory of relevant statutes, resources, and best practices and annotated individual authorities.</li> <li>Launched the Lab Partnering Service</li> </ul>

GoalStatement	FY 2018 Status
<ul> <li>practices, common challenges and resource requirements.</li> <li>By September 30, 2019, design a pilot commercialization program template in conjunction with the National Laboratories.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Maintain and modernize the U.S. nuclear weapons stockpile and dismantle excess nuclear weapons to meet the national security requirements, as assigned by the President, through the Nuclear Posture Review. DOE will: <ul> <li>By September 30, 2018, complete at least 90% of W76-1 production unit builds and achieve B61-12 system final design review.</li> <li>By September 30, 2019, achieve 100% of W76-1 production unit builds, and update the plan for future LEPs in NNSA strategic planning documents as outlined in the NPR.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>On Track         <ul> <li>As of December 31, 2018, NNSA completed the last production unit for the W76-1 LEP, and delivered more than 95 percent of the total warheads to the Navy.</li> <li>The B61-12 LEP, a nuclear gravity bomb for the Air Force, completed system final design review in September 2018. System qualification of the B61-12 continues on schedule with the completion of over 45 system tests since the start of the final development phase, including qualification flight tests using F-16, F-15, and B-2A aircraft at the Tonopah Test Range.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Complete the legacy clean-up, deactivation, and decommissioning (D&amp;D) of key EM facilities and other high risk excess facilities for the Department.</li> <li>To meet this goal, DOE will: <ul> <li>Complete D&amp;D of Biology Complex ancillary facilities at the Oak Ridge Y-12 National Security Complex by the end of Q4 FY 2018.</li> <li>Complete D&amp;D of Pool Type Reactor (Building 280) ancillary facilities at the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory by the end of Q1 FY 2019.</li> <li>Complete D&amp;D of the Separations Process Research Unit in New York by the end of Q2 FY 2019.</li> <li>Continue D&amp;D progress at the East Tennessee Technology Park in Oak Ridge by completing D&amp;D of the Central Neutralization facilities, Poplar Creek facilities, Balance of Site facilities, Toxic Substances Control Act Incinerator facilities, and the K-1037 facilities by the end of Q4 FY 2019.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>At the Y-12 Biology Complex, demolition of the ancillary facilities was completed in Q3 (ahead of the Q4 milestone).</li> <li>At Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL), ancillary facility T2808 was removed from the site in Q3. Preparation for removal of the additional 3 ancillary facilities occurred, supporting the Q1 milestone.</li> <li>At the Separations Process Research Unit (SPRU), demolition of the H2 Building was completed in Q3 (ahead of the Q4 milestone).</li> <li>At the East Tennessee Technology Park (ETTP), demolition of the Central Neutralization Facility (CNF) was completed 5 weeks earlier than planned and \$6.5M under budget.</li> </ul>

GoalStatement	FY 2018 Status
<ul> <li>Strengthen energy sector cybersecurity capabilities.</li> <li>By September 30, 2019, DOE will complete the operational technology data analysis from at least three utilities and develop a recommendation for deployment of the operational technology cybersecurity tool to utility operators nationwide.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>On Track</li> <li>The Cybersecurity for the Operational Technology Environment (CYOTE) pilot is in the initial stages of acquiring OT data from key network tap points in partner utility infrastructure. Analysis has begun on data from one utility.</li> <li>A draft report to capture the progress made, lessons learned, and the remaining effort was developed.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Strengthen DOE enterprise-wide cybersecurity to protect critical IT infrastructure and ensure continuity of enterprise mission essential functions. By September 30, 2019, DOE will: <ul> <li>Expand Departmental enterprise cybersecurity visibility to 90% by deploying sensors and integrating network security data into the iJC3.</li> <li>Reach 100% participation from DOE sites in the scoping, deployment and implementation of enterprise CDM tools to provide scalable, risk-based, cost-effective cybersecurity solutions.</li> <li>Update DOE's Cybersecurity Risk Management Framework for use across Departmental elements and establish standardized enterprise IT cybersecurity requirements.</li> <li>Enhance enterprise-wide cybersecurity governance of project management and architecture to standardize approaches, align with mission essential functions, and reduce technical risks</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>On Track</li> <li>Big Data Platform development, staging and production environments were established and Authorization to Operate was received</li> <li>DOE Continuous Diagnostics and Mitigation (CDM) Phase 1 Gap Fill Request for Service (RFS) Submitted to Department of Homeland Security (DHS)</li> <li>DOE is on track to update DOE 205.1B – Department of Energy Cyber Security Program by the end of Q4 FY 2019</li> <li>DOE is on track to establish a phased Enterprise Architecture strategy and initial roadmap that facilitates development and rationalization of the DOE security architecture in support of the Integrated Joint Cybersecurity Coordination Center by the end of Q4 FY 2019</li> </ul>

## **Cross-Agency Priority Goals**

Please refer to www.Performance.gov for the agency's contributions to and progress towards FY 2018-2019 CAP Goals.

## **Cross-Agency Collaborations**

The Department of Energy collaborates with state, local, and tribal governments and other federal agencies to effectively position the Department to achieve its goals and objectives. DOE also participates in numerous interagency working groups.

#### **Management Review**

The GPRA Modernization Act sets out a series of requirements for collecting, reviewing, and acting on performance measures and results. The law requires the Deputy Secretary to chair these quarterly reviews. The Department meets the GPRA Modernization Act requirement for quarterly data driven executive review of Agency Priority Goals through a meeting known within the Department as the Quarterly Performance Review (QPR). The QPR is attended by DOE senior leadership and Goal Leaders; program-office management and subject matter experts attend as needed. Senior leadership is informed of the Department's progress over the past quarter and of any impending challenges that might disrupt program success. In addition, these meetings provide an opportunity for senior leadership to ask in-depth questions of program management and for programs to request assistance from the highest levels of the Department.

### **Lower-Priority Program Activities**

The President's Budget identifies the lower-priority program activities, where applicable, as required under the GPRA Modernization Act, 31 U.S.C. 1115(b)(10). The public can access the volume at: <a href="http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/budget">http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/budget</a>.

## **Program Performance Goals and Targets**

Detailed progress reports on DOE programs' annual performance goals are presented in the pages that follow. The tables are organized by program and sub-program and provide targets FY 2014 through FY 2020 and results through FY 2018.

Performance targets for FY 2018 and FY 2019 reflect enacted appropriations. FY 2020 performance targets reflect the FY 2020 Budget Request level.

# National Nuclear Security Administration Federal Salaries & Expenses

## **NNSA Federal Salaries & Expenses**

Program	NNSA Feder	ISA Federal Salaries & Expenses											
Performance Goal (Measure)	Federal Adm Activities and	deral Administrative Costs - Maintain the NNSA Federal Salaries and Expenses federal administrative costs as a percentage of total Weapons tivities and Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation program costs at less than 6%.											
Fiscal Year	2014	2014         2015         2016         2017         2018         2019         2020         2021         2022         2023         2024											
Target	≤ 5.9 %	≤ 5.9 %	≤ 5.9 %	≤ 5.9 %	≤ 5.9 %	≤ 5.9 %	≤ 5.9 %	≤ 5.9 %	≤ 5.9 %	≤ 5.9 %	≤ 5.9 %		
Result	Exceeded - 4.1	Exceeded - 3.9	Exceeded - 3.7	Exceeded - 3.8	Exceeded - 3.6	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD		
Endpoint Target	In keeping w administrativ	ith OMB and E e costs as a p	OE expectation ercentage of to	ons that admir otal Weapons	nistrative costs Activities and	be minimized Defense Nucl	, maintain the ear Nonprolife	NNSA Federa	al Salaries and n costs at less	Expen ses fe than 6%.	deral		
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Achieved the program cos resources.	annual target ts at 5.9 perce	of the NNSA I ent or less. Enc	Federal admir I of year result	histrative costs ts are 3.6 perc	as a percenta ent. This resul	age of total We It is important	eapons Activit because it der	es and Defen nonstrates a p	se Nuclear No orudent use of	nproliferation valuable		
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	The source o of the total W	f the costing o 'eapons Activ	data is the DOE ities, excluding	STARS/IDW Secure Trans	system. The c sportation Asso	calculation is b et, and Defens	based on the F se Nuclear Nor	Federal Salarien proliferation	es and Expens program costs	ses costs as a s.	percentage		

# **Weapons Activities**

## **Directed Stockpile Work**

Pro <u>g</u> ram	Directed Stoc	irected Stockpile Work												
Performance Goal (Measure)	Annual Warh reliable, and	nual Warheads Assessment - Annual percentage of warheads in the stockpile that are assessed to determine whether they are safe, secure, iable, and effective												
Fiscal Year	2014	<u>014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024</u>												
Target	100 % of stockpile certified	100 % of stockpile certified100 % of stockpile certified100 % of stockpile certified100 % of stockpile certified100 % of stockpile certified100 % of stockpile certified100 % of 												
Result	<b>Met</b> - 100	<b>Met</b> - 100	<b>Met</b> - 100	<b>Met</b> - 100	<b>Met</b> - 100	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD			
Endpoint Target	Annually, conduct 100% of the assessment activities to determine whether warheads in the stockpile are safe, secure, reliable, effective, and available to the President for deployment													
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	This Perform the nation's r Authorization Annual accor Annual Asses Letters and b briefed the Se availability ar	ance Measure ouclear deterre o Act. mplishments in ssment Letters riefed senior N ecretary of En- od reliability of	was met for F ent. It is also a nclude: 1) Labo to the Secret NNSA leaders ergy and senio the Nation's n	Y 2018. The A requirement of pratories issue aries of Defen hip; 4) The NN or DOD leader suclear defens	Annual Assess of 50 United S ed final Annua se and Energ ISA Administra ship on the Ar e.	sment process tates Code se l Assessment l y; 3) NNSA rev ator, the Labor nnual Assessn	e ensures the o ction 2525 as Reports for ea viewed the An atory Director nent Novembe	overall availab amended by I ach weapon sy nual Assessm 's, and the U.S or 27, 2018. T	ility of the nuc Fiscal Year 20 estem; 2) Labo ent Reports a Strategic Co hese activities	lear w eapons 16 National D ratory Directo nd Laboratory mmand Comr ensure the ov	stockpile for efense r's issued Director nander verall			
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	This measure Reliability Re Plan. These	e of NNSA's ar ports; 2) Labo certifications	nnual assessn ratory Directo are based on s	nent activities r's and the U.S science-based	and results are 5. STRATCOM I stockpile stev	e documented   Commander' vardshiptools	in 1) Warhead 's Annual Asse and assessm	d specific Ann essment Letter ents performe	ual Assessme rs: and 3) Ann d at the weapo	nt Reports an ual Assessme on laboratorie	d Weapon ent Execution s.			

Program	Directed Stor	ckpile Work												
Performance Goal (Measure)	Steady State Selected Aco	teady State W-76-1 LEP Production - The percentage of planned builds equal to the percentage of allocated funding as represented in the annual elected Acquisition Report (SAR).												
Fiscal Year	2014	2014         2015         2016         2017         2018         2019         2020         2021         2022         2023         2024												
Target	100 % of scheduled unit builds100 % of scheduled unit builds100 % of scheduled unit builds100 % of 													
Result	Met - 100         Not Met - 85         Met - 100         Not Met - 95         Exceeded - 127         TBD         N/A         N/A         N/A         N/A													
Endpoint Target	Completepro	oduction of the	NWC-approv	ved W76-1 LEF	production s	chedule by FY	2019.							
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	NNSA excee Life Extensio executed the quantity proc completed al This result is schedule to r	ded the annua n Programas baseline sche duction require I scheduled de important beca neet DoD requ	I target by pro represented in edule ah ead o ment, and 999 eliveries of W7 ause extendir irements and	oducing 127% In the annual S If directive sch 6 of the cumul 6-1 warheads Ing the life of the national secu	of allocated W elected Acquis edule producti ative stockpile e W76-0, a we rity needs.	/ar Reserve (V sition Report ( ion requiremer e (FY08-19) qu eapon system1	VR) unit builds SAR). As of th hts. At the end antity produc for Navy subm	s of the Nuclea e end of FY 2 FY 2018, Par tion requirement narines, is on	ar Weapons C 018, W76-1 w ntex completer ent. At the end a highly succe	ouncil-approv varhead produ d 127% of the of the FY 201 ess-oriented re	<i>v</i> ed W76-1 uction FY 2018 8, NNSA efurbishment			
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	1) W76-1 Sel 2) Planning a 3) W76-01 Pr 4) Requireme 5) NNSA mer Planning Dir record define	ected Acquisit and Production rogram Contro ents and Plann morandum from ective 2011-1 ( ed in FY 2013 F	ion Report(s) Directive (P8 Document (I ing Directive m J.M. Oder, I U)," dated Ma RPD.	; PD) (current F PCD) 2017-A c (RPD) (curren Director, Office rch 12, 2013 -	TY revision); lated 12-22-16 t FY revision); of Nuclear W - provides dire	and subsequ /eapon Stockp ection to NNSA	ent PCD ame vile, NA-122, to M&O contra	ndments; o Distribution ctors to imple	, "Update to W ment current W	76-1 Producti V76-1 LEP pro	on and ogram of			

Program	Directed Stor	kpile Work											
Performance Goal (Measure)	Retired Wea annual scheo	tired Weapons Systems Dismantlement - Complete the dismantlement of all weapon systems in excess to stockpile requirements per approved nual schedule published in the Production and Planning Directive (P&PD).											
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024		
Target	100 % of annual planned dismantle- ments	100 % of annual planned dismantle- ments	100 % of annual planned dismantle- ments	100 % of annual planned dismantle- ments	100 % of annual planned dismantle- ments	100 % of annual planned dismantle- ments	100 % of annual planned dismantle- ments	100 % of annual planned dismantle- ments	100 % of annual planned dismantle- ments	N/A	N/A		
Result	<b>Met</b> - 100	Not Met - 66	Exceeded - 102	<b>Met</b> - 100	<b>Met</b> - 100	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	N/A	N/A		
Endpoint Target	FY2018 Endpoint Target: Complete between FY 2009 and FY 2022 the dismantlement of the quantity of weapons in retired status at the end of FY 2008. End Point Target Change: The endpoint target was revised January 11, 2019 to read "Annually, conduct 100% of plann ed dismantlement activities." Justification: Consistency and demonstration of NNSA's commitment to the Presidents hedge strategy to manage potential risk as stated in the 2018												
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	As of FY 201 program well the end of FY These results Proliferation Results Char dismantle the	8 year end, NI for FY 2019. / 2022. s are importan Treaty (NPT) / nge: Remove	NSA met 100% As a result, NN t because they Article VI oblig statement for eapons that we	6 of the warhe ISA remains of demonstrate ation to make FY2019 for co ere in retired s	ad dismantler on track to dis NNSA's comr progress tow onsistency with status at the er	nent and 1009 mantle the qua nitment in the ard nuclear dis n the End Poin nd of FY 2008	6 of CSA disn antity of weapo 2018 Nuclear sarmament. It Target Chan by the end of	nantlement sc ons that were Posture Revie ge above"A FY 2022."	hedule require in retired status ew (NPR) to m s a result, NNS	ments, positic s at the end o eeting our No A remains on	ning the f FY 2008 by n - track to		
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Current DSW Program Cor Dismantleme Documentati	Planning and trol Documen nts are consid on Change: E	d Production D ts (for individu dered complete liminating the	irective (P&PE Ial weapons); e when the NN reference to "i	D) (workload p ISA Federal st in retired statu	lanning docur aff confirms th s as of FY 200	nentation); nat 100% of th )8" to reflect c	e weapons in onsistency wi	retired status a th end point ta	are dismantleo rget change.	d.		

Program	Directed Stoc	kpile Work										
Performance Goal (Measure)	<b>Tritium Prod</b> the capability	tium Production - Cumulative number of Tritium-Producing Burnable Absorber Rods irradiated in Tennessee Valley Authority reactors to provide economic and the component of the provide reaction of producing new tritium to support national security requirements.										
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	
Target	2,416 TPBARs	3,120 TPBARs	3,120 TPBARs	3,824 TPBARs	4,928 TPBARs	4,928 TPBARs	6,512 TPBARs	8,016 TPBARs	8,416 TPBARs	10,720 TPBARs	12,224 TPBARs	
Result	Met - 2,416	Met - 3,120	Met - 3,120	<b>Met -</b> 3,824	Met - 4,928	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	
Endpoint Target	Optimize tritic The Endpoin in FY 2020.	um productior It Target for thi	for high confi s performance	dence of prod e goal has cha	ucing sufficien	t tritium in eac t the continuir	h reactor cyclong tritium prod	e to meet natio	onal security i n. The previous	nventory need s endpoint targ	ls. Iet completed	
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	The Tritium S program com Watts Bar Nu cumulative to (TEF), and th	The Tritium Sustainment Programmet the cumulative target of tritium producing burnable absorber rods (TPBARs) irradiated. The tritium sustainment program completed the irradiation of 1,104 TPBARs in September 2018. These 1,104 TPBARs were irradiated in the Tennessee Vall ey Authority (TVA) Watts Bar Nuclear Power Plant Unit 1 reactor (WBN1). These TPBARs were inserted in the reactor in March 2017. The metric represents the cumulative total of TPBARs that have been irradiated. Once TPBARs are removed from the reactor, they are shipped to the tritium extraction facility (TEE) and the tritium is recovered.										
Comment	Note: The Te September of FY 2013 and have increas FY 2020 Ann reassessed ti new requirem Since then, th 6,512 TPBAR followed the reflected in th	nnessee Valle r March. For F , for the same ed quantities of ual Target Ch ritium requiren nents in 2015 a ne program ha s. The 1,104 1,104 TPBARs ne FY 2020 tar	ey Authority (T Y 2013, the in reason, no in each fiscal yea ange - In early nents; in addit and the progra is had to re-ba TPBARs that with the inse get of 6,512 T	VA) Watts Bar radiation cycle crease in FY 2 r. FY 2014, the ion, Congress am established seline the pro recently comp rtion of 1,584 PBARs.	Nuclear Powe e started in Oct 016 or FY 201 program estir requested that d an irradiation gram slightly leted irradiation TPBARs in Oct	er Plant Unit 1 tober of 2012. 9. With two re nated that by I at the Nuclear of schedule, to down ward to r on will be shipp tober 2018. T	completes irr Thus, there we eactors irradia FY 2020, 6,76 Weapon Cour include optimi eflect actual o ped from WBN the 1,584 quar	adiation of TF as no increas ting TPBARs to B TPBARs wo noil (NWC) ce zing tritium pr perating cond 1 to TEF over tity exceeds o	BARs every 1 to the numb by FY 2021, th puld be irradia rtify the requir oduction, to m litions, with a re the course of priginal projec	8 months in a er of TPBARs e pro gram wil ted. In 2015, th ements. The N eet those requ evised FY 202 FY 2019. The tions by 80 TP	pproximately irradiated in Il expect to ne program IWC certified irements. 0 target of program BARs, and is	
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Milestones su a bottom's up producing tri acceptance ru information o Tennessee V and validate t	upporting the p review mand tium and to pro eports or othe on how to obtai alley Authority the program is	berformance n ated by Congr ovide sufficien r appropriate o in a copy of th r (TVA); Quart staking action	neasure are do ress in FY 201 t capability ma documentation e report) Wee erly Project Ro to meet requi	ocumented in t 5. For the futu argins to meet t n (if classified, kly site status o eviews (attend rements.	the Tritium Sus ire, the progra future needs, cover pages s calls with the f ed by TVA); M	stainment pro am anticipates including resu submitted inclu Federal Progra dilestone Repo	gramplans. Ir changes to d Its associateo uding applicat am Manager; porting Tool (M	radiation requ emonstrate a l l with the Nucl- ble document r End of cycle re IRT) status rep	irements were nigh confiden ear Posture Re ecord number ports submitt orts are all us	e validated in ce of eview. Si te s and ed by the ed to verify	

## Science

Pro <u>g</u> ram	Science												
Performance Goal (Measure)	Science-Bas	ed Capabiliti	<b>es</b> - Provideth	ne science-ba	sed capabilitie	es necessary to	o support stoc	kpile certificat	ion on an ann	ual basis.			
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024		
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	100 % of progress	100 % of progress	100 % of progress	100 % of progress	100 % of progress	100 % of progress	100 % of progress	100 % of progress		
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	<b>Met</b> - 100	<b>Met</b> - 100	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD		
Endpoint Target	Each year provide the science-based capabilities (e.g., experimental infrastructure, assessment and certification methodologies, experiments, data, and analyses) required to enable the annual assessment and certification of the stockpile including certification of LEPs and weapon modifications.												
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	The Science analyses to in design was c plutonium/ura certification of Radiography and impleme facility at San Documented Actinide Sho	Program has ofform use of a ompleted. Prinanium using the data packages office beamline dia National L the first plann ck Physics Ex	achieved its F dditively man mary Assessm the Time Projec supporting the multi-cavity L facilities at St aboratories. E hed experimen perimental Re	Y 2018 perform ufactured mate iton Chamber Sierra Nevada inear Transfor anford Synch Dynamic Mater tson Puprodu search Facility	mance measur rials. Progress factinide mate . Subcritical Ex a plutonium ex mer Driver (LT rotron Radiatio ials: Complete uction science y sound speed	e with examp s was made of erial and aging operiments Ex perimental se D) preliminar on Lightsource ed a physics a . Determined t and temperat	les as follows n additively m g effects was of ecuted the Lyu ries which adv y engineering e. Completed s nalysis of the he equation o ure experimer	Advanced Co anufactured s completed. Co ra Series. Relevances stockp assembly more systematic stud dynamic evolution f state of an in this with pluton	ertification: Co tructured high mpleted valid eased the Sier ile safety and u del. Secondar dy of L-shell o ution of high en esensitive high ium.	nducted expe explosives. A ation of cross ra Nevada ve und erstanding y Assessment pacity on Z pu nergy explosive explosive. E	riments and Pit Reuse sections for ssel g. Advanced : Developed ilse power ves. xecuted Joint		
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Predictive Ca Implementati	pability Frame on Plan; and S	ework, Milesto Science Progra	ne Reporting am Plan.	Tool, White Pa	per on Quanti	fication of Ma	rgins and Unc	ertainty Perfo	rmance Measu	ure; Science		

# Engineering

Program	Engineering										
Performance Goal (Measure)	Engineering required for a stockpile per	and Surveilla nnual assess formance.	nce Capabilit ment of the sto	t <b>ies</b> - Percent ockpile, Life Ex	age progress tension Prog	toward provid gram decisions	lingplanned/so , and early ide	cheduled capa Intification of a	abilities for sur ligingproblems	vivability and s s that could de	surveillance egrade
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	100% completion of specified activities/ deliverables identified in the annual update of the Engineering Program implement- tation plan					
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
Endpoint Target	100% comple	etionofspecif	ied activities/d	eliverables ide	entified in the	annual update	of the Engine	ering Program	nimplementati	onplan (Annu	ial)
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)											
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification											

Program	Engineering													
Performance Goal (Measure)	Technology measured by	Maturation C the number o	<b>apabilities</b> - <sup>-</sup> f deliverables	he annual pro	ogresstowards entation plans	the maturations the maturation of the maturation	on of technold	ogies and stoc	kpile assessm	ent cap abilitie	es as			
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024			
Target	20 deliverables	22 deliverables	17 deliverables	13 deliverables	14 deliverables	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Result	<b>Met</b> - 20	Met - 22	<b>Met</b> - 17	<b>Met</b> - 13	<b>Met</b> - 14	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Endpoint Target	Until the last support Direc	Intil the last nuclear weapon system in the stockpile is dismantled, NNSA will continue to mature technologies and stockpile assessment capabilities to upport Directed Stockpile Work on nuclear weapons refurbishment and assessment activities.												
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	NNSA compl accomplishm these techno National Labo with Use Com (LLNL) has c other compo within desire the next inse	NNSA completed this measure for FY 2018. NNSA completed all deliverables and milestones on schedule and within budget. Significant FY 2018 accomplishments include: advancing new manufacturing technologies and processes at the Kansas City National Security Campus (KCNSC). Using hese technologies, KCNSC has already provided hardware to Joint Technology Demonstrator (JTD) Work Stream 2 for ground testing. Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) is working with Sandia National Laboratories (SNL) and the Air Force to refine concepts for Air Force venues and is working with Use Control Steering Group Working Group 4 to develop security options for the United Kingdom (UK). Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) has completed development of a Technology Readiness Level (TRL) 3 demonstrator showing compatibility with a LANL component. LANL has other components that are designed for JTD that are ready for integration. This joint milestone will include integration of LLNL and LANL technology within desired form factor. SNL is fabricating on full-scale components for the first time. This hardware development is in support of future test series for the portunity.												
Comment	This Performance Measure is being replaced with the new Engineering and Surveillance Capabilities Performance Measure.													
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Milestones and a table of deliverables supporting the performance measures are documented in the Program Implementation Plan (PIP). Weekly and monthly site status calls with the Federal Program Managers are documented. Milestone Reporting Tool (MRT) status reports also document progress performance on a quarterly basis. In addition, bi-annual and annual accomplishments are provided by the sites to Federal Program Manager in formal program reviews. Federal Program Manager and staff confirm capabilities completion during site field visits and Program Reviews.													

Program	Inertial Confinement Fusion Ignition and High Yield											
Performance Goal (Measure)	High Energy embodied in t	Density Phys the Predictive	sics Research Capability Fra	I - Complete I Imework (PCF	nigh energy d	ensityphysics	research need	ded to suppor	t the nuclear w	eapons progr	am as	
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	
Target	N/A	10 % of progress (cumulative)	20 % of progress (cumulative)	30 % of progress (cumulative)	40 % of progress (cumulative)	47 % of progress (cumulative)	54 % of progress (cumulative)	61 % of progress (cumulative)	68 % of progress (cumulative)	75 % of progress (cumulative)	81 % of progress (cumulative)	
Result	N/A	<b>Met</b> - 10	<b>Met</b> - 20	<b>Met</b> - 30	<b>Met</b> - 40	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	
Endpoint Target	By FY 2024, (	complete the I	CF Programa	ctivities need	ed to complete	ethe PCF peg	posts.					
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	The ICF Prog models for las (NIF). Los Ala (NRL) advand the operation (LLE) has imp pulse power f conducted Co Interferomete delivers 18-2	ram has achie ser plasma int amos Nation L ced science an al efficiency o proved the op acility. SNL co ompton radio r System for <i>F</i> 0MA, 15-20T	eved its FY 20 eraction and h aboratory (LAI nd technology of NIF and exec erational effici- ontinued pluto graphy with Ad Any Reflector) and 1-2 KJ Ias	18 performand tot electrons. I NL) and LLNL (S&T) of stimu tuted a 2.1 Me ency of the Or nium Equation vanced Radic diagnostic. Ther energy bas	ce measure. Ig LLNL has deve improved und ulated rotation gajoules (MJ) nega Laser Fa is of State (EC ograph Capabil hey improved se.	Inition: Compl aloped new ne derstanding of al Raman sca shot. LLNL qu icility. Sandial VS) experimen lity (ARC). SNL nuclear measu	eted Lawrence ext generation the degradati ttering. Facilit ualified pluton National Labo its. Diagnostic and LLNL cc urements on N	e Livermore Na hohlraum des on caused by a y Operations a ium man ufactu ratories (SNL) s, Cryogenics ommissioned a IF. Pulsed Po	ational Labora igns for the Na a fill tube. Nava and Target Pro uring. Laborate improved ope and Experime and fielded the wer SNL deve	Itory (LLN L) ev ational Ignition al Research L oduction: LLNL ory for Laser E erational efficie ental Support: 2 Line VISAR loped a platfo	valuation of Facility aboratory improved nergetics ency of the Z LLNL (Velocity rm that	
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	1. Program I accomplished annual milest the ICF Coun PCF. The Cc the milestone	Implementatio d in support of ones as docu cil on the exec- ouncils establi es documente	n Plans for IC the PCF, inclu mented and re cution of the p sh their exper d in the ICF an	F Program an uding Program ported quarte lanned HED p imental campa d Science Pro	d Research an n Milestones. arly in the Miles rogram of wor aign plans in s ogram Impleme	Id Developme 2. Milestone R stone Reportin k on the major upport of the k entation Plans	nt Program do eporting Tool ng Tool (MRT) r HED facilitie: ey performan s.	Ocument annua (MRT) reports System. 3. Q s. The planne ce indicators a	ally the progra s: Progress to uarterly Repored d program of v above and are	am of work to b war d and con rts by the HED work is derive further suppo	e ppletion of Council and d from the rted through	

## Inertial Confinement Fusion Ignition and High Yield

# Advanced Simulation and Computing

Program	Advanced Simulation and Computing												
Performance Goal (Measure)	Reduced Re performance	liance on Cal	ibration - The	cumulative pe	ercentage red	uction in the u	seofcalibratio	on "knobs" to s	successfully si	imulate nuclea	rweapons		
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024		
Target	44% cumulative reduction in the use of calibration "knobs"	46% cumulative reduction in the use of calibration "knobs"	53% cumulative reduction in the use of calibration "knobs"	60% cumulative reduction in the use of calibration "knobs"	63% cumulative reduction in the use of calibration "knobs"	71% cumulative reduction in the use of calibration "knobs"	78% cumulative reduction in the use of calibration "knobs"	81% cumulative reduction in the use of calibration "knobs"	89% cumulative reduction in the use of calibration "knobs"	92% cumulative reduction in the use of calibration "knobs"	100% cumulative reduction in the use of calibration "knobs"		
Result	<b>Met</b> - 44	<b>Met</b> - 46	<b>Met</b> - 53	<b>Met</b> - 60	<b>Met</b> - 63	TBD	TBD	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Endpoint Target	By the end of FY 2024, 100% of selected calibration knobs (non-science based models) affecting weaponsperformance simulation have been replaced by science-based, predictive phenomenological models.												
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	The program performance Plan, Version activities sup result is impo performance • The • Impo • Cap • HE I • Valio • Mod	Achieved the FY 2018 acco 1, pages 16- porting the FY ortant because without under rmal/Mechanic roved Replica ability Assess Models for No dation of the M leling of X-ray	annual target omplishments 18) were used 2018 Primary the continued ground tests cal Modeling for tion of In-serv ment for Simul n-Ambient Ten lodels for Aco Driven Ablativ	of 63% cumula include: Level to evaluate ar Performance I reduction in t Additional acc or Crash and E ice Mechanica ating Weapor nperatures an ustic Vibration re Response E	ative reduction two mileston d track progr (Baseline Noo he use of calit omplishments Burn Use Case I Environment as Performance d Corner Turn as During Ree Experiments	n in the use of es (sourced in ress, were com minal) pegpos pration "knobs that improved to that improved to	calibration "ki the Advanced pleted by the st, and outyear " will improve d predictive ca ostile Environ	nobs" to succe d Simulation a end of FY 201 pegposts of t our ability to c pability and re ments Sandia's Deli	essfully simula nd Computing 8. This work he Predictive ( ontinue to cert duced relianc	te nuclear wea FY 2018 Impl included mile Capability Fra tify n uclear we e on calibratic	pons ementation stone mework. This apons in include:		
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Laboratory re the Laborator	Validation of the Models for Acoustic Vibrations During Reentry Using Data Provided by Sandia's Delivery Environments Program Modeling of X-ray Driven Ablative Response Experiments .aboratory reports to HQ Program Manager; Defense Programs Milestone Reporting Tool (MRT) status reports. The methodology used is described in he Laboratory reports and includes systematic validation and verification assessments to support the conclusions of the reports.											

## Advanced Manufacturing Development

Program <b>e</b>	Advanced Manufacturing Development												
Performance Goal (Measure)	Component Manufacturing Development - Complete maturation of production technologies and manufacturing capabilities to support Directed Stockpile Work, nuclear weapons refurbishment, and assessment activities.												
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024		
Target	5 deliverables	6 deliverables	5 deliverables	6 deliverables	5 deliverables	5 deliverables	5 deliverables	5 deliverables	5 deliverables	5 deliverables	5 deliverables		
Result	<b>Met</b> - 5	<b>Met</b> - 6	<b>Met</b> - 5	<b>Met</b> - 6	<b>Met</b> - 5	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD		
Endpoint Target	Annually con stockpile is d	nnually complete deliverables required to mature production technologies and manufacturing capabilities until last nuclear weapon system in the tockpile is dismantled.											
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	NNSA compl accomplishm National Labo ready at Y-12 manufacturin August of 20' (MgO) materi current and fu ARCAM 3D p including imp printer.	eted this meas nents include: I pratory (LANL) by the time the g processes. 17. Sandia Na al. Kansas Cit ature cushion printer and sta proving existin	sure for FY201 helped to esta ), Lawrence Line ne next life ext The Technolo tional Laborate y National Sec and pad prod rted optimizing g designs of th	8. NNSA com blish a Techno vermore Natio ension progra gy Realization pries (SNL) su curity Campus uction for majo g their printing e conventiona	pleted all delip ology Realization nal Laboratory am comes onli concept came bmitted a repo- (KCNSC) impor modernization capabilities. Sal component.	verables and r on Team on D y (LLNL), and ne, reducing D from a list of ort to documer plemented Adv on programs. S pecifically, SR SRNL is ahead	nilestones on Direct Cast tec Y-12 National Defense Progr ideas generat nt operational vanced Manuf Savannah Riv RNL began ma d of schedule	schedule and hnology, cons Security Com am's depende ed at the 21st requirements acturing fabric er National La king improver in training per	within budget sisting of memb plex. This will nce on costly Century Prod to produce op cation technique boratory (SRN ments on their sonnel for adv	Significant F bers from Los ensure the ca and obsolesco uction worksh timal Magnes ues, which dir lL) operated th first 3D printe vanced use of	Y 2018 Alamos upability is ent iop held in ium Oxide ectly supports heir new ed tool, the new		
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	printer. Milestones and a table of deliverables supporting the performance measures are documented in the Program Implementation Plan (PIP). Weekly and monthly site status calls with the Federal Program Managers are documented. Milestone Reporting Tool (MRT) status reports also document progress performance on a quarterly basis. In addition, bi-annual and annual accomplishments are provided by the sites to Federal Program Manager in formal program reviews. Federal Program Manager and staff confirm capabilities completion during site field visits and Program Reviews.												

# Infrastructure and Operations

Program	Infrastructure and Operations												
Performance Goal (Measure)	Maintenance	e - Percentag	e of preventive	emaintenance	e (PM) spendiı	ng vs total mai	ntenance (TM	)					
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024		
Target	N/A	N/A	40 % PM conducted	35 % PM conducted	36 % PM conducted	36.5 % PM conducted	37 % PM conducted	37.5 % PM conducted	38 % PM conducted	38.5 % PM conducted	39 % PM conducted		
Result	N/A	N/A	Not Met - 34	<b>Met</b> - 35	<b>Not Met -</b> 27.4	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD		
Endpoint Target	PM to TM tar	1 to TM target is 50%											
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Did not achie to TM throug of age and co resources av than perform working orde <b>Action Plan:</b> The Program	id not achieve the annual target of a 36% annual ratio between preventive maintenance (PM) vs. total maintenance (TM). The cumulative ratio of PM TM through the end of FY 2018 was 27.4%. Demand for Corrective Maintenance (CM) work was still high through the fourth quarter. The frequency age and condition-related infrastructure failures continues to drive the need for greater spending on CM, which subsequently leads to fewer sources available to perform PM. CM to address these infrastructure failures increases the workload for craft personnel, and is also more expensive an performing PM. This is an important measure of the trend of PM; spending more on Preventive Maintenance is critical to keeping the facilities in orking order. <b>ction Plan:</b> The Program has received additional funding and will be communicating with the sites to focus on performing increased PMs in FY 2019. he Program will also be reviewing maintenance schedules to see where improvements can be made.											
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Monthly cost	s reported in (	G2 program ma	anagementinf	ormation syst	em.							

Program	Infrastructure and Operations													
Performance Goal (Measure)	Environment regulatory ag acceptance c	t <b>al Monitoring</b> reements to b riteria.	g and Remed e conducted a	i <b>ation</b> - Annua at NNSA sites	al percentage under Long Te	of environmer erm Stewardsh	ntal monitoring	and remedia are executed	tion deliverabl on schedule a	es that are req nd in complia	uired by nce with all			
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024			
Target	95% of deliverables	95% of deliverables	95% of deliverables	95% of deliverables	95% of deliverables	95% of deliverables	95% of deliverables	95% of deliverables	95% of deliverables	95% of deliverables	95% of deliverables			
Result	Exceeded - 100	ed -     Exceeded -     Exceeded -     Exceeded -     Exceeded -     TBD     TBD     TBD     TBD     TBD												
Endpoint Target	Annually, submit on schedule and receive regulatory approval of at least 95% of all environmental monitoring and remediation deliverables that are equired at NNSA sites under LTS by regulatory agreements.													
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Exceeded the environment 3rd quarter to (EPA), and th important as	Exceeded the annual target of 95% required environmental monitoring and remediation deliverables. At the end of FY 2018, realized 100% of required environmental monitoring and remediation deliverables on schedule and acceptable by regulatory agreements with one milestone being moved from 3rd quarter to 4th quarter due to lack of regulatory review resources. The milestone date was renegotiated with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and the program deliverable was completed and submitted prior to the renegotiated milestone date. Meeting these regulatory deliverables is important as it prevents the issuance of notices of violations (NOVs), fines, and penalties by the regulators due to deliverables being late or insufficient.												
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Important as it prevents the issuance of notices of violations (NOVs), fines, and penalties by the regulators due to deliverable s being late or insufficient. RCRA Permits; monthly and annual reports to regulatory agencies; Compliance Monitoring Plans; Field Logs; Sampling Paperwork; LTS program plan status reports to the site offices.													

Program	Infrastructure and Operations													
Performance Goal (Measure)	<b>Operations</b> of surveillance, dependent fa	Derations of Facilities - Enable NNSA missions by providing operational facilities to support nuclear weapon dismantlement, life extension, surveillance, and research and development activities, as measured by percent of scheduled versus planned days mission-critical and mission-dependent facilities are available without missing key deliverables.												
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024			
Target	95% availability	85% availability	85% of availability	85% of availability	85% of availability	85% of availability	85% of availability	85% of availability	85% of availability	85% of availability	85% of availability			
Result	Exceeded - 98	-     Exceeded - 98.6     Exceeded - 98.8     Exceeded - 98.3     TBD     TBD     TBD     TBD     TBD												
Endpoint Target	Mission critic	ission critical and mission dependent facilities are available at least 85% of scheduled days annually.												
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Exceeded the 98.3% of the mission critic	e annual targe scheduled da cal and mission	t of 85% of fac ys through the ndependent fa	ilities availabl end of FY 20 icilities.	le for operation 18. This resul	ns in FY 2018. t is important	Mission criti because it der	cal and missic nonstrates op	on dependent f erational effec	acilities were a ti veness and	available efficiencyof			
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Quarterly Facility Availability Reported, by site													

Program	Infrastructure and Operations													
Performance Goal (Measure)	Recapitalizat	tion - Percen	tage of NNSA	assets rated a	s adequate (b	y Replacemer	nt Plant Value)							
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024			
Target	N/A	A         N/A         39% of assets         37% of assets         35.5% of assets         36% of assets         36.5% of assets         37% of assets         37.5% of assets         38% of assets         38.5% of assets           A         N/A         39% of assets         37.5% of assets         37.5% of assets         38.5% of assets												
Result	N/A	N/A     Not Met - 37     Not Met - 35     Exceeded - 37.9     TBD     TBD     TBD     TBD     TBD												
Endpoint Target	44% of NNSA	4% of NNSA assets rated as adequate												
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Exceeded the Recapitalizati	Exceeded the annual target of 35.5% NNSA assets rated adequate. NNSA assets rated adequate were 37.9% though the end of FY 2018. The Recapitalization measure is important for conveying the condition of facilities and the impact of focused recapitalization investments.												
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Facilities Info	Facilities Information Management System query												

Program	Infrastructure and Operations													
Performance Goal (Measure)	Major Syster sub-projects work perform baseline (app	lajor System Construction Projects- Execute Major System Projects within approved costs and schedules, as measured by the total percentage of ub-projects that are part of projects with a total project cost (TPC) greater than \$750 million with a cost performance index (ratio of budgeted cost of ork performed to actual cost of work performed) between 0.9 and 1.15. Cost performance is measured against the original approved performance aseline (approved at Critical Decision 2).20142015201620172018201920202021202220232024												
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024			
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	90% of projects	90% of projects	90% of projects	90% of projects	90% of projects	90% of projects			
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD			
Endpoint Target	Annually ach baseline defi	nually achieve 90% of baselined construction projects with TPC greater than \$750M with actual CPI of 0.9-1.15 as measured against approved seline definitions.												
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)														
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification														

Program	Infrastructure and Operations											
Performance Goal (Measure)	Construction Projects (formerly Major Construction Projects) - Execute construction projects within approved costs and schedules, as measured by the total percentage of projects with total estimated cost (TEC) greater than \$20 million with a schedule performance index (ratio of budgeted cost of work performed to budgeted cost of work scheduled) and a cost performance index (ratio of budgeted cost of work performed to actual cost of work performed) between 0.9-1.15.											
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	
Target	90% of projects	90% of projects	90% of projects	90% of projects	90% of projects	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Result	<b>Met</b> - 90	<b>Met</b> - 90	Not Met - 60	Not Met - 89	Not Met - 83	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Endpoint Target	Annually achieve 90% of baselined construction projects with TEC greater than \$20M with actual SPI and CPI of 0.9-1.15 as measured against approved baseline definitions.											
2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	measured ag are within the I, (3) Substati Building, (7) I (10) NNSA A (12) Radioac against an ap issues with th did not achie and performa estimates to e <b>Action Plan:</b> November 20 currently proj	ainst the Tota cPI range of on Replacem JPF Process buquerque Co tive Liquid Wa proved Over- e LLW project ve its PB CD-4 ince of the sub ensure the \$20 The LLW pro 18 in accorda	I Project Cost their approve ent at TA-3, (4 Support Facili omplex project aste Treatment Target Baselin t were primari 4 date of Septe boontractors th BM Performan oject achieved ance with the C on by Decemb	(TPC) and Cri (TPC) and Cri d PB TPCs: (1) ) UPF Mecha ties, (8) UPF S t, (11) the Exp Facility Upgr he (OTB) of \$8 (y technical, a ember 2018 d hat are under ce Baseline w CD-4 in Nove DTB schedule. er 2019.	tical Decision ) CMRR RLUC nical Electrical Salvage and Ac and Electrical ade Project Lo 9.8M. Two pro ssociated with ue to schedule contract with th ill be met. mber FY 2019. Regarding th	4 (CD-4) date DE Equipmen Building Sub countability E Distribution S w Level Waste ojects are outs startup testin delays assoc the U.S. Army ( The project w e TA-3 Substa	tons are withins is in their appr t Installation, F project, (5) UF Building, (9) Ex System project e (LLW) project g before the SPI g before turno ciated with ext Corps of Engin was completed ation, NNSA is	Phase II, (2) C Phase II, (2) C F Substation cascale Class at Lawrence L ct, which was range: the LL ver to operative ensive require neers (USACE d at \$87.9 mill s reviewing op	MRR PF-4 Eq Subproject, (6 Computer Con- Livermore Nati baselined at \$ W project and ons. The TA-3 ements change E). NNSA is cu	Performance ( es (PB). All twe juipment Insta ) UPF Main Pro- onal Laborato 82.7M and wa d the TA-3 Sub Substation Re- es and the result in the the co- point below the Co- poleting the pro-	ACPI/SPI) elve projects illation, Phase rocess ent project, ory, and the as operating ostation. The eplacement ultant design oping DTB in ject and	
Comment Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	currently projects completion by December 2019. This Performance Measure is being replaced with the new Major Systems Construction Projects Performance Measure. Baselined schedules and major decision points for projects are in individual project plans; Monthly project progress reports include Earned Value Management (EVM) data and DOE Project Assessment and Reporting System (PARS) reports											

## **Secure Transportation Asset**

Program	Secure Transportation Asset												
Performance Goal (Measure)	Safe and Secure Shipments - Annual percentage of shipments completed safely and securely without compromise/loss of nuclear weapons/components or a release of radioactive material.												
Fiscal Year	2014         2015         2016         2017         2018         2019         2020         2021         2022         2023         2024												
Target	100% of shipments	100% of hipments100% of shipments100% of shipments100% of 											
Result	<b>Met</b> - 100	at - 100         Met - 100         Met - 100         Met - 100         TBD         TBD </th											
Endpoint Target	Annually, ensure that 100% of shipments are completed safely and securely without compromise/loss of nuclear weapons/components or a release of radioactive material.												
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	NNSA met th nuclear weap important bec	NNSA met the Annual Target of 100% Safe and Secure Shipments. All shipments were completed safely and securely without compromise/loss of nuclear weapons/components or a release of radioactive material. Accomplishments includes: an on-time annual delivery rate of 100%. This result is important because it indicates mission accomplishment, especially in light of the increased risks and threats to the Nuclear Security Enterprise.											
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Certification f absence of a the program documents to Availability R	from the senio ny Departmen for the suppor o include: Offi eport, and an	r Program Mai t of Energy Oc ting milestone ce of Mission Office of Secu	nager for Miss courrence Rep s for the perfo Operations Ma re Transporta	ion Operation orting and Pro rmance measu anager Certific tion Strategic	s that there ar ocessing Syste ure. Official ju ation Memora Implementatio	e no known in em reports rela Istifications ar Indum, On-Tir In Plan Milesto	ternal or exter ated to shipme e contained in ne Delivery Qu one Status Rep	nal reports of ents; and docu ternally within uarterly Repor port.	any comprom umentation ma program seco t, On -Board A	ise or loss; intained by ndary gent		

## **Defense Nuclear Security**

Program	Defense Nuc	Defense Nuclear Security											
Performance Goal (Measure)	Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) - Implement and sustain a repeatable process for conducting site vulnerability and risk assessments and a set of consistent deliverables to help Federal oversight ensure the security program is in tegrated, robust, and efficient.												
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024		
Target	90% index	90% index	90% index	90% index	95% index	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Result	<b>Met</b> - 90	<b>Met</b> - 90	<b>Met</b> - 90	<b>Met</b> - 90	<b>Met</b> - 95	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Endpoint Target	By 2017, ach make true co NNSA sites, r	By 2017, achieve an improved corporate understanding of site operations, protection strategies, and risk acceptance that enables decision-makers to make true cost/benefit and risk acceptance decisions for physical security, better risk-informed resource allocation decisions, and more balance across NNSA sites, maintaining a 95% index thereafter.											
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Fully achieve assessments Enterprise Sa updated to re plan for this p NNSA sites. by the Admin for all NNSA	Fully achieved the annual target of 95% implementing and sustaining a repeatable process for conducting site vulnerability and security risk assessments and a set of consistent deliverables to help Federal oversight ensure the security program is integrated, robust, and efficient. The Enterprise Safeguards and Security Planning and Analysis Program (E-SSPAP), formerly Enterprise Security Risk Management Project Plan, was updated to reflect recent changes to the DOE Threat Policy and to better align with vulnerability assessments and security risk assessments. A program plan for this process has been prepared, resources have been identified, and initial assessments and program reviews have been completed at all NNSA sites. The NNSA E-SSPAP Supplemental Directive (SD) and implementation instructions were developed by DNS and subsequently approved by the Administrator on June 23, 2018. The remaining 5% will be accomplished when the E-SSPAP Supplemental Directive is placed on the contracts											
Comment	This Perform Performance	ance Measure Measure.	is being repla	ced with the r	new Enterprise	Safeguards a	and Security P	lanning and A	malysis Progr	am (E-SSPAP)	)		
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Enterprise Sa	ifeguards and	Security Plan	ning and Ana	lysis Program								

Program	Defense Nuc	Defense Nuclear Security											
Performance Goal (Measure)	Enterprise Safeguards & Security Planning & Analysis Pgm - Implement, mature, and expand the E-SSPAP in order to drive a standardized effective, efficient, and sustainable field nuclear security program.												
Fiscal Year	2014         2015         2016         2017         2018         2019         2020         2021         2022         2023         2024												
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	90% index	90% index	95% index	95% index	95% index	95% index		
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD		
Endpoint Target	By 2021, ach make true co NNSA sites,	y 2021, achieve an improved corporate understanding of site operations, protection strategies, and risk acceptance that enables decision-makers to nake true cost/benefit and risk acceptance decisions for physical security, better risk-informed resource allocation decisions, and more balance across INSA sites, maintaining a 95% index thereafter.											
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)													
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification													

Program	Defense Nucl	ear Security											
Performance Goal (Measure)	Physical Security Infrastructure Recapitalization (PSIR) - Implement and maintain a physical security life cycle management process, including on- time and to-standard supplemental deliverables after implementation.												
Fiscal Year	2014         2015         2016         2017         2018         2019         2020         2021         2022         2023         2024												
Target	85% index	35% index 85% index 90% index 90% index 95% index N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A											
Result	Exceeded - 100         Met - 95         Met - 95         N/A         N/A         N/A         N/A         N/A												
Endpoint Target	By 2017, achieve defensible prioritization of systems investments based on risk, more efficient bulk procurements, more common systems configurations/designs, timely redistribution of inventories based on site needs, and more accurate reporting to external stakeholders on condition of NNSA security systems, maintaining a 95% index thereafter.												
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	The supplem programplan at all NNSA s	ental field man for this proce ites. Effort to	nuals have bee ess has been p standardize d	en field review repared, reso esign/system	ved and comme urces have bee configuration i	ents are being en identified, a s in progress f	i incorporated and initial asse for the initial s	. Initial prioriti essments and ites with an es	zation list app program revie timated comp	roved by lead ws have beer letion date Ap	ership. A n completed ril 2019.		
Comment	This Performa	ance Measure	is being repla	ced with the n	new Security In	frastructure R	evitalization F	Program (SIRF	) Performance	e Measure.			
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Physical Sec Technical Sta	urity Supplem andards	ental Project P	lan, Site Visit	Reports, Phys	ical Security S	Supplemental	quarterly and	annual reports	s, Physical Se	ecurity		

Program	Defense Nuclear Security												
Performance Goal (Measure)	Protective Force Law Enforcement First Responder - Tactical Casualty Care (LEFR-TCC) Program Implementation - Implement and sustain a LEFR-TCC program for protective forces at all eight NNSA sites.												
Fiscal Year	2014         2015         2016         2017         2018         2019         2020         2021         2022         2023         2024												
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	90% index	90% index	95% index	95% index	95% index	95% index		
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD		
Endpoint Target	By FY 2021, i user level, ma	By FY 2021, implement a standardized LEFR-TCC program in which 95% of uniformed protective force personnel and instructors are trained at the user level, maintaining 95% thereafter.											
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)													
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification													

Program	Defense Nuclear Security													
Performance Goal (Measure)	Protective Force Training Reform - Implement and sustain an Enterprise Mission Essential Task List (EMETL)-based training program for protective forces at all eight NNSA sites.													
Fiscal Year	2014	2014         2015         2016         2017         2018         2019         2020         2021         2022         2023         2024												
Target	90% index	90% index	90% index	90% index	95% index	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Result	Exceeded - 100         Met - 90         Met - 90         Met - 90         Exceeded - 100         N/A         N/A         N/A         N/A         N/A													
Endpoint Target	By FY 2017, produce protective forces that are high performing in mission accomplishment with a necessary/appropriate training program that minimizes unproductive training time, maintaining a 95% index thereafter.													
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	The annual ta protective for the program. reports are su leadership wi provide assu	The annual target was exceeded by achieving 100% implementation of the Enterprise Mission Essential Task List (EMETL) -based training program for protective forces at all eight NNSA sites. All sites have implemented the EMETL-based training program and have developed procedures for sustaining the program. Defense Nuclear Security released version 7.0 of the EMETL Field Manual (FM) on 9 August 2018. Quarterly performance assessment reports are submitted by each site and continue to be analyzed by the Program Office to identify enterprise-wide needs and to provide NNSA senior leadership with a current and comprehensive snapshot of protective force capabilities in all mission -essential task areas. These ongoing activities provide assurance that the implemented program is being sustained in an effective manner.												
Comment	This Perform Program Imp	ance Measure lementation P	is being repla erformance M	aced with the r easure.	new Protective	Force Law Er	nforcement Fir	stResponder	– Tactical Ca	sualty Care (L	EFR-TCC)			
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	emetl Proje	ect Plan, Site A	ssistant Visit	Reports, EME	TL Implementa	tion quarterly	y and annual r	eports, Site EN	/IETL Quarterl	y Assessmen	ts			

Program	Defense Nuclear Security												
Performance Goal (Measure)	Security Infrastructure Revitalization Program (SIRP) - Implement, mature, and standardized systems in order to drive an effective, efficient, and sustainable NNSA nuclear security program. This will ensure repeatable and defensible approaches to nuclear security across the broader NNSA nuclear security enterprise process for conducting site vulnerability and risk assessments and provide a set of consistent deliverables to help Federal oversight ensure the security program is integrated, robust, and efficient.												
Fiscal Year	2014         2015         2016         2017         2018         2019         2020         2021         2022         2023         2024												
Target	N/A	N/A         N/A         N/A         N/A         80% index         83% index         86% index         89% index											
Result	N/A	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A TBD TBD TBD TBD TBD TBD TBD											
Endpoint Target	By 2023, achieve defensible prioritization of systems investments based on risk, more common systems configurations/designs, timely redistribution of inventories based on site needs, and more accurate reporting to external stakeholders on condition of NNSA security systems, maintaining a 95% index thereafter.												
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)													
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification													

# NNSA IT and Cybersecurity

Program	NNSA IT and	Cybersecurit	.V		NNSA IT and Cybersecurity											
Performance Goal (Measure)	Cybersecurit and Key Outc	y Program E	<b>xecution Gui</b> h in FY PEG r <sup>,</sup>	dance (PEG) esulting in the	- Annual perc arating of "sat	entage of perfe isfactory or be	ormance evalu tter" as defined	uations of NNS d by FAR 16.4	SA sites meas 01 c(3).	ured against th	ne Objectives					
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024					
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	100% of performance evaluations of NNSA sites resulting in at least a "Satisfactory " rating or better per FAR 16.401 c(3)	100% of performance evaluations of NNSA sites resulting in at least a "Satisfactory " rating or better per FAR 16.401 c(3)	100% of performance evaluations of NNSA sites resulting in at least a "Satisfactory " rating or better per FAR 16.401 c(3)	100% of performance evaluations of NNSA sites resulting in at least a "Satisfactory " rating or better per FAR 16.401 c(3)	100% of performance evaluations of NNSA sites resulting in at least a "Satisfactory " rating or better per FAR 16.401 c(3)	100% of performance evaluations of NNSA sites resulting in at least a "Satisfactory " rating or better per FAR 16.401 c(3)					
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD					
Endpoint Target	Annually, act	nieve at least a	a satisfactory r	ating of 100%	of site perfor	mance evaluat	tions of FY PE	G implemente	ation.							
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)																
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification																
Program	NNSA IT and	Cybersecurit	у													
--	--	--	---	--	---	--	---	---	---	---	---	--	--			
Performance Goal (Measure)	Cybersecurit Assessments	<b>y Assessmer</b> sorthe NA-IM	nt Reviews - Assessment	Annual Percer Team that resu	ntage of cybers ulted in an NNS	security Site A SA rating of "e	ssessment Re	eviews conduc	cted by the Off	ice of Enterpri	ise					
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024					
Target	100 % of reviews resulting in "effective" rating	100 % of reviews resulting in "effective" rating	100 % of reviews resulting in "effective" rating	100 % of reviews resulting in "effective" rating	100 % of reviews resulting in "effective" rating	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					
Result	<b>Met</b> - 100	<b>Met</b> - 100	Not Met - 50	<b>Met</b> - 100	<b>Met</b> - 100	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					
Endpoint Target	Annually, ach	nually, achieve at least an "effective" rating of 100% of NNSA OCIO Site Assistance Visit (SAV) Cybersecurity reviews.														
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Achieved the (EA). The EA completed th effectiveness assessment of contractor Se This result is Information C actions, and	annual target issued its offi is FY. The EA The assessed did note progreptember 28, 2 important bec Officer (OCIO) aid the NNSA	of 100% effec cial assessment assessment for nent noted ma ammatic and t 2018, to develo ause these re- with evidence OCIO with ide	tive ratings of ent report of th ocused on ide ny strengths a echnical defic op and implen views provide of the health intifying focus	Cybersecurity e one NNSA C entifying any ga about LANL's p iencies. Result nent corrective the NNSA Offi and status of C areas to impro	Site Assessn Sybersecurity S aps that could orogram. The sof the asses actions plans ce of the Asso Syber Security ove Cybersecu	hent Reviews of Site Assessment help the site w assessment d ssment were of s. ociate Adminis Programs at urity across th	conducted by ent Review (Lo vith its efforts o id not identify fficially issued strator for Info NNSA sites, ic e Nuclear Secu	the Office of E os Alamos Nati of maintaining any findings. H to the Manag rmation Manag dentify issues f urity Enterprise	nterprise Ass onal Laborato cybersecurity lowever, the E ement & Ope gement and C hat may requi e (NSE).	essments pry) ZA rating hief ire corporate					
Comment Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	This Perform Los Alamos (	nis Performance Measure is being replaced with the new Cybersecurity PEG Performance Measure.														

# **Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation**

#### Material Management and Minimization (M3)

Program	Material Man	aterial Management and Minimization (M3)											
Performance Goal (Measure)	U.S. Surplus	Plutonium D	isposition - (	Cumulative kild	ograms (kg) of	surplus pluto	nium converte	d to oxide in p	preparation for	final dispositi	on.		
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024		
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	767 kg	867 kg	967 kg	1,067 kg	1,167 kg	1,267 kg	1,367 kg	1,467 kg		
Result	N/A	N/A N/A Not Met - 688.6 Exceeded - 900.9 TBD TBD TBD TBD TBD TBD											
Endpoint Target	By FY 2028,	FY 2028, convert 2 MT (2000 kg) of surplus plutonium to oxide.											
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Exceeded the and certified disposing of	e annual targe the 100 kg of at least 34 me	t by convertin FY 2017 prod tric tons of sur	g 900.9 kg of p uced oxide. Th plus U.S. wea	olutonium meta nis result is imp pon-grade plu	al to oxide. M3 oortant becaus utonium.	3 converted ov se it demonstr	ver 100 kg of p ates progress	lutonium meta towards the D	l to oxidedur ep artment's g	ing FY 2018 oal of		
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Documentati supplier (LAN	ocumentation and correspondence from MOX Services accepting the Certificate of Acceptance and Certificate of Conformance from the approved upplier (LANL) for the produced certified plutonium oxide.											

Program	Material Mana	agement and	Minimization (	M3)									
Performance Goal (Measure)	Highly Enric or verified as	h <b>ed Uranium</b> shutdown pri	(HEU) Reacto orto conversio	ors Convertee	d or Shutdow	n - Cumulativ	enumber of H	EU reactors a	and isotopepr	oduction facili	ties converted		
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024		
Target	92 facilities	94 facilities	98 facilities	101 facilities	103 facilities	106 facilities	106 facilities	108 facilities	109 facilities	110 facilities	111 facilities		
Result	<b>Met</b> - 92	<b>Met</b> - 94	Not Met - 97	<b>Not Met</b> - 100	<b>Not Met</b> - 102	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD		
Endpoint Target	By 2035, con	vert or verify t	heshutdown	orior to conve	rsion of approx	kimately 135 H	EU reactors a	ndisotopepr	oduction facilit	ies.			
	Endpoint Tar work with Rus endpoint targ	point Target Change: 17 reactors and 2 isotope facilities in Russia are being removed from the endpoint target due to Congressional direction not to < with Russia and Russia's policy of not to converting its own HEU research reactors. Additionally, 2 reactors in China are being removed from the point target because they have been identified as technically unfeasible to convert.											
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Did not achie from HEU to I due to delays	not achieve the annual target of converting or verifying as shutdown 3 facilities in FY 2018. Converted the Netherlands' Mo-99 production facility n HEU to LEU and confirmed shutdown of the Canadian facility. The Nigerian Miniature Neutron Source Reactor (MNSR) conversion was missed to delays from China on signing the Project and Supply Agreement (PSA) agreement to supply needed LEU for conversion.											
	Action Plan: Communicati Existing risk i minimize the NOTE: Conv	China conve on with China is that the con amount of wea ersion was co	rsion will take a, Nigeria and t version is still apons-usable mpleted in No	place after the he IAEA is ou dependent on material arou vember 2018.	HEU is remover r current verifi China, Nigeri nd the world.	ved. Pending I cation for state a and IAEA all	no further dela us updates. N owing M3 acti	ays, conversio igeria will info vities to contil	n is expected rm us once co nue as planne	in November. nversion is cc d. This resulti	omplete. s important to		
Comment	Beginning in control.	eginning in FY 2020, annual targets have been adjusted due to political, technical and programmatic delays by foreign counterparts outside of U.S.											
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Biweekly and	l monthly repo	orts providing u	ipdates from t	he National La	boratories on	ongoing activ	ities.					

Program	Material Man	rial Management and Minimization (M3)											
Performance Goal (Measure)	Nuclear Mate	erial Remove	d - Cumulative	e number of ki	lograms of vu	Inerable nucle	ar material (HE	EU and pluton	ium) removed	or disposed.			
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024		
Target	5,207 kg	5,332 kilograms	6,055 kilograms	6,285 kilograms	6,499 kilograms	7,100 kilograms	7,140 kilograms	7,230 kilograms	7,300 kilograms	7,480 kilograms	7,500 kilograms		
Result	<b>Met</b> - 5,207	Exceeded - 5,376.7	Exceeded - 6,104.8	Exceeded - 6,372.9	Exceeded - 6,725.3	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD		
Endpoint Target	By 2029, rem	2029, remove or dispose of 7,680 kilograms of vulnerable nuclear material (HEU and plutonium), enough for approximately 300 nuclear weapons.											
	Endpoint Tar remaining ma	point Target Change: The end date of the removal program has been extended by two years to 2029, taking into account that some of the aining material inventories include technically challenging fuels that will require additional time to address.											
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Exceeded the 352.4 kg. Th around the w	eded the annual target of 6,499 kg of material removed or disposed. To date, exceeded FY 2018 metric with 64 successful shipments totaling kg. The cumulative total to-date is 6,725.3 kg. This result is important because this effort will minimize the amount of weapons-usable material and the world.											
Comment	The Nuclear I Supply Agen Iow enriched Therefore, the	Nuclear Material Removal Program has been successfully implementing a Memorandum of Understanding between DOE/NNSA and the Euratom oply Agency on an HEU Exchange, whereby excess highly enriched uranium (HEU) is being removed to the United States to be down-blended to enriched uranium (LEU). This activity has accelerated the timeline for removal campaigns and the achievement of FY 2019 annual target.											
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Canada (NRU CNL Bill of La CNL Bill of La	J/NRX) ading, CNL ref ading, CNL ref	erence 10107 erence 10122 erence 10146 erence 10163 erence 10180 erence 10180 erence 10111 erence 10117 erence 10170 erence 10145 erence 10155	(B-42 BOL); (B-43 BOL); (B-44 BOL); (B-45 BOL); (B-46 BOL); (G-49 BOL); (G-50 BOL); (G-51 BOL); (G-52 BOL); (G-53 BOL);									

CNL Bill of Lading, CNL reference10159;
Japan (AIST) JAEA - Letter confirming down-blending
Estonia Declaration for Dangerous Goods and Airway Bill

Program	Material Management and Minimization (M3)													
Performance Goal (Measure)	U.S. Highly I for down-bler	U.S. Highly Enriched Uranium (HEU) Downblended - Cumulative amount of surplus U.S. highly enriched uranium (HEU) down-blended or shipped for down-blending.     2014   2015   2016   2017   2018   2020   2021   2022   2023   2024												
Fiscal Year	2014	2014     2015     2016     2017     2018     2019     2020     2021     2022     2023     2024       146 MT     150 MT     153 MT     157 MT     160 MT     162 MT     N/A     N/A     N/A     N/A												
Target	146 MT	150 MT	153 MT	157 MT	160 MT	162 MT	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Result	Exceeded - 146.3	ceeded - 146.3     Met - 150     Exceeded - 154.3     Exceeded - 157.9     Exceeded - 160.4     TBD     N/A     N/A     N/A     N/A												
Endpoint Target	By the end of be down-bler from research weapons cor	/ the end of FY 2019, complete down-blending of 162 MT of HEU. The overall amount of HEU available for down-blending and the rate at which it will > down-blended is dependent upon decisions regarding the U.S. nuclear weapons stockpile, the pace of warhead dismantlement and receipt of HEU om research reactors, as well as other considerations, such as decisions on processing of additional HEU through H-Canyon and disposition paths for eapons containing HEU.												
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Exceeded the downblended HEU so that i	Exceeded the annual target of 160 MT HEU downblended or shipped for downblending. At the end of FY 2018, a total of 160.4 MT of HEU has been downblended or shipped for downblending. This result is important because it is contributing to the Department's goal of dispositioning surplus U.S. HEU so that it is no longer weapons-usable.												
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Y-12 contractor monthly program status documents - September highlights report states the repurposed enriched uranium (REU) project has delivered 2,912 kilograms (kgKg) U for downblending, bringing the overall total to 160.4 MT HEU dispositioned. This exceeds the FY 2018 goal of 2,652 Kg. Material movements and quantities are also depicted in material control and accounting data forms and reports that the site is required to maintain under Special Nuclear Materials handling/shipping requirements.													

# **Global Material Security**

Program	Global Mater	obal Material Security												
Performance Goal (Measure)	Mobile Dete	bile Detection System (MDS) - Cumulative number of Mobile Detection Systems (MDS) deployed.												
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024			
Target	72 MDS	97 MDS	117 MDS	137 MDS	157 MDS	167 MDS	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Result	Exceeded - 76	eded -     Not Met - 96     Met - 117     Exceeded -     TBD     N/A     N/A     N/A     N/A       6     143     167     TBD     N/A     N/A     N/A     N/A     N/A												
Endpoint Target	By the end of	<sup>7</sup> the end of FY 2019, deploy 167 Mobile Detection Systems.												
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Program exc completed. A Deterrence's trafficking of	eeded the FY s of the end o work in MDS i nuclear and o	2018 cumulati f FY 2018, the is important be ther radioactiv	ve target of 15 total cumulati ecause it provi e materials.	57 Mobile Dete ve number of ides host gove	ection Systems MDS deploye ernments with a	i (MDS). In FY d is 167 units t a 'mobile' tech	′ 2018, 24 add to 32 countrie nical means to	ditional MDS d s. The Nuclear o detect, deter	eployments w Smuggling D , and interdic	vere Detection and tillicit			
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Project scheo representativ	roject schedules, acceptance testing documentation, design, trip reports, and Final Inspection Testing documentation performed by NSDD presentatives (Federal Country Manager or their delegate) to validate that MDS equipment meets contractual requirements												

Program	Global Materi	Blobal Material Security											
Performance Goal (Measure)	Sustainabilit	y - Cumulative	e number of ra	diation detect	tion systems th	natare being i	ndigenouslys	ustained.					
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024		
Target	431 sites/ports	431490558620684741786N/AN/AN/AN/Ares/portscumulativeradiation											
Result	Not Met - 412	Met - 412     Not Met - 488     Not Met - 538     Exceeded - 630     Exceeded - 686     TBD     TBD     N/A     N/A     N/A											
Endpoint Target	By the end of	FY 2020, tran	sfer 786 radia	ation detectior	systems to in	digenous sus	tainment.						
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Program exce of the end of NSDD is suce detect, deter,	eeded the FY 2 FY 2018, the t cessfully trans and interdict	2018 cumulati otal cumulativ itioning sites t illicit trafficking	ve target of 68 ve number of s o host govern g of nuclear an	34. Work com ites in indiger ment respons id other radioa	pleted in FY2 ous sustainm ibility. These active material	018 resulted ir entis 686. This hostgovernme s.	156 additiona work is impo ents are now s	Il sites bein g i rtant because elf-sustaining	ndigenously s a it demonstrat sites with a ca	ustained. As tes that apacity to		
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Projectsched	roject schedules, trip reports, joint transition and sustainability plans.											

Program	Global Materi	obal Material Security												
Performance Goal (Measure)	Sites - Cumu	s - Cumulative number of sites with radiation detection systems deployed.												
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024			
Target	548 sites/ports	575 cumulative sites	599 cumulative sites	618 cumulative sites	634 cumulative sites	639 cumulative sites	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Result	Exceeded - 550	-     Met - 575     Exceeded - 606     Exceeded - 636     Exceeded - 660     TBD     N/A     N/A     N/A     N/A												
Endpoint Target	By the end of	FY 2019, pro	vide radiation	detection sys	tems to appro:	ximately 639 cu	umulative site	s.						
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Program exce end of FY 20 <sup>7</sup> governments	eeded the FY 18, the total cu with the tech	2018 cumulati umulative num nical means to	ve target of 63 ber of sites wi detect, deter	34 sites with ra th radiation de and interdict i	diation detect etection syster Ilicit trafficking	ion systems. ns deployed i of nuclear an	In FY 2018, w s 660. This wo d other radioa	ork was comp ork is importan octive materials	oleted at 24 sit t because it pr s.	es. As of the ovides host			
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Project Schee	oject Schedules, trip reports, acceptance testing documentation												

Program	Global Material Security												
Performance Goal (Measure)	Radiological	Buildings Pr	otected - Cur	nulative numb	er of buildings	s with high-pri	ority radiologio	cal materials s	ecured.				
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024		
Target	1,785 buildings	1,890 buildings	2,027 buildings	2,116 buildings	2,266 buildings	2,346 buildings	2,426 buildings	2,516 buildings	2,641 buildings	2,766 buildings	2,866 buildings		
Result	Exceeded - 1,816	weded - ,816Exceeded - 1,958Exceeded - 2,100Exceeded - 2,196TBDTBDTBDTBDTBDTBD											
Endpoint Target	4,394 buildin	1,394 buildings secured by 2033											
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Exceeded the buildings and reduces the r	e cumulative ta d 42 domestic isk posed by r	arget of 2,266 buildings were adiological ma	buildings by 1 secured. The aterials worldv	7 with high pri total cumulati wide that could	iority nuclear a ve number of be used in cru	and radiologic buildings sec ude nuclear bo	al materials se ured is 2,283. ombs and radi	cured. In FY 2 This resultis in ological dispe	018, 45 interr mportant beca rsal devices.	national luse it		
Comment	To account fo target from 2.	or work that ha 411 to 2,426	as proceeded buildings secu	more quickly t ıred.	han planned, t	heprogram ha	as increased i	ts FY 2019 tar	get from 2,306	to 2,346 and	its F Y 2020		
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	ORS monthly	ORS monthly performance reports, ORS Implementation Guidelines, ORS Program Management Plan.											

# Nonproliferation and Arms Control

Program	Nonproliferat	tion and Arms	Control											
Performance Goal (Measure)	Export Cont Department of	rol Review &	<b>Compliance/l</b> within 25 days	nterdiction P of receipt.	gm (ECRC/I)	- Submit initia	al DOE positio	nson dual-use	exportlicens	eapplications	to the			
Fiscal Year	2014	2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024												
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	80%	85%	85%	85%	85%	85%			
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD			
Endpoint Target	Achieve an a Department c	eve an annual success rate of at least 85% or greater of all initial DOE positions on dual-use export license applications submitted to the artment of Commerce within 25 days of receipt (i.e., 5 days fewer than required).												
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)														
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification														

Program	Nonproliferation and Arms Control													
Performance Goal (Measure)	Reduce Nuc facilities.	lear Terrorisn	n Threat - Eva	aluate the ade	quacy of exist	ing physical se	ecurity measure	es of U.S. oblig	ated nuclear m	aterial located	at foreign			
Fiscal Year	2014	2014     2015     2016     2017     2018     2019     2020     2021     2022     2023     2024												
Target	6 assessment s	6 sessment s6 assessment s6 assessment s6 assessment s6 assessment s6 assessment s6 												
Result	<b>Met</b> - 6	Met - 6 Met - 6 Exceeded - 7 Exceeded - 8 Exceeded - 8 TBD TBD TBD TBD TBD												
Endpoint Target	Annually revi	ew the physic	al security of L	J.Sobligated	nuclear mater	ial located at fo	oreign facilities	s in order to red	uce the threat	of nuclear terro	rism.			
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Exceeded the material, for a visits were co host governr nuclear terror	e FY 2018 targ a total of 8. In ompleted in 40 ment.) This rea rism.	get of complet 1Q, one secur 2. (Note that tl sult is importa	ing 6 bilateral ity assessmer ne 3 site visits nt because it c	physical prote at was comple s previously so locuments pro	ction security a ted. Four site v heduled for the ogress of the p	assessment re risits were com e end of 3Q we rogram in ensu	views of foreig pleted in 2Q. 1 re requested to ring the securi	n sites holding There were no s b be reschedul ty of nuclear ma	U.Sobligated site visits in 3Q ed to the start o aterial to reduc	nuclear . Three site of 4Q by the e the threat of			
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Physical Prot	hysical Protection Site Assessment database records and official reports; Bi-lateral Physical Protection Reports												

Program	Nonproliferation and Arms Control													
Performance Goal (Measure)	Safeguards	<b>Tools</b> - Trans	fer tools to int	ernational reg	imes and othe	r countries to a	addressidenti	fied safeguard	Isdeficiencies					
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024			
Target	5 systems	5 svstems	5 tools	5 tools	5 tools	5 tools	5 tools	5 tools	5 tools	5 tools	5 tools			
Result	<b>Met</b> - 5	Met - 5 Met - 5 Exceeded - Exceeded - TBD TBD TBD TBD TBD TBD												
Endpoint Target	Annually transfer tools to international regimes and other countries to address identified safequards deficiencies.													
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Annually transfer tools to international regimes and other countries to address identified safeguards deficiencies. Exceeded FY 2018 target of 5 tool transfers, for a total of 6. In 1Q, one tool transfer was completed. The Spent Fuel Neutron Counter Software was transferred to Brazilian-Argentine Agency for Accounting and Control of Nuclear Materials (ABACC). In 2Q, no tool transfers were completed. In 3Q, three tool transfers were completed. A three dimensional virtual reality model of a uranium enrichment plant was transferred to the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), a testing suite for the IAEA Neutron Coincidence Counting (INCC) software with algorithm documentation was also transferred to the IAEA, and Particle Reference Materials were transferred to the IAEA. In 4Q, two tool transfers were completed. A Single Use Destructive Assay (SUDA) Sampler was tested and left with the IAEA, and a new high-purity Pu-244 reference material for use in bulk environmental sample analyses was delivered to the IAEA. This result is important because the tool transfers will allow partners to more effectively and efficiently account for and environmental to and environmental to and environmental to be the tool transfers were tool transfers will allow partners to more effectively and efficiently account for and environmental to the IAEA.													
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Shipping rec	ords; technica	l reports; e-ma	ails confirming	ı receipt; photo	ographs; and o	ther documen	tation.						

Program	Nonproliferation and Arms Control												
Performance Goal (Measure)	International Nonproliferation Export Control Program - Cumulative number of countries where International Nonproliferation Export Control Program (INECP) is engaged that have export control systems that meet critical requirements.												
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024		
Target	34 countries	35 countries	36 countries	37 countries	38 countries	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Result	<b>Met</b> - 34	<b>Met</b> - 35	<b>Met</b> - 36	<b>Met</b> - 37	<b>Met</b> - 38	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Endpoint Target Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	By the end of FY 2025, 45 countries where INECP is engaged will have export control systems that meet critical requirements, defined as having: (1) control lists consistent with the WMD regimes; (2) initiated outreach to producers of WMD-related commodities; (3) developed links between technical experts and license reviewers and front-line enforcement officers; and (4) begun customization of educational materials and technical guides. Met FY 2018 target of 38 countries that meet critical export control system requirements. This number is derived from a review of yearly updates to Engagement Plans and post-event After Action Reports for countries in which International Nonproliferation Export Control Program (INECP) is engaged. This result is important because it documents the success of the program helping foreign partners build export control capacity and prevent the spread of Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD)-related materials, equipment, and technology.												
Comment	This Perform	ance Measure	is being repla	ced with the E	CRC/I - Expo	rt Control Rev	riew and Com	oliance/Interdi	ction Program	Performance	Measure.		
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	In seriormance Measure is being replaced with the ECRC/I - Export Control Review and Compliance/Interdiction Program Performance Measure. International Nuclear Export Control program database records and original input documents; INECP engagement plans and After Action Reports												

# Nonproliferation Construction

Program	Nonproliferation Construction												
Performance Goal (Measure)	Surplus Plut	onium Dispo	sition (SPD) I	Project - Con	nplete the desig	gn activities f	or the Surplus Plu	ıtonium Dis	position (SPD)	project.			
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024		
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Complete Critical Decision (CD) – 1, Approve Alternative Selection	30% of design	60% design; complete 100% final design for long lead procurements, site preparation, and security modifications	Complete 80% of final design	Complete 100% of final design	N/A	N/A		
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not Met	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	N/A	N/A		
Commentary on 2018 Results	Complete design for the Surplus Plutonium Disposition Project. Endpoint Target Change: The endpoint target was revised due to changes to the annual targets to address the Conference Report H.R. 5895 provision which authorizes funds for design activities and prohibits the use of funds for construction and procurement activities for the Surplus Plutonium Disposition (SPD) project in FY 2019. NNSA did not achieve the annual target as funds were not appropriated to start the project in FY 2018. However, in FY 2018 planning and design activities were continued to support the development of the CD-1 package. Accomplishments include approval of: both the Safety Design Strategy and												
(Action Plan if Not Met)	the Conceptu Analysis Rep Readiness As Action Plan: and prohibits	ual Safety Des ort, High Perf ssessment, ar Annual targe s the use of use	ign Report via ormance and S id Technology is have been r e of funds for c	Safety Revie Sustainable B Maturation F evised due to construction a	w Letters, NEP. uilding Plan, Ri Plan. the FY 2019 C and procuremer	A Strategy, E sk Managen onference R nt activities fo	Environmental Pe hent Plan, Risk ar eport H.R. 5895 p or the Surplus Plu	rmit and Co od Opportur provision wl tonium Disp	ompliance Plar nity Assessmen hich authorizes position (SPD)	n, Preliminary nt Report, Tec s funds for de proiect in FY	Hazards chnology sign a ctivities 2019.		
Comment	Upon approval of CD-2, this PMM will be revised to reflect the approved baseline and be measured consistent with all approved projects within NNSA. Annual targets have been revised due to the FY 2019 Conference Report H.R. 5895 provision which authorizes funds for design activities and prohibits the use of use of funds for construction and procurement activities for the Surplus Plutonium Disposition (SPD) project in FY 2019.												
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Annual targets have been revised due to the FY 2019 Conference Report H.R. 5895 provision which authorizes funds for design activities and prohibits the use of use of funds for construction and procurement activities for the Surplus Plutonium Disposition (SPD) project in FY 2019.												

Program	Nuclear Counterterrorism and Incident Response Program												
Performance Goal (Measure)	Emergency Nuclear Facil	Operations C ity (DNF) sites	ompliance R s in full compl	ate (EOCR) - I ance with DOI	Emergency Op E Order 151.1D	erations Com ).	npliance Rate	(EOCR) meas	ures the annu	al percentage	⇒of Defense		
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024		
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	75%	80%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	<b>Met</b> - 75	<b>Met</b> - 80	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Endpoint Target	Maintain an annual rate of 95% of DNF sites in full compliance with DOE O 151.1D.												
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	NNSA met the targetthe number of sites and facilities assessed in compliance is 80%. Sites and facilities continue to implement DOE Order 151.1D. In FY 2018, the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (DNFSB) closed Recommendation 2014-01, and the Department provided status update briefs on emergency activities and initiatives throughout the Department. NNSA revised Emergency Management Guides DOE G 151.1-1A, Emergency Management Fundamentals and the Operational Emergency Base Program; DOE G 151.1-2, Technical Planning Basis; DOE G 151.1-3, Programmatic Elements; DOE G 151.1-4, Response Elements; and, DOE G 151.1-5, Biosafety Facilities to align with the updated DOE Order 151.1D; however, these DOE Guides await finalization from DOE Directives Review Process.												
Comment	The EOCR m 2023.	The EOCR measure will be discontinued after FY 2018 and replaced with the Response Support Coordination Team Readiness measure through FY 2023.											
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board Recommendation (DNFSB) 2014-0 I; Approved realignment and reorganization memorandum dated November 2015 from Associate Administrator Emergency Operations and Associate Administrator Counterterrorism and Counterproliferation to the NNSA Administrator. DOE Order 151.1 D Comprehensive Emergency Management System, approved August 11, 2016; Quarterly reports on the implementation status of DOE 0 151.1 D, development of Emergency Management Guides, and applicable training; Annual HQ DOE/NN SA exercise to validate Emergency Management training proficiency and ability to respond to an all-hazard incident effecting department equities; Measure proficiency of Emergency Management Enterprise from three DNFSB site drills/exercises; Quarterly reports on training guidance and policy implementation; Quarterly reports on deficiencies and corrective actions; and Defense Nuclear Facility sites trained in Threat and Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment (THIRA). Working final coordination of Criteria and Ap proach Review Documents for Emergency Management following coordination and subject matter expert (SME) discussions during Emergency Management Issues - Special Interest Group (EMI-SIG) Meeting.												

## Nuclear Counterterrorism and Incident Response Program

Program	Nuclear Counterterrorism and Incident Response Program												
Performance Goal (Measure)	<b>Incident Res</b> worldwide.	ponse Readi	ness Index (I	<b>RRI) -</b> Annual	overall organi	zational readi	ness to respor	nd to and mitig	gate radiologic	al or nuclear ii	ncidents		
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024		
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	91 IRRI	91 IRRI	91 IRRI	91 IRRI	91 IRRI	91 IRRI	91 IRRI	91 IRRI		
Result	N/A	N/A N/A N/A Not Met - 89 Not Met - 89 TBD TBD TBD TBD TBD TBD TBD TBD											
Endpoint Target	Annually, maintain a Readiness Index of 91 or higher.												
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	The Office of number of pe office has als incident scer Response Ae critical step in <b>Action Plan:</b> NNSA leader actions for ai negotiated w	Nuclear Incid ersonnel availa o initiated an in arios. This st erial Measuring n addressing t NNSA contin ship on staffir rcraft replacer ithin NNSA.	ent Response able to fill requ in depth analy udy will also v g System (AM he continued ues to increas ng issues and nent. Air servi	e did not reach ired positions vsis of its respo- rerify the accur S), FY 2019 ac increased freq se personnel, t guide program ce backup pla	the target .91 I on various tea onse assets an acy of the curr ctivities includ- uency and dur raining, and ec opriorities. The ons are in place	Readiness lev ms, training d d resources to ent readiness e issuing a sol ation of requir quipment purc Emergency F for emergenc	vel for FY 2018 leficiencies, ec o objectively d metric system licitation for th red maintenan chases and ma Response AM cy tran sport ar	B. The office I quipment shore the erecapitalization of the erecapit	nas missed the rtages, and ma bility to r espon office. With re- tion of fixed -w age of the airc response capa proceeding with air transport su	e target due to intenance iss nd to various r espect to the E ing aircraft, wh raft. abili ties analys h aircraft prod pport is contin	a a limited ues. The nuclear mergency nich is a sis will inform curement nually		
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	ARMS Repor evaluators; A	rts; Weekly Me fter action rep	eetings; Daily orts – control	situational rep lers; State, loca	orts; Daily Infra al, & federal re	astructure rep ports validatir	orts; ARMS we	ebsite https://a se efforts; Tas	arms.orau.gov k Orders/Wor	r/; After action k Authorization	reports – าร		

Program	Nuclear Counterterrorism and Incident Response Program													
Performance Goal (Measure)	Response Support Coordination Team Readiness - Measures the readiness of three fully staffed and trained emergency operations response support coordination teams.													
Fiscal Year	2014     2015     2016     2017     2018     2019     2020     2021     2022     2023     2024													
Target	N/A	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1 teams 2 teams 3 teams N/A N/A N/A												
Result	N/A	I/A N/A N/A N/A N/A TBD TBD TBD TBD TBD TBD TBD												
Endpoint Target	hree support coordination teams that are fully resourced, fully trained, and prepared for immediate activation in support of DOE/NNSA complex vide/cascading emergencies, incidents, and events by FY 2022.													
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)														
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification														

Program	Nuclear Counterterrorism and Incident Response Program												
Performance Goal (Measure)	Tier Threat N different expe	lodeling Arcl primental serie	n <b>ive - Validati</b> es designed to	on (TTMA-V) produce data	- Percent com needed to rec	plete toward v onstruct nucle	validating nati ear threat devi	onal 3-D predi ce emergencv	ictive modelin disablement	g capability us scenarios.	ingfour		
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024		
Target	35 % complete	N/A	35 %	50 %	65 %	75 %	85 %	100 %	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Result	TBD	N/A	<b>Met</b> - 35	<b>Met</b> - 50	<b>Met</b> - 65	TBD	TBD	TBD	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Endpoint Target Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	By the end of produce data Note: Endpo Fully achieve compared ag TTMA-V is a throughout th	y the end of FY 2021, complete the validation of the national 3-D predictive modeling capability using four different experimental series designed to roduce data needed to reconstruct nuclear threat device emergency disablement scenarios. Note: Endpoint Target was revised in FY 2019 to reflect previous. unchanged, annual targets. Fully achieved the FY 2018 target based on completion of initial testing and associated modeling of campaign 2 experimental series. Progress is compared against the TTMA-V Endpoint Targets through FY 2021.											
	wait for the refinements this project will produce. This effort is coordinated with the Defense Threat Reduction Agency.												
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Quarterly Reports to HQ on Milestones and Reportable Activities												

Program	Nuclear Counterterrorism and Incident Response Program												
Performance Goal (Measure)	WMD Count and respons	<b>erterrorism</b> E e via Office of	<b>xpertise</b> - Cur Counterterror	mulative numb sm Policvanc	per of officials t d cooperation e	rained in We xercises.	aponsofMass	Destruction (	WMD) Counte	erterrorism (CT	) prevention		
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024		
Target	10,200 trained personnel	11,000 trained personnel	11,700 trained personnel	12,500 trained personnel	13,300 trained personnel	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Result	Exceeded - 10,280	<b>Met</b> - 11,000	Met - 11,700	Exceeded - 12,982	<b>Met</b> - 13,300	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Endpoint Target Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	By the end of Note: The Of produces, an associated n regional WM responsibiliti Federal/Natio measure of th Fully achieve from Tufts Ur Afghanistan, program's pr incidents	By the end of FY 2020, train 14,800 officials in Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) Counterterrorism (CT) prevention and response. Note: The Office of Nuclear Incident Policy and Cooperation's Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) Counterterrorism Exercise Program designs, produces, and conducts tailor-made tabletop exercises for domestic public and private sector customers with nuclear or radioactive materials or associated nuclear security responsibilities. Internationally, the program works with key foreign partners to design, develop, and conduct National and regional WMD security and WMD counterterrorism tabletop exercises. Designed to build teamwork and an in -depth understanding of the roles and responsibilities of agencies charged with responding to terrorist-related radiological, nuclear, or WMD-related incidents, these exercises bring together Federal/National, State, and local decision-makers and first responders. This metric provides a quantitative (cumulative number of officials trained) measure of this program's impact. Fully achieved the FY target of training a cumulative 13,300 first responders, security, and WMD CT officials. Executed tabletop exercises with officials from Tufts University, Yale University, Blood Center of Wisconsin, United Blood Services in Scottsdale, Special Operations Command Pacific, Serbia, Afghanistan, Sri Lanka, and Maldives to train an additional 552 officials during Q4. This result is important because it measures the Counterterrorism program's progress in strengthening WMD CT canabilities by training Eederal and international officials to address WMD terrorism											
Comment	This performance measure is being replaced by the WMD Counterterration Expertise performance measure												
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	This performance measure is being replaced by the WMD Counterterrorism Expertise performance measure. Exercise Attendance Lists and After-Action Reports												

Program	Nuclear Counterterrorism and Incident Response Program													
Performance Goal (Measure)	WMD Count requirements	erterrorism E for a radioloc	<b>xpertise</b> - Pe dicalincidenta	rcentage of reating the completion	sponding Sile on of the exerc	ntThunderpa cise.	rticipants who	report a solid	understanding	g of the respor	nse			
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024			
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%			
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD			
Endpoint Target	Annually maintain a percentage of 70% across all participants reporting a solid understanding at the strongly agree or agree level at the completion of the exercise on required survey.													
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)														
Comment	The Office of tabletop exer Designed to radiological,	The Office of Counterterrorism Nuclear Incident Policy and Cooperation's WMD CT Exercise Program designs, produces, and conducts tailor made tabletop exercises for domestic public and private sector customers with nuclear or radioactive materials or associated nuclear security responsibilities. Designed to build teamwork and an in depth understanding of the roles and responsibilities of agencies changed with responding to terrorist radiological, nuclear, or WMD related incidents, these exercises bring together Federal/National, State and local decision makers and first responders.												
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification														

Program	Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation Research and Development												
Performance Goal (Measure)	<b>Early Prolife</b> Readiness Le	<b>ration Detect</b> evel (TRL) targ	<b>ion</b> - Demons gets at project	trate advance completion, a	ments in mate s set in those	erial production projects' Life (	n and weapon Cycle Plans.	ization detecti	on by achievin	g the baseline	Technology		
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024		
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	80 % of completed projects	80 % of completed projects	80 % of completed projects	80 % of completed projects	80 % of completed projects	80 % of completed projects		
Result	N/A	I/A N/A N/A N/A N/A TBD TBD TBD TBD TBD TBD TBD TBD											
Endpoint Target	Annually, achieve baseline TRL targets on 80% of completed projects.												
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)													
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification													

## Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation Research and Development

Program	Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation Research and Development												
Performance Goal (Measure)	Nuclear Detonation Detection - Annual index that summarizes the status of all NNSA nuclear detonation detection R&D deliveries that improve the nation's ability to detect nuclear detonations.												
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024		
Target	90% index	90% index	90% index	90% index	90% index	90% index	90% index	90% index	90% index	90% index	90% index		
Result	<b>Met</b> - 90	- 90 Met - 90 Met - 90 Met - 90 Met - 90 TBD TBD TBD TBD TBD TBD TBD TBD TBD											
Endpoint Target	Annually achieve timely delivery of NNSA nuclear detonation detection products. (90% target reflects good on -time delivery. Index considers factors beyond NNSA's control and impact on customer schedules.)												
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Achieved the FY 2018 delivery of nuclear deton ation detection sensor payloads in accordance with current US Air Force published schedule for satellite production. Payload delivery for FY 2018 tracks with planned milestones; in particular, one Global Burst Detector (GBD) payload was delivered to the USAF in 1Q FY 2018. This result is important because it maintains the U.S. national capability to monitor the Earth for nuclear detonations.												
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Quarterly reports; Consent-to-Ship memo documenting the readiness of each delivery to user agencies; final delivery and receipt is documented in a DD 1149 Shipping and Receiving Form.												

Program	Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation Research and Development												
Performance Goal (Measure)	Nuclear Secu (TRL) targets	urity - Demon at proiect.co	strate advanc mpletion, as s	ements in nuc et in those prc	lear weapons iects' Life Cvo	and material s cle Plans.	security by ach	nieving the bas	seline Techno	logyReadines	s Level		
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024		
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	80% of completed projects							
Result	N/A	I/A N/A N/A N/A N/A TBD TBD TBD TBD TBD TBD TBD TBD											
Endpoint Target	Annually, ach	nnually, achieve baseline TRL targets on 80% of completed projects.											
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)													
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification													

Program	Defense Nuc	lear Nonprolif	eration Resea	rch and Devel	opment						
Performance Goal (Measure)	Nuclear Wea and characte	<b>Nuclear Weaponization and Material Production Detection</b> - Cumulative percentage of progress toward demonstrating improvements in detection and characterization capabilities of nuclear weapons production activities.									
Fiscal Year	2014	2014     2015     2016     2017     2018     2019     2020     2021     2022     2023     2024									
Target	20% progress	0% 50% of 70% of 90% of 100% of N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A									
Result	<b>Met</b> - 20	<b>Met</b> - 50	<b>Met</b> - 70	<b>Met</b> - 90	<b>Met</b> - 100	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Endpoint Target	By the end of nuclear weap	by the end of FY 2018, achieve 100% cumulative progress toward demonstrating new capabilities detecting uranium and plutonium production and uclear weaponization processes.									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Achieved the specified in tl because it ad the foreign d	chieved the cumulative target of 100% progress. This percentage correlates to meeting the targeted technology readiness level (TRL) goal as becified in the Nuclear Weapons and Material Security Roadmap's investment strategy for each of 18 separate requirements. This result is import ant ecause it advances U.S. technical capabilities in support of nuclear counter terrorism and incident response and to detect, characterize, and monitor the foreign development of nuclear weapons.									
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Program Plar	ogram Plan/Roadmap document; Annual report (unclassified)									

Program	Defense Nuc	lear Nonprolif	eration Resea	rch and Devel	opment						
Performance Goal (Measure)	Nuclear Wea detection, wa	uclear Weapons and Material Security - The cumulative percentage of progress towards demonstrating improvements in Special Nuclear Material atection, warhead monitoring, chain-of-custody monitoring, safeguards, and characterization capabilities.									
Fiscal Year	2014	2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024									
Target	20% progress	50% progress	70% of progress	90% of progress	100% of progress	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Result	<b>Met</b> - 20	<b>Met</b> - 50	<b>Met</b> - 70	<b>Met</b> - 90	<b>Met</b> - 100	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Endpoint Target	By the end of Special Nucle	y the end of FY 2018, achieve 100% cumulative progress toward demonstrating new capabilities for warhead monitoring, warhead chain-of-custody, pecial Nuclear Material movement detection, and nuclear safeguards.									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Achieved the Production D capabilities to	chieved the cumulative target of 100% progress. This percentage correlates to meeting the targeted TRL goals as specified in the Nuclear Material roduction Detection Roadmap's investment strategy for each of 12 separate requirements. This result is important because it advances U.S. technical apabilities to detect, characterize, and monitor the foreign production of special nuclear materials.									
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Program Plar	ogram Plan/Roadmap document; Annual report (classified)									

# **Naval Reactors**

#### **Naval Reactors**

Program	Naval Reactors										
Performance Goal (Measure)	S1B Reactor	31B Reactor Plant Design - Cumulative percentage of work complete on the Columbia-Class submarine reactor plant design.									
Fiscal Year	2014	2014     2015     2016     2017     2018     2019     2020     2021     2022     2023     2024									
Target	22% complete	32% complete	43% complete	55% complete	65% complete	74% complete	80% complete	83% complete	86% complete	90% complete	93% complete
Result	Exceeded - 25.7	ed -Exceeded -Exceeded -Exceeded -Exceeded -TBDTBDTBDTBDTBDTBD34.645.357.86767780780780780780780									
Endpoint Target	By the end o	y the end of FY 2027, complete 100% of the Columbia-Class submarine reactor plant design (formerly known as the Ohio-Class Replacement).									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	As of the end Servicing Sy Manual (RPN submitted RF	s of the end of FY 2018, 67% of the COLUMBIA-class submarine reactor plant (S1B) has been completed. Milestones achieved: issued Reactor ervicing System requirements document, submitted Main Seawater and De-Ionized Water Cooling System diagrams (Rev B), submitted Reactor Plant lanual (RPM) Operating Instructions for coolant sampling, submitted RPM Operating Procedure for Reactor Plant Shutdown and Cooldown, and ubmitted RPM Pressurizing System Maintenance and Replacement instruction									
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Analysis of s schedule, an	cheduled com d cost perforn	npletion of majo nance to scheo	or milestones i dule.	including safet	y analysis and	d performance	analysis repc	rts, drawing d	eli verable perf	ormance to

# **Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy**

## Vehicle Technologies

Program	Vehicle Technologies	Vehicle Technologies									
Performance Goal (Measure)	Light Duty - Improvel	.ight Duty - Improve Light Duty vehicle fuel economy (mpg) through increased engine efficiency.									
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020				
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	41.8 MPG	42.5 MPG	43.2 MPG				
Result	N/A	N/A     - 36     40.3     41     Exceeded - 42.3     TBD     TBD									
Endpoint Target	48.6 MPG by 2030 (i.e improvementassumin	.6 MPG by 2030 (i.e., a 35% improvement in MPG vs. a 2015 baseline). 35% fuel economy improvement represents 25% from engine efficiency aprovement assuming current fuels and an additional 10% from co-optimization with fuels.									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	A fuel economy of 42.3 efficiency results were	v fuel economy of 42.3 MPG was demonstrated using the Delphi Gasoline Direct-injected Compression Ignition (GDCI) engine. The improved engine officiency results were used in a vehicle simulation model, Autonomie, to simulate the fuel economy.									
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Calculation methodolo Combustion (LTC) Eng Results verified by Del Fuel economy improv target will come from c	alculation methodologies for baseline and target costs are found in the presentation Vehicle Energy Consumption Benefits of Low Temperature ombustion (LTC) Engines esults verified by Delphi and reported to EERE. Publication of FY18 results pending. uel economy improvement is compared to a modeled 2015 baseline vehicle with an unadjusted (CAFÉ) fuel economy of 36 MPG. No ne of the 2020 arget will come from co-optimization with fuels, since this effort is still in its early stages.									

Program	Vehicle Technologies	Vehicle Technologies								
Performance Goal (Measure)	<b>Mobility</b> - Establish baseline energy productivity (number of cities). 2019: Complete initial phase of the SMART Mobility National Laboratory Consortium by publishing a results report for each of the five research pillars.									
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020			
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5 reports	5 Cities			
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD	TBD			
Endpoint Target	Long term goal is to increase energy productivity and affordability. Specific, quantitative targets will be established alon g with the baselines in FY 2020.									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)										
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Baseline and scenario simulation tools, and v productivity metric dev	aseline and scenario analysis will be done for 5 different cities/regions using strategic computing capabilities and validated transportation system imulation tools, and will indicate the most promising pathways to improve mobility. Improvements will be measured using the mobility energy or oductivity metric developed in FY 2018, which is undergoing peer review in FY 2019.								

Program	Vehicle Technologies								
Performance Goal (Measure)	Batteries - Reduce t	ne cost of batteries for	r Electric Vehicles (EV	/s).					
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020		
Target	\$ 300 /kWh	\$ 275 /kWh	\$ 250 /kWh	\$ 225 /kWh	\$ 200 /kWh	\$ 185 /kWh	\$ 175 /kWh		
Result	<b>Met</b> - 289	Exceeded - 268	Exceeded - 245	Exceeded - 219	Exceeded - 197	TBD	TBD		
Endpoint Target	\$150/kWh by 2022 \$100/kWh by 2028	150/kWh by 2022 100/kWh by 2028							
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)									
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Achieving the endpo percent (roughly \$14 Documentation of ca Publication of FY18 r Baseline: \$1,000/kWh Battery cost projectio cost model for specif at least 100.000 batter	int target will enable c ,000) from FY 12. Iculation methodology esults pending. In in 2008 ons are derived by batt ic battery cell and mod ries per year.	ost competitive marke r: https://build.export.g ery manufacturers usi dule designs that mee	t entry of EVs by redu jov/build/groups/public ng the United States A t DOE/USABC system	cing the cost of electri c/@eg_main/documen Advanced Battery Con h performance targets	cal vehicle batteries b ts/webcontent/eg_ma sortium's (USABC) ba and are based on a p	y approximately 70 hin_106910.pdf. attery manufacturing roduction volume of		

Program	Vehicle Technologies	Vehicle Technologies									
Performance Goal (Measure)	Electric Drive Systems - Reduce the costs of electric drive systems.										
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020				
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$ 8 /kW				
Result	\$15/kW	\$12/kW	\$12/kW	\$11/kW	\$10/kW	N/A	TBD				
Endpoint Target	\$7/kW by 2022	7/kW by 2022									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)											
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	2012 Baseline: \$30/k Reducing the cost of entry and vehicle elec developments that ar dependence on critic Historical trend data i same as 2015 due to	W electric traction drive ctrification. High volu e combined into a fur al materials (such as s shown in the result changes in system le	systems that can deli me (>100K units/year) actional system or sys cobalt and heavy rare s field above to provic evel assumptions.	ver at least 55kW of p modeled costs are ba tem model for evaluat earth magnet materia le context, even where	eak power will enable o ised on results from ad ion. Includes technolog als) and utilize recycled e no formal GPRA Targ	cost competitive tech vanced inverter and gies that significantly material feedsto cks. et was published for	nologies for market motor technology reduce or eliminate that year. 2016 is the				

# **Bioenergy Technologies**

Program	Bioenergy Technologies									
Performance Goal (Measure)	Algae - Increase alga	al biomass productivit	у.							
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020			
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	13.3 g/m²/day	15.9 g/m²/day	17.2 g/m <sup>2</sup> /day			
Result	N/A	N/A     8.5     9.1     10.3     Not Met - 13     TBD     TBD								
Endpoint Target	At least 25 g/m <sup>2</sup> /day b	by 2025								
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Annual State of Tech Laboratory (PNNL) m 3-season average alg Action Plan: Continu	nnual State of Technology assessment performed by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) and the Pacific Northwest National aboratory (PNNL) modeled a Minimum Fuel Selling Price (MFSP) of \$6.83 to \$11.63 (based on different conversion technology configurations), with a season average algae biomass productivity of 13.0 g/m²/d. ction Plan: Continued effort to increase vield.								
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Results verified and r The FY 2018 baseline now derived from wor Algal biomass produc Multi-year Program Pl With the establishmer biomass productivity The algal biomass pro Cultivation Data from National Renewable E Historical trend data i	eported by NREL and e of 13.3 g/m <sup>2</sup> /day is a rk in the DISCOVR co ctivity targets and thei lan, at https://www.en nt of the Algae Testbe was conducted for the oductivity calculations the Algae Testbed Pu Energy Laboratory, O s shown in the results	PNNL. These result a summer productivity nsortium. r relation to algal biof ergy.gov/sites/prod/fi d Public-Private Partre first time in 2015 for sand methodologies a ublic Private Partners ctober 2016), NREL/T	s will be published in y that is often greater uel production cost ir les/2016/07/f33/myp nership and a standa use in establishing are detailed in E. Kno hip as Utilized in NR P-5100-67289, http le context, even whe	n the BETO Multi-Year P than the annual averag p_march2016.pdf (page ardized data collection p and assessing Bioenerg oshaug, L. M. L. Laurens EL's Algae State of Tecl ://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy re no formal GPRA Targ	rogram Plan. e. The FY18 baselin ed in the Bioen ergy To es 2-49 to 2-56). program, a state-of-teo y Technologies Office s, C. Kinchin, and R. I hnology Assessments 17osti/67289.pdf. get was published for	e was reset and is echnologies Office chnology for algal e technical targets. Davis, Use of s (Golden, CO: that year.			

Program	Bioenergy Technolog	jies								
Performance Goal (Measure)	Pathways - Decrease	e minimum fuel sellir	ng price for the catalytic	c fast pyrolysis and	upgrading pathway.					
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020			
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$ 4.09 /aae	\$ 3.33 /aae	\$ 3.09 /aae			
Result	N/A	5.76	5.19	4.34	Exceeded - 3.46	TBD	TBD			
Endpoint Target	\$3/aae by 2025	3/aae bv 2025								
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Annual State of Tech	inual State of Technology assessment performed by NREL modeled a MFSP of \$3.46/gge.								
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Verified and reported Updated 2017 Baselin Catalytic Fast Pyrolys biorefinery gate) that does not include fuel rates, etc.) can be fou Catalytic fast pyrolys main challenge of this lignocellulose. This of reactor system to imp Historical trend data i	by NREL. These re he: \$4.09/gge (previ- sis and Upgrading D enables a 10% rate marketing or distribu- ind here: https://www is of biomass is reco s process is the deve cost reduction will be rove carbon efficien s shown in the resul	sults will be published ously \$4.34 - updated t esign Case pending pu of return over the lifetin ution costs, nordoes it v.nrel.gov/docs/fy15os gnized as an efficient a elopment of active and accomplished by optin cy, reduce catalyst cos ts field above to provid	in the BETO Multi-Y o 2016\$ and reflect ublication in Octobe ne of the biorefinery include any retail m ti/62455.pdf nd feasible process stable catalysts that nizing catalyst com st, and extend cataly le context, even whe	Year Program Plan. ting new tax law). MFSP a r 2018. MFSP is defined a y including capital costs, c arkups. Full economic as s to s electively convert lig t can deal with a large var position and process con- yst lifetime. ere no formal GPRA Targe	assumptions based of as the fuel selling pri operating costs, and sumptions (e.g. plan nocellulose into a liq riety of decompositio ditions for the catalyti et was published for	on 2018 Ex Situ ce (leaving the financing. This price t lifetime, interest uid fuel—bio-oil. The on intermediates from c fast pyrolysis that year.			

Program	Bioenergy Technolog	ies								
Performance Goal (Measure)	Upgradable Lignin -	Increase yield of up (	gradeable products fro	man industrially relev	antlignin wastestrean	n (% by mass).				
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020			
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	43 %			
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	35%	N/A	TBD			
Endpoint Target	ncrease vield of upgradeable products from an industrially relevant lignin waste stream to 53% by mass by 2030.									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)										
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Using a combination of monomers that can be products (e.g., mucon Targets include contri Lignin Utilization. Selection of the lignin of 53% upgradable pr Lignin upgrading show FY18 data is shown ir	of reductive catalysis a valorized through k ate) or direct use of ibutions from Biologi valorization strategy oducts (mass basis) ws catalytic processe the results field abo	s (to cleave C-O bonds mown upgrading route monomers for material cal Lignin Valorization will be documented ir will enable the progra es able to generate usa	s) and oxidative catalys s. The latter can includ s applications. , Performance Advanta n a lab/BETO whitepap m's MFSP target of \$3 able monomers from li even where no forma	sis (to cleave C-C bond de feasibility of enablir aged Co-products, Lig o er. According to techr .00 per gallon gasolin gnin in biomass, eithe I GPRA Target was pu	ds), depolymerize lig ng biologica l funnelin nin-First Biorefinery E no-economic analysis e equivalent. r biologically converti blished for that year.	nin streams into g to ring-opened Development, and s, the endpoint target ble or separable.			

Program	Bioenergy Technolog	jies								
Performance Goal (Measure)	Water Consumption - Reduce modeled water consumption for at least one of four biofuel production pathways (% reduction from 2018 baseline).									
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020			
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10%			
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD			
Endpoint Target	15% reduction in dire Liquefaction (IDL), Slu	5% reduction in direct water consumption by 2022 for at least one of biofuel production pathway among Catalytic Fast Pyrolysis (CFP), InDirect iquefaction (IDL), Sludge HyDrothermal Liquefaction (HDL), Biochemical Conversion.								
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)										
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	FY18 Baselines: 1.3 gal/gge for CFP 3.3 gal/gge for IDL 1 gal/gge for Sludge H 11.3 gal/gge for Bioch Supply chain analysis from the National Ren	HTL hem s will be conducted by newable Energy Labo	v Argonne National La ratory.	boratory and will be ve	erified and reported by	Argonne National La	boratory with input			

# Hydrogen and Fuel Cell Technologies

Program	Hydrogen and Fuel C	Hydrogen and Fuel Cell Technologies									
Performance Goal (Measure)	<b>Delivery and Dispensing cost</b> - Reduce the cost of hydrogen delivery and dispensing.										
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020				
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$ 11.5 /kg	\$ 11 /kg				
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	13	12	TBD	TBD				
Endpoint Target	\$5/kg by 2025	5/kg by 2025									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)											
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	\$5/kg target is aligned production from natur https://www.hydroger The ultimate (beyond \$2/kg delivery and wo Historical trend data i	d with the near-term o al gas. This is consis nenergy.gov/pdfs/150 2030) target for hydr ould enable a 27¢/mil s shown in the results	cost target of \$7/kg for stent with record: D12_hydrogen_early_i rogen to be cost comp e Levelized Cost of Dr s field above to provid	5/kg target is aligned with the near-term cost target of \$7/kg for hydrogen produced, delivered and dispensed untaxed and assumes \$2/kg hydrogen roduction from natural gas. This is consistent with record: ttps://www.hydrogen.energy.gov/pdfs/15012_hydrogen_early_market_cost_target_2015_update.pdf. The ultimate (beyond 2030) target for hydrogen to be cost competitive with gasoline on a \$/gge basis is \$4/kg app ortioned to \$2/kg for production and 2/kg delivery and would enable a 27¢/mile Levelized Cost of Driving (LCD).							
Program	Hydrogen and Fuel Cell Technologies										
---	---	---	--	--	--	---	--	--	--	--	--
Performance Goal (Measure)	Materials - Identify advanced water splitting materials and associated pathways through leveraging the HydroGEN Energy Materials Network (EMN) Consortia.										
Fiscal Year	2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020										
Target	N/A	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A S Materials 7 Materials									
Result	N/A	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A TBD TBD									
Endpoint Target	11 materials by 2022:	11 materials by 2022; accelerated discovery of advanced water splitting materials to meet the hydrogen production cost target.									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)											
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Materials identified m the Hydrogen chapte HydroGEN EMN Con splitting (AWS): low te parameters chosen fo available at: https://er	ust have the potentia r of the FCTO Multi-Y sortium is focused or emperature electrolys or this metric (efficien nergy.gov/sites/prod/	I to meet at least two t ear Research Develop materials discovery a sis, high temperature e cy, durability, and mat files/2015/06/f23/fcto_	echnology-specific ta pment and Demonstra and development for fo electrolysis, photoelec erials cost) are of the myrdd_production.pdf	rgets in efficiency, du ation plan, to reach th our diverse pathways trochemical, and sola greatest importance	rability and/or materials e ultimate cost goal of to generate hydrogen ar thermochemical. The to AWS pathways. (The	s cost as defined in <\$2/kg. The via advanced water three common e MYRDD is				

Program	Hydrogen and Fuel Cell Technologies											
Performance Goal (Measure)	PGM Free Catalysts - Improve the catalyst activity of Platinum Group Metal (PGM) free catalysts.											
Fiscal Year	2014	2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020										
Target	N/A N/A N/A 25 mA/cm2 29 mA/cm2 33 mA/cm2											
Result	N/A	N/A N/A 16 21 Exceeded - 27 TBD TBD										
Endpoint Target	44 mA/cm2 bv 2025	44 mA/cm2 bv 2025										
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Los Alamos National Lab (LANL) demonstrated PGM-free catalyst performance of 27 mA/cm2 based on the second polarization curve. Future efforts will need to improve catalyst durability.											
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Verified and reported Baseline: https://www Catalyst activity will be of 1.0 bar and a cell te ultimate cost target of Historical trend data is	by LANL. Publication hydrogen.energy.go e measured at 0.90 V emperature of 80 °C. \$30/kW to enable a s shown in the results	on of FY18 results pen ov/pdfs/review16/fc107 /iR-free in a lab-tested Eliminating the PGM 27¢/mile LCD. s field above to provid	ding. _zelenay_2016_o.p I H2-O2 membrane catalyst from the st e context, even whe	odf electrode assembly (fuel ack provides a pathway f ere no formal GPRA Targ	cell) at an oxygen p or the program to me et was published for	artial pressure (pO2) eet the fuel cell that vear.					

## Solar Energy

Program	Solar Energy										
Performance Goal (Measure)	Grid - Reduce the modeled system cost of solar + storage to enable nation wide cost effective and safe integration of variable solar energy into our electric grid.										
Fiscal Year	2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020										
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$ 1.65 /WDC	\$ 1.6 /WDC				
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.96	\$ 1.86 /WDC	TBD	TBD				
Endpoint Target	\$1.45/WDC	\$1.45/WDC									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)											
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	The solar + energy st based on NREL analy Historical trend data i	The solar + energy storage cost target is an unsubsidized cost of energy at utility scale array with 4 hours of battery storage. Mod el assumptions based on NREL analysis: 2017 NREL PV Benchmark Report, the Annual Technology Baseline and PV plus storage an alysis. Historical trend data is shown in the results field above to provide context, even where no formal GPRA Target was published for that year.									

Program	Solar Energy	Solar Energy									
Performance Goal (Measure)	Photovoltaic (PV) - Reduce the modeled Levelized Cost of Energy (LCOE) Solar PV energy.										
Fiscal Year	2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020										
Target	13 cents/kWh	13 cents/kWh 10 cents/kWh 9 cents/kWh 7 cents/kWh 6 cents/kWh 5 cents/kWh 4.8 cents/kWh									
Result	Exceeded - 11	Exceeded - 11 Met - 10 Exceeded - 8.2 Exceeded - 6 Exceeded - 5.2 TBD TBD									
Endpoint Target	3 cents /kWh by 2030	) (without subsidies).	cost competitive with t	raditional electricity s	sources.		-				
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	5.2 cents/kWh achie	ved.									
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Results are based or Unsubsidized cost of	n the technical report, energy at utility scale	"U.S. Solar Photovolta e.	aic System Cost Benc	hmark: Q1 2018," by N	IREL.					

Program	Solar Energy	Solar Energy										
Performance Goal (Measure)	Concentrated Solar	Concentrated Solar Power (CSP) - Reduce the modeled levelized cost of CSP energy.										
Fiscal Year	2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020											
Target	15 cents/kWh	13 cents	N/A	N/A	N/A	8 cents/kWh	7.8 cents/kWh					
Result	Exceeded - 14	Exceeded - 12.9	12.5	10.3	9.8	TBD	TBD					
Endpoint Target	6 cents/kWh by 2022 5 cents/kWh by 2030											
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)												
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Unsubsidized cost of technical reports.	fenergy at utility scale	including 14 hours o	f thermal storage, in the	eU.S. southwest. Re	sults will be published	d in periodic NREL					

Program	Solar Energy											
Performance Goal (Measure)	Solar Products – Accelerate the process to develop new, innovative solar products from concept to pilot testing in less than one calendar year (number of products developed within a vear)											
Fiscal Year	2014	2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020										
Target	N/A	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 2 products										
Result	N/A	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A TBD										
Endpoint Target	6 products by 2022 (c	umulative since FY2	020)									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)												
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	2019 Baseline: 2-2 ½ In 2007 DOE and NRE market. Through mos program (Round 12) w The American Made S the product and the ris transition to private se Products must be rele	years, concept to pi EL launched the first st of the history of thi vas launched in 2016 colar Prize is testing sk tolerance of the pi cotor testing. vant to the domestic	ot round of the PV Incub s program, it took app S and had the goal of b a new prize based fun lot testing partner. It fo	ator through the Solar roximately 2.5 years to oringing a hardware p ding structure that co ocuses on rapid, early ing sector.	r America Initiative to a o go from concept to p roduct concept to pilot uld reduce this time to stage product innova	accelerate promising ilot testing. The mos t testing in two years. one year, dependin tion and developmer	g solar technologies to st recent round of the g on the complexity of it and an early					

#### Wind Energy

Program	Wind Energy	Wind Energy									
Performance Goal (Measure)	Offshore - Reduce the modeled Levelized Cost of Energy (LCOE) from offshore wind energy.										
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020				
Target	21.5 cents/kWh	19.9 cents per kwh	18.1 cents/kwh	17.2 cents/kWh	16.2 cents/kWh	11.5 cents/kWh	10.9 cents/kWh				
Result	Exceeded - 20.3	Not Met - 20.8	<b>Met</b> - 18.1	<b>Met</b> - 17.2	Exceeded - 11.9	TBD	TBD				
Endpoint Target	10.9 cents/kWh by 20 9.3 cents/kWh by 203	10.9 cents/kWh by 2020 (Endpoint targets established in 2015) 9.3 cents/kWh by 2030									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)											
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	FY18 documentation in NREL publication: https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy18osti/72167.pdf Offshore Wind Fixed-bottom LCOE is based off a U.S. reference wind farm with a wind speed of 8.4m/s @ 50m; 20 plant life; and a real average market discount rate derived from European installations in 2015. CapEx, OpEx, and turbine characteristics updated annually based on weighted average installations in Europe. All values are in 2015 dollars. All terms and methodologies listed above are referenced in the 2015 Cost of Energy Review: http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy17osti/66861.pdf In FY19, DOE is performing an analysis effort to rebaseline the assumptions for the offshore wind reference plant and associated cost reduction pathways. The jump in FY15 costs are skewed due to several highly expensive projects built unusually far from shore and in deep water off the coast of										

Program	Wind Energy	Nind Energy									
Performance Goal (Measure)	Onshore - Reduce th	Onshore - Reduce the modeled Levelized Cost of Energy (LCOE) from land -based wind energy.									
Fiscal Year	2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020										
Target	7.7 cents/kWh	6.9 cents/kwh	5.6 cents/kWh	5.5 cents/kWh	5.4 cents/kWh	4.7 cents/kWh	4.6 cents/kWh				
Result	<b>Met</b> - 7.4	<b>Met</b> - 6.9	<b>Met -</b> 5.6	Exceeded - 5.2	Exceeded - 4.8	TBD	TBD				
Endpoint Target	4.6 cents/kWh by 202 2.3 cents/kWh by 203	.6 cents/kWh by 2020 (Endpoint targets revised in 2018) .3 cents/kWh by 2030									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)											
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	FY18 documentation The onshore wind en 5.6%; national capac	in NREL report: https lergy cost target is an sity weighted average	.://www.nrel.gov/docs/ unsubsidized cost of installed CapEx and C	/fy18osti/72167.pdf energy at utility scale. DpEx values; 7.25 m/s	Real market Weighte Wind speed @ 50m h	d Average Cost of Cap Jub height; and 25 yea	pital (WACC) of ar plant life.				

#### Water Power

Program	Water Power											
Performance Goal (Measure)	Dams - Reduce the r	Dams - Reduce the modeled Levelized Cost of Energy (LCOE) from hydropower from non-powered dams.										
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020					
Target	N/A	Establish Baseline	9.8 cents/kWh	9.7 cents/kWh	9.6 cents/kWh	9.4 cents/kWh	9.2 cents/kWh					
Result	N/A Met - 10 Met - 9.8 Met - 9.7 Met - 9.6 TBD TBD											
Endpoint Target	9.2 cents/kWh by 20 9.0 cents/kWh by 20 7.5 cents/kWh by 20	9.2 cents/kWh by 2020 9.0 cents/kWh by 2022 7.5 cents/kWh by 2030										
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Scale-testing of a Co than a traditional ste possible to transport and tube length, ther In FY18 and FY19, V hydrokinetic (wave e updated systems-lev Data and new propo	Scale-testing of a Composite Archimedes Screw turbine was able to be completed in Q4; and achieved efficiencies of 90%, almost ten percent greater than a traditional steel turbine. The composite design has also demonstrated potential to reduce deployment costs: the detac hable blades make it possible to transport the turbine in segments, leading to reductions in transportation costs; and the optimized design reduces material mass and blade and tube length, therefore decreasing overall footprint and costs. This yielded an LCOE of 9.6. In FY18 and FY19, WPTO has been engaging in data collection and analytical efforts to set new long-term GPRA targets for cost reduction of marine hydrokinetic (wave energy), and hydropower (non-powered dam, and new-stream-reach) technologies. These new long-term targets will be based on updated systems-level engineering models, new project-specific data from WPTO-funded R&D, and feedback from industry experts and engineers.										
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Although the baselin is too small to establi Hydropower Vision F https://energy.gov/ee Unsubsidized cost o	e for the hydropower L ish an empirically base Report and reflect cost ere/water/articles/hydro f energy at utility scale	COE estimate is deri d national average a reductions in Capital power-vision-new-ch for small. Iow head d	ved from empirical da nnually. The goals an Expenditures. All term napter-america-s-1st-r ams.	ta, the sample set of n d trajectories are base ns and methodologies renewable-electricity-s	ew hydropower build a ad on expert opinion a listed in the Hyd ropov ource. Publication of F	s, on an annual basis, s published in the wer Vision Report: FY18 results pending.					

Program	Water Power	Water Power									
Performance Goal (Measure)	Streams - Reduce th	Streams - Reduce the modeled Levelized Cost of Energy (LCOE) from new stream developments.									
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020				
Target	N/A	Establish Baseline	11.7 cents/kWh	11.5 cents/kWh	11.4 cents/kWh	11.15 cents/kWh	10.9 cents/kWh				
Result	N/A	<b>Met</b> - 11.9	Met - 11.7	<b>Met</b> - 11.5	<b>Met</b> - 11.4	TBD	TBD				
Endpoint Target	10.9 cents/kWh by 20 8.9 cents/kWh by 20	020 30									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Littoral Power Syster standardized module designed to be instal LCOE of 11.4. In FY18 and FY19, V hydrokinetic (wave e updated systems-lev Data and new propo	Littoral Power Systems successfully completed lab testing of seals per American Water Works Association (AWWA) C563 requirements in Q4 for their standardized modules for hydropower dams, spillways & powerhouses that can be efficiently transported via truck s, train or barge. The modules are designed to be installed in weeks and minimize or eliminate the requirement of coffer dams, reducing civil works and installation costs. This yielded an LCOE of 11.4. In FY18 and FY19, WPTO has been engaging in data collection and analytical efforts to set new long-term GPRA targets for cost reduction of marine hydrokinetic (wave energy), and hydropower (non-powered dam, and new-stream-reach) technologies. These new long-term targets will be based on updated systems-level engineering models, new project-specific data from WPTO-funded R&D, and feedback from industry experts and engineers. Data and new proposed targets (and possibly re-baselined present-day cost numbers) will be available for discussion with OMB in O2 of EY20									
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Although the baselin is too small to establi Hydropower Vision F america-s-1st-renew Unsubsidized cost o	e for the hydropower L ish an empirically base Report and reflect cost vable-electricity-source f energy at utility scale	COE estimate is deri ed national average a reductions in Capital e. Publication of FY18 for small, low-head d	ved from empirical da nnually. The goals an Expenditures. https:// results pending. levelopments.	tta, the sample set of r d trajectories are base energy.gov/eere/wate	new hydropower builds ed on expert opinion as r/articles/hydropower -	s, on an annual basis, s published in the vision-new-chapter-				

Program	Water Power											
Performance Goal (Measure)	Marine & Hydrokinetic (MHK) - Reduce the modeled Levelized Cost of Energy (LCOE) from Marine & Hydrokinetic technologies. 2016: Double energy capture per cost (meters per million dollars) 2015: Increase power-to-weight ratio from a baseline of 0.25 (kW/ton) 2014: Reduce the cost of energy from Marine & Hydrokinetic technologies (cents/kWh)											
Fiscal Year	2014	2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020										
Target	6 cents/kWh	6 cents/kWh 0.375 kW/ton 3 m/\$M 66 cents/kWh 64 cents/kWh 60 cents/kWh 55 cents/kWh										
Result	Exceeded - 53	Exceeded - 53 Exceeded - 0.4 Met - 3 Met - 66 Met - 64 TBD TBD										
Endpoint Target	27 cents/kWh by 203	0		•	-							
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Testing of advanced basin. Represents th device when compar In FY18 and FY19, W hydrokinetic (wave er updated systems-lev	wave energy controls e first fully-closed loo ed with current state-o /PTO has been engag nergy), and hydropow el engineering models	system completed b p implementation of a f-the-art. This yielde ing in data collection er (non-powered dar s, new project-specifi	y Sandia National Lab a Wave Energy Conve d an LCOE of 64. n and analytical efforts n, and new-stream-rea c data from WPTO-fun	(SNL) at the Navy's M rter (WEC) controller o to set new long -term ( ich) technologies. The ded R&D, and feedbac	laneuvering and Sea k capable of doubling av GPRA targets for cost ese new long-term targ ck from industry expen	Keeping (MASK) verage power from a reduction of marine gets will be based on rts and engineers.					
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Wave energy cost tar trajectories are based https://energy.gov/ee	rgetis an unsubsidized d on expert opinion as pre/water/articles/hydro	d cost of energy at ut published in the Hyd ppower-vision-new-c	ility scale, based on Hi dropower Vision and re hapter-america-s-1st-r	umboldt Bay standard eflect cost reductions i enewable-electricity-s	lized resource condition n Capital Expenditures ource. Publication of F	ons. The goals and S. FY18 results pending.					

Program	Water Power	Vater Power										
Performance Goal (Measure)	Licensing - By the end of Q2 FY2020, the Department will publish scientific data and analysis to support FERC's development of consistent best practices for licensing studies and requirements that reduce the licensing timeframe for non-Federal hydropower projects at non-powered dams and closed-loop pumped storage projects to 2 years or less.											
Fiscal Year	2014	2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020										
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Publish data					
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD					
Endpoint Target	N/A						•					
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)												
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Successfully meeting t need for DOE data in t	uccessfully meeting this goal will be measured by 1) whether or not the data and analysis is published on time and 2) if FERC acknowledges the eed for DOE data in meeting the development of their best practices by citation in the best practices documentation.										

## **Geothermal Technology**

Program	Geothermal Technology									
Performance Goal (Measure)	Systems - Reduce the modeled Levelized Cost of Energy (LCOE) from newly developed Enhanced Geothermal Systems (EGS).									
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020			
Target	22.4 cents/kWh	22.3 cents/kWh	22.2 cents/kWh	22 cents/kWh	21.8 cents/kWh	21.7 cents/kWh	21.4 cents/kWh			
Result	Met - 22.4	Met - 22.3	Met - 22.2	Met - 22	Exceeded - 21.75	TBD	TBD			
Endpoint Target	16 cents/kWh by 203	0; 6 cents/kWh by 20	50 (revised from 2030)	)						
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	The new LBNL Step-Rate Injection Method for Fracture In-Situ Properties (SIMFIP) tool uses stress measurements to improve stimulation for Enhanced Geothermal Systems (EGS) has been successfully tested at the EGS Collab site. GTO assesses that this tool will result in an increase in the simulation success rate from the previous baseline of 75% up to 80%. As an example, another GTO project at Raft River, Idaho continued successful stimulation in FY18; as of May of 2018, the team observed an increase in well injectivity of approximately 80 times, from approximately 20 gallons per minute (gpm) to approximately 1,590 gpm.									
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	The Geothermal Elec https://workingincaes Result verified and re Unsubsidized cost of representative costs the scenario being ev developed for all asp determining a levelize	tricity Technology Ev inl.gov/SiteAssets/C eported at the EGS Co energy at utility scale of generating electric valuated, with most of ects of a project need ed cost of electricity (I	aluation Model (GETE AES%20Files/FORGE Ilab site. Publication including both hydrof al power from geother these factors defined ed to provide the spec _COE).	M) user manual is pu /inl_ext-16-38751%2 of FY18 results pend thermal and Enhance mal energy. The estin by inputs provided. B sified or calculated po	blished on the Idaho N 0GETEM%20User%20 ing. d Geothermal Systems nated costs are depend based on the scenario o bwer sales. These cost	lational Lab Website h Manual%20Final.pdf (EGS). GETEM estim dent upon a number o characterization, cost s and annual power sa	nere: nates the f factors specific to estimates are ales are the basis for			

## Advanced Manufacturing

Program	Advanced Manufacturi	Advanced Manufacturing									
Performance Goal (Measure)	Manufacturing Energ	Manufacturing Energy Intensity - Improve manufacturing energy intensity as compared to a 2015 baseline.									
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020				
Target	N/A	N/A	%	%	7.5 %	10 %	12.5 %				
Result	N/A	N/A	2.45	4.9	<b>Met</b> - 7.5	TBD	TBD				
Endpoint Target	17.5% improvement by	17.5% improvement by 2022 relative to a 2015 baseline.									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	7.5% cumulative targe United States, suppor	t achieved. 2.5% ac ted and validated 2.5	hieved annually in 20 % energy intensity re	16, 2017 and 2018. duction.	Partnering with 15% of r	nanufacturing energ	y footprint of the				
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Result aggregated and This data is derived fro in diverse industries. E aggregate of partner b	Result aggregated and verified by EERE from Better Plants partner companies. Publication of FY18 results pending. This data is derived from 201 Better Plants partner companies with over 2,900 facilities. These represent 15% of the total U.S. Manufacturing footprint in diverse industries. Energy intensity is calculated either through Cumulative Energy Savings (TBtu) or Cumulative Cost Savings; baseline is									

Program	Advanced Manufactur	Advanced Manufacturing									
Performance Goal (Measure)	Rare Earth Magnets	Rare Earth Magnets - Reduce the amount of rare earth materials used in magnets (weight % composition).									
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020				
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10.8 %				
Result	N/A	36%	36%	18%	18%	N/A	TBD				
Endpoint Target	3.6% weiahtcomposi	3.6% weight composition by 2022									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)											
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Goal is to substitute m MGOe (Mega Gauss ( (NdFeB) and ~6 weigh conversion of energy motors found in manu battery storage, wind Historical trend data is	Goal is to substitute materials for rare earth permanent magnetic alloy systems while maintaining industrially-relevant magnetic strength of at least 50 MGOe (Mega Gauss Oersteds). 2015 baseline magnetic systems include 36 weight % REE composition, including ~30 weight % neodymium content NdFeB) and ~6 weight % dysprosium or terbium. Permanent magnets are dependent on Rare Earth Element (REE) materials to enable the conversion of energy between mechanical and electrical forms – an integral property to the functionality of the lightweight, high-power generators and notors found in manufacturing equipment, information technology, defense applications, consumer electronics, and energy technologies such as pattery storage, wind turbines, and electric vehicles.									

Program	Advanced Manufacturing										
Performance Goal (Measure)	<b>Conductivity</b> - Increase baselines)	Conductivity - Increase electrical conductivity for copper, aluminum, and steel at scale up tests from 50 grams to 5 kg (% increase relative to 2015 paselines)									
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020				
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15 %				
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD				
Endpoint Target	50% increase by 2022										
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)											
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	2015 Baseline for Elec Cu: 100% IACS AI: 61% IACS – Differe 61% IACS & SOA of A for over-head transmis Fe: 17% IACS AMO is funding the de and alloys for thousan steel in order to provic <u>Some Historical Miles</u> Mar 2017: Achieved 3 Aug 2017: Achieved 8	trical Conductivity o ent grades of alumin l6201 (where alloyin ssion line is Al6201. evelopment of genera ds of clean energy a de effective program tones (there are man 10% improvement in 13% improvement in th	<u>t:</u> um have different elec agelements are addec alized low cost proces pplications. The scop management. <u>y others, non-quantita</u> electrical conductivity ne electrical conductivity	trical conductivity valu to improve its streng ses to increase both th of the current R&D i ative) of copper Micron s vity of aluminum 5 kg	ues. Current state-of-t hth) has electrical cond he thermal and electric is targeting the electric scale thin film. g scale.	he-art Al1350 (purer ( uctivity of 52.5% IACS cal conductivity of a w cal conductivity of cop	grade aluminum) has 6. Industry standard ide range of metals oper, aluminum and				

## **Building Technologies**

Program	Building Technologies									
Performance Goal (Measure)	HVAC - Identify techn	ologysolutionscapa	able of achieving dehu	midification levels with	h 10% less energy ti	nan conventional system	ו.			
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020			
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1 Technology Solution Identified	2 Technology Solutions Identified (Cumulative)			
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD	TBD			
Endpoint Target	3 technology solution	s (cumulative) by 202	1			-				
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)										
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Baseline: Laboratory p using 30 kW isotherm manufactured and dis Federal Regulations a https://docs.lib.purdue Dehumidification acco equipment with enhar challenge with standa are trying to address t The program will doct (https://www.osti.gov) technical information Note: For gas-fired de electric vs. kWh therm	Baseline: Laboratory prototype tested on the ability to dehumidify air at 33 degrees centigrade with 90% relative humidity to 35% relative humidity using 30 kW isothermally and adiabatically. The basis for improvement is: Residential central air conditioners and central air conditioning heat pumps manufactured and distributed in commerce, as defined by 42 U.S.C. 6291(16), must meet the energy conservation standards specified in the Code of Federal Regulations at CFR 430.32(c)(3). Further parameters documented here: https://docs.lib.purdue.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1901&context=iracc. Dehumidification accounts for about 40% of the energy consumed by residential and commercial buildings (ASHRAE 2017). Higher performing equipment with enhanced dehumidification capabilities that can operate at part load, or operate at lower cooling set points, are needed. The main challenge with standard AC systems is that the air dew point cannot be lower than the coil temperature, limiting latent cooling and dehumidification. We are trying to address this issue. The program will document the identification of a technology solution by publishing a report and later posting a final project report in OSTI (https://www.osti.gov) at the completion of the project. OSTI collects, preserves, and disseminate both unclassified and classified scientific and technical information (STI) emanating from DOE-funded research and development (R&D) activities at DOE.								

Program	Building Technologies	6								
Performance Goal (Measure)	Lighting - Increase power conversion efficiency of amber light.									
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020			
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	13 %	19 %	21 %			
Result	N/A N/A N/A 10 <b>Met</b> - 16 TBD TBD									
Endpoint Target	30% power conversion efficiency of amber light by 2025.									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Lumileds achieved, in	Lumileds achieved, in a laboratory prototype specimen, a measured 16% conversion of electric power into amber light.								
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Verified at the lab and 2017 Baseline: 10% p To achieve the endpo wavelengths (green, a greatest early stage R impossible to calculat FY 2019 target is to ac a 1 mm2 die at curren	reported to EERE. ower conversion effi int target of 350 Im/V amber, red and blue). &D opportunity. Inc e by exactly how muc chieve, in a laborator t density of 35A/cm2	Publication of FY18 re ciency of amber light. / of mixed monochror We are focusing on reasing the power cor ch. ry prototype specimer and junction tempera	esult pending. matic white light we namber in FY 2019 be oversion efficiency of n, an increased perce ature of 25 C.	eed to increase the pow cause it has the most si amber light directly con ent conversion of electric	ver conversion efficie gnificant technical ba tributes towards Im/V power into amber lig	ency of all four arriers with the V, though it is ght (580-595nm) with			

Program	Building Technologies	Building Technologies									
Performance Goal (Measure)	Standards - Issue energy efficiency standards in line with statutory requirements.										
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020				
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	3 Standards	2 Standards				
Result	8	7	12	9	2	TBD	TBD				
Endpoint Target	Standards will be issued in line with the statutorily defined standards review schedule.										
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)											
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	The energy conservat years include test proc	ion standards perfor cedures for final rule	mance goal is based c s delivered by fiscal ye	on the statutory require ear were 7 in FY14, 8 i	ements and associat in FY15, 14 in FY16,	ed deadlines. Addition 8 in FY17 and 1 in FY	al results in recent 18.				

#### Federal Energy Management Program

Program	Federal Energy Mana	Federal Energy Management Program									
Performance Goal (Measure)	Workforce Developr	<b>forkforce Development</b> - Increase total hours of workforce development training provided by FEMP.									
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020				
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	40,000 hours	42,500 hours	N/A				
Result	19,777	29,249	35,249	37,612	Exceeded - 40,731	TBD	N/A				
Endpoint Target	Measure is discontin	Measure is discontinued as of FY 2020.									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Training was provide trainings. FEMP prov	Training was provided through on-demand courses, live in-person workshops, the annual Energy Exchange training event and live/recorded webinar trainings. FEMP provided 17,119 hours of training at the 2018 Energy Exchange event alone.									
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	All training attendance offering, taking into c FEMP manages all co Building Science's (N material is being utiliz attendees take multip	Il training attendance data is reported monthly to FEMP. The metric, hours of training provided, is calculated using the attendance from each training iffering, taking into consideration the type and length of that training format. EMP manages all course and training registration/attendance data through the learning management system developed by the National Institute of Building Science's (NIBS) Whole Building Design Guide. This metric provides FEMP with a clear and weighted measurement of how FEMP training naterial is being utilized and identifies which courses are most critical. This also is a more useful metric then just simple registration data, since many utendees take multiple courses throughout the year, thus it is critical to capture their attendance as well									

Program	Federal Energy Management Program										
Performance Goal (Measure)	Investments - Total	nvestments - Total Federal Investment in Facilities Energy Conservation Measures Government-Wide (\$Million)									
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020				
Target	N/A	\$ 750 Million	\$ 750 Million	\$ 750 Million	\$ 1.770 Million	\$ 1.770 Million	\$1.063 Million				
Result	N/A	Exceeded - 1,980	Exceeded - 1,735	Exceeded - 1,337	Not Met - 1,356	TBD	TBD				
Endpoint Target	\$8.5 Billion in total ef through direct obliga (UESCs)).	ficiency investment o ttions and through per	ver 8 years. \$1,063 m formance contracting	illion annually through Energy Savings Perfo	n 2027 to be invested I prmance Contracts (E	by Federal agencies ( SPCs) and Utility Ener	Government-wide gy Service Contracts				
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Preliminary data confirms DOE/FEMP Indefinite Delivery, Indefinite Quantity (IDIQ) Energy Savings Performance Contracts (ESPC) and ENABLE awards during FY 2018 totaling \$960.15M. The reported investment value of \$960.15M only accounts for federal performance contracts recorded as of 10/12/18 and does not account for FY18 federal investment in facilities energy conservation measure funded through direct obligations or UESCs. Funding of investment through direct obligations and UESCs will be reported to DOE in January 2019. Seventeen major agencies projected \$395.6 million in direct obligations for efficiency investment for FY 2018 in their FY 2017 Annual Energy Data Reports submitted in January 2018. <b>Action Plan:</b> Funding of investment through direct obligations will be reported to DOE around mid FY 19.										
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Action Plan: Funding of investment through direct obligations will be reported to DOE around mid FY19.Preliminary data confirms DOE/FEMP IDIQ ESPC and ENABLEawards during FY 2018 totaling \$960.15M. Seventeen major agencies projected\$395.6 million in direct obligations for efficiency investment for FY 2018 in their FY 2017 Annual Energy Data Reports submitted in January 2018.Funding of investment through direct obligations will be reported to DOE around mid FY19.Agencies report project investment funded through direct obligations and performance contracting annually in their reports to DOE required under 42U.S.C § 8258(a), however DOE-FEMP does not receive a comprehensive accounting of these investment amounts until the second quarter of the following fiscal year. DOE IDIQ ESPC/UESC performance contracting awards can be accurately reported on a quarterly basis by FEMP, however only direct obligations reported by agencies in the EISA 432 Compliance Tracking System (CTS) can be reported for GPRA progress on a quarterly basis during current fiscal year. Potential cost-effective investment of \$8.5 billion has been identified by Federal agencies in CTS. The annual target of \$1,063 million in investment is based on the total \$8.5 billion in identified divided by the 4-year evaluation cycle and then by the two-year deadline to										

Program	Federal Energy Mana	agement Program									
Performance Goal (Measure)	Private Investment -	Private Investment - Private investment secured as a result of direct FEMP program activity (Cumulative \$Million)									
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020				
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$ 400				
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD				
Endpoint Target	Between FY2020 and savings.	Between FY2020 and FY2025, document \$2 billion of efficiency investment leveraged from private sector to capitalize on efficiency technology cost savings.									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)											
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Federal efficiency inv support the DOE IDIC facilities. This does n	estment from perform SESPC contracts, EN ot include other perfc	nance contracting awa VABLE, and UESC pri- ormance contracts inc	ards (ESPC, UESC, etc ojects help to facilitate luding but not limited tc	2.) that are a result of F non-federal investmer the Army MATOC ES	EMP program activit nts to improve the effine PC or stand -alone program	ty. FEMP activities to ciency of federal erformance contracts.				

Program	Federal Energy Mana	Federal Energy Management Program										
Performance Goal (Measure)	Cost Avoided - Fede	Cost Avoided - Federal facility energy and water costs avoided through lower consumption compared to the prior year (Cumulative \$Million)										
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020					
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$ 100					
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD					
Endpoint Target	\$600 million in cumula	\$600 million in cumulative avoided costs between FY2020 and FY 2025										
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)												
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	This metric is a calcul water in the current ye	ation of the dollar va ear. The target is der	lue of the reduced ene ived from the average	ergy and water use con e cost avoidance (from	mpared to the prior ye h the prior year) since f	ar based on the unit c FY 2003.	ost of energy and					

Program	Weatherization and I	Weatherization and Intergovernmental Programs								
Performance Goal (Measure)	Retrofits - Weatheriz	Retrofits - Weatherize homes of low income families.								
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020			
Target	24,600 homes weatherized	33,100 homes weatherized	33,600 homes weatherized	33,000 homes weatherized	36,000 Homes Weatherized	38,000 Homes Weatherized	N/A			
Result	Exceeded - 38,000	Exceeded - 34,220	Not Met - 31,370	Exceeded - 37,512	Not Met - 33,643	TBD	N/A			
Endpoint Target	Measure is discontinued past FY 2019.									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Grantees fully reported in December 2018. Target unmet due to a higher cost per unit retrofitted. Action Plan: Retrofits will continue as planned.									
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Grantees don't fully r Homes weatherized a (Performance and Ac Project Officers and a	Grantees don't fully report until December. Homes weatherized are reported on a quarterly basis. Reports are due 30 days after the close of the applicable reporting period through PAGE (Performance and Accountability for Grants in Energy) the online tool for grant performance reporting. Quarterly reports are quality-reviewed by Project Officers and approved before submission as final data.								

# Electricity

#### **Transmission Reliability and Resilience**

Program	Transmission Reliab	ility and Resilience								
Performance Goal (Measure)	Transmission Reliable health and the ability	ransmission Reliability and Resilience - Demonstrate and implement technologies and tools that improve the monitoring of transmission system ealth and the ability of operators to respond quickly and effectively to address issues.								
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020			
Target	1 Develop a prototype wide-area synchrophasor- based voltage stability tool	Demonstrate an open-source, synchrophasor- based tool that can be used for demonstrating compliance with the frequency response requirements contained in NERC Std BAL-003.	Develop a prototype wide-area synchrophasor- based voltage stability tool	Develop and test methods for validating power system models using real-time data in a real-time environment to support operations and improve reliability.	Continue developing and testing methods for validating power system models using real-time synchrophasor data in a real-time environment to support operations and improve reliability and resiliency.	Develop and test the algorithmic methods for power system recovery/restoration to improve the resiliency of the electric power system.	N/A			
Result	<b>Met</b> - 1	Met	Met	Met	Met	TBD	N/A			
Endpoint Target	Realization of a national and the analization of a national and the analised strains and the ana	nwide network of utilite, real-time monitoring	ty-owned synchrophas of transmission syste	sors with 100% senso mhealth.	r coverage of the trans	smission system by the	e end of FY 2020,			
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Sandia National Labo PMU-based oscillatio inject power, resultin control centers, prov	Sandia National Laboratories and Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) along with personnel from Montana Tech designed and implemented a PMU-based oscillation detection and damping controller that successfully detects and eliminates oscillations by using the parallel DC line to counter- inject power, resulting in very significant savings. This PMU-based monitoring system transmits data on the health of the Western power grid back to control centers, providing continuous, uninterrupted power.								
Comment	Endpoint target will b	e met by end of FY 20	)19 and target is not c	ontinued into FY 2020						
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	https://phys.org/news	s/2018-01-smart-grid-	technology-decades-p	roblematic.html						

Program	Transmission Reliab	Transmission Reliability and Resilience								
Performance Goal (Measure)	North American Eneridentification and eva	ergy Resilience Mode	<b>el</b> - Develop and imple s to strenathenina the l	ement an integrated s bulk electrical system	system of dynamic moon that supplies critical i	deling capabilities th nfrastructure .	at will assist in			
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020			
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Build a model for critical infrastructures and develop at least two use cases to study the impact of these infrastructures on electric power system and develop plans.			
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD			
Endpoint Target	By 2025, develop an	d test prototype infras	structure resilience mo	deling platform in rea	al -world en vironment us	sing dynamic data.				
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)										
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification										

#### **Resilient Distribution Systems**

Program	Resilient Distribution Systems								
Performance Goal (Measure)	Resilient Distributio resilient grid services	<b>n Systems</b> - Develop s from all types of distr	and validate the tech ribution assets.	nical feasibility of inte	grated distribution co	ntrol architectures to e	fectively provide		
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020		
Target	1 Demonstrate an operational prototype of a smart microgrid including integration of electric vehicles and renewable energy	Complete development of a prototype Microgrid Design Toolset (MDT) that is used by at least one A&E firm for microgrid design analysis.	Release the first generation of a microgrid controller (i.e., Complete System-Level Efficient and Interoperable Solution for Microgrid Integrated Controls, also known as CSEISMIC 1.0) with full documentation of the architecture, device controllers, and a use case with a distribution management system.	Complete development of a design support tool that is used by at least one remote community for designing an AC or DC microgrid for off- grid applications.	Complete development of the Advanced Distribution Management System core analytics engine for the open-source distribution system platform.	Complete real-time simulation testing of a networked microgrid system design, and assess the value associated with resilient grid services.	Demonstrate representative distribution feeder with at least 50% of its control optimizations originating at or below the substation, utilizing distributed energy resource-derived control services in simulation		
Result	<b>Met</b> - 1	Met	Met	Met	Met	TBD	TBD		
Endpoint Target	Achievement of a res the Advanced Distrib	ilient distribution systemation Management Sy	em, with integration of stem, that allows for in	networked microgrids	s and transactive cont of energy resources b	rol signals operating in by the end of FY 2030	ו coordination with		
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Completed release of version 1.0 of GridAPPS-D, an open-source Advanced Distribution Management System (ADMS) application development software platform. Version 1.0 exhibits the core features of an integrated software platform, such as the system architecture, distributed real-time database management, an application programming interface that is based on the Common Information Model (CIM) standard, and a containerized software approach for speedy execution.								
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	https://github.com/GF	RIDAPPSD/							

#### Energy Storage

Program	Energy Storage							
Performance Goal (Measure)	Energy Storage - Lo	wer the cost of grid-s	cale (>1 MW) energy s	storage technologies.				
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	
Target	400 \$/kWh for a 4 hour system	325 \$/kWh for a 4 hour system	300 \$/kWh for a 4 hour system (vanadium/vanadiu m electrolyte)	Transition to new aqueous soluble organic flow systems with the goal of substantial future cost reductions. \$350/kWh for a 4- hour system (aqueous soluble organic electrolyte)	\$275/kWh for a 4- hour system (aqueous soluble organic electrolyte)	Evaluate improvements to novel aqueous soluble organic flow battery on a prototype scale stack capable of meeting \$250/kWh cost target for a projected 1MW/4MWh system operating at 100 mA/cm <sup>2</sup> , a 25% increase in current density	Demonstrate a 2 kW prototype stack of novel aqueous soluble organic flow battery technology capable of achieving scaled up to 200 mA/cm <sup>2</sup> with a projected 1 MW/4 MWh system cost of less than \$225/kWh	
Result	<b>Met</b> - 400	<b>Met</b> - 325	<b>Met</b> - 300	Met	Met	TBD	TBD	
Endpoint Target	By 2030 deliver a sui	te of DC storage tech	nologies at less than \$	50/kWh that can deliv	ver cost-competitive e	lectricity for consume	s and utilities.	
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	In FY 2018, a new ac and constructed to m The resulting pilot sc system cost for a cor	In FY 2018, a new aqueous soluble organic (ASO) chemistry was developed for the redox flow battery technology. A pilot scale stack was designed and constructed to maximize the performance at 75 mA/cm <sup>2</sup> ; a pilot scale stack was constructed to demonstrate the improvements in performance. The resulting pilot scale stack achieved target metrics when operated at 75 mA/cm <sup>2</sup> ; a stack energy efficiency of about 70% was achieved and the system cost for a commercial 1MW/4MWh redox flow battery system was projected to be under \$275/kWh.						
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	PNNL Report # PNNI	27269-4: Developm	nent of Aqueous Solubl	e Organic Redox Flo	w Batteries for Station	ary Electrical Energy S	Storage (in review)	

## **Transformer Resilience and Advanced Components**

Program	Transformer Resilien	Transformer Resilience and Advanced Components								
Performance Goal (Measure)	Transformer Resilier adaptive, more flexibl value and lifetimes of	ansformer Resilience and Advanced Components - Develop tools and technologies that enable the next-generation of grid hardware to be more laptive, more flexible, self-healing, resilient to all-hazards, reliable, and cost-effective compared to technologies available today, and maximizes the alue and lifetimes of current grid components.								
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020			
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Complete design of a large power transformer with variable impedance of ±5% to increase adaptability	Complete design tool for converters with 5% increase in soft magnetic model accuracy compared to benchmark	Complete evaluation of 1 new material for suitability in high power converters or advanced transformers			
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Met	TBD	TBD			
Endpoint Target	By the end of FY 2030 effectively while incre	By the end of FY 2030, next-generation transformers and converters will be developed that can be utilized in more than 80% of substations cost- effectively while increasing the transformer and converter flexibility and resiliency by 50%.								
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Target achieved. Des	Target achieved. Design of a large power transformer with variable impedance of $\pm 5\%$ to increase adaptability was completed.								
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Final technical report contents was consist will be located on OS zero-high-side-device	inal technical report of projects documents result submitted from performers to program manager and technical project officer. Review of report contents was consistent with progress observed during site visits and feedback provided during project close out meetings. Approved reports are or vill be located on OSTI website (including https://www.osti.gov/biblio/1476384-modular-flexible-high-frequency-link-transformer-reduced-device-count- cero-high-side-devices; https://www.osti.gov/biblio/1435970-novel-concept-flexible-resilient-large-power-transformers).								

Program	Transmission Permit	Transmission Permitting and Technical Assistance								
Performance Goal (Measure)	<b>Technical Assistance</b> - Number of states to which the program provides, upon request, assistance in designing and implementing electricity policies, statutes and regulations.									
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020			
Target	35 states/tribes assisted	40 states and tribes assisted	50 states/tribes assisted	45 states/tribes assisted	50 states/tribes assisted	50 states/tribes assisted	N/A			
Result	<b>Met</b> - 35	<b>Met</b> - 40	<b>Met</b> - 50	<b>Met</b> - 45	<b>Met</b> - 50	TBD	N/A			
Endpoint Target	Increased access to	reliable, affordable, an	d sustainable energy	sources.						
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)										
Comment	This performance go provided.	oal is discontinued after	FY 2019 and is repla	aced by a new goal be	tter measuring the eff	ectiveness of the techni	cal assistance			
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Transmission Permit using best practices reports. Data is coll annual reviews on th are aligned with mee territories, U.S. feder lead laboratory in the questions in the repo	tting and Technical Ass in project managemen ected from the national ne TA work performed b eting TPTA's mission. I rally recognized Native e technical assistance to prted data.	sistance (TPTA) mana t. TPTA maintains ar laboratories and oth by the national labs an Included in the TPTA American tribes, and tracking and the TPTA	ges all aspects of the internal tracking data er entities responsible nd other entities to en- technical assistance to Instrumentalities of th A Program Managers i	technical assistance abase that includes all for conducting the TA sure the goals of their tracking process are th the States. Lawrence B review the reporting a	(TA) program from ince TA requests, project pl on a quarterly basis. T products are being met he fifty (50) United State Berkeley Nation al Labor nd follow up with the lab	ption to closure ans, and progress PTA conducts and futu re plans s, recognized U.S. atory (LBNL) is the ps with any			

Program	Transmission Permitting and Technical Assistance										
Performance Goal (Measure)	Technical Assistance Committee (EAC) sub	echnical Assistance Rating - Percentage of technical assistance products and services rated as relevant or highly relevant by an Energy Advisory Committee (EAC) subcommittee									
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020				
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	≥ 90 %				
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD				
Endpoint Target	Annually, at least 90%	Annually, at least 90% of technical assistance products and services will be rated as relevant or highly relevant by an EAC subcommittee									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)											
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification											

# Cybersecurity, Energy Security, and Emergency Response

#### Cybersecurity for Energy Delivery Systems

Program	Cybersecurity for Ene	ergy Delivery Systems	i							
Performance Goal (Measure)	Cybersecurity - Dev	Sybersecurity - Develop new protective measures to reduce risks from cyber incidents.								
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020			
Target	1 substation control system component	Demonstrate a tool that designs-in enhanced communications security between control centers	Demonstrate a tool that establishes a tailored trustworthy space for one energy delivery field device.	Complete preliminary design of an early stage technology that establishes a tailored trustworthy space for one substation control system component.	Complete preliminary design of an early stage technology for prevention, detection, mitigation, or resilience against cyber incidents in energy delivery systems.	Complete prototype of an early-stage technology for prevention, detection, mitigation, or resilience against cyber incidents in energy delivery systems.	Test-bed demonstrate a technology for prevention, detection, mitigation, or resilience against cyber incidents in energy delivery systems.			
Result	<b>Met</b> - 1	Met	Met	Met	Met	TBD	TBD			
Endpoint Target	Continuously advand maintained to survive	e the vision of reliable a cyber incident whil	and resilient energy of e sustaining critical fur	delivery systems thround	ighout our Nation that	are designed, in stalle	d, operated, and			
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	This FY 2018 target r project's goal is to pr and validate operatio Electrotechnical Con requirements and tec	This FY 2018 target milestone has been met under the Schweitzer Engineering Laboratories (SEL) award #DE-OE0000834 "Chess Master." This project's goal is to provide system operators with a global view of their operational network, enabling them to set and view field network security policy and validate operational adherence to those policies. SEL focused on the design of the security application and the world's first International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC)-style industrial rated Software Defined Networking (SDN) switch, which will be named the SEL-2742S. The product requirements and technical specifications were documented and approved by the team in FY 2018.								
Comment	This performance me	asure was associated	d with the Electricity De	elivery and Energy Re	eliabilityappropriation	prior to FY 2019.				
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	SEL award #DE-OE0	000834 "Chess Maste	er" quarterly report sub	omitted by SEL to DOB	Ξ					

Infrastructure	Security	and	<b>Energy</b>	Reliability	(ISFR)	
IIIIasuuciuie	Security	anu	спегуу	пенартну		

Program	Infrastructure Security and Energy Reliability (ISER)										
Performance Goal (Measure)	ISER - Informational the EAGLE-I platform	<b>FR - Informational Awareness</b> - Improve information sharing among energy sector stakeholders as measured by the number of active accounts in the EAGLE-I platform; both the total number and the diversity of participation from mission partners, e.g., state Emergency Operations Centers.									
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020				
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	500 active accounts with more than 5% from state and local partners	Achieve 1,000 active accounts with more than 100 from state, local, and private sector partners.	N/A	N/A				
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	Met	Met	N/A	N/A				
Endpoint Target	By the end of FY 2018, EAGLE-I will be the predominant source for energy situational awareness for mission partners during an emergency as measured by having more than 1,000 active accounts from all types of stakeholders										
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	The EAGLE-I user da	tabase indicates 1,55	9 active EAGLE-I us	ers with 194 of those b	eing state affiliated acc	counts.					
Comment	This performance me This performance me	asure is not continue asure was associated	d into FY 2019. I with the Electricity [	Delivery and Energy Re	eliabilityappropriation	prior to FY 2019.					
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	EAGLE-I user related information is provided by each user when requesting an EAGLE-I account. All user profile information is stored in the EAGLE-I user database. EAGLE-I administrators analyze reports from the database to determine numbers of active users the number of users associated with specific characteristics. The analysis determines total numbers of total EAGLE-I active users and how many of the active users are associated with U.S. states. State users are sponsored and confirmed by the ISER State, Local, Tribal, Territorial (SLTT) Program Manager. The EAGLE-I function for user profile creation validates the user's submitted information before an account is created. The EAGLE-I disables user access when not used more than 90 days. EAGLE-I procedures disable a user account whenever user data becomes inaccurate. Disabled user accounts are not included in the ISER performance result calculations.										

Program	Infrastructure Security and Energy Reliability (ISER)							
Performance Goal (Measure)	ISER Situational Awareness Capability - Improve information sharing among energy sector emergency response stakeholders and mission partners by expanding EAGLE-I situational awareness capabilities.							
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Implement an information sharing capability (e.g., web services) with state emergency operations centers.	Expand information sharing capability to include access to damage assessments and predictive modeling. Sharing of actionable predictive information is used to address risk.	
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD	TBD	
Endpoint Target	By the end of FY 2023, all Federal, state, local, and private sector mission partners will have access to EAGLE-I capabilities for energy sector situational awareness, emergency response, and emergency preparedness. EAGLE-I will provide sharing or integration capabilities with other Federal situational awareness mission partners.							
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)								
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification								

# **Fossil Energy Research and Development**

#### **FERD - Natural Gas Technologies**

Program	FERD - Natural Gas Technologies								
Performance Goal (Measure)	Natural gas infrastructure research - Increase the modeled efficiency of natural gas infrastructure as demonstrated by a modeled decrease in fugitive methane emissions by 50%.								
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020		
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5 % modeled reduction of fugitive methane emissions	10 % modeled reduction of fugitive methane emissions		
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD	TBD		
Endpoint Target	By the end of FY 2022, develop technologies that will reduce modeled fugitive methane emissions from natural gas transmission and distribution infrastructure by 50% to a level of 13.4 MMT CO2 from the current level of 26.7 MMT CO2, as identified in the EPA's Greenhouse Gas Inventory.								
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	0% reduction in 2018 due to working on establishing baselines and setting project targets.								
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	An engineering-based model of the natural gas value chain was developed based on the current state-of-knowledge for the U.S. average natural gas infrastructure fugitive methane emissions and other life cycle environmental attributes. This model assumes the industry adoption of technologies and does not account for Federal and State policy regulations. A memorandum of understanding (MOU) was established with the natural gas industries leading U.S. methane reduction group, ONE Future Coalition, in 2017 to quantify the current fugitive methane reductions achieved by the ONE Future Coalition, to validate the DOE/NETL engineering-based model of the natural gas value chain to technically represent the efficiency of methane reduction strategies, and to identify additional methane reduction opportunities based on the marginal abatement costs benchmarked to current and projected future natural gas prices. A public report documenting the findings of the MOU with the ONE Future Coalition was released on May 1, 2018. Report Title: "Industry Partnerships and their Role in Reducing Natural Gas Supply Chain Greenhouse Gas Emissions". Link to Public Report: https://www.osti.gov/servlets/purl/1457394								

#### FERD - Unconventional FE Technologies

Program	FERD - Unconventional FE Technologies								
Performance Goal (Measure)	Unconventional FE technologies - Improve modeled unconventional resource recovery efficiency to 12%.								
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020		
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10 % modeled recovery efficiency	11 % modeled recovery efficiency	Establish three field projects focused on improving safe resource recovery from unconventional oil and gas formations		
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	-N/A	TBD	TBD		
Endpoint Target	By the end of FY 2022, develop technologies and production methods for unconventional resources to improve modeled recovery efficiency to 12% from the current recovery efficiency level of 10%.								
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	During FY 2018, basin-specific models were developed based on project-specific data for those basins with Field Laboratories. These models give insights on hydraulic fracturing design parameters and proppant placement for increased ultimate recovery. Each model is baselined on basin recovery efficiencies in place prior to the emplacement of the Field Lab in that basin.								
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	The Marcellus Shale Energy and Environmental Laboratory (MSEEL) research team developed a model that incorporates detailed information of the natural occurring geological formation to simulate production from hydraulic fracturing in a specific basin. The insight gained from this model provides data and generates knowledge for increasing resource recovery factors in new wells throughout the Marcellus shale. Additionally, this approach can then be applied to other shale plays, incorporating location specific geology.								
#### FERD - Coal

Program	FERD - Coal								
Performance Goal (Measure)	Cost of Energy and energy conversion te	CO2 Capture from A chnologies that inhere	dvanced Power Syst ently capture CO2, for I	ems - Develop cost- both new and existin	-effective, efficient, and g coal -fired power pla	d reliable CO2 separat nts.	ion technologies and		
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020		
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Identify material properties to meet transformational goals	Synthesize and develop process models for at least two technology types (e.g., metal organic frameworks and non-binding organic liquid solvents)	Conduct bench- scale testing under actual flue gas conditions of at least one technology type		
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	<b>Met</b> - 2	TBD	TBD		
Endpoint Target	By CY 2030, R&D technologies are available to support a new coal-fired power plant with CO2 capture with a cost of electricity at least 30% lower than a supercritical PC with CO2 capture, or approximately \$30 per tonne of CO2 captured. By CY 2030, for retrofitting an existing coal-fired power plant with CO2 capture, capture technologies are available to reduce the cost of capture by 30% (actual cost of capture varies for each unit). (Baseline: NETL Cost and Performance Baseline Series: 2012 Capture Technology)								
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Two processes were a 90% removal efficie	considered: a single- ency from flue gas in a	stage membrane proc a standardized coal-fir	ess and a two-stage ed power plant.	air-sweep. Both syste	ms had to achieve 955	% purity of CO2 with		
Comment	Typical laboratory an validate current prog accordingly during th	d bench-scale R&D p ress against target, ar at period.	rojects are conducted ad status of the techno	in 2-3 year time peric logy in relation to the	ods, after which point, DOE program goals.	systems analyses are Progress against the t	conducted to arget will be updated		
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Two processes were a 90% removal efficie permeance and selec membrane area was and without compres	considered: a single- ency from flue gas in a tivity and compared a also calculated as a fi sion of the flue gas fee	stage membrane proc standardized coal-fir against a base case co un ction of membrane p ed stream, and the infl	cess and a two-stage ed power plant. Cost nsisting of a commer performance parame uence of flue gas cor	air-sweep. Both syste of electricity (COE) wa rcially available solver ters. Additionally, eacl mpression on plant eff	ms had to achieve 959 as calculated as a func at carbon capture syste n of those processes v iciency was determine	% purity of CO2 with tion of membrane em. The required vas evaluated with ed.		

Program	FERD - Coal									
Performance Goal (Measure)	Power Plant Efficiency Improvements (Existing Plants) - Increase the average modeled efficiency (heat rate) of existing coal based power plants									
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020			
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	31 %	Issue FOA and make 3 awards to improve the efficiency, reliability and flexibility of the existing fleet	Perform a minimum of two studies to improve efficiencies on critical components			
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	31	<b>Met</b> - 31	TBD	TBD			
Endpoint Target	By the end of FY 2022, improve the average modeled efficiency (heat rate) of a typical plant in the existing fleet by 5 percent from the 2017 baseline of 31 percent (i.e., to 32.5%)									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	The original FY 2018 projects are conductor status of the technolo	performance goal wa ed in 2-3 year time pe ogy in relation to the D	s to complete the Effic riods, after which poin OE program goals. Pi	siency Improvement I It, systems analyses rogress against the ta	Roadmap to 2030. T are conducted to val arget will be updated	ypical laborato ry and be lidate current progress a l accordingly during that	ench-scale R&D gainst target, and period.			
Comment	The original FY 2018 projects are conduct status of the technolo	The original FY 2018 performance goal was to complete the Efficiency Improvement Roadmap to 2030. Typical laboratory and bench-scale R&D projects are conducted in 2-3 year time periods, after which point, systems analyses are conducted to validate current progress against target, and status of the technology in relation to the DOE program goals. Progress against the target will be updated accordingly during that period.								
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	The NETL report "Co performance of Low- fluidized bed (CFB) p that accurately reflect https://www.netl.doe	status of the technology in relation to the DOE program goals. Progress against the target will be updated accordingly during that period. The NETL report "Cost and Performance Baseline for Fossil Energy Plants" presents an accurate, independent assessment of the cost and performance of Low-Rank Coal-Fired Power Systems, specifically integrated gasification combined cycle (IGCC), pulverized coal (PC) and circulating fluidized bed (CFB) plants plus natural gas combined cycle (NGCC) plants at different elevations, using a consistent technical and economic approach that accurately reflects current or near term market conditions. Please see report below. https://www.netl.doe.gov/projects/files/CostandPerformanceBaselineforFossilEnergyPlants Volume3ExecSummLowRankCoaltoElect_090111.pdf								

Program	FERD - Coal										
Performance Goal (Measure)	Power Plant Efficier	Yower Plant Efficiency Improvements (New Plants) - Increase the average modeled efficiency (heat rate) of new coal based power plants.									
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020				
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	38 %	Initiate up to 6 Pre- FEED studies for the CoalFIRST initiative	Perform a minimum of four Pre-FEED studies				
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	38	<b>Met</b> - 38	TBD	TBD				
Endpoint Target	By the end of FY 2023, improve the average modeled efficiency (heat rate) of an advanced or new coal plant by 5 percent from the 2017 baseline of 38 percent (i.e., to 40%).										
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	The original FY 2018 conducted in 2-3 yea technology in relation	The original FY 2018 target was to complete the Efficiency Improvement Roadmap to 2030. Typical laboratory and bench -scale R&D projects are conducted in 2-3 year time periods, after which point, systems analyses are conducted to validate current progress against target, and status of the technology in relation to the DOE program goals. Progress against the target will be updated accordingly during that period.									
Comment	The original FY 2018 conducted in 2-3 yea technology in relation	target was to comple r time periods, after w n to the DOE program	te the Efficiency Impro hich point, systems a goals. Progress agair	ovement Roadmap to nalyses are conducte nst the target will be u	o 2030. Typical labor ed to validate current updated accordingly	atory and bench -scale F progress against target during that period.	&D projects are and status of the				
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	The NETL report "Co performance of Low- fluidized bed (CFB) p that accurately reflec https://www.netl.doe	Schnology in relation to the DOE program goals. Progress against the target will be updated accordingly during that period. The NETL report "Cost and Performance Baseline for Fossil Energy Plants" presents an accurate, independent assessment of the cost and erformance of Low-Rank Coal-Fired Power Systems, specifically integrated gasification combined cycle (IGCC), pulverized coal (PC) and circulating uidized bed (CFB) plants plus natural gas combined cycle (NGCC) plants at different elevations, using a consistent technical and economic approach nat accurately reflects current or near term market conditions. Please see report below. https://www.netl.doe.gov/projects/files/CostandPerformanceBaselineforFossilEnergyPlantsVolume3ExecSummLowRankCoaltoElect_090111.pdf									

Program	FERD - Coal	FERD - Coal									
Performance Goal (Measure)	High-efficiency, low emission (HELE) Power – Engineering studies of a high-efficiency, low emission (HELE) flexible power system										
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020				
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Complete techno- economic feasibility studies (Pre-FEED studies)				
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD				
	have flexible operat	ing capacity to meet	baseload and load f	ollowing requirement	nts needed for the ev	volving grid					
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)											
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification											

Petroleum Reserves	
--------------------	--

Program	Petroleum Reserves									
Performance Goal (Measure)	Drawdown Readiness - Ensure the operational readiness of the SPR through the achievement of equal to or greater than 95% of the annual average of monthly maintenance performance and reliability goals.									
Fiscal Year	2014         2015         2016         2017         2018         2019         2020									
Target	95 % of monthly maintenance achieved	95 % of monthly maintenance achieved	95 % of monthly maintenance achieved	95 % of monthly maintenance and accessibility goals achieved	95 % of monthly maintenance achieved accessibility goals	95 % of monthly maintenance achieved accessibility goals	95 % of monthly maintenance achieved accessibility goals			
Result	<b>Met</b> - 96.8	<b>Met</b> - 97.6	<b>Met</b> - 98.1	Met - 98.36	Met - 98.23	TBD	TBD			
Endpoint Target	Achieve 95% of mon	Achieve 95% of monthly maintenance and accessibility goals in all years.								
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)										
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Data are downloaded by Federal staff on m official SPR performa staff, M&O contracto	Data are downloaded and collected monthly through a SAP Plant Maintenance System. Analysis reports are generated from these data and reviewed by Federal staff on monthly basis. Maintenance Performance Appraisal Report scores and narratives are updated and published in PBViews, the official SPR performance measure repository. The data are also reviewed during quarterly Program Reviews conducted between Federal headquarters staff, M&O contractor staff, and Federal field office staff.								

Program	Petroleum Reserves									
Performance Goal (Measure)	Multi-Year Oil Sales - Ensure cost efficiency of drawdown operations while meeting mandates of all legislatively directed oil sales.									
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020			
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Annual drawdown costs < 1.5% of revenue earned	Annual drawdown costs < 1.5% of revenue earned			
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD	TBD			
Endpoint Target	Achieve annual draw	down costs of <1.5%	of revenue earned.							
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)										
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification										

Program	Petroleum Reserves	Petroleum Reserves										
Performance Goal (Measure)	SPR Modernization the magnitude of varia	SPR Modernization Project - Ensure project schedule and cost efficiency through achievement of satisfactory performance index scores that assess he magnitude of variation from the established schedule and cost baselines.										
Fiscal Year	2014	2014         2015         2016         2017         2018         2019         2020										
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	≥ 0.85 on both the Cost and Schedule Performance Index	≥ 0.85 on both the Cost and Schedule Performance Index					
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD	TBD					
Endpoint Target	Reach overall ≥ .90 S	Reach overall ≥ .90 Score on both the Cost and Schedule Performance Index at project closeout.										
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)												
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification												

Program	Petroleum Reserves	Petroleum Reserves									
Performance Goal (Measure)	SPR Operating Cos crude oil storage cap	SPR Operating Cost - Ensure the cost efficiency of SPR operations through the achievement of an average overhead operating cost per barrel of crude oil storage capacity of no more than \$0.30 per barrel									
Fiscal Year	2014	2014         2015         2016         2017         2018         2019         2020									
Target	≤ 0.25 \$ operating cost per barrel	≤ 0.25 \$ operating cost per barrel	≤ 0.3 \$ operating cost per barrel	≤ 0.3 \$ operating cost per barrel	≤ 0.3 \$ operating cost per barrel	≤ 0.3 \$ operating cost per barrel	≤ 0.3 \$ operating cost per barrel				
Result	Met - 0.239	Met - 0.233	<b>Met</b> - 0.25	Met - 0.248	Met - 0.247	TBD	TBD				
Endpoint Target	Achieve≤\$0.30 ope	erating cost per barrel.			-						
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)											
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Cost data are collect Reviews conducted	ed through DOE STAF between Federal head	RS reports and compi quarters staff, M&O co	led by Federal field of ontractor staff, and Fe	fice staff. The data ard deral field office staff.	e reviewed during qua	arterly Program				

Program	Petroleum Reserves									
Performance Goal (Measure)	Sustained (90 day) Drawdown Rate - Maintain the capability to drawdown the SPR at the design drawdown rate of 4.415 million barrels per day.									
Fiscal Year	2014         2015         2016         2017         2018         2019         2020									
Target	4.25 MMB/Day drawdown readiness rate	4.25 MMB/Day drawdown readiness rate	4.22 MMB/Day drawdown readiness rate	4.2 MMB/Day drawdown readiness rate	4.13 MMB/Day drawdown readiness rate	4.13 MMB/Day drawdown readiness rate	4.21 MMB/Day drawdown readiness rate			
Result	<b>Met</b> - 4.25	<b>Met</b> - 4.25	Not Met - 4.1	Not Met - 4.17	Not Met - 4.11	TBD	TBD			
Endpoint Target	Maintain a 90 day drawdown rate of 4.415 million barrels per day by the end of the Life Extension 2 project.									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Program failed to med a raw water pipeline 4) a raw water intake Hackberry site to the MMB/day. Action Plan: Program through the construct	Program failed to meet its 90-day drawdown target for the following reasons: 1) two caverns at Big Hill were out of service for 8 days in November; 2) a raw water pipeline at Bryan Mound was out of service for 3 days in January; 3) two caverns at Bryan Mound were out of service for 5 days in August; 4) a raw water intake structure suffered a leak at West Hackberry for 6 days in September; and, 5) a 42-inch pipeline that runs from the West Hackberry site to the Sun terminal suffered an outage for 12 days in September, bringing the drawdown rate for the month of September down to 3.62 MMB/day. Action Plan: Program is in the beginning stages of implementing a modernization program designed to improve the SPR's aging infrastructure through the construction maintenance repair, and replacement of SPR facilities over the source of the next source lucare.								
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Data are collected an also reviewed during	nd reviewed throughs quarterly Program Re	ite visits and Readine eviews conducted betw	ss and Capability Rep veen Federal headqua	orts (RECAP reports) arters staff, M&O conti	that are produced qua ractor staff, and Feder	arterly. The data are al field office staff.			

# **Nuclear Energy**

## New Nuclear Generation Technologies

Program	New Nuclear Generati	on Technologies									
Performance Goal (Measure)	Advanced Modeling and Simulation - Complete 90% of annual integrated program milestones to support deployment of advanced modeling and simulation (M&S) tools that will help solve important Light Water Reactor (LWR) performance and cost issues, ac celerate advanced reactor concept development, and support NRC regulatory processes as requested.										
Fiscal Year	2014         2015         2016         2017         2018         2019         2020										
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	90 % annual milestones met	90 % annual milestones met				
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD	TBD				
Endpoint Target	On an ongoing basis, meet annual targets to enable industry to reduce operational costs and improve market competitiveness of existing Light Water Reactors (LWRs), and to expand commercial deployment of advanced reactors.										
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	N/A										
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Results are document Operating Officer. Mil system. Completion p	ed in signed quarter estone completions percentage is calcula	ly performance memo are tracked and docu tted as follows: nume	s from the Nuclear En mented in the Progran rator = # of milestones	ergy (NE) program l n Information Collec s completed. Denor	Deputy Assistant Secreta tions System - Nuclear E ninator = # of milestones	ary to NE Chief Energy (PICS-NE) s planned.				

Program	New Nuclear Generation Technologies									
Performance Goal (Measure)	Light Water Reactor Sustainability (LWRS) - Complete 90% of annual program milestones to improve the reliability and economic performance of existing nuclear plants and further extend their operational life.									
Fiscal Year	2014	2014         2015         2016         2017         2018         2019         2020								
Target	90 % annual program milestones met	90 % annual programmilestones met	90 % annual program milestones met	90 % annual program milestones met	90 % annual milestones met	90 % annual milestones met	90 % annual milestones met			
Result	<b>Met</b> - 100	Met - 100         Met - 100         Met - 100         Met - 100         TBD         TBD								
Endpoint Target	NE research, develo	NE research, development, and demonstrations will enable the continuing operation of light water reactors.								
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Completion of the FY existing nuclear pow of these milestones I promising mitigation	2018 LWRS performation for fleet through model ays the groundwork to technologies for primation technologies for primation the second sec	ance milestones docu rnization of technologi o implement advanced ary plant components	ments the transition of ies, recapturing desigr d technologies such as to repair damage expe	the LWRS program to margins, and providi digital equipment utili erienced at extended (	address the econom ng mitigation techniqu ization in safety -relate operations.	ic challenges of the ies. Completing each ed systems and			
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Results are documer Operating Officer. M system. Completion	nted in signed quarterl ilestone completions a percentage is calcula	y performance memos are tracked and docur ted as follows: numer	s from the Nuclear Ene nented in the Program rator = # of milestones	ergy (NE) program De Information Collectio completed. Denomin	puty Assistant Secreta ns System - Nuclear E nator =# of milestones	ary to NE Chief Energy (PICS-NE) s planned.			

Program	New Nuclear Genera	New Nuclear Generation Technologies								
Performance Goal (Measure)	Nuclear Science Use laboratories access te	Nuclear Science User Facilities (NSUF) - Complete 90% of annual program milestones in order to provide industry, universities, and national laboratories access to unique nuclear energy research capabilities and expertise not normally accessible to the nuclear energy user community.								
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020			
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	90 % annual milestones met	90 % annual milestones met			
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD	TBD			
Endpoint Target Commentary on 2018 Results	The Nuclear Science User Facilities (NSUF) represents a "prototype laboratory for the future," promoting the use of unique nu clear research facilities and encouraging active university, industry, and laboratory collaboration in relevant nuclear science research. On an ongoing basis, the NSUF, through competitive solicitations, provides a mechanism for research organizations to collaborate, conduct experiments and post-experiment analysis, and utilize high performance computing at facilities not normally accessible to these organizations.									
(Action Plan if Not Met)										
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Results are documen Operating Officer. M system. Completion	ted in signed quarter lestone completions percentage is calcula	ly performance memos are tracked and docur ted as follows: numer	s from the Nuclear En nented in the Progran ator = # of milestones	ergy (NE) program De n Information Collectio s completed. Denomin	eputy Assistant Secreta ons System - Nuclear E nator = # of mileston es	ary to NE Chief Energy (PICS-NE) s planned.			

Program	New Nuclear Genera	New Nuclear Generation Technologies									
Performance Goal (Measure)	ART Activities - Cor safety, functionality a	ART Activities - Complete 90% of annual program milestones to support the development of innovative reactor technologies that may offer improved safety, functionality and affordability, and build upon existing nuclear technology and operating experience.									
Fiscal Year	2014	2014         2015         2016         2017         2018         2019         2020									
Target	90 % of annual program milestones met	90 % of annual program milestones met	90 % of annual program milestones met	90 % annual milestones met	90 % annual milestones met	90 % annual milestones met	90 % annual milestones met				
Result	<b>Not Met</b> - 88	<b>Met</b> - 91	<b>Met</b> - 94	<b>Met</b> - 100	<b>Met</b> - 98	TBD	TBD				
Endpoint Target	Advanced Reactor Technologies (ART) performance endpoints range from the mid-term (2030s) to very long term. ART is focused on high value research for long-term concepts, R&D needs of promising mid-range concepts, and development of innovative technologies that benefit multiple concepts and stimulation of new ideas for transformational future concepts.										
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Completion of the AR ensure the resilience advanced reactor co	Completion of the ART milestones increases the readiness of several advanced reactor concepts for deployment in the 2030s timeframe and helps ensure the resilience of the energy supply for the future. Completion of milestones also enhances the readiness of technologies that will support advanced reactor concepts such as materials, energy conversion systems, and computational methods.									
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Results are documer Operating Officer. M system. Completion	nted in signed quarter lilestone completions percentage is calcula	ly performance memos are tracked and docun tted as follows: numer	s from the Nuclear En- nented in the Program ator =# of milestones	ergy (NE) program De Information Collectio completed. Denomir	eputy Assistant Secreta ns System - Nuclear E nator = # of milestones	ary to NE Chief Energy (PICS-NE) s planned.				

Program	New Nuclear Genera	tion Technologies									
Performance Goal (Measure)	Fuel Cycle R&D (FC availability, economic	Fuel Cycle R&D (FCR&D) - Complete 90% of annual program milestones that advance fuel cycle technologies in order to support the enhanced availability, economics, safety, and security of nuclear-generated electricity in the United States.									
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020				
Target	90 % of annual milestones met	90 % of annual milestones met	90 % of annual milestones met	90 % annual milestones met	90 % annual milestones met	90 % annual milestones met	90 % annual milestones met				
Result	<b>Met</b> - 98	<b>Met</b> - 94	<b>Met</b> - 96	<b>Met</b> - 96	<b>Met</b> - 100	TBD	TBD				
Endpoint Target	Perform long-term Ra improve resource util	Perform long-term R&D on advanced technologies that could lead to the next generation of sustainable fuel cycle options that have the potential to improve resource utilization and energy generation, reduce waste generation, enhance safety, and limit proliferation risk.									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Completion of Advanced Fuels milestones provided important support to the fuel vendors developing accident tolerant fuel. That fuel will enhance the availability, economics, and safety of the existing fleet of U.S. commercial reactors. The test train in the central water loop of the Advanced Test Reactor was installed and is now in operation. The transient test reactor (TREAT) underwent prescription testing and analysis in preparation for testing fuel samples to demonstrate safe performance in accident conditions. Completion of System Analysis and Integration milestones supports the advanced reactor pipeline with technology system readiness assessments, updated fuel cycle cost algorithms, and performance analyses of innovative nuclear energy systems. Completed milestones within Material Recovery and Waste Form Development include completing the lab scale tests of the CoDeContamination (CoDCon) process and significantly contributing to the improvement of current back end of the nuclear fuel cycle. The CoDCon Process studies provided critical information in demonstrating advanced control capabilities with improved accountability potential and advanced co-										
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Results are documer Operating Officer. In Document Managem Numerator = # of mile	ated in signed quarter addition to the memo, ent System. Complet estones completed. [	y performance memos a copy of the docume ion percentage is calc Denominator = # of mil	s from the Nuclear Ene en tation supporting ea culated as follows: estones planned.	ergy (NE) program De ach milestone is locate	puty Assistant Secreta d in the Idaho Nationa	ary to NE Chief al Laboratory				

Program	Nuclear Infrastructure	Nuclear Infrastructure									
Performance Goal (Measure)	Facility Availability and capabilities, as m	Facility Availability - Idaho Facilities Management Program - Enable nuclear research and development activities by providing operational facilities and capabilities, as measured by availability percentages.									
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020				
Target	80 % availability	80 % availability	80 % availability	80 % availability	80 % availability	80 % availability	80 % availability				
Result	Not Met - 77	Not Met - 77	Met - 82.6	Not Met - 76	<b>Met</b> - 86	TBD	TBD				
Endpoint Target	Maintain the percenta	age of facilities and ca	pabilities that are avai	lable for research and	developmentactiviti	es at 90% or better.					
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Facility availability at operations that more such as replacement 2018 was the best op equipment and facilit	the Advanced Test Re closely followed the p of Motor Control Cen perational year for the y issues to ensure fac	eactor (ATR) improve published Integrated S tre (MCC) E-12, South ATR since FY 2002.	d this year compared trategic Operation Pla o Safety Rod replacem Work at the Materials quipment reliability are	to last year. Outage p n for the ATR. Efforts nent and various Nucl and Fuels Complex (I as high as feasible.	erformance improved continue to imp roves ear Instrumentation im MFC) continues to add	l, resulting in system reliability, provements. FY d ress legacy				
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Performance Memora of IFM Facility Availa Days (EFPD) numera	andum provided by th bility and IFM Line Iter ator by the number of a	e Director, Idaho Facil n Construction Projec scheduled EFPDs der	lities Management (IF cts for FY 2018. Perce nominator.	M), dated October 10, ntage is attained by d	2018, providingperfo ivid ing the number of	ormance information Effective Full Power				

Program	Nuclear Infrastructur	е								
Performance Goal (Measure)	Plant and Construct schedules, using cos maintaining indexes	<b>Hant and Construction: Cost and Schedule Baseline Variance</b> - Execute line item construction projects within approved cost profiles and chedules, using cost performance index and schedule performance index (using earned value management systems), with the green level naintaining indexes between 0.9 and 1.10, the yellow level between 0.8 and 1.20 and the red level less than 0.8 or greater than 1.20.								
Fiscal Year	2014         2015         2016         2017         2018         2019         2020									
Target	80 % of projects with cost performance indexes and schedule performance indexes between 0.9 and 1.15	80 % of projects with cost performance indexes and schedule performance indexes between 0.9 and 1.15	90 % of projects with cost performance indexes and schedule performance indexes between 0.9 and 1.15	90 % of projects with cost performance indexes and schedule performance indexes between 0.9 and 1.15	90 % of projects with cost performance indexes and schedule performance indexes between 0.9 and 1.15	90 % of projects with cost performance indexes and schedule performance indexes between 0.9 and 1.15.	90 % of projects with cost performance indexes and schedule performance indexes between 0.9 and 1.15.			
Result	Not Met - 0.9	<b>Met</b> - 100	<b>Met</b> - 100	<b>Met</b> - 100	<b>Met</b> - 100	TBD	TBD			
Endpoint Target	Maintain the total per	rcentage of projects w	ith good cost and sch	edule indexes at 90%	or better.					
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	One baselined project quarter FY 2018, suc million under budget of RHLLW, ensuring Now that this project	One baselined project, the Remote-Handled Low-Level Waste (RHLLW) Disposal Facility Project, was tracked. This project was completed in 4 <sup>th</sup> quarter FY 2018, successfully obtaining Project Management Executive approval of CD-4 six months ahead of schedule and approximately \$4.5 million under budget per the approved performance baseline. The completed RHLLW Disposal Facility provides for the continued capability to dispose of RHLLW, ensuring the continuity of operations for both the office of Nuclear Energy and Naval Reactors missions at the ld aho National Laboratory. Now that this project is complete, it will no longer be tracked.								
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Performance Memora of IFM Facility Availa Remote-Handled Lov	andum provided by th bility and IFM Line Iter w-Level Waste Dispos	e Director, Idaho Faci m Construction Projec sal Facility Project. Th	lities Management (IF cts for FY 2018. In F is project is now comp	M), dated Octo ber 10, Y2018, DOE-Idaho tra plete (achieved CD-4)	2018, providingperf acked only one baseli and will no longer be	ormance information ned project, the tracked.			

## **Environmental Management**

#### **Nuclear Materials and Tank Waste**

Program	Nuclear Materials an	luclear Materials and Tank Waste									
Performance Goal (Measure)	Enriched Uranium F	inriched Uranium Packaged - Increase the cumulative number of certified containers packaged and ready for long-term storage									
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020				
Target	8,016 containers	8,016 containers	8,016 containers	8,016 containers	8,016 containers	8,016 containers	8,052 containers				
Result	<b>Met</b> - 8,016	<b>Met</b> - 8,016	<b>Met</b> - 8,016	<b>Met</b> - 8,016	Met - 8,016	TBD	TBD				
Endpoint Target	This metric has a life	This metric has a life cycle of 8,603 containers ready for long-term storage.									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)											
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	To validate and verify are subject to contin Commission, U.S. En Office of Project Man	/ program performand uing reviews by the Co ovironmental Protection agement.	ce, the EM program co ongress, the Governm on Agency, state enviro	nducts various interna nent Accountability Off onmental and health a	al and external review ice, the Department's agencies, the Defense	s and audits. EM's pro Inspector General, the Nuclear Facilities Saf	grammatic activities Nuclear Regulatory ety Board, and the				

Program	Nuclear Materials an	Juclear Materials and Tank Waste									
Performance Goal (Measure)	Liquid Waste Elimin inventory.	.iquid Waste Eliminated - Increase the cumulative volume of radioactive liquid waste (including other forms such as sludge) eliminated from nventory.									
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020				
Target	7,343 thousand gallons	7,592 thousand gallons	7,426 thousand gallons	7,684 thousand gallons	7,867 thousand gallons	8,047 thousand gallons	10,909 thousand gallons				
Result	Not Met - 6,592	Not Met - 6,863	Not Met - 7,342	Not Met - 7,414	Not Met - 7,523	TBD	TBD				
Endpoint Target	This metric has a life	cycle estimate of 102	,095 thousands of gal	llons eliminated from i	nventory.						
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Target not met due to Processing Facility (3 Action Plan: DWPF be met.	o outage for Savannah SWPF) tie-ins, and un resumed operations i	n River Site (SRS) Def planned outages due in June and worked to	iense Waste Processi to lightning strikes, H- increase capacity ove	ng Facility (DWPF) m Tank Farmfeed wirin er the rest of the fiscal	elter replacement and g failure and pig laund year. Expectation is t	Salt Waste her valve failure. hat future targets will				
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	To validate and verify are subject to contin Commission, U.S. En Department's Office packaging to validate	/ program performand uing reviews by the Co ovironmental Protection of Project Management e and verify program p	ce, the EM program co ongress, the Governm on Agency, state envir nt. Also, for this specif performance.	nducts various intern nent Accountability Off onmental and health a fic metric, the EM Prog	al and external review ice, the Department's agencies, the Defense gram uses Quality Ass	s and audits. EM's pro Inspector General, the Nuclear Facilities Saf urance Inspection Re	grammatic activities e Nuclear Regulatory ety Board, and the cords for waste				

Program	Nuclear Materials an	Nuclear Materials and Tank Waste									
Performance Goal (Measure)	Liquid Waste Tanks	-iquid Waste Tanks Closed - Increase the cumulative number of liquid waste tanks closed.									
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020				
Target	13 tanks closed	15 tanks closed	15 tanks closed	15 tanks closed	15 tanks closed	15 Tanks Closed	15 tanks closed				
Result	<b>Met</b> - 13	Not Met - 14	<b>Met</b> - 15	<b>Met</b> - 15	<b>Met</b> - 15	TBD	TBD				
Endpoint Target	This metric has a life	cvcle estimate of 239	tanks closed.								
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)											
Comment	The target for this me the number of liquid	etric has not increased waste tanks closed ex	d from the prior year as stends beyond FY 2020	s no tank closures are D.	planned in FY 2019 o	or FY 2020. Progress	toward increasing				
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	To validate and verify are subject to contin Commission, U.S. En Department's Office of may be demonstrate	y program performand uing reviews by the Co ovironmental Protection of Project Management d through the site's sa	ce, the EM program co ongress, the Governm on Agency, state envir nt. Also, for this specif ttisfactory compliance	nducts various internation ent Accountability Off onmental and health a ic metric, Verification with the state's permi	al and external review ice, the Department's l agencies, the Defense of completion of the ta t requirements for the	s and audits. EM's pro Inspector General, the Nuclear Facilities Saf nk closure cor porate tank once filled with g	grammatic activities Nuclear Regulatory ety Board, and the performance metric rout.				

Program	Nuclear Materials an	Nuclear Materials and Tank Waste									
Performance Goal (Measure)	Depleted and Other disposition	Depleted and Other Uranium (DU&U) Packaged for Disposition - Increase the cumulative amount of DU&U packaged in a form suitable for disposition									
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020				
Target	68.730 metric tons	93.624 metric tons	97.256 metric tons	88.721 metric tons	113.306 metric tons	102.698 metric tons	131.948 metric tons				
Result	Not Met - 68,624	Not Met - 79,232	Not Met - 80,221	Not Met - 88,306	Not Met - 93,698	TBD	TBD				
Endpoint Target	This metric has a life	This metric has a life cvcle estimate of 838.031 metric tons of DU & U packaged for disposition.									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Target not met due to conversion lines at P Action Plan: Operat	o maintenance and op aducah were returned ion of all seven conve	perational issues at the to service in Septeml ersion lines is expected	e Portsmouth and Pad ber 2018. d to meet the FY 19 ta	lucah Sites. All three o Irgets.	conversion lines at Po	rtsmouth and all four				
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	To validate and verify are subject to contin Commission, U.S. Er Office of Project Man Daily Production Rep	/ program performand uing reviews by the Co ovironmental Protection agement. EM also ma port produced by the D	ce, the EM program co ongress, the Governm on Agency, state envir intains a variety of so oepleted Uranium Hex	nducts various intern nent Accountability Off onmental and health a urces for validation ar afluoride Conversion	al and external review fice, the Department's agencies, the Defense nd verification. Specif operating contractor f	s and audits. EM's pro Inspector General, the Nuclear Facilities Saf ic results for this metri or both the Portsmout	ogrammatic activities Nuclear Regulatory ety Board, and the ic are listed in the h and Paducah sites.				

Program	Nuclear Materials an	Nuclear Materials and Tank Waste									
Performance Goal (Measure)	Spent Nuclear Fuel ready for final dispos	Spent Nuclear Fuel Packaged for Final Disposition - Increase the cumulative amount of heavy metal mass of spent nuclear fuel packaged and ready for final disposition.									
Fiscal Year	2014	2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020									
Target	2,128 metric tons of heavy metal	2,130 metric tons of heavy metal	2,130 metric tons of heavy metal	2,131 metric tons of heavy metal	2,132 metric tons of heavy metal	2,132.58 metric tons of heavy metal	2,132.58 metric tons of heavy metal				
Result	<b>Met</b> - 2,130	<b>Met -</b> 2,130	<b>Met</b> - 2,130	Met - 2,131	<b>Met</b> - 2,131	TBD	TBD				
Endpoint Target	This metric has a life	cycle estimate of 2,45	51 metric tons of heav	vy metal mass of spen	tnuclear fuel package	ed and ready for final	disposition.				
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	There is no real varia estimate annual amo	nce in terms of Metric unts, but actual MTH	c Tons of Heavy Metal I varies for every fuel	(MTHM). The apparelement and is not kr	ent variance is due to nown until a bundle is	the fact that an av era shipped and measure	ge MTMH is used to ed.				
Comment	The target for this me increasing the amou	etric has not increased nt of spent nuclear fue	d from FY 2019 to FY I ready for final dispo	2020 as no spent nuc sition extends beyond	lear fuel packaging is FY 2020.	planned in FY 2020.	Progress toward				
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	To validate and verify are subject to contin Commission, U.S. Er Department's Office	/ program performand uing reviews by the Co ovironmental Protection of Project Management	ce, the EM program co ongress, the Governn on Agency, state envir nt.	onducts various intern nent Accountability Off onmental and health a	al and external review fice, the Department's agencies, the Defense	vs and audits. EM's pro Inspector General, th Nuclear Facilities Sa	ogrammatic activities e Nuclear Regulatory fety Board, and the				

Program	Nuclear Materials an	Nuclear Materials and Tank Waste									
Performance Goal (Measure)	High Level Waste Pa	High Level Waste Packaged for Final Disposition - Increase the cumulative number of high level waste canisters packaged for disposition.									
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020				
Target	4,153 canisters of high level waste	4,405 canisters of high level waste	4,393 canisters of high level waste	4,426 canisters of high level waste	4,476 canisters of high level waste	4,482 canisters of high level waste	4,650 canisters of high level waste				
Result	<b>Met</b> - 4,154	Not Met - 4,241	Not Met - 4,374	<b>Met</b> - 4,426	Not Met - 4,438	TBD	TBD				
Endpoint Target	This measure has a l	This measure has a life cycle estimate of 24,852 canisters packaged for disposition.									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Target not met due to Processing Facility (\$ Action Plan: DWPF be met.	o outage for Savannah SWPF) tie-ins, and un resumed operations i	n River Site (SRS) Def planned outages due n June and worked to	ense Waste Processi to lightning strikes, H- increase capacity ove	ng Facility (DWPF) m Tank Farm feed wirin er the rest of the fiscal	elter replacement and g failure and pig launc year. Expectation is t	Salt Waste her valve failure. hat future targets will				
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	To validate and verify are subject to contin Commission, U.S. En Department's Office of verification of specifi	v program performand uing reviews by the Co vironmental Protection of Project Managemen c results for this metric	e, the EM program co ongress, the Governm on Agency, state enviro nt. EM also maintains c.	nducts various intern ent Accountability Off onmental and health a shift reports from the l	al and external review ice, the Department's agencies, the Defense Defense Waste Proce	s and audits. EM's pro nspector General, the Nuclear Facilities Saf ssing Facility as a so u	grammatic activities Nuclear Regulatory ety Board, and the urce for validation and				

## Waste Management

Program	Waste Management	Waste Management							
Performance Goal (Measure)	Legacy and Newly G low-level waste dispo	Legacy and Newly Generated LLW and Mixed LLW Disposed - Increase the cumulative amount of legacy and newly generated low-level and mixed low-level waste disposed.							
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020		
Target	1,298,854 cubic meters	1,305,096 cubic meters	1,337,349 cubic meters	1,340,981 cubic meters	1,356,517 cubic meters	1,388,723 cubic meters	1,485,320 cubic meters		
Result	Not Met - 1,292,571	<b>Met</b> - 1,315,101	Not Met - 1,330,550	Exceeded - 1,343,369	Exceeded - 1,364,142	TBD	TBD		
Endpoint Target	This metric has a life	This metric has a life cycle estimate of 1,628,083 cubic meters disposed.							
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)									
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	To validate and verify program performance, the EM program conducts various internal and external reviews and audits. EM's programmatic activities are subject to continuing reviews by the Congress, the Government Accountability Office, the Department's Inspector General, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, state environmental and health agencies, the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board, and the Department's Office of Project Management. For this specific metric the EM Program uses shipping manifests for the transport of waste to verify and validates this metric.								

Program	Waste Management	Waste Management							
Performance Goal (Measure)	<b>Transuranic Waste</b> Handled TRU) dispo	Transuranic Waste Dispositioned - Increase the cumulative amount of transuranic (TRU) waste (consisting of Remote Handled TRU and Contact Handled TRU) dispositioned.							
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020		
Target	= 102,591 cubic meters	102,591 cubic meters	102,026 cubic meters	103,750 cubic meters	107,456 cubic meters	107,878 cubic meters	114,504 cubic meters		
Result	Not Met - 99,179	Not Met - 102,026	<b>Met</b> - 103,442	Exceeded - 104,068	Not Met - 106,753	TBD	TBD		
Endpoint Target	This metric has a life cycle estimate of 150,055 cubic meters of TRU waste dispositioned.								
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Target not met due to operational problems at the Transuranic (TRU) waste retrieval and treatment facilities at the Idaho Site. Action Plan: After evaluating options for increasing the performance rate, the Idaho Site has improved the rate at which it dispositions TRU waste; it is currently ahead of schedule and expects to meet its FY 2019 Performance Target.								
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	To validate and verify program performance, the EM program conducts various internal and external reviews and audits. EM's programmatic activities are subject to continuing reviews by the Congress, the Government Accountability Office, the Department's Inspector General, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, state environmental and health agencies, the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board, and the Department's Office of Project Management. For this specific metric the EM Program uses shipping manifests for the transport of waste to verify and validates this metric								

#### **Site Restoration**

Program	Site Restoration	Site Restoration							
Performance Goal (Measure)	Nuclear Facilities Completed - Increase the cumulative number of nuclear facilities completed.								
Fiscal Year	2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020								
Target	138 facilities	138 facilities 153 facilities 160 facilities 157 facilities 157 facilities 158 facilities 166 facilities							
Result	<b>Met</b> - 146	Met - 146         Not Met - 151         Not Met - 151         Not Met - 152         TBD         TBD							
Endpoint Target	This metric has a life cycle estimate of 491 facilities completed.								
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Target not met because work at several Hanford Site facilities was shut down by a Stop Work Order due to safety concerns. Action Plan: The PFP safety issues resulting in the December 2017 stop work were resolved and in September 2018, controlled, phased building demolition recommenced. Demolition is on schedule to complete the remaining Plutonium Finishing Plant buildings in September 2019.								
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	To validate and verify program performance, the EM program conducts various internal and external reviews and audits. EM's programmatic activities are subject to continuing reviews by the Congress, the Government Accountability Office, the Department's Inspector General, the Nu clear Regulatory Commission, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, state environmental and health agencies, the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board, and the Department's Office of Project Management. EM maintains a variety of sources for validation and verification of specific results for this metric: Decommissioning Project Final Report as well as state and federal regulator acceptance of completion report.								

Program	Site Restoration							
Performance Goal (Measure)	Industrial Facilities Completed - Increase the cumulative number of industrial facilities completed.							
Fiscal Year	2014	2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020						
Target	2.070 facilities	2.107 facilities	2.119 facilities	2.162 facilities	2.184 facilities	2.301 facilities	2.418 facilities	
Result	Met - 2,095	<b>Met</b> - 2,109	<b>Met</b> - 2,144	Not Met - 2,157	Exceeded - 2,243	TBD	TBD	
Endpoint Target	This metric has a life	This metric has a life cvcle estimate of 4.271 facilities completed.						
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)								
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	To validate and verify are subject to contin Commission, U.S. Er Department's Office Final Reports as well	To validate and verify program performance, the EM program conducts various internal and external reviews and audits. EM's programmatic activities are subject to continuing reviews by the Congress, the Government Accountability Office, the Department's Inspector General, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, state environmental and health agencies, the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board, and the Department's Office of Project Management. EM maintains a variety of sources for validation and verification for this metric: Decommissioning Project Final Reports as well as State and Federal regulator acceptance of completion report.						

Program	Site Restoration							
Performance Goal (Measure)	Remediation Completed - Increase the cumulative number of release sites remediated.							
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	
Target	8.035 release sites	8.201 release sites	8.340 release sites	8.205 release sites	8.339 release sites	8.345 release sites	9.072 release sites	
Result	Not Met - 7,945	Not Met - 7,945         Not Met - 8,047         Not Met - 8,159         Exceeded - 8,258         Not Met - 8,272         TBD         TBD						
Endpoint Target	This metric has a life cvcle estimate of 11.715 release sites remediated.							
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Target was not met due to the change in contractor at Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) in April 2018 and subsequent delay to allow reassessment of the clean up strategy. Action Plan: The new contractor is expected to complete assessment of the strategy and develop a new baseline by the end of June 2019. FY 2019 and FY 2020 targets will be adjusted to reflect the new baseline.							
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	To validate and verify program performance, the EM program conducts various internal and external reviews and audits. EM's programmatic activities are subject to continuing reviews by the Congress, the Government Accountability Office, the Department's Inspector General, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, state environmental and health agencies, the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board, and the Department's Office of Project Management. The EM Program also maintains a means of documenting this specific performance metric: state and federal regulator acceptance of the Remedial Action Report.							

Program	Site Restoration	Site Restoration							
Performance Goal (Measure)	Radioactive Facilities Completed - Increase the cumulative number of radioactive facilities completed.								
Fiscal Year	2014	2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020							
Target	561 facilities	563 facilities	581 facilities	577 facilities	579 facilities	597 facilities	613 facilities		
Result	<b>Met</b> - 561	Met - 561         Met - 565         Not Met - 567         Not Met - 571         Exceeded - 583         TBD         TBD							
Endpoint Target	This metric has a life	This metric has a life cvcle estimate of 956 facilities completed.							
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)									
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	To validate and verify are subject to contin Commission, U.S. En Department's Office of Decommissioning Pr	To validate and verify program performance, the EM program conducts various internal and external reviews and audits. EM's programmatic activities are subject to continuing reviews by the Congress, the Government Accountability Office, the Department's Inspector General, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, state environmental and health agencies, the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board, and the Department's Office of Project Management. EM maintains a variety of sources for validation and verification of specific results for this metric: Decommissioning Project Final Report as well as state and federal regulator acceptance of completion report.							

Program	Site Restoration							
Performance Goal (Measure)	Geographic Sites Co	ompleted - Increase	the cumulative numbe	r of sites completed.				
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	
Target	91 sites	91 sites	91 sites	91 sites	91 sites	91 sites	92 sites	
Result	<b>Met</b> - 91	<b>Met</b> - 91	<b>Met</b> - 91	<b>Met</b> - 91	<b>Met</b> - 91	TBD	TBD	
Endpoint Target	This metric has a life of	cvcle estimate of 107	geographic sites con	npleted in their entirety	V.			
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)								
Comment	A site is completed when active remediation has concluded in accordance with the terms and conditions of the sites' cleanup agreements (e.g. Records of Decision and permits). Stewardship or non-EM activities may be ongoing after site completion. No sites targeted for completion in FY 2018 or FY 2019. Brookhaven National Laboratory is planned for completion in FY 2020.							
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	To validate and verify program performance, the EM program conducts various internal and external reviews and audits. EM's programmatic activities are subject to continuing reviews by the Congress, the Government Accountability Office, the Department's Inspector General, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, state environmental and health agencies, and the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board. Sources for validation and verification of specific results for this metric can be found in documents regarding the transfer of the targeted site to the appropriate Program Secretarial Office (e.g., Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Energy, Office of Legacy Management, etc.), the Government Accountability Office, the Department's Inspector General, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, state environmental and health agencies, office of Legacy Management, etc.), the Government Accountability Office, the Department's Inspector General, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, state environmental and health agencies, the Department's Inspector General, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, state environmental and health agencies, and the Department's Office of Protect Management.							

# Legacy Management

## Legacy Management

Program	Legacy Management						
Performance Goal (Measure)	Environmental Remo agreements or identif responsibility. The si Action Program (FUS Compensation, and L 1978 (UMTRCA), and	edies - Conduct surv y sites subject to addi tes within Legacy Mar RAP), Defense Decor iability Act of 1978 (C l other sites.	eillance and maintena itional remedial actior nagement's responsit ntamination and Decc ERCLA), Resource C	ance activities to ensu in order to ensure effo pility includes sites tha ommissioning Progran onservation and Reco	re the effectiveness of ectiveness at all sites v t were remedied under n (D&D), Comprehensi overy Act (RCRA), Uran	cleanup remedies in a within Legac y Manage the Formerly Utilized ve Environmental Re nium Mill Tailings Rac	accordance with legal ement's Sites Remedial sponse, diation Control Act of
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Target	= 89 sites	= 90 sites	= 90 sites	= 93 Sites	= 97 Sites	= 98 sites	= 103 Sites
Result	<b>Met</b> - 89	<b>Met</b> - 90	<b>Met</b> - 91	Not Met - 92	Not Met - 92	TBD	TBD
Endpoint Target	Inspections will conti	nue indefinitely. Inspe	ection of 100 percent of	of the sites will continu	ue to be the goal.		
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Performance target was not accomplished because 5 sites scheduled to be transferred to DOE Office of Legacy Management (LM) did not transfer. Originally in the Spring of 2017 LM was planning to transfer 5 sites by the end of FY18 to bring the total of sites to 97 sites. However, those 5 sites did not transfer for the following reasons: 1) LM and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) could not agree on Long-Term Care Fees, and 2) the required reviews and real property actions were not completed. <b>Action Plan:</b> LM and NRC have established reoccurring meetings to overcome difficulties with the site transitions. In addition, LM will be conducting a more thorough review of scheduled work to plan more realistic transition date.						
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	LM Blue Book - This i transition to LM. The	s the Annual LM Site Site Management Gu	Management Guide t ide is the control doc	hat details the sites th cument for all site coun	at have been transition tinformation.	ned to LM and when s	ites are sched uled to

Program	Legacy Management	Legacy Management							
Performance Goal (Measure)	Surveillance and Maintenance Cost - Reduce the cost of performing long-term surveillance and monitoring (LTS&M) activities while meeting all regulatory requirements to protect human health and the environment. Reduction is measured in percent from the life-cycle baseline. Goal is a 2 percent reduction below the baseline each year.								
Fiscal Year	2014	2014         2015         2016         2017         2018         2019         2020							
Target	2 percent reduction	≥ 2 percent reduction	≥ 2 percent reduction	≥ 2 percent reduction	≥ 2 Percent Reduction	≥ 2 percent reduction	≥ 2 Percent reduction		
Result	Exceeded - 7.9	<b>Met</b> - 2	<b>Met</b> - 14.4	<b>Met</b> - 2	<b>Met</b> - 2	TBD	TBD		
Endpoint Target	Achieve a 2 percent re	Achieve a 2 percent reduction below the baseline each year.							
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)									
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Quarterly Post-Compe LTS&M.	tition Accountability	Report (PCAR) submi	ttals. This report deta	ails on a Quarterly basi	is LM's success in rec	ducing the costs of		

## **Office of Science**

## Advanced Scientific Computing Research

Program	Advanced Scientific	Advanced Scientific Computing Research							
Performance Goal (Measure)	ASCR Facility Operations - Average achieved operation time of ASCR user facilities as a percentage of total scheduled annual operation time								
Fiscal Year	2014	2014         2015         2016         2017         2018         2019         2020							
Target	≥ 90 %	≥ 90 %	≥ 90 %	≥ 90 %	≥ 90 %	≥ 90 %	≥ 90 %		
Result	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	TBD	TBD		
Endpoint Target	Many of the research projects that are undertaken at the Office of Science's scientific user facilities take a great deal of time, money, and effort to prepare and regularly have a very short window of opportunity to run. If the facility is not operating as expected the experiment could be ruined or critically setback. In addition, taxpayers have invested millions or even hundreds of millions of dollars in these facilities. The greater the period of reliable operations, the greater the return on the taxpayers' investment.								
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	tary on Target met. Achieved operating time was 98.9% of scheduled operating time. ults Plan if								
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Quarterly and EOY: This data comes directly from the batch queue accounting system at the National Energy Research Scientific Computing (NERSC) facility, Oak Ridge Leadership Computing Facility (OLCF), and Argonne Leadership Computing Facility (ALCF). The number of unavailable CPU hours are accounted for by system failures and other unscheduled downtime. Reports detailing this progress reside in the files of the ASCR Office (SC-21).								

Program	Advanced Scientific	Computing Research							
Performance Goal (Measure)	ASCR Research - D and engineering insi	ASCR Research - Discovery of new applied mathematics and computer science tools and methods that enable DOE applications to deliver scientific and engineering insights with a significantly higher degree of fidelity and predictive power							
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020		
Target	Support at least two new teams to conduct fundamental computer science research and at least three applied mathematics research teams that address issues of fault tolerance or energy management for next-generation computing systems.	Conduct an external peer review of the three original co- design centers to document progress, impact, and lessons learned.	Fund two teams to develop exascale node designs.	Identify at least one multi-institutional team to develop new mathematics for DOE mission focused grand challenges at the nexus of multiple computational sub- domains such as data-driven discovery, multiscale modeling, uncertainty quantification, and adaptive algorithms.	Support at least two new efforts in Quantum Information Sciences.	Support at least two new efforts to advance the mathematical methods or computer science underpinnings of Artificial Intelligence techniques for scientific simulations and big data applications.	Recompete the SciDAC Institutes and identify at least one fundable team.		
Result	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	TBD	TBD		
Endpoint Target	Develop and deploy	high-performance con	nputing hardware and	software systems thro	ough exascale platfor	ms			
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Target met. Two new Quantum Testbed awards and three new Quantum Pathfinder awards were made and announced September 2018. https://www.energy.gov/articles/department-energy-announces-218-million-quantum-information-science								
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Quarterly and EOY: Research effort tracked through annual progress reports and quarterly program manager review of project accomplishments. Documents are stored in ASCR files. New awards will be documented through the Portfolio Analysis and Management System (PAMS).								

Basic	Energy	<b>Sciences</b>
-------	--------	-----------------

Program	Basic Energy Sciences							
Performance Goal (Measure)	BES Research - Conduct discovery-focused research to increase our understanding of matter, materials and their properties							
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Expand computational materials and chemical discovery through increased data production and additional online computational resources: add electronic properties data for 7,000 compounds, elastic properties data for 3,000 compounds and reaction energies for 10,000 catalytic reactions to publicly available databases; add new or expanded functionality to on- line, high performance computer software/codes for prediction of materials properties.	Expand computational materials and chemical discovery through increased data production and open source software: (1) add 2000 adsorption energies for chemicals in nanoporous materials to publically available databases; (2) add new or expanded functionality to 10 online, high performance computer software/codes for prediction of materials and chemical properties.	Determine how defects affect the stability of four (4) classes of energy storage materials and their ability to sustain fast ion transport for multiply charged ions (e.g., Mg+2).	
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Met	TBD	TBD	
Endpoint Target	Understand, predict, and ultimately control matter and energy at the electronic, atomic, and molecular levels							
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Target met. The Mate SUNCAT the reposite energies.	erials Project has add ory of surface reactior	led electronic properti n energies is now onlir	es for over 83,000 ne at its web site. T	compounds and elastic t he repository currently co	ensor properties to 7,0 on tains over 100,000 s	000 compounds. At urface reaction	

FY 2018 DOE Annual Performance Report /

FY 2020 DOE Annual Performance Plan

Documentation,	The Materials Project at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory is responsible for the electronic structure and elastic proper ties data and the new										
Limitations,	software/code functionality. The SUNCAT Center at Stanford and SLAC is responsible for the catalytic reaction energy data. Performance is evaluated										
Methodology,	by standard Office of Science peer review criteria and monitored by quarterly progress reports. Documentation on the peer reviews and quarterly										
Validation, and	progress reports resides in files in the BES program office (SC-22).										
Verification											
Program	Basic Energy Science	asic Energy Sciences									
---	---	---	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--	--	--	--
Performance Goal (Measure)	BES Construction/M construction.upgrad	<b>3ES Construction/MIE Cost &amp; Schedule</b> - Cost-weighted mean percentage variance from established cost and schedule baselines for major construction, upgrade, or equipment procurement projects									
Fiscal Year	2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020										
Target	< 10 %	< 10 %	< 10 %	< 10 %	< 10 %	< 10 %	< 10 %				
Result	Met	Met Met Met Met TBD TBD									
Endpoint Target	Adhering to the cost and schedule baselines for a complex, large scale, science project is critical to meeting the scientific requirements for the project and for being good stewards of the taxpayers' investment in the project.										
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Target met. Cost var	Farget met. Cost variance was -1.1%, schedule variance was -3.8%.									
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	BES Projects include Supporting data resid and with Basic Energ	3ES Projects include those that have an approved performance baseline at the start of FY 2018, which include: LCLS-II Supporting data reside in the DOE Office of Project Management Oversight and Assessment's Project Assessment and Reporting System-II (PARS-II) and with Basic Energy Science's Division of Scientific User Facilities (SC-22.3). The EOY report is based on PARS-II data through the end of August.									

Program	Basic Energy Science	Basic Energy Sciences									
Performance Goal (Measure)	BES Facility Operati	BES Facility Operations - Average achieved operation time of BES user facilities as a percentage of total scheduled annual operation time									
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020				
Target	≥ 90 %	≥ 90 %	≥ 90 %	≥ 90 %	≥ 90 %	≥ 90 %	≥ 90 %				
Result	Met Met Met Met TBD TBD										
Endpoint Target	Many of the research projects that are undertaken at the Office of Science's scientific user facilities take a great deal of time, money, and effort to prepare and regularly have a very short window of opportunity to run. If the facility is not operating as expected the experiment could be ruined or critically setback. In addition, taxpayers have invested millions or even hundreds of millions of dollars in these facilities. The greater the period of reliable operations, the greater the return on the taxpayers' investment.										
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Target met. Achieved operating time was 99% of scheduled operating time. (31,381 actual hours versus 31,550 planned hours.)										
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Supporting documen quarter and at the end The total planned op National Synchrotron Advanced Photon So Neutron Source (SNS	Supporting documents consist of the required quarterly and annual reports submitted to BES by the BES user facilities at the completion of each quarter and at the end of the fiscal year. These final reports reside in the files of the Office of Basic Energy Sciences (SC-22). The total planned operating hours for FY18 for this goal is obtained from the planned operating hours of these individual user facilities in FY18: National Synchrotron Light Source II (NSLS-II) 4,750; Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource (SSRL) 5,200; Advanced Light Source (ALS) 5,100; Advanced Photon Source (APS) 5,000; Linac Coherent Light Source (LCLS) 4,750; High Flux Isotope Reactor (HFIR) 3,900; and the Spallation Neutron Source (SNS) 2,850 for a total of 31,550 hours (90% is 28,395 hours)									

## **Biological and Environmental Research**

Program	Biological and Enviro	onmental Research									
Performance Goal (Measure)	BER Predictive Und genomes as a basis f	<b>ER Predictive Understanding</b> - Advance an iterative systems biology approach to the understanding and manipulation of plant and microbial enomes as a basis for biofuels development and predictive knowledge of carbon and nutrient cycling in the environment.									
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020				
Target	N/ADevelop one new computationally enabled approach to analyze complex 										
Result	N/A	Met	Met	Met	Met	TBD	TBD				
Endpoint Target	BER will advance understanding of the operating principles and functional properties of plants, microbes, and complex biological communities relevant to DOE missions in energy and the environment. Deciphering the genomic blueprint of organisms and determining how this information is translated to integrated biological systems permits predictive modeling of bioprocesses and enables targeted redesign of plants and microbes. BER research will address fundamental knowledge gaps and provide foundational systems biology information necessary to advance development of biotechnology and predict impacts of changing environmental conditions on carbon cycling and other biogeochemical processes.										
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Target Met. The use of high performance computing (HPC) in the analysis of genomic information is in its infancy but holds great potential for rapidly analyzing extremely large and complex datasets. Research on plant-microbe interactions produce large 'omic' datasets that can be analyzed by HPC methods to understand mutually beneficial interactions. A better understanding of plant-microbe interactions could lead to improved nutrient and/or water use efficiency in bioenergy crops. The latest computational approaches to analyze plant-microbe interactions are presented in the end-of-year report detailing progress on gaining a basic understanding of plant-microbe interactions at: https://pmiweb.ornl.gov/wp-content/uploads/2018/09/PMI-Summary-Report.pdf.										
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Quarterly - Emails fro EOY - Emails reporti Reports available at:	om the designated per ng the results and pub http://pmiweb.ornl.go	rformers reporting the blication/availability of v/.	research results (per t	documented control p nented control proces	rocess). s).					

Program	Biological and Enviro	onmental Research									
Performance Goal (Measure)	BER Earth System I vegetation to enable	ER Earth System Model - Develop a coupled earth system model with fully interactive water, carbon and sulfur cycles, as well as dynamic eqetation to enable simulations of earth system responses to change.									
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020				
Target	Use global models to estimate most sensitive elements of terrestrial carbon to climate change for tropics, mid- latitudes, and polar regions.	Develop capabilities to extend temporal resolution to sub- decadal for earth system models.	Develop and apply a fully coupled ice- sheet model to estimate near-term changes to the West Antarctic ice sheet.	Extend the capabilities of the DOE's high- resolution Earth System Model to simulate and evaluate human- natural interdependencies for the carbon and water cycles.	Demonstrate improved ocean model simulations with the new high- resolution Model for Prediction Across Scales - Ocean (MPAS-Ocean).	Demonstrate in the coupled DOE- Energy Exascale Earth System Model (E3SM) model, the importance of environmental factors in affecting ecosystem productivity and surface energy exchanges.	Demonstrate improved DOE- E3SM simulation of mesoscale convective systems over North America.				
Result	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	TBD	TBD				
Endpoint Target	BER supports the leading U.S. high-resolution earth system model and addresses two of the most critical areas of uncertainty in contemporary earth system science—the impacts of clouds and aerosols that combine with biogeochemical and cryospheric processes. Delivery of improved scientific data and models (with quantified uncertainties) about the earth's atmospheric, oceanic, cryospheric, and terrestrial system to more accurately predict the earth system responses to change. The information is essential to plan for future national security, energy and infrastructure needs, water resources, and land use. DOE will continue to advance the science necessary to further develop predictive earth system models at the regional spatial scale and multiple time scales involving close coordination with the U.S. and international science community.										
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Target Met. Overall, the high resolution MPAS model has been shown to perform well in ocean -only simulations, in flow beneath ice sheets, when run in variable-resolution-mode, and as part of the coupled Energy Exascale Earth System Model (E3SM) system. More details are available at http://climatemodeling.science.energy.gov/about/fy-2018-performance-metrics.										
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Quarterly - Emails fro EOY - Emails reporti Report is available at	uarterly - Emails from the designated performers reporting the research results (per documented control process). OY - Emails reporting the results and publication/availability of the results (per documented control process).									

## **Fusion Energy Sciences**

Fusion Energy Sciences								
FES Facility Based Torus Experiment Up	Experiments - Experi grade (NSTX)-U] lead	ments conducted on ling toward predictive	major fusion facilities   capability for burning	DIII-D National Fusion plasmas and configur	n Facility (DIII-D) and ation optimization	National Spherical		
2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020		
Conduct experiments and analysis to investigate and quantify plasma response to non- axisymmetric (3D) magnetic fields in tokamaks. Effects of 3D fields can be both beneficial and detrimental, and research will aim to validate theoretical models in order to predict plasma performance with varying levels and types of externally imposed 3D fields. Dependence of response to multiple plasma parameters will be explored in order to gain confidence in predictive capability of the models.	Conduct experiments and analysis to quantify the impact of broadened current and pressure profiles on tokamak plasma confinement and stability. Broadened pressure profiles generally improve global stability but can also affect transport and confinement, while broadened current profiles can have both beneficial and adverse impacts on confinement and stability. This research will examine a variety of heating and current drive techniques in order to validate theoretical models of both the actuator performance and the transport and global stability response to varied heating and current	Conduct research to detect and minimize the consequences of disruptions in present and future tokamaks. Coordinated research will deploy a disruption prediction/warning algorithm on existing tokamaks, assess approaches to avoid disruptions, and quantify plasma and radiation asymmetries resulting from disruption mitigation measures, including both preexisting and resulting MHD activity, as well as the localized nature of the disruption mitigation system. The research will employ new disruption mitigation systems, control algorithms, and hardware to help avoid disruptions,	Conduct research to examine the effect of configuration on operating space for dissipative divertors. Handling plasma power and particle exhaust in the divertor region is a critical issue for future burning plasma devices. The very narrow edge power exhaust channel projected for tokamak devices that operate at high poloidal magnetic field is of particular concern. Increased and controlled divertor radiation, coupled with optimization of the divertor configuration, are envisioned as the leading approaches to reducing peak heat flux on the divertor targets and increasing the operating window	Conduct research to test predictive models of fast ion transport by multiple Alfvén eigenmodes. Fusion alphas and injected energetic neutral particle beams provide an important source of heating and current drive in advanced tokamak operating scenarios and burning plasma regimes. Alfven eigenmode instabilities can cause the redistribution or loss of fast ions and driven currents, as well as potentially decreasing fusion performance and leading to localized losses. Measured fast ion fluxes in DIII-D and NSTX-U plasmas with different levels of Alfven eigenmode activity will be used	The edge pedestal is a vital component in achieving overall high confinement in a magnetic fusion device. Therefore, obtaining a physics understanding and predictive capability for the pedestal height and structure is a major goal of domestic and international fusion research. Great progress has been made in understanding the pressure limits imposed by MHD stability on pedestals in tokamaks. It is now clear, though, that the goal of predictive capability for the pedestal structure requires advances in the physics understanding of the separate structure of density and	Accumulation of impurities, ranging from lightions (helium ash) to high- Z (such as tungsten) can adversely impact the reactivity of the fusion core through fuel dilution and excessive radiation. To inform operation of ITER and beyond, transport of impurities from the divertor to the core will be studied, particularly as parameters that are expected to impact the relative balance of turbulent versus neoclassical impurity transport are varied. Experiments will introduce a wide range of low- to high-Z impurities, while turbulence and transport properties are documented.		
	Fusion Energy Scien FES Facility Based Torus Experiment Up 2014 Conduct experiments and analysis to investigate and quantify plasma response to non- axisymmetric (3D) magnetic fields in tokamaks. Effects of 3D fields can be both beneficial and detrimental, and research will aim to validate theoretical models in order to predict plasma performance with varying levels and types of externally imposed 3D fields. Dependence of response to multiple plasma parameters will be explored in order to gain confidence in predictive capability of the models.	Fusion Energy SciencesFES Facility Based Experiments - Experiments and consistent Upgrade (NSTX)-U] lead20142015ConductConductexperiments and analysis to investigate and quantify plasma response to non-axisymmetric (3D) magnetic fields in tokamaks. Effects of 3D fields can be both beneficial and detrimental, and research will aim to validate theoretical models in order to predict plasma performance with varying levels and types of externally imposed 3D fields. Dependence of response to multiple plasma parameters will be explored in order to gain confidence in predictive capability of the models.Stability. This research will examine a variety of heating and current drive techniques in order to validate theoretical models in order to gain confidence in predictive capability of the models.Experiments - Experiments and pressure and the transport and current of the models.	Fusion Energy SciencesFES Facility Based Experiments - Experiments conducted on PTorus Experiment Upgrade (NSTX)-UJ leading toward predictive201420152016ConductConductConductexperiments and analysis to quantify plasma response to non- axisymmetric (3D)ConductConduct research to detect and minimize analysis to quantify the impact of broadened current and pressure profiles on tokamak plasma confinement and stability.Conduct research will deploy to darady the impact of broadened current and stability.Coordinated research will deploy a disruption a disruption prediction/warning algorithm on existing tokamaks, assess approaches to avoid disruptions, and quantify plasma prefiles can be both beneficial and detrimental, and research will aim to validate theoretical models in order to performance with varying levels and types of externally imposed 3D fields.Broadened current profiles can have broadened current profiles can have both beneficial and confinement and stability. This research will examine a variety of heating and current drive techniques in order to validate theoretical models.Stability. This resulting MHD activity, as well as the localized nature of the disruption mitigation systems, control algorithms, and hardware to help avoid disruptions, along with	Fusion Energy SciencesFES Facility Based Experiments - Experiments conducted on major fusion facilitiesTorus Experiment Upgrade (NSTX)-U] leading toward predictive capability for burning2014201520162017Conduct experiments and analysis to investigate and quantify plasma response to non- axisymmetric (3D) magnetic fields in oft beneficial and detrimental, and research will aim to validate theoretical models in order to predict plasma prefiles can have both beneficial and types of externally imposed 3D fields. Dependence of response to multipleConduct experiments and and stability. This research will and verse impacts on order to gain order	Fusion Energy SciencesFES Facility Based Experiments - Experiments conducted on major fusion facilities [DIII-D National Fusion Torus Experiment Upgrade (NSTX)-U] leading toward predictive capability for burning plasmas and configur20142015201620172018Conduct experiments and analysis to investigate and quantify plasma response to non- axisymmetric (3D) pofiles on tokamak profiles on tokamak research will aim to validate theoretical models in order to generance with order to gain confinement and performance with order to gain confidence in preficical and deter many stability.Conduct research to detect and minimize the consequences of disruptions in present and future tokamaks.Conduct research to detect and minimize of disruptions in present and future tokamaks.Conduct research to present and future tokamaks.Conduct research to detect and minimize and pressure profiles on tokamak profiles can be both beneficial and detrimental, and stability. This research will amposed 3D fields. Dependence of response to multiple plasma parameters will be explored in resulting Allow ingretor to gain confinement and profiles can have stability. This research will diver tor validate infeid is of particular confinement and profiles can have stability. This research will performance and theoretical models.Evence to adverse impacts on attivity as well as to adverse impacts on attivity, as well as to adverse impacts on order to validate the resulting MPDConduct research profiles on have adverse impacts on attivity tor markes that operate at high poploidal magnetic to the disrupti	Fusion Energy SciencesFES Facility Based Experiments - Experiments conducted on major fusion facilities [DIII-D National Fusion Facility (DIII-D) and Torus Experiment Upgrade (NSTX)-U] leading toward predictive capability for burning plasmas and configuration on passing and configuration on detect and minimize detect and minimize detect and minimize detect and minimize of confuguration on or detect and minimize of confuguration on oparating space for the consequences understand future dissipative divertors. Alfvén eigenmodes.The edge pedestal is a vital component the dege overating space for the consequences oparating space for the sing and current tokamaks. Effects of profiles can be both beneficial and validate theoretical and stability. This performance with performance and profiles can as a variety of the design and current profiles can as a variety of the design and current profiles can be performence with profiles can be profiles can be profiles can be profiles can as a variety of the derive in models in order to performance and performance and types of externally of the pedestal adverse inpacts on disruptions, of the bereficial and types of externally of the bereficial and global stability. This research will be explored in order to gain confinement, while examine a variety of the design and current divertor radiation order to gain confinement and stability. This research will approxible of the disruption and global stability. This research will approxible of the disruption order to gram gameters inposed 3D fields.Experimental advanced 		

	Result	Met	Met	Met	test and validate leading boundary plasma models.	fast ion density, in order to validate models and improve understanding of underlying mechanisms. Model predictions will guide the development of attractive operating regimes.	recycling, and transport affect the density pedestal structure. The role of divertor geometry strongly affects ionization properties and thus its effect upon the pedestal structure will be investigated. US researchers involved in collaborative activities on international experiments and at university facilities may gather, analyze and contribute data to this research effort. TBD	TBD
--	--------	-----	-----	-----	---	---	---	-----

Endpoint Target	Magnetic fields are the principal means of confining the hot ionized gas of a plasma long enough to make practical fusion energy. The detailed shape of these magnetic containers leads to many variations in how the plasma pressure is sustained within the magnetic bottle and the degree of control that experimenters can exercise over the plasma stability. These factors, in turn, influence the functional and economic credibility of the eventual realization of a fusion power reactor. The key to their success is a detailed physics understanding of the confinement characteristics of the plasmas in these magnetic configurations. The major fusion facilities can produce plasmas that provide a wide range of magnetic fields, plasma curr ents, and plasma shapes. By using a variety of plasma control tools, appropriate materials, and having the diagnostics needed to measure critical physics parameters, scientists will be able to develop optimum scenarios for achieving high performance plasmas in future burning plasma devices and, ultimately. in power plants.
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Target met. Several predictive models of fast ion transport driven by energetic particle instabilities were tested utilizing existin g and new experimental data. Theoretical predictions were explored, and the models and analyses improved. Increased insight regarding the mechanisms, thresholds, and impacts of the driven fast ion transport were obtained and used to recommend future research directions. Modeling was used in a "predict first" mode to design experimental scenarios.
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Supporting data are contained in progress reports maintained by the FES program office.

Program	Fusion Energy Scien	ices					
Performance Goal (Measure)	FES Theory and Sin science of magnetic	nulation - Performand confinement	ce of simulations with	highphysics fidelity c	odes to address and r	esolve critical challen	ges in the plasma
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Target	Understanding alpha particle confinement in ITER, the world's first burning plasma experiment, is a key priority for the fusion program. Linear instability trends and thresholds of energetic particle- driven shear Alfvén eigenmodes in ITER are determined for a range of parameters and profiles using a set of complementary simulation models (gyrokinetic, hybrid, and gyrofluid). Initial nonlinear simulations are carried out to assess the effects of the unstable modes on energetic particle transport.	Perform massively parallel plasma turbulence simulations to determine expected transport in ITER. Starting from best current estimates of ITER profiles, the turbulent transport of heat and particles driven by various microinstabilities (including electromagnetic dynamics) will be computed. Stabilization of turbulence by nonlinear self- generated flows is expected to improve ITER performance, and will be assessed with comprehensive electromagnetic gyrokinetic simulations.	Predicting the magnitude and scaling of the divertor heat load width in magnetically confined burning plasmas is a high priority for the fusion program. One of the key unresolved physics issues is what sets the heat flux width at the entrance to the divertor region. Perform massively parallel simulations using 3D edge kinetic and fluid codes to determine the parameter dependence of the heat load width at the divertor plate heat flux applicable to moderate particle recycling conditions. Comparisons will be made with data from DIIID, NSTX-U, and C-Mod.	Lower hybrid current drive (LHCD) will be indispensable for driving off-axis current during long- pulse operation of future burning plasma experiments, since it offers important leverage for controlling damaging transients caused by magnetohydrodyna mic instabilities. However, the experimentally demonstrated high efficiency of LHCD is incompletely understood. In FY 2017, massively parallel, high- resolution simulations with 480 radial elements and 4095 poloidal modes will be performed using full- wave radiofrequency field solvers and particle Fokker-Planck codes to elucidate	The interaction of the boundary plasma with the material surfaces in magnetically confined plasmas is among the most critical problems in fusion energy science. In FY 2018, perform high- performance computational simulations with coupled boundary plasma physics and materials surface models to predict the fuel recycling and tritium retention of the divertor for deuterium-tritium burning plasma conditions, accounting for erosion, re- deposition and impurity transport in the plasma boundary, and an initial evaluation of the influence of material deposition on the recycling and retention.	Understanding the relevant turbulent transport mechanisms at the edge of a high- performance tokamak is essential for predicting and optimizing the H- mode pedestal structure in future burning plasma devices. Global electromagnetic gyrokinetic simulations will be performed based on representative experimental pedestal scenarios in order to clarify which instabilities are most important for each of the particle and heat transport channels. Edge transport modeling will be performed in order to estimate and bound the particle and heat sources— e.g., the ionization density source and the atomic energy	A Vertical Displacement Event (VDE) is an off- normal occurrence in a tokamak in which position control of the discharge is lost, and the tokamak plasma moves rapidly upward or down ward until it makes contact with the vacuum vessel. The discharge current in ITER will be up to 15 MA. When a plasma with this current makes contact with the vessel, it will induce large currents into the metallic vessel, and these currents will cause large forces. Previous studies to calculate these forces for ITER assumed that the plasma remained axisymmetric during the VDE to simplify the calculation. However, it is

				the roles of toroidicity and full- wave effects. The simulation predictions will be compared with experimental data from the superconducting EAST tokamak.		loss channels due to ionization, charge exchange, and radiation. Comparisons will be made with data from the DIII-D, JET, C- Mod and NSTX or MAST experiments.	known that the plasma column will deform and produce "sideways forces" in ITER that could potentially damage the machine. The two U.S. flagship magnetohydrodyna mic codes, NIMROD and M3D-C1, now have the capability to model a fully 3D plasma interacting with a conducting structure. In FY 2020, simulations taking advantage of the capability of these codes will be performed to realistically model a full 3D VDE in ITER and to calculate the expected forces.		
Result	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	TBD	TBD		
Endpoint Target	Advanced simulations based on high physics fidelity models offer the promise of advancing scientific discovery in the plasma science of magnetic fusion by exploiting the Office of Science high performance computing resources and associated advances in computational science. These simulations are able to address the multiphysics and multiscale challenges of the burning plasma state and contribute to the FES goal of advancing the fundamental science of magnetically confined plasmas to develop the predictive capability needed for a sustainable fusion energy source.								
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Target met. The work led to the establishment of a new, high-fidelity Plasma Materials Interactions (PMI) modeling capability involving multiple boundary plasma and materials surface evolution codes which take advantage of today's high-performance computers and can predict the fuel recycling and tritium retention of the ITER divertor for D-T burning plasma conditions. This capability is important not only for ITER but for future demonstration fusion reactors based on the tokamak magnetic confinement configuration.								
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Supporting data are o	contained in progress	reports maintained by	/ the FES program off	ice.				

Program	Fusion Energy Sciences										
Performance Goal (Measure)	FES Facility Operation	ES Facility Operations - Average achieved operation time of FES user facilities as a percentage of total scheduled annual operation time									
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020				
Target	≥ 90 %	≥ 90 %	≥ 90 %	≥ 90 %	≥ 90 %	≥ 90 %	≥ 90 %				
Result	Met Not Met Met Met TBD TBD										
Endpoint Target	Many of the research projects that are undertaken at the Office of Science's scientific user facilities take a great deal of time, money, and effort to prepare and regularly have a very short window of opportunity to run. If the facility is not operating as expected the experiment could be ruined or critically setback. In addition, taxpayers have invested millions or even hundreds of millions of dollars in these facilities. The greater the period of reliable operations, the greater the return on the taxpayers' investment.										
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Target met. Achieved 113% of scheduled operating time. (DIII-D operated 813 hours versus 720 planned hours.)										
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Supporting data are c FES's major national - the DIII-D Tokamak - the National Spheric fiscal year due to the 720 hours total (basel	Supporting data are contained in progress reports maintained by the FES program office. FES's major national fusion facilities are: • the DIII-D Tokamak at General Atomics in San Diego, California (720 hours of operations are planned for DIII-D); • the National Spherical Torus Experiment - Upgrade at the Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory. (There are no operations planned for NSTX-U this fiscal year due to the shutdown of the facility for repairs.) 720 hours total (baseline) are expected for FY18.									

#### High Energy Physics

Program	High Energy Physics	3						
Performance Goal (Measure)	HEP Neutrino Mode	I - Carry out series of	experiments to test th	e standard 3-neutrinc	o model of mixing			
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	
Target	Begin operation of full NOvA detector using neutrino beam from Fermilab for purpose of measuring mixing angle between muon neutrinos and electron neutrinos (sin2(2013)) using the appearance electron neutrinos.	Physics analyses results from the first year of data taking with the full detector will be presented by the NOvA and MicroBooNE experimental collaborations at the FY 2015 summer conferences.	Physics analyses results from data taking will be presented by the NOvA and MicroBooNE experimental collaborations at the FY 2016 summer conferences.	Fermilab switches operations mode over from neutrino beam to antineutrino beam delivery to the NOvA experiment. NOvA accumulates physics data in antineutrino mode.	MicroBooNE data taking will complete final year of phase- 1. NOvA will publish the first muon and electron anti- neutrino oscillation results.	NOvA will present important results on whether neutrino mixing is "maximal" and the mass ordering of neutrino states. MicroBooNE will present new physics results related to the low- energy anomalies observed in neutrino interactions. The refurbished ICARUS detector will be commissioned and prepared for data- taking.	The ICARUS neutrino detector will take its first data in the Booster neutrino beam at Fermilab as part of the short baseline neutrino program.	
Result	Met	Not Met	Met	Met	Met	TBD	TBD	
Endpoint Target	Similar to quarks, the in different ways and evidence for CP viola	e mixing between neut with adequate precisi ation in the neutrino se	rinos is postulated to ion will demonstrate w ector.	be described by a unit hether this model of n	ary matrix. Measuring neutrinos is correct. Su	the independent para uch a model is needed	ameters of this matrix I to correctly extract	
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Target met. MicroBooNE completed its final year of phase-1 data taking. NOvA produced 10 new public notes this summer (http://microboone.fnal.gov/public-notes/) and submitted a paper on the use of convolutional neural networks to identify electromagnetic activity in liquid argon time projection chamber (TPCs) that was submitted to Phys. Rev. D. in August 2018 (https://arxiv.org/abs/1808.07269).							
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	QTR: progress repo EOY: a letter or repo neutrino beam are op The supporting docu	rts rt from the Laboratory perational. mentation resides in tl	Director at Fermi Nati	onal Accelerator Labo ice (SC-25).	pratory confirming tha	t the full NOvA detecto	or and the NuMI	

Program	High Energy Physics	High Energy Physics									
Performance Goal (Measure)	HEP Construction/MI construction, upgrade.	E Cost & Schedule	- Cost-weighted mea urement projects	n percentage varianc	e from established cos	st and schedule baseli	nes for major				
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020				
Target	< 10 %	< 10 %	< 10 %	< 10 %	< 10 %	< 10 %	< 10 %				
Result	Met Met Met Met TBD TBD										
Endpoint Target	Adhering to the cost ar and for being good ste	Adhering to the cost and schedule baselines for a complex, large scale, science project is critical to meeting the scientific requirements for the project and for being good stewards of the taxpayers' in vestment in the project.									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Target met. Cost variance was -4.4% and schedule variance is -3.6%.										
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Derived from PARS II 1. Large Hadron Collic 2. LHC CMS (Compac 3. Large Synoptic Surv 4. Muon to Electron Co 5. Dark Energy Spectro 6. Large Underground Cost and schedule var report is based on PAF The supporting docum	data for the followin der (LHC) ATLAS (A et Muon Solenoid) D vey Telescope (LSS onversion Experime oscopic Instrument I Xenon (LUX)–Zonf riance calculated by RS II data through the mentation resides in t	g projects: Toroidal LHC Apparat etector Upgrade T) Project nt (Mu2e) (DESI) Ed Proportional scintilk Earned Value for each ne end of August. he files of the HEP Off	tus) Detector Upgrade ation in Llquid Noble g n project is averaged, ice (SC-25).	ases (ZEPLIN) experi weighted by the Total	ment (LZ) Project Cost for that p	project. The EOY				

Program	High Energy Physics											
Performance Goal (Measure)	HEP Facility Operation	ons - Average achie	ved operation time of	HEP user facilities as	a percentage of total s	cheduled annual ope	eration time					
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020					
Target	≥ 80 %	≥ 80 %	≥ 80 %	≥ 80 %	≥ 80 %	≥ 80 %	≥ 80 %					
Result	Met	Met Met Met Met TBD TBD										
Endpoint Target	Many of the research r prepare and regularly critically setback. In ac reliable operations, the	Many of the research projects that are undertaken at the Office of Science's scientific user facilities take a great deal of time, money, and effort to prepare and regularly have a very short window of opportunity to run. If the facility is not operating as expected the experiment could be ruined or critically setback. In addition, taxpayers have invested millions or even hundreds of millions of dollars in these facilities. The greater the period of reliable operations, the greater the return on the taxpayers' investment.										
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Target met. Achieved	operating time was	111% of scheduled o	perating time. (7,537 a	actual hours versus 6,	800 planned hours.)						
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Derived from letters fro The scientific user faci - Total hours schedule - FACET (Facility for A - Fermilab Accelerator - Brookhaven Accelerator Unscheduled downtim Facilities Summary see	om Lab Directors or ilities and schedulec ed is 6,800 hours (5,4 dvanced Accelerato Complex is schedu ator Test Facility is s ne reported by each f ction of the HEP bud	designee. Fermi data Hours: HO hours is 80%). Fr Experimental Tests) led to run 4,440 hours cheduled to run 2,360 acility is averaged, we lget submission.	are reported at http://v will not be operating i in FY 2018 (3,552 is 8 hours in FY 2018 (1,8 sighted by the Facility 9	www-bdnew.fnal.gov/o in FY2017. 80%). 388 is 80%). Operations cost. Facil	pperations/lum/superta	able.html. are defined in the					

## **Nuclear Physics**

Program	Nuclear Physics								
Performance Goal (Measure)	NP Nuclear Structur	e - Conduct fundame	ntal research to disco	ver, explore, and unde	erstand all forms of nu	clear matter.			
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020		
Target	Perform mass measurements and nuclear reaction studies to infer weak interaction rates in nuclei in order to constrain models of supernovae and stellar evolution.	Measure bulk properties, particle spectra, correlations and fluctuations in gold + gold collisions at Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) to search for evidence of a critical point in the Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) matter phase diagram.	Perform measurements for identified hadrons with heavy flavor valence quarks to constrain the mechanism for parton energy loss in the quark-gluon plasma at the RHIC.	Demonstrate the capability to extend the sensitivity of searches for neutrinoless double- beta decay by at least a factor of 5.	Perform measurements in experimental halls with CEBAF to enhance our understanding of the QCD) structure of nuclei and hadronic matter.	Initiate a search for a Critical Point in the Phase Diagram of Nuclear Matter.	Complete first phase of search for exotic mesons resulting from gluon excitations at JLAB to deepen understanding of how QCD works.		
Result	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	TBD	TBD		
Endpoint Target	ncrease the understanding of the existence and properties of nuclear matter under extreme conditions, including that which existed at the beginning of								
-	the universe	and ing of the existenc	e and properties of nu	clear matter under ext	reme conditions, inclu	uding that which exist	ed at the beginning of		
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	the universe Target met. TJNAF I matter. Hall A complet third experiment. Ha proton structure. Hal continuing with expe study the structure of	anding of the existenc has demonstrated, in r eted two measurement II B accumulated over I C completed a meas riments to validate the f known mesons and s	e and properties of nu nore than one hall, the s to compare the QCI 20% of their first serie urement of structure f 3D (spatial and trans earch for unknown ex	clear matter under ext e acquisition of data to D structure of the mirro es of physics runs, cov unctions of protons ar verse momentum) ima cotic mesons.	reme conditions, inclu- wards understanding or isotope 3H and 3He vering seven experime ad neutrons at large qu ging framework. Hall	the QCD structure of nuclei and is now ac ents, towards 3D imag Jark momentum fraction D continued the Glue	ed at the beginning of nuclei and hadronic cumulating data for a ing of quarks in ons, and is X experiment to		

Program	Nuclear Physics											
Performance Goal (Measure)	NP Construction/MI	<b>IP Construction/MIE Cost &amp; Schedule</b> - Cost-weighted mean percentage variance from established cost and schedule baselines for major construction. upgrade, or equipment procurement projects										
Fiscal Year	2014	2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020										
Target	< 10 %	< 10 %	< 10 %	< 10 %	N/A	N/A	N/A					
Result	Met	Met	Met	Met	N/A	N/A	N/A					
Endpoint Target	Adhering to the cost and for being good s	Adhering to the cost and schedule baselines for a complex, large scale, science project is critical to meeting the scientific requirements for the project and for being good stewards of the taxpayers' investment in the project.										
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)												
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	This measure is not a	applicable for FY18.										

Program	Nuclear Physics	uclear Physics										
Performance Goal (Measure)	NP Facility Operatio	P Facility Operations - Average achieved operation time of NP user facilities as a percentage of total scheduled annual operation time										
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020					
Target	≥ 80 %	≥ 80 %	≥ 80 %	≥ 80 %	≥ 80 %	≥ 80 %	≥ 80 %					
Result	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	TBD	TBD					
Endpoint Target	Many of the research prepare and regularly critically setback. In a reliable operations, th	Any of the research projects that are undertaken at the Office of Science's scientific user facilities take a great deal of time, money, and effort to prepare and regularly have a very short window of opportunity to run. If the facility is not operating as expected the experiment could be ruined or critically setback. In addition, taxpayers have invested millions or even hundreds of millions of dollars in these facilities. The greater the period of eliable operations, the greater the return on the taxpayers' investment.										
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Target met. Achieved	Target met. Achieved 105% of scheduled operating time. (12,218 actual hours versus 11,630 planned hours.)										
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	The total planned op and RHIC (Relativisti at 2 shifts per day with Quarterly: Emails from documented control p EOY: Official letters fr time of the user facilit	The total planned operating hours for ATLAS (Argonne Tandem-Linac Accelerator System), CEBAF (Continuous Electron Beam Accelerator Facility), and RHIC (Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider) is 11,630 hours (80% is 9,304 hours). The RHIC operating hours include 10 weeks of operations scheduled at 2 shifts per day with the third shift used for scheduled maintenance. Quarterly: Emails from ANL (ATLAS), BNL (RHIC) and JLAB (CEBAF) management to NP Office with statistics regarding breakout of beam hours (per locumented control process); NP program office worksheet showing calculations. EOY: Official letters from ANL (ATLAS), JLAB (CEBAF), and BNL (RHIC) management to NP Office reporting and certifying annual achieved operation ime of the user facility (per documented control process); NP program office worksheet.										
	Documentation reside	es in the Office of Nu	clear Physics (SC-26)	files. This target is me	et when the total opera	ating time is 80% or gr	reater.					

# ARPA-E

#### Advanced Research Projects Agency - Energy

Program	Advanced Research	Advanced Research Projects Agency - Energy									
Performance Goal (Measure)	<b>New Company Formation</b> - Number of new companies formed as a direct result of ARPA-E funding. This was a new performance measure for ARPA-E in FY 2015. As of the end of FY 2013 ARPA-E funded research has led to the formation of at least 24 new companies. That is the baseline from which we would expect to add at least 3 new companies per year.										
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020				
Target	N/A	≥ 3 new companies founded	≥ 3 new companies founded	≥ 3 new companies founded	≥ 3 new companies founded	≥3 new companies founded	N/A				
Result	N/A	<b>Met</b> - 6	<b>Met</b> - 6	<b>Met</b> - 20	<b>Met</b> - 15	TBD	N/A				
Endpoint Target	On an ongoing basis	s, ARPA-E funding will	support the formation	n of≥3 new companie	s each year.						
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	As reported in a May companies from the ARPA-E expects the conditions. New cor https://arpa-e.energ	y 2018 report, ARPA-E February 2017 press r e trend of company cre mpany formation data a y.gov/?q=site-page/arg	funded research has release. aation to continue in Fh as of May 2018 can be ba-e-impact	led to the formation o Y19. However, the act ofound in the below re	f at least 71 new comp tual formations will be sport:	banies. This represent dependent on project	s an increase of 15 and market				
Comment	ARPA-E is proposed	d for elimination in the	FY 2020 Budget. The	refore, no performanc	e targets have been s	et beyond FY 2019.					
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Data Sources: New through direct outrea The data is typically Limitations: Potentia through multiple sou 2018 the data was a Verification and Vali	ARPA-E is proposed for elimination in the FY 2020 Budget. Therefore, no performance targets have been set beyond FY 2019. Data Sources: New company formation is initially identified through various online channels (e.g., company websites, Pitchbook database) and through direct outreach to appropriate project team members (e.g., Awardee / Principal Investigator, Program Director, T2M Advisor, Tech SETA). The data is typically compiled annually in May. Limitations: Potentially incomplete or erroneous information provided from the performers. ARPA-E mitigates this risk by cross-checking the data through multiple sources. Metrics are tabulated and reported once a year in the Impacts Report. In prior years this data was available in February. In 2018 the data was available in May. As such, the 2018 data includes companies created between February 2017 and May 2018.									

Program	Advanced Research	Advanced Research Projects Agency - Energy									
Performance Goal (Measure)	Award Funding - Cu	ward Funding - Cumulative percentage of award funding committed 45 days after award selections are announced									
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020				
Target	≥ 70 %	≥ 70 %	≥ 70 %	≥ 70 %	≥ 70 %	≥ 70 %	N/A				
Result	<b>Met</b> - 70	Met - 70 Met - 100 Met - 100 Met - 100 TBD N/A									
Endpoint Target	On an ongoing basis	On an ongoing basis, annually commit≥70% of award funding within 45 days of announcement of award selections.									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	In FY18, per target, 1 tracked in ARPA-E p	00% of awardee fund lanning worksheets.	ing was committed wi Fhese worksheets are	thin 45 days of select reviewed by ARPA-E	ion. After announcem Ieadership on a mont	ient, selected funds ar hly basis.	e reserved and				
Comment	ARPA-E is proposed	I for elimination in the	FY 2020 Budget. The	refore, no performan	ce targets have been s	et beyond FY 2019.					
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and	Data Sources: ARPA	A-E Internal Records. tantive limitations.	Available funding and	actual obligations are	e pulled from the DOE	STARS financial syste	em.				
Verification	Verification and Valid	dation: ARPA-E intern	al records are recond	iled to STARS data or	n a monthly basis post	-GL close.					

# **Chief Information Officer**

#### **Departmental Administration**

Program	Departmental Admin	Pepartmental Administration									
Performance Goal (Measure)	Detect - Anti-Phishi	Detect - Anti-Phishing - Performance of Anti-Phishing measurements must be greater than or equal to 90% on at least 5 of 7 capabilities.									
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020				
Target	N/A	≥ 5 capabilities greater than 90 %	≥ 5 capabilities greater than 90%	≥ 5 capabilities greater than 90%	≥ 5 capabilities greater than 90%	N/A	N/A				
Result	N/A	Not Met - 3	Not Met - 2	<b>Met</b> - 6	Data Not Available	N/A	N/A				
Endpoint Target	Obtain performance	of at least 5 of 7 anti-p	hishing capabilities at	t 90% or greater in FY	2017 and maintain an	nually thereafter.					
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	DOE is no longer ab metrics associated w	le to provide results fo /ith the FY 2018-2019	r this measure due to CAP goals are report	changes made to rela ed quarterly as part of	ated Cross Agency Pric CAP goal reporting.	ority (CAP) goals in F	Y 2018 Q2. All DOE				
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification											

Program	Departmental Admin	Pepartmental Administration									
Performance Goal (Measure)	Detect - Malware De	Detect - Malware Defense - Performance of malware defense measurements must be greater than or equal to 90% on at least 3 of 5 capabilities.									
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020				
Target	N/A	≥ 3 capabilities greater than 90%	≥ 3 capabilities greater than 90%	≥ 3 capabilities greater than 90%	≥ 3 capabilities greater than 90%	N/A	N/A				
Result	N/A	N/A Not Met - 0 Not Met - 0 Met - 3 Data Not Available N/A N/A									
Endpoint Target	Obtain a performanc	ce of at least 3 of 5 mal	ware defense capabil	ities at 90% or greate	r in FY 2017 and main	tain annually thereaft	er.				
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	DOE is no longer ab metrics associated w	le to provide results fo vith the FY 2018-2019	r this measure due to CAP goals are report	changes made to rela ed quarterly as part of	ated Cross Agency Prio CAP goal reporting.	ority (CAP) goals in F	Y 2018 Q2. All DOE				
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification											

Program	Departmental Admin	istration										
Performance Goal (Measure)	Detect - Other Defenses - Performance of "Other Defenses" measurements to include specific Anti-Phishing and Malware capabilities must be greater than or equal to 90% on at least 2 of 4 capabilities.											
Fiscal Year	2014	2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020										
Target	N/A	≥ 2 capabilities greater than 90%	≥ 2 capabilities greater than 90%	≥ 2 capabilities greater than 90%	≥ 2 capabilities greater than 90%	N/A	N/A					
Result	N/A	N/A Not Met - 0 Not Met - 1 Met - 2 Data Not Available N/A N/A										
Endpoint Target	Obtain a performanc	e of at least 2 of 4 oth	er defense capabilities	s at 90% or greater in	FY 2017 and maintain	annually thereafter.						
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	DOE is no longer ab metrics associated w	DOE is no longer able to provide results for this measure due to changes made to related Cross Agency Priority (CAP) goals in FY 2018 Q2. All DOE metrics associated with the FY 2018-2019 CAP goals are reported quarterly as part of CAP goal reporting.										
Comment	The Other Defenses have a technical com phishing, anti-malwa communications traff (D/A) to decrypt/inte	The Other Defenses performance measure consists of the following Anti-Phishing and Malware capabilities: privileged user network accounts that have a technical controllimiting access to only trusted sites, inbound network traffic that passes through a web content filter, which provides anti-phishing, anti-malware, and blocking of malicious websites (e.g., fake software updates, fake antivirus offers, and phishing offers), outbound communications traffic checked at the external boundaries to detect encrypted exfiltration of information (i.e. capability of Digital to Analog conversion (D/A) to decrypt/interrogate and re-encrypt), and email messages processed by systems that quarantine or otherwise block suspected malicious traffic.										
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification												

Program	Departmental Admin	Departmental Administration								
Performance Goal (Measure)	dentify - Hardware Asset Management - Achieve performance of 95% or greater for both Hardware Asset Management metrics (asset detection and asset meta data collection)									
Fiscal Year	2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020									
Target	N/A	≥ 95 %	≥ 95 %	≥ 95 %	≥ 95 %	N/A	N/A			
Result	N/A	N/A Not Met - 87 Not Met - 60 Not Met - 85 Data Not Available N/A N/A								
Endpoint Target	Annually maintain pe	Annually maintain performance of at least 95% for both Hardware Asset Management metrics by FY 2018 and maintain annually thereafter.								
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	DOE is no longer ab metrics associated w	le to provide results fo ith the FY 2018-2019	r this measure due to CAP goals are report	changes made to re ed quarterly as part o	lated Cross Agency Prio of CAP goal reporting.	rity (CAP) goals in F	'Y 2018 Q2. All DOE			
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification										

Program	Departmental Admin	Departmental Administration									
Performance Goal (Measure)	Identify - Software A (software inventory a	dentify - Software Asset Management - Achieve performance of greater than or equal to 95% for both Software Asset Management metrics software inventory and software white-listing)									
Fiscal Year	2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020										
Target	N/A	N/A ≥ 95 % ≥ 95 % ≥ 95 % N/A N/A									
Result	N/A	N/A Not Met - 39 Not Met - 44 Not Met - 91 Data Not Available N/A N/A									
Endpoint Target	Obtain performance	Detain performance of at least 95% for both Software Asset Management metrics by FY 2018 and maintain annually thereafter.									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	DOE is no longer ab metrics associated w	le to provide results fo rith the FY 2018-2019	r this measure due to CAP goals are reporte	changes made to rel ed quarterly as part o	ated Cross Agency Pric of CAP goal reporting.	rity (CAP) goals in FY	′ 2018 Q2. All DO E				
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification											

Program	Departmental Admini	Departmental Administration									
Performance Goal (Measure)	Protect - Federated DOE to OneID	Protect - Federated Identity Management Infrastructure - Implement Federated Identity Management Infrastructure linking identity sources across DOE to OneID									
Fiscal Year	2014	2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020									
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	75 %	≥ 95 %	= 100 %	= 100 %				
Result	N/A	N/A N/A Not Met - 62 Exceeded - 97 TBD TBD									
Endpoint Target	Obtain performance of	of 100% of all identity	sources across DOE	linked to OnelD by FY	2019 and maintain ar	nually thereafter.					
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	The End of Year targ by 2%.	et for implementing a	federated identity ma	nagement infrastructu	re linking identity sour	ces across DOE to Or	elD was exceeded				
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	An earned value app established by the Or Credential, and Acce products to performs each entity. The state in the Federal Inform both FISMA and MFA	roach is taken to capt neID Program Office a ss Management (ICAI synchronization of the us of each entity is va ation Security Manago is captured through	ure the present state of and the third party inte M) Program. The crite site and the OneID Id lidated by a combinat ement Act (FISMA) an a data call that is cond	of each entity accordin egrator that performs o ria for completion is th entity Management Se ion of individual conta of the Multi-Factor Aut ducted on a monthly b	ng to four stages of int outside integrations fol ne successful installati ervice or a standards lots with the DOE entiti hentication (MFA) Spr asis.	egration. Progress tov lowing communic atior on of Commercial -Off based equivalent solu es and a comparison int to the identity store	wards completion is hs by the Identity, - The-Shelf (COTS) tion implemented by of accounts included ed in OneID. Input for				

Program	Departmental Administration									
Performance Goal (Measure)	<b>Protect - High-Priority Application Authentication</b> - Conduct a role-based risk assessment for all applications supporting high priority (FISMA) systems, identify the proper credential for each role within the application in accordance with the revised NIST 800-63 standard, and require the use of the proper credential for role-based access to the application.									
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020			
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	10 %	≥ 30 %	≥ 50 %	≥ 60 %			
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	<b>Not Met</b> - 0	Exceeded - 34	TBD	TBD			
Endpoint Target	Require the credential identified through the role-based risk assessment for 80% of all applications supporting FISMA systems by FY 2021 and maintain annually thereafter.									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	The End of Year targe exceeded by 4%.	t for integrating high	priority, enablement	-ready applications int	o the federated access	man agement framew	ork of 30% was			
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Data is collected by us call identities the total of appropriate creden percentage of applica aren't any erroneous s no errors during data	Data is collected by using the DOE standard data call process through the Electronic Capital Planning Investment Control (eCPIC) system. This data call identities the total number of applications, the applications that have taken a risk assessment, and the number of applications that require the use of appropriate credentials per NIST 800-63-3 for the sensitivity of the applications being accessed. The calculation for this metric reflects the percentage of applications that require the use of a credential that meets or exceeds the risk assessment. The initial data call is vetted to ensure there aren't any erroneous submissions. In addition, several functional equations and data validation checks are built within the workbook to ensure there are no errors during data vetting.								

Program	Departmental Admini	istration									
Performance Goal (Measure)	Protect - MFA - Privi IAL3/AAL3/FAL3 mus	rotect - MFA - Privileged Network Account performance - Privileged Network Accounts that use a PIV credential or other NIST 800-63 r3 AL3/AAL3/FAL3 must be equal to 100%.									
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020				
Target	N/A	100 %	100 %	100 %	100 %	N/A	N/A				
Result	N/A	Not Met - 7	Not Met - 82	Not Met - 96	Not Met - 96	N/A	N/A				
Endpoint Target	Achieve a Level of As	surance4 (LOA4) pe	rformance of 100% fo	r Privileaed Network A	Accounts by FY 2018 a	and maintain annually	/ thereafter.				
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	The goal of 100% MF Departmental goals a <b>Action Plan:</b> The NN achieve compliance. accordance with NIS	The goal of 100% MFA for privileged network accounts was not met largely due to delays in issuing Program Level guidance to a lign site plans with the Departmental goals and objectives. Action Plan: The NNSA Supplemental Directive was issued and sites have been provided with the necessary guidance to implement solutions to achieve compliance. In addition, the Department issued supplemental guidance in September 2018 for the implementation of credentials in accordance with NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-63-3. It is anticipated that this will increase compliance approaching the 100% goal.									
FY 2018 Note	This performance me	easure will be tracked	as part of the FY 2018	3-2019 Cross Agency	Priority (CAP) goal re	portina beginning in F	Y 2019.				
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Data is collected by u the number of privile a Federation Assurar privileged user accou some entities that con aligns with OCIO offic A completeness chec to report any significat their submission and within the workbook t	ising the DOE standa ged user accounts rec nee Level (FAL) crede unts requiring the use induct additional intern cial data to prevent an ck is conducted during ant changes that have to provide further exp to ensure there are no	rd data call process th quiring an Identity Ass ntial, collectively know of an xAL 3 credential hal data calls prior to s y inconsistencies. g the initial data call to occurred in comparis lanation for any discre- errors when calculati	arough eCPIC. This da urance Level (IAL) cre wn as an xAL 3 cred en lout of the total numbe submitting them in eCP report an y of the field on through a comparis epancies. In addition, s ng compliance.	ta call identifies the to dential, an Authentica ntial. The calculation for er of privileged user ac PIC. These entities hav s in eCPIC that are en son against the previo several functional equ	tal number privileged tion Assurance Level or this metric reflects t ccounts across the en vere-evaluated their of npty. A soundnessch ous data call. Entities a lations and data valida	I user accounts and (AAL) credential, and he percentage of hterprise. There are data call so that it neck is also conducted are contacted to verify ation checks are built				

Program	Departmental Administration									
Performance Goal (Measure)	Protect - MFA - Unprivileged Network Account performance - Unprivileged Network Accounts that use a PIV credential or other NIST 800-63 r3 IAL3/AAL3/FAL3 must be equal to 85%.									
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020			
Target	N/A	85 %	85 %	85 %	85 %	85 %	85 %			
Result	N/A	Not Met - 11	Not Met - 52	Not Met - 66	<b>Not Met</b> - 70	TBD	TBD			
Endpoint Target	Achieve an LOA4 pe	rformance of 85% for	Unprivileged Network	Accounts by FY 2018	and maintain annually	thereafter.				
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	The goal of 85% MFA the Departmental goa <b>Action Plan:</b> An NNS achieve compliance. accordance with NIS	The goal of 85% MFA for non-privileged network accounts was not met largely due to delays in issuing Program Level guidance to align site plans with the Departmental goals and objectives. <b>Action Plan:</b> An NNSA Supplemental Directive was issued and sites have been provided with the necessary guidance to implement solutions to achieve compliance. In addition, the Department issued supplemental guidance in September 2018 for the implementation of cred entials in accordance with NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-63-3. It is anticipated that this will increase compliance approaching the 85% goal.								
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Data is collected by u and the number of no user accounts requir There are some entit that it aligns with OC fields in eCPIC that a against previous data functional equations	using the DOE standa on-privileged user acc ing the use of an xAL ies that conduct addit IO official data to prev re empty. A sound ne a call. Entities are con and data validation ch	rd data call process th ounts requiring an xAL 3 credential out of the tional internal data call vent any inconsistenci ss check is also condu tacted to verify their su necks are built within th	rough eCPIC. This da 3 credential. The cal total number of non-r s prior to submitting th es. A completeness c ucted to report any sig ubmission and to provine workbook to ensur	ata call identifies the tota lculation for this metric r privileged user accounts nem in eCPIC. These en check is conducted durir gnific ant changes that hav vide further explanation e there are no errors wh	al number non-privi reflects the percenta s across the enterpri- ntities have re-evalu ng the initial data ca ave occurred throug for any disc repanci- en calculating com	leged user accounts age of non -privileged ise. lated their data call so Il to report any of the gh a comparison es. In addition, several pliance.			

Program	Departmental Admir	Departmental Administration									
Performance Goal (Measure)	Protect - Secure Configuration Management - Achieve performance of greater than or equal to 95% for Secure Configuration Management										
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020				
Target	N/A	≥ 95 %	≥ 95 %	≥95 %	≥ 95 %	N/A	N/A				
Result	N/A	Not Met - 91	Not Met - 77	<b>Met</b> - 99	Data Not Available	N/A	N/A				
Endpoint Target	Obtain performance	Obtain performance of at least 95% for Secure Configuration Management by FY 2018 and maintain annually thereafter.									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	DOE is no longer at metrics associated v	ble to provide results fo with the FY 2018-2019	r this measure due to o CAP goals are reporte	changes made to re ad quarterly as part	elated Cross Agency Prio of CAP goal reporting.	rity (CAP) goals in F	'Y 2018 Q2. All DOE				
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification											

Program	Departmental Admini	Departmental Administration									
Performance Goal (Measure)	Protect - Standards DOE to enable single	Protect - Standards Based Fed Access Mgmt Infrastructure - Implement Standards Based Federated Access Management Infrastructure across DOE to enable single sign-on									
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020				
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	50 %	95 %	≥ 95 %	≥ 95 %				
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	<b>Met</b> - 51	Not Met - 90	TBD	TBD				
Endpoint Target	Implement Standards Based Federated Access Management across 95% of DOE by FY 2018 and maintain annually thereafter.										
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	The goal of achieving chosen to implement federated identity ma access management <b>Action Plan:</b> The pla the enterprise tool su	g 95% for Standards E federated identity ma nagement using the e infrastructure. In for FY 2019 will foc ite.	Based Federated Acce nagement using their enterprise tool suite ha us on establishing a s	ess Management Infra r own local tools rather ave met the goal for fe standards based feder	astructure was not met r than the enterprise to derated identity manager rated access manager	due to a small number ol suite. Sites that hav gement infrastruct ure hent service for all site	r of sites that have /e implemented and federated is not currently using				
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	An earned value app established by the Or Program. There are cases, achieving ider each entity is validate Sprint to the identity s	roach is taken to capt neID Program Office a a small number of site ntity synchronization o ed by a combination o stored in OneID. Input	ure the present state and the third party inte es, however, that elec loes not imply implen f individual contacts of t for both FISMA and	of each entity accordi egrator that performs of to use local standard nentation of infrastruct within the DOE entities MFA is captured throu	ing to four stages of inte outside integrations fol ds based solutions to s cure to support federate s and a comparison of a ugh a data call issued c	egration. Progress to lowing communication ynchronize digital ide d access mana gemen accounts include d in l conducted on a month	wards completion is ns by the ICAM ntities. In these nt. The status of FISMA and the MFA nly basis.				

Program	Departmental Admin	Departmental Administration									
Performance Goal (Measure)	Protect - Vulnerabili weakness managem	Protect - Vulnerability Management - Achieve performance greater than or equal to 95% for the detection of hardware and software vuln erability and weakness management									
Fiscal Year	2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020										
Target	N/A	≥ 95 %	≥ 95 %	≥95 %	≥ 95 %	N/A	N/A				
Result	N/A	Not Met - 31	Not Met - 64	<b>Met</b> - 99	Data Not Available	N/A	N/A				
Endpoint Target	Obtain performance	Obtain performance of at least 95% for Vulnerability Management by FY 2018 and maintain annually thereafter.									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	DOE is no longer ab metrics associated w	DOE is no longer able to provide results for this measure due to changes made to related Cross Agency Priority (CAP) goals in FY 2018 Q2. All DOE metrics associated with the FY 2018-2019 CAP goals are reported quarterly as part of CAP goal reporting.									
Comment	The Vulnerability Ma organization's unclas products.	nagementperforman ssifiednetwork(s)ass	ce measure involves th essed for vulnerabilities	e detection of hard s using Security Co	ware and software vulnera ntent Automation Protoco	abilities and specifi I (SCAP) validated	cally addresses the and similar scanning				
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification											

# **Office of Management**

#### **Departmental Administration**

Program	Departmental Admini	istration							
Performance Goal (Measure)	Achieve Cost-Savings - Promote management and operational excellence by streamlining operations and reducing costs. Promote a corporate approach (including the National Laboratories) for moving from a transactional strategic sourcing approach to a more robust Category Management concept to achieve at least a 4% cost savings/avoidance target against actionable procurement spending on products and servic es through the increased utilization of Best-in-Class (BIC) vehicles								
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020		
Target	\$ 247 M Cost Savings	\$ 261 M Cost Savings	\$ 269.5 M Cost Savings	\$ 292.4 M Cost Savings	\$ 321 M Cost Savings	\$ 326 M Cost Savings	\$ 389 M Cost Savings		
Result	Met - 295.5	Met - 380.8	<b>Met</b> - 441.4	Exceeded - 473.6	Exceeded - 470.5	TBD	TBD		
Endpoint Target	Annually achieve 4%	cost savings target a	gainst actionable pro	curement spend on pro	oducts and services.	-			
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)									
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Data Source: The data contract. That data is the National Nuclear Chain Management C data.	ta is provided by two s stored in the Depart Security Administrati Center (SCMC). Thos	entities – Federal: The ment of Energy (DOE on (NNSA) and Envirc e not participating in t	e basic contract and th ) Strategic Integrated F nmental Management he SCMC (NNSA/EM))	e pricing for the suppl Procurement Enterpris t (EM), the savings are ), use contractor sites	lies or services associ se System (STRIPES). generated and repor specific software to ca	ated with that Contractors: Within ted by the Supply pture their spend		
	Result: The reporting process was formalized in October 2011 by Senior Procurement Executive (SPE) memorandum establishing a standard set of definitions and report format. The reporting template and definition was updated through Policy Flash (2014-16), which provided clarification on what is considered strategic sourcing savings as well as provide some examples.								
	Limitations: The key limitation is the lack of a true enterprise wide data system that all activities use. The SCMC uses an automated system that has real time aggregation of spend/commitment transactions, enterprise spend/commitment trends, and actual savings reporting based upon actual invoices and report generation. Those that do not participate in SCMC use a variety of systems that are less robust and more manual. Again, primarily a manual system is used to calculate savings.								
	Verification/Validatio vetted/reviewed by an	n: The SCMC conduc n Office of Inspector	ts a bi-annual audit of General (OIG) audit.	its savings. The savin	ngs reporting program	and template currently	y used has been		

Program	Departmental Administration										
Performance Goal (Measure)	Maintain certified ac	Maintain certified acquisition professionals - Maintain levels of certified acquisition professionals									
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020				
Target	> 90 %	85 %	85 %	85 %	85 %	85 %	85 %				
Result	<b>Met</b> - 93	<b>Met</b> - 85	<b>Met</b> - 99	Exceeded - 96	Exceeded - 97	TBD	TBD				
Endpoint Target	Achieve certification	evels of at least 90%	for acquisition profes	ssionals.							
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)											
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Data Source: The dat Energy's (DOE) Huma acquisition workforce information and regis Result: The percenta Contracting (FAC-C) Human Resource's d Limitations: The key I Verification/Validation time to reconcile the d the data, all data que	a is provided by two an Resource data pro- training and the syst ter for courses with th ge is calculated by di- derived from the FAIT ata collection. imitation is the FAITA h: As a result of the two data manually to ensu- ries are submitted sp	entities – Federal Acc ovided by DOE's Hum em of record for all fe ne Federal Acquisition viding the number of 0 FAS by the number of S and DOE HR syste to data source syster are the data is accura ecific to job series 11	quisition Institute's Trai an Capital Office. FAIT deral civilian acquisition n Institute (FAI). GS-1102s (contract sp f GS-1102s (contract s ems are not integrated ms not being integrated te. Any anomalies are 02 and therefore, the d	ning Application Syste TAS is the online regis on certification program ecialists) holding a Fe pecialists) count from requiring a "manual" re I, the Office of Manage reconciled before rep ata is free of systemat	em (FAITAS) and the E stration system for fed ns. FAITAS is used to deral Acquisition Cert DOE's Human Capita econciliation of the da ement (Acquisition Ma orting. In addition to tic error or bias.	Department of eral civilian maintain certification ification in I Office's official ta. nagement) takes the manual verification of				

Program	Departmental Admini	stration								
Performance Goal (Measure)	Reduce FOIA backlo	<b>9g</b> - Reduce Freedon	n of Information Act (F	OIA) backlog						
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020			
Target	< 10 %	10 %	10 %	10 %	3 %	3 %	3 %			
Result	Met - 22	<b>Met</b> - 17	Met - 17.86	Not Met - 24	Not Met - 74	TBD	TBD			
Endpoint Target	Continually reduce th	e FOIA backlog case	s bv 3% over the prio	r vear backlog						
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Backlog increased by which require more tir <b>Action Plan:</b> The FOIA Office is we backlog reduction.	acklog increased by 74% from 287 at the end of FY17 to 498 at the end of FY18. The goal was not met due to the increase in complexity of cases hich require more time spent to process and also the loss of personnel. Inction Plan: he FOIA Office is working towards hiring additional staff to facilitate processing of the complex cases as well as new requests received to ensure acklog reduction.								
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Data Source: The FO to over 140 federal ag Result: The results ar cases that are receive Limitations: The Depa results could be volur other agencies. Verification/Validatior information is current	IA cases are tracked gencies. e based on the previ ed in the next fiscal y artment receives case ninous or very sensi n: Cases are updated t and correct.	in the FOIAXpress da ous year backlog case ear. es that are complex ar tive. Various levels of on a periodic basis to	atabase created by AIN enumber. The goal wand that could require se review and concurren	NS Inc., Information Te as to decrease the bac earches for records of ice are also required, so ner information related	chnology company th klog by 3 percent. T multiple offices and i ome of which include to the case. We revi	hat provides products his includes all FOIA ndividuals. The coordination with ew cases to ensure			

Program	Departmental Adminis	Departmental Administration								
Performance Goal (Measure)	<b>Energy and Water Sustainability Performance</b> - In accordance with statutory and executive order requirements DOE will perform a sufficient number of building evaluations, such that, in a four-year period, at least 90% of owned buildings and/or square footage will be assessed for energy & water efficiency opportunities and incorporation of sustainability principles as required.									
Fiscal Year	2014	2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020								
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	90 %	90 %	90 %			
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	<b>Not Met</b> - 85	TBD	TBD			
Endpoint Target	Maintain 90%									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	The FY 2018 performa Action Plan: The DO is back on track for FY	The FY 2018 performance target was not met. Action Plan: The DOE Sustainability Performance Office is working with the DOE programs and sites to improve their performance and ensure DOE is back on track for FY 2019.								
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Documentation: The v collects high level sus Limitations: Limited in Verification and Valida document upload cap	Documentation: The web-based DOE Sustainability Dashboard (Dashboard) is a system owned and operated by the Department of Energy, which collects high level sustainability data on evaluations completed, level, and findings. Limitations: Limited insight into quality of audit. Verification and Validation: Perform data quality check, work with programs/sites on errors/missing info. Long term improvement plan includes document upload capability to track/verify audit documentation/quality.								

Program	Departmental Administration											
Performance Goal (Measure)	Functional Assessments - Maintain a level of assessment for DOE owned and "active" Buildings, Trailers and Structures excluding FERC, LM, NR and PMAs) based on replacement plant value and an assessment having occurred within five fiscal years.											
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020					
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	90 %	N/A	N/A					
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	99.1%	N/A	N/A					
Endpoint Target	Maintain 90%			-								
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)												
Comment	A real property asset is to have a functional assessment every five years. The calculation will be based on replacement plant value (RPV) due to the mixed category of real property assets. Calculation: RPV of Assessed / RPV of All.											
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Data Source: The Data year-end Snapshot. Result/Methodology: T and active buildings, ( Limitations: No knowr FIMS throughout the y following the end of th Verification/Validation FIMS annually or mor	a is provided by the The metric was calcu OSFs and Trailers ex n significant concerna year. However, year- re fiscal year. This a har: The data for this ele	Department's Real Pro lated based on replac ccluding assets owned s, however there will b end data is used wher lows for consistent, re ement is qualitative no	operty Database – the ement plant value due by FERC, LM, NR, ar e a lag time between o officially providing in epeatable reporting ar t quantitative. The Pro	e Facilities Information e to the various types on the PMAs. data gathered and data formation for external of provides the most co ogram offices and their	Management System of real property – Crite a entered. Sites are a use. This becomes av omplete information for sites perform review	n (FIMS) via fiscal, eria: all DOE owned llowed to update vailable mid -January or a given fiscal year. s of the information in					
Program	Departmental Admini	Departmental Administration										
---	--	---	-----	-----	-----------------	---------	--------	--	--	--	--	--
Performance Goal (Measure)	<b>Condition</b> - Increase "adequate" based on	<b>Condition</b> - Increase the percent of DOE owned and "active" buildings, trailers and structures (excluding FERC, LM, NR and PMAs) assessed as "adequate" based on replacement plant value (RPV) and a completed assessment										
Fiscal Year	2014         2015         2016         2017         2018         2019         2020											
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	58 %	58.25 %	58.5 %					
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	<b>Met</b> - 58	TBD	TBD					
Endpoint Target	Maintain 60%				-							
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)												
Comment	A 0.25% change equa	0.25% change equates to approximately \$350M in Replacement Plant Value.										
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification												

# **Office of Project Management**

Program	Departmental Administration										
Performance Goal (Measure)	<b>Project Management Success</b> - Complete 90% of the construction projects at the original scope and within 10% of cost baseline established at Critical Decision (CD)-2, approve performance baseline.										
Fiscal Year	2014         2015         2016         2017         2018         2019         2020										
Target	90 %	90 %	90 %	90 %	90 %	90 %	90 %				
Result	Not Met - 76	Not Met - 76         Not Met - 78         Met - 91         Not Met - 88         Met - 93         TBD         TBD									
Endpoint Target	On a three-year rollin of the cost as reflecte	On a three-year rolling basis, complete at least 90% of departmental construction projects within the original scope baseline and not to exceed 110% of the cost as reflected in the performance baseline established at Critical Decision 2.									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	This represents a new high-water mark for the Department. For this performance cycle, 93% of the construction projects were completed at the original scope and within 10% of the original cost baseline.										
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Managed by the Proje Documentation: Mair Reporting System (P/ Limitations: Data is n Methodology: The an fiscal years to determ parameter criteria of C Validation: Results an missed that could imp Verification: An asses	ect Controls Division w ntained in the Departm ARS). ot available until 45 d nalyst will query PARS ine project manageme CD-2, performance ba re shared with the pro pact a success rating. ssed rating is verified	vithin the Office of Pr lent's central reposi ays after the end of for any capital asse ent success. The an seline, and CD-4, pr ject's respective Pro- to ensure it is under	oject Management. tory for key departmenta each quarter throughou et project that achieved ( alyst will compare the d oject completion, appro gram Office to review th pinned by the appropria	al-level project informa It the FY. Critical Decision (CD) lelineated scope, cost oval memorandums to ne assessment prior to ate documentation in F	ation called the Proje -4, Project Completic t, schedule, and key p determine success. p publishing to ensur	ct Assessment and on, over the past three performance e data were not				

# Human Capital Management

Program	Departmental Administration										
Performance Goal (Measure)	Annual reductions i FY 2011, and further	.nnual reductions in the average time-to-hire - Annual reductions in the average time-to-hire from 174 days in FY 09 to 100 days or less by end of Y 2011, and further to an annual average of 80 days.									
Fiscal Year	2014         2015         2016         2017         2018         2019         2020										
Target	≤ 80 Calendar Days	≤ 80 calendar days	≤ 80 calendar days	≤ 80 calendar days	≤ 80 Calendar Days	≤ 80 Calendar Days	≤ 80 Days				
Result	<b>Met</b> - 80	Not Met - 98.7	Not Met - 106.5	Not Met - 119.3	Not Met - 128.7	TBD	TBD				
Endpoint Target	Maintain a DOE aver	age annual time-to-hi	re of 80 days or less fo	or all GS and GS-equi	ivalent positions.						
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	For FY 2018 there we (T2H). 5 are excluded the 326 reported new individual segment in Announcement prepa Action Plan: Quarter was extended to the centers (SSC) encon resources.	For FY 2018 there were 331 completed hires as of 09/30/2018, 326 reported new hires were used to calculate the FY 2018 average Time-To-Hire (T2H). 5 are excluded due to errors on the Entrance-On-Duty (EOD) date therefore excluded from the T2H average calculation. The average T2H for the 326 reported new hires was 128.7 days. According to the segmented data, the segments of the hiring process that did not meet the goal for the individual segment included Announcement Preparation, Application Evaluation, Candidate Selection, Job Offer, Job Acceptance, and Enter on Duty. Announcement preparation and Job Offer are the most significant over goal values. <b>Action Plan:</b> Quarterly and annual time to hire is increased due to the managed hiring process. In FY 2018, Secretarial/Deputy Secretarial approval was extended to the pathways program, exerting greater influence on the increased average T2H. Beginning in FY 2019, the two HR shared service centers (SSC) encompassing most of DOE headquarters and field locations were consolidated, which should increase efficiency and consolidate SSC resources.									
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	resources. Data Source: Hiring information in HR Workflow as depicted in the T2H dashboard in iManage. Data is collected at discrete intervals and the total time to hire for an individual is the actual number of days from Recruit Initiation to EOD. The T2H phases are as follows: Recruit Initiation, Job Classification/Recertification, Announcement Preparation, Vacancy Announcement, Application Evaluation, Candidate Selection, Job Offer, Job Acceptance, and Entrance on Duty. The DOE average T2H is a mathematical average that is calculated within the T2H dashboard. Limitations: Data source in some instances may be delayed, in which case is updated before the end of the year. HC implemented updates to the hiring management system in FY 2018 and is still analyzing the T2H data to ensure the system changes are properly aligned to the automated data collection tool used to track T2H data within iPortal. Verification and Validation: Data is collected via the HR Workflow system. The system is audited frequently. Personnel processing personnel actions are trained and unalified on the system										

Program	Departmental Admini	Departmental Administration										
Performance Goal (Measure)	Implement a framew	Implement a framework for performance-based culture - Percent of SES with compliant plans.										
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020					
Target	100 % ≥ 90 % ≥ 90 % ≥ 90 % N/A N/A											
Result	Not Met	Not Met         Met - 95         Met - 92.1         Met - 92         Met - 93.6         N/A         N/A										
Endpoint Target	Improve and continua accomplishment mea	mprove and continue to refine DOE performance management systems/processes so they clearly link work to mission goals, expected outcomes and accomplishment measures. Ensure meaningful distinctions between levels of performance are identified and rewarded.										
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Of the 422 personnel Narrative or later step	Of the 422 personnel in SES pay plans, 409 are required to have a plan in the system. Of the 409 required, 383 are at the Employee - Provide Final Narrative or later step for a 93.6% compliance rate. For FY 2018, performance improved 1.6% from FY 2017.										
Comment	An SES performance progress review, and year that the specific	An SES performance plan is compliant with DOE performance management policy if it is in place within 30-45 days of assignment, includes a mid-year progress review, and a final review completed within 30-days following the close of the fiscal year with a final rating issued by the end of the calendar year that the specific performance cycle closed. This measure is discontinued as of FY 2019.										
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	The sources of data a An SES performance mid-year progress re calendar year that the	are SES Performanc plan that is complia view, and a final revi specific performan	e Management Policy a nt with DOE performan ew completed within 30 ce cycle closed.	and ePerformance Re ce management polic D-days following the c	eports. cy must: be in place wi close of the fiscal year v	thin 30-45 days of as vith a final rating issu	signment, include a ed by the end of the					

Program	Departmental Admini	stration								
Performance Goal (Measure)	Retention of a high performing workforce - Increase the retention of a high performing workforce									
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020			
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	≤ 38 % of all attrition is made up of High Performing Employees	≤ 36 % of all attrition is made up of High Performing Employees			
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD	TBD			
Endpoint Target	High performing emp	-ligh performing employees (employees rated Exceeds or Significantly Exceeds) comprise 36% or less of all annual attritions by FY 2020.								
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)										
Comment	Baseline: High perfo attrition, based on attr	rming employees, em rition data from FY14	ployees rated Exceed – FY17.	s or Significantly Exco	eeds, (or equivaler	t)) account for 39.4% of a	II Departmental			
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	DOE recognizes that ensures we have the our attrition profile, w <u>Total Workforce Data</u> Exceeds). <u>Methodology:</u> High F average retirement de	<ul> <li>Baseline: High performing employees, employees rated Exceeds or Significantly Exceeds, (or equivalent)) account for 39.4% of all Departmental attrition, based on attrition data from FY14 – FY17.</li> <li>DOE recognizes that a world class workforce is critical to our success in meeting mission requirements and our ability to retain high performers ensures we have the talent needed to meet mission requirements both now and in the future. DOE will track retention of high performers by analyzing our attrition profile, where decreases in employee attrition equates to increases in employee retention.</li> <li><u>Total Workforce Data</u>: From FY15 – FY17, 51% of DOE's onboard workforce qualified as High Performers (employees rated Exceeds or Significantly Exceeds).</li> <li><u>Methodology:</u> High Performer Attrition includes all voluntary separations, including voluntary retirements occurring ahead of the Department's average retirement deferment period of 4 years, resignations and transfers.</li> </ul>								

# **Hearings and Appeals**

Program	Departmental Admini	epartmental Administration										
Performance Goal (Measure)	OHA Effectiveness	<b>HA Effectiveness Measure</b> - Improve the timeliness of security cases by reducing the number of cases over 120 days old.										
Fiscal Year	2014         2015         2016         2017         2018         2019         2020											
Target	4 cases	4 cases     4 cases     3 cases     3 cases     3 cases     3 cases										
Result	<b>Met</b> - 3	Met - 3         Met - 0         Met - 0         Met - 1         TBD         TBD										
Endpoint Target	Continuously assure	Continuously assure that there are no more than 3 security cases more than 120 days old at any time.										
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Analysis indicated that	at OHA had no more	than 3 open security c	learance case older th	han 120 days at any tir	ne during FY 2018.						
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	New case data and fin then enters the case of Files allows OHA mar each case using the c accessing pdf copies	nal closing of the cas date information (wh nagement to run repo late when the case is of case documents	w case data and final closing of the case (by issuance of a Decision or a Dismissal) is submitted to OHA's Docket section. OHA's Docket section on enters the case date information (when a case is opened and when a case is closed) into OHA's Legal Files case management software. Legal es allows OHA management to run reports which provide data on the age of all cases before OHA. The Legal Files software calculates the age of ch case using the date when the case is opened and the date when the case is closed. Verification of entry data is performed by management cessing of case documents stored in Legal Files.									

# Loan Programs

## Loan Program Office

Program	Loan Program Office	oan Program Office								
Performance Goal (Measure)	ATVM Battery Produ	uction Capacity - Bat	tery production capac	city of 100,000 lithium-	ion EV batteries (2,400	),000 kWh) establish	ed			
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020			
Target	≥ 100,000 Batteries	≥ 100,000 Batteries	≥ 100,000 Batteries	≥ 100,000 Batteries	≥ 100,000 Batteries	N/A	N/A			
Result	Met - 100,000	<b>Met</b> - 100,000	<b>Met</b> - 100,000	<b>Met</b> - 100,000	Met - 100,000	N/A	N/A			
Endpoint Target	Assist in the develop	ment of advanced bat	tery manufacturing ca	pacity to support elect	tric vehicles.					
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)										
Comment	This goal is ending ir result, the program w	n FY 2018. The borrow vill no longer monitor t	wer has repaid the dire	ect loan used to increa uts for battery producti	ase the production cap on capacity.	acity of lithium-ion E	√batteries. As a			
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	LPO results are base batteries. For each p production capacity of quarterly reports from performance and rep on-site visits allow Lf construction complet	d on monthly and qua roject, LPO Engineers of lithium-ion Electric \ n borrowers on their m orting. Additional mor PO Engineers the abili tion. There is no limita	arterly reports from bor s within its Technical F /ehicle batteries at the nanufacturing producti nitoring and validation ity to recognize perfor tion on the impact of a	Proyers on the manufa Project Management D time of construction of on capacity of lithium- is completed during p mance and reporting o ssessing the perform	acturing production cap ivision and Independe completion. From there ion Electric Vehicle ba eriodic on-site visits po deviations since the ini ance results.	Dacity of lithium-ion E ent Engineers test the ≥ LPO Engineers ana tteries to monitor and erformed by LPO Eng tial test performed at	lectric Vehicle manufacturing lyze monthly and l validate ineers. Reports and the time of			

Program	Loan Program Office										
Performance Goal (Measure)	ATVM Reduction in least in part) with fun	<b>TVM Reduction in Petroleum Usage</b> - Reduction in petroleum usage achieved through the use of advanced technology vehicles manufactured (at east in part) with funding provided through the ATVM loan program as compared to vehicles available in the base year.									
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020				
Target	250 Million Gallons	290 Million Gallons	290 Million Gallons	290 Million Gallons	≥ 270 Million Gallons	N/A	N/A				
Result	<b>Met</b> - 306	Met - 335.3	Not Met - 270	Not Met - 285	Exceeded - 280	N/A	N/A				
Endpoint Target	Annually assist in the funding provided three	nnually assist in the reduction in petroleum usage achieved through the use of advanced technology vehicles manufactured (at least in part) with unding provided through the ATVM loan program as compared to vehicles available in the base year.									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)											
Comment	This goal is ending in manufactured by For Ford will no longer b components that wer	This goal is ending in FY 2018. This performance metric has been measuring the incremental addition of gasoline saved each year from vehicles manufactured by Ford using manufacturing components that were financed by ATVM direct loans. As a result, in accordance to the loan agreement, Ford will no longer be obligated to submit performance reports to the ATVM program in FY 2019 because it will no longer utilize manufacturing components that were financed from ATVM direct loans.									
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	LPO results are base usage based on the based year. From the performance and rep on-site visits allow LI petroleum usage unt	d on annual reports f number of fuel econo ere LPO Engineers ar orting. Additional mor PO Engineers the abil il one year after fuel et	rom borrowers on the my vehicles produced halyze the annual repo hitoring and validation ity to recognize perfor fficient automobiles ar	reduction of petroleum and average petroleur rts from borrowers on is completed during pe mance and reporting a e on the road.	n usage. Borrowers cal n usage saved as com the reduction of petrol eriodic on-site visits pe nomalies. Borrowers	culate the annual rec apared to business as leum usage to monito erformed by LPO Eng will not know the actu	duction of petroleum s usual during the or and validate gineers. Reports and ual reduction in				

Program	Loan Program Office	oan Program Office									
Performance Goal (Measure)	ATVM Reduction in technologies funded	ATVM Reduction in Gasoline Usage - The annual reduction in gasoline usage achieved through the use of all vehicles on the road using advanced technologies funded through the ATVM loan program.									
Fiscal Year	2014	2014         2015         2016         2017         2018         2019         2020									
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.8 billion gallons	1.7 billion gallons				
Result	1.2 billion gallons	1.5 billion gallons	1.8 billion gallons	1.9 billion gallons	1.9 billion gallons	TBD	TBD				
Endpoint Target	An aggregate amoun	n aggregate amount of 9.1 billion gallons reduced from FY 2019 to FY 2026. This goal is ending in FY 2026.									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)											
Comment	The ATVM portfolio's reduction will be mod loan program from 20	The ATVM portfolio's annual gasoline reduction will be modeled using performance reports from the borrower. The ATVM portfolio's annual gasoline reduction will be modeled using performance reports from the borrower. The modeled data includes cars manufactured in conjunction with the ATVM to an program from 2009 to 2017, as the model year cars get older and begin coming off the road the gasoline reduction will decrease.									
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Historical trend data	is shown in the results	s field above to provid	e context, even where	no formal GPRA Targ	et was published for	that year.				

Program	Loan Program Office	.oan Program Office										
Performance Goal (Measure)	Generation Capacity	<b>Generation Capacity of Projects Receiving Loan Guarantees</b> - Increase annual generation capacity from projects receiving DOE loan guarantees that have achieved commercial operations. (Gigawatts, GW)										
Fiscal Year	2014	2014         2015         2016         2017         2018         2019         2020										
Target	≥ 3.8 GW	$\geq 3.8 \text{ GW}$ $\geq 4 \text{ GW}$										
Result	Not Met - 3.2	ot Met - 3.2         Not Met - 3.82         Met - 4         Met - 4         TBD         TBD										
Endpoint Target	Continue to meet and	continue to meet annual target until the loans are repaid.										
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Continue to meet and	nual target until the loar	ns are repaid.									
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	LPO results are base Project Management time of construction of projects to monitor a recognize performan impact of assessing	d on monthly reports fr Division and Independ completion. From there nd validate the electrici ce and reporting devia the performance results	om borrowers on the lent Engineers contra LPO Engineers ana ty generation capaci tions since the initial s.	e electricity generation acted by LPO test the lyze monthly reports fr ity performance and re test performed at the t	n capacity from their pr electricity generation rom borrowers on the porting. Monthly repo time of construction co	ojects. LPO Engineer capacity performance electricity generation rtsallow LPO Enginee ompletion. There is no	s within its Technical of each project at the capacity from their ers the ability to limitation on the					

Program	Loan Program Office	Loan Program Office										
Performance Goal (Measure)	CO2 Reductions Lo commercial operatio	CO2 Reductions Loans Guarantee - Estimated annual CO2 emissions reductions of projects receiving loan guarantees that have achieved commercial operations.										
Fiscal Year	2014	2014         2015         2016         2017         2018         2019         2020										
Target	≥ 5.000.000 mt	≥ 16.400.000 mt	≥ 21,200,000 mt	≥ 21.200.000 mt	≥ 21.200.000 mt	≥ 21.200.000 mt	≥ 31.000.000 mt					
Result	<b>Met</b> - 8,300,000	Met - 8,300,000         Not Met - 13,100,000         Not Met - 18,300,000         Met - 22,500,000         Exceeded - 27,000,000         TBD         TBD										
Endpoint Target	On an ongoing basis reductions compared	On an ongoing basis, projects receiving loan guarantees that have achieved commercial operations will have lower estimated annual CO2 emissions reductions compared to "business as usual energy generation.										
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	LPO will not get actua will have lower estima	LPO will not get actuals until November 15, 2018. On an ongoing basis, projects receiving loan guarantees that have achieved commercial operations will have lower estimated annual CO2 emissions reductions compared to "business as usual" energy gen eration.										
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	LPO results are base reported electricity g emissions from energ power industry gene Management Divisio assessing the perfor used to calculate the for the current year.	d on quarterly reports eneration by the CO2 gy consumption at cor ration. To validate the n test the electricity ge mance results. Howev CO2 avoidance conv	from borrowers on th avoidance conversati oventional power plan performance and perf eneration derived from rer, it is worth noting th rersation factor are act	e electricity generatio on factor. The CO2 av ts and combined heat formance reporting of horrowers' projects d nat the reported electri uals from the prior yea	n derived from their pr oidance conversation and power plants divi electricity generation uring annual on-site v icity generation from b ar because at the time	ojects. From the re LP factor is the EIA estim ded by EIA estimate of LPO Engineers within risits. There is no limit forrowers are re al time of reporting only estim	O multiplies the nate of annual CO2 f annual US electric its Technical Project ation on the impact of whereas, the data mates are available					

## **Environment, Health, Safety and Security**

Program	Departmental Admin	Pepartmental Administration									
Performance Goal (Measure)	Former Worker Sati worker medical scree	Former Worker Satisfaction - Obtain an average rating of no less than satisfactory on 90 percent of customer satisfaction surveys from former worker medical screening program participants who receive medical screenings.									
Fiscal Year	2014	2014         2015         2016         2017         2018         2019         2020									
Target	90 percent satisfactory rating on customer satisfaction surveys	90 percent satisfactory rating on customer satisfaction surveys	90 percent satisfactory rating on customer satisfaction surveys	90 percent satisfactory rating on customer satisfaction surveys	90 percent satisfactory rating on customer satisfaction surveys	90 percent satisfactory rating on customer satisfaction surveys	90 percent satisfactory rating on customer satisfaction surveys				
Result	<b>Met</b> - 97	Met - 97         Met - 98         Met - 98.3         Met - 99.1         TBD         TBD									
Endpoint Target	Achieve 90% satisfac	ctory rating on custom	ner satisfaction survey	sannually.		-					
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	The survey satisfacti implementation of the	on results demonstrat e medical screening p	e EHSS's and the Dep rogram.	artment's commitmen	t to its employees and	d former employees re	egarding the				
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	The Former Worker I are forwarded to EHS completed surveys.	he Former Worker Program cooperative agreement holders maintain a file of all completed surveys. The aggregated results of the customer surveys re forwarded to EHSS and are maintained in a results table. The rate of satisfaction is based on a satisfactory or higher rating on at least 90% of the ompleted surveys.									

# **Energy Information Administration**

### **Energy Information Administration**

Program	Energy Information A	nergy Information Administration										
Performance Goal (Measure)	Timeliness of EIA In	formation Products	- Percentage of selec	ted EIA recurring proc	ducts meet their releas	e date targets (all pro	duct types).					
Fiscal Year	2014	2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020										
Target	= 95 % of products released on schedule	≥ 95 % of products released on schedule	≥ 95 % of products released on schedule	≥ 95 % of products released on schedule	≥ 95 % of products released on schedule	≥ 95 % of products released on schedule	≥ 95 % of products released on schedule					
Result	<b>Met</b> - 96	Met - 96         Met - 95         Met - 97         Met - 96         Met - 97         TBD         TBD										
Endpoint Target	This is an ongoing ar	nnual performance me	asure, as timely delive	ery of energy informat	ion is central to EIA's	mission.						
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	As the nation's prem reliability promotes e the economy and the	ier source of energy ir fficient energy market e environment.	nformation, customers s while also contributi	rely on EIA for timely ng to sound policymał	delivery of independe king and public unders	nt, impartial statistics standing of energy and	and analyses. This lits interactions with					
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Internal tracking: for Quality Assurance Te	a core set of recurring eam within EIA's Offic	data and analytical p e of Energy Statistics	roducts, EIA develops verifies the calculatior	a release schedule and stores the file.	nd tracks the actual re	lease dates. The					

Program	Energy Information /	Energy Information Administration										
Performance Goal (Measure)	Quality of EIA Infor	Quality of EIA Information Products - Percentage of customers who are satisfied or very satisfied with the quality of EIA information.										
Fiscal Year	2014	2014         2015         2016         2017         2018         2019         2020										
Target	= 90 % customer satisfaction rating	≥ 90 % of customers satisfaction rating	≥ 90 % of customer satisfaction rating	≥ 90 % of customer satisfaction rating	≥ 90 % of customer satisfaction rating	≥ 90 % of customer satisfaction rating	≥ 90 % of customer satisfaction rating					
Result	<b>Met</b> - 95	<b>Met</b> - 90	<b>Met</b> - 93	<b>Met</b> - 91	<b>Met</b> - 91	TBD	TBD					
Endpoint Target	This is an ongoing a	nnual performance me	asure, as information	quality is central to El	A's mission.							
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	EIA actively solicits of importantly, whether Department's role in	external feedback to ga they meet customers' leading the National c	ain a better understan diverse and evolving conversation on energ	ding of who uses the a needs. Thisfeedback y.	agency's information spurs product innova	products, how they are tion, which in turn s up	eused, and most oports the					
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	EIA received OMB a stored in EIA's Office	pproval to conduct the e of Communications a	e survey. A summary o and Outreach Division	f the survey results is	published on EIA's In	tranet website, Inside	EIA, and the file is					

## **Southeastern Power Administration**

### **Southeastern Power Administration**

Program	Southeastern Power	outheastern Power Administration									
Performance Goal (Measure)	SEPA Repayment of the allowable unpaid	f Federal Power Inve investment (AUI) in a	estment - Repayment ccordance with DOE C	of Investment Perform Order RA 6120.2 and F	nance - Ensure unpaic Reclamation Law.	d investment (UI) is eq	ual to or less than				
Fiscal Year	2014	2014         2015         2016         2017         2018         2019         2020									
Target	≥ 100 percent	≤2.148 AUI	≤ 2,143 million dollars AUI	≤ 2,212 million dollars AUI	≤ 2,138 million dollars AUI	≤ 2,135 million dollars AUI	≤ 2,097 million dollars AUI				
Result	<b>Met</b> - 100	<b>Met</b> - 1.686	Met - 1,626	<b>Met</b> - 1,586	<b>Met</b> - 1,647	TBD	TBD				
Endpoint Target	Continue to meet legi projects/program.	ontinue to meet legislated cost recovery requirements for timely repayment of Federal investment in maintaining financial integrity of rojects/program.									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)											
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Documentation: Rate annually by project fro These studies identify (AUI). AUI is the amo repayment estimates Moreover, annual rep to a maximum of 50 y	s and Repayment Sta om the most recent fir y project investment of unt of investment for are developed in the ayment of Federal in rears.	tement of Project Rev nal power repayment s category totals for unp which repayment is no PRS, and are based o vestment in infrastruct	enues, Expenses, and tudy (PRS) develope aid Federal investmen of yet required based o n average hydrology ture/facilities isn't requ	d Repayment of Inves of by Rates/Power Mar it (UI) and the amount on the duration of the r that can vary greatly, i ired, but assumes rep	tment. Repayment sta keting Offices using a of allowable unpaid F repayment period. An mpacting both revent ayment within the ave	tistics are compiled udited financial data. ederal investment nual planned Je and expenses. rage service life up				

Program	Southeastern Power	Southeastern Power Administration									
Performance Goal (Measure)	SEPA System Relial NERC Control Perform	SEPA System Reliability Performance - NERC - Attain average North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) compliance ratings for NERC Control Performance Standard 1 (CPS1) of greater than or equal to 100 percent.									
Fiscal Year	2014	2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020									
Target	> 100 CPS1 rating with CPS2>90	100 CPS1 rating with CPS2>90> 100 CPS1 rating with CPS2>90> 100 CPS1 rating with CPS2>90 $\geq$ 100 CPS1 Rating $\geq$ 100 CPS1 rating 									
Result	Met - 193.2	Met - 193.2 Met - 187.7 Met - 200.51 Met - 266.3 Met - 225.83 TBD TBD TBD									
Endpoint Target	Ensure the reliability	Ensure the reliability of the electrical grid by attaining a NERC CPS 1 rating of equal to or greater than 100 percent each year.									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)											
Comment	CPS1 measures gen	eration/load balance	on one-minute interva	ls.							
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Documentation: NER Control Performance always within its sch performance, and mu	C Control Performan Standard (CPS) estal eduled value. CPS1 d ust be met 100 percen	ce Standards Summar blishes the statistical l efines the permissible it of the time.	y (Operations Center ooundaries for ACE (a distribution of all ACE	). The North American rea control error) valu values in an intercor	Electric Reliability C c les, ensuring the syste nection, based on the	rporation's (NERC) em frequency is expected frequency				

Program	Southeastern Power	Administration										
Performance Goal (Measure)	SEPA Operating Cost per kilowatt-hou	SEPA Operating Cost - Annual Operating Cost Performance: Provide power at the lowest possible cost by keeping total operation and maintenance cost per kilowatt-hour generated at or below the National median for public power for 100+ customers.										
Fiscal Year	2014	2014         2015         2016         2017         2018         2019         2020										
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	≤ 0.068 /\$ KWh	≤ 0.056 /\$KWh					
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD	TBD					
Endpoint Target	Control annual Opera	ations and Mainten ar	ce costs, thereby prov	viding power at the low	vest possible cost.							
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)												
Comment	Due to the seasonal r Maintenance (O&M) e generation data is co reporting cycle is dete Association (APPA). S from both a survey in	Due to the seasonal nature of hydropower generation throughout the fiscal year, a rolling 1-year total will be calculated for both Operating & Maintenance (O&M) expense information as well as Net Generation. O&M data is obtained through the financial management system, while generation data is compiled from the power operations reports of each contributing generating agency. The annual target for each performance reporting cycle is determined by referencing the latest annual report on financial and operating ratios as published by the American Public Power Association (APPA). Specifically, SEPA will refer to the "Median Values by Customer Size Class" table. The APPA compiles benchmark information from both a survey instrument and data residing with the Energy Information Administration.										
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification												

## **Southwestern Power Administration**

### **Southwestern Power Administration**

Program	Southwestern Power	Administration									
Performance Goal (Measure)	SWPA Repayment of Investment Performance - Ensure unpaid investment (UI) is equal to or less than the allowable unpaid investment (AUI) in accordance with DOE Order RA 6120.2 and Reclamation Law.										
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020				
Target	≤ 1,477 million in AUI	≤ 1,387 million in AUI	≤ 1,460 million in AUI	≤ 1,536 million in AUI	≤ 1,590 million in AUI	≤ 1,789 million in AUI	≤ 1,708 million in AUI				
Result	<b>Met</b> - 442	<b>Met</b> - 466	<b>Met</b> - 504	<b>Met</b> - 551	<b>Met</b> – 314.1	TBD	TBD				
Endpoint Target	Continue to meet leg projects/program.	ontinue to meet legislated cost recovery requirements for timely repayment of Federal investment in maintaining financial integrity of rojects/program.									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Final results will not b	inal results will not be known until the FY 2018 financial statements are finalized.									
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Values for Target (all Rates from the most ( • Target - AUI of all annual investme operation and the ap • Result - UI is total of all remaining • Actual inves statements, through ( • The estimated The estimated future replacements. These • Finalized ac • Estimated fu • Verification provided by the vario	owable unpaid invest recent Power Repayn is the sum of the Allo ents allowed to remain plicable repayment per s the sum of the Balar investment to be repa tment data is obtained the Southwestern Fed ed future investment data for the estimates are provide tual investment data i inture investment data in ture investment data inture investment data inture investment data and validation occurs us Southwestern and	ment) and Result (esti- nent Studies (PRSs) for wable Balance in each nunpaid as of the end priod (up to 50 years). Ince to Be Repaid for e id as of the end of the d from South western's eral Power System (S lata for South western e Corps is obtained fi led to South western's s available only after t is dependent upon th throughout the FY fina Corps sources during	mated/actual unpaid in or each of our 3 rate sy h rate system PRS for of the FY; each invest ach rate system PRS FY. financial statements WFPS) combined fina investments is obtain rom the Corps' 5-year Division of Resources he SWFPS combined e accuracy of estimate ancial audit of the SWI g the annual PRS proc	nvestment) provided a ystems. r the indicated FY. Th stment's allowable unp for the indicated FY. and the U.S. Army Co ancial statement audit ed from Southwestern capital projects plans s and Rates as part of financial statement au es provided by the vari FPS combined financ ess is cross-checked	e PRS Allowable Bala and period is based or The PRS Balance to B rps of Engineers' (Co process. I's budget and capital and master list of maj the annual PRS procu dit process is complet ious Southwestern and ial statements, as the f with financial statements	n of Resources and nce is the sum total when it is placed in Be Repaid is the sum rps) financial replacements plans; or equipment ess. ete. d Corps sources. inan cial data nts.				

Program	Southwestern Power	Southwestern Power Administration									
Performance Goal (Measure)	SWPA System Relia NERC Control Perfor	SWPA System Reliability Performance - NERC - Attain average North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) compliance ratings for NERC Control Performance Standard 1 (CPS1) of greater than or equal to 100 percent.									
Fiscal Year	2014	2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020									
Target	> 100 CPS1 rating and CPS2>90	100 CPS1 rating and CPS2>90CPS1>100 and CPS2>90CPS1>100 and CPS2>90≥ 100 CPS1 Rating ≥ 100 CPS1 Rating ≥ 100 CPS1 Rating≥ 100 CPS1 Rating ≥ 100 CPS1 Rating ≥ 100 CPS1 Rating									
Result	<b>Met</b> - 187.97	Met - 187.97 Met - 214.3 Met - 220.25 Met - 195.44 Met - 207.3 TBD TBD									
Endpoint Target	Ensure the reliability	of the electrical grid b	v attaining a NERC C	PS1 rating of equal to	or greater than 100 p	ercenteach year.					
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)											
Comment	CPS1 measures gen	eration/load balance	on one-minute interva	lls.							
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Data provided by the This information is tra month average. A ba continuously tracked (NERC) Control Perfor scheduled value. CP Documentation: NEF	Division of Schedulir acked through Southv alancing authority's (B in each BA's supervis ormance Standard (C VS1 defines the permis RC Control Performan	ng and Operations for vestern's Supervisory A) ability to balance s sory control and data a PS) establishes the st ssible distribution of a to c Report submitted b	quarterly updates. CP Control and Data Acqu upply and demand is acquisition (SCADA) sy atistical boundaries fo II ACE values in an int by each SWPA Balanc	S1 measures generati uisition System (SCAD measured by its area o ystem. The North Am or ACE values, ensurin terconnection, based o sing Authority.	on/load balances at o DA). It is a 10 minute o control error (ACE), a erican Electric Reliabi ng the system frequence on the expected freque	ne minute intervals. clock on a rolling 12 real-time value that is ility Corporation's cy is always within its ency performance.				

Program	Southwestern Power	outhwestern Power Administration									
Performance Goal (Measure)	SWPA System Relia more than 3 annually	SWPA System Reliability Performance - Outages - Effectively operate the transmission system to limit the number of accountable outages to no more than 3 annually.									
Fiscal Year	2014	2014         2015         2016         2017         2018         2019         2020									
Target	≤ 3 accountable outages	accountable outages< 3 accountable outages< 3 accountable outages< 3 accountable outages< 3 accountable outagesN/AN/A									
Result	<b>Met</b> - 0	Met - 0         Met - 3         Met - 2         Met - 3         Met - 2         N/A         N/A									
Endpoint Target	South western provid	les reliable service to c	customers each year, t	hereby maintaining p	ower system reliability						
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)											
Comment	SWPA will be measu	ring this number of ou	tages internally startir	ng in FY 2019. As su	ich, no targets have be	en established beyo	nd FY 2018.				
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Data has been provid provided by Southwe The unavoidable out	ded by Southwestern's estern's dispatchers. A ages analysis may lea	s Dep uty Administrato All outages are reviewe d to additional training	r Office of Power Deliv ed by the Senior Mana g requirements and it i	very. The outages are t agement to determine is passed along to pert	racked manually via a cause analysis to cor inent parities.	an elog recorded and rect future issues.				

Program	Southwestern Power	Administration										
Performance Goal (Measure)	SWPA Operating Co cost per kilowatt-hour	SWPA Operating Cost - Annual Operating Cost Performance: Provide power at the lowest possible cost by keeping total operation and maintenance cost per kilowatt-hour generated at or below the National median for public power for 100+ customers.										
Fiscal Year	2014	2014         2015         2016         2017         2018         2019         2020										
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	≤ 0.068 /\$ KWh	≤0.056 /\$ kWh					
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD	TBD					
Endpoint Target	Control annual Opera	tions and Mainten an	ce costs, thereby prov	viding power at the low	vest possible cost.							
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)												
Comment	Due to the seasonal m Maintenance (O&M) e generation data is cor reporting cycle is dete Association (APPA). S from both a survey ins	Due to the seasonal nature of hydropower generation throughout the fiscal year, a rolling 1-year total will be calculated for both Operating & Vaintenance (O&M) expense information as well as Net Generation. O&M data is obtained through the financial management system, while generation data is compiled from the power operations reports of each contributing generating agency. The annual target for each performance reporting cycle is determined by referencing the latest annual report on financial and operating ratios as published by the American Public Power Association (APPA). Specifically, SWPA will refer to the "Median Values by Customer Size Class" table. The APPA compiles benchmark information from both a survey instrument and data residing with the Energy Information Administration										
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification												

## Western Area Power Administration

### Western Area Power Administration

Program	Western Area Power	Administration									
Performance Goal (Measure)	WAPA - Repayment accordance with DOB	IAPA - Repayment of Investment Performance - Ensure unpaid investment (UI) is equal to or less than the allowable unpaid investment (AUI) in coordance with DOE Order RA 6120.2 and Reclamation Law.									
Fiscal Year	2014	2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020									
Target	≤ 8.667 billion dollars UI≤ 8.632 billion dollars AUI≤ 8.025 billion dollars AUI≤ 7.996 billion 										
Result	<b>Met</b> - 5.476	Met - 5.476         Met - 5.214         Met - 5.318         Met - 5.263         Met - 5.145         TBD         TBD									
Endpoint Target	Continue to meet legi projects/program.	Continue to meet legislated cost recovery requirements for timely repayment of Federal investment in maintaining financial integrity of projects/program.									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Met (Green): Collecti	Vet (Green): Collective repayment for Western projects through the 4th quarter of FY 2018 indicate UI is on target to be equal or less than AUI.									
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Repayment statistics Offices using audited results are considere of allowable unpaid F repayment period. If behind schedule. As 20 of a 20-year inves estimates are develo annual repayment of maximum of 50 vears	Repayment statistics are compiled annually by project from the most recent final power repayment study (PRS) developed by Rates/Power Marketing Offices using audited financial data. There is typically a lag in the final statistics becoming available for performance reporting and as such, these results are considered preliminary until then. The studies identify project investment category totals for unpaid Federal investment (UI) and the amount of allowable unpaid Federal investment (AUI). AUI is the amount of investment for which repayment is not yet required based on the duration of the repayment period. If at any point, the unpaid levels exceed those allowed in accordance with the principles established in RA6120.2, repayment is behind schedule. As to the application of principal in the PRS, generally repayment is applied to the highest interest rate first. However, e.g. if in year 20 of a 20-year investment, AUI is zero, a "required payment" must be made regardless of the interest rate. Note: Annual planned repayment estimates are developed in the PRS, and are based on average hydrology that can vary greatly, impacting both revenue and expenses. Moreover, annual repayment of Federal investment in infrastructure/facilities isn't required, but assumes repayment within the average service life up to a									

Program	Western Area Power	Vestern Area Power Administration									
Performance Goal (Measure)	WAPA - System Rel for NERC Control Pe	NAPA - System Reliability Performance - NERC Rating - Attain average North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) compliance ratings or NERC Control Performance Standard 1 (CPS1) of greater than or equal to 100 percent.									
Fiscal Year	2014	2014         2015         2016         2017         2018         2019         2020									
Target	> 100 CPS1 rating with CPS2>90	00 CPS1 rating with CPS2>90         CPS1>100; CPS2>90         > 100 CPS1 rating with CPS2>90         ≥ 100 CPS1 Rating         ≥ 100 CPS1 Rating         ≥ 100 CPS1 Rating         ≥ 100 CPS1 Rating         ≥ 100 CPS1 Rating									
Result	<b>Met</b> - 171.78	tt - 171.78 Met - 162.18 Met - 142.52 Met - 154.44 Met - 156.68 TBD TBD TBD									
Endpoint Target	Ensure the reliability	Ensure the reliability of the electrical grid by attaining a NERC CPS1 rating of equal to or greater than 100 percent each year.									
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Met (green): WAPA's	control area achieve	d a "Pass" rating for C	PS1 FY 2018 with an	annual average CPS1	of 156.68.					
Comment	CPS1 measures gen	eration/load balance	on one-minute interva	ls.							
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	A balancing authority tracked in each BA's Performance Standar value. CPS1 defines Documentation: NEF	r's (BA) ability to balan supervisory control a rd (CPS) establishes t the permissible distr &C Control Performan	nce supply and deman nd data acquisition (Si the statistical boundar ibution of all ACE value nce Report submitted b	nd is measured by its a CADA) system. The N ries for ACE values, er es in an interconnection y each WAPA Balanc	area control error (ACI lorth American Electri isuring the system fre- on, based on the expe ing Authority.	E), a real-time value th c Reliability Corporati quency is always with cted frequency perfor	at is continuously on's (NERC) Control in its scheduled mance.				

Program	Western Area Power	Administration										
Performance Goal (Measure)	WAPA Operating Co cost per kilowatt-hour	WAPA Operating Cost - Annual Operating Cost Performance: Provide power at the lowest possible cost by keeping total operation and maintenance cost per kilowatt-hour generated at or below the National median for public power for 100+ customers.										
Fiscal Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020					
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	≤0.068 \$/KWh	≤0.056 \$/kWh					
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD	TBD					
Endpoint Target	Control annual Opera	Control annual Operations and Maintenance costs, thereby providing power at the lowest possible cost.										
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)												
Comment	Due to the seasonal n Maintenance (O&M) e generation data is cor reporting cycle is dete Association (APPA). S from both a survey ins	Due to the seasonal nature of hydropower generation throughout the fiscal year, a rolling 1-year total will be calculated for both Operating & Maintenance (O&M) expense information as well as Net Generation. O&M data is obtained through the financial management system, while generation data is compiled from the power operations reports of each contributing generating agency. The annual target for each performance reporting cycle is determined by referencing the latest annual report on financial and operating ratios as published by the American Public Power Association (APPA). Specifically, WAPA will refer to the "Median Values by Customer Size Class" table. The APPA compiles benchmark information from both a survey instrument and data residing with the Energy Information Administration										
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification												

## **Bonneville Power Administration**

### **Bonneville Power Administration**

Program	Bonneville Power Administration										
Performance Goal (Measure)	BPA Repayment of Federal Power Investment to Keep Costs Low - Meet planned annual repayment of principal on Federal power investments to help keep costs low consistent with sound business principles.										
Fiscal Year	2014         2015         2016         2017         2018         2019         2020										
Target	≥ 100 percent	≥ 100 percent									
Result	<b>Met</b> - 100	<b>Met</b> - 100	<b>Met</b> - 100	<b>Met</b> - 100	<b>Met</b> - 100	TBD	TBD				
Endpoint Target	Continue to meet pla	nned annual repayme	entofprincipal								
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	BPA made a total annual payment of \$862 million of which \$569 million was principal amortization. BPA met this performance target for the 35th straight year, demonstrating Bonneville's ongoing commitment to meeting its obligations to U.S. taxpayers.										
Comment	As a capital-intensive business, with constant requirements to maintain extensive generation and transmission system assets across the region, meeting BPA's planned federal annual repayment is vital to maintaining a high credit rating which enables access to lower cost non-federal capital to make needed system investments.										
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Documented in the Q and validate the quar managers and vice p	Documented in the Quarterly Findings Memo (from BPA Chief Operating Officer to BPA Administrator). This memo is used to describe, document, and validate the quarterly results before they are declared final through a process of checks and review, first by subject matter experts, then by managers and vice presidents, and then by senior executives.									

Program	Bonneville Power Administration										
Performance Goal (Measure)	BPA System Reliability Performance - NERC Rating - Attain average North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) compliance ratings for NERC Control Performance Standard 1 (CPS1) of greater than or equal to 100 percent.										
Fiscal Year	2014         2015         2016         2017         2018         2019         2020										
Target	≥ 100 CPS1 rating	≥ 100 percent	≥ 100 percent	≥ 100 percent	≥ 100 percent	≥ 100 percent	≥ 100 percent				
Result	Met - 130.39	Met - 139.91	<b>Met -</b> 143.8	<b>Met</b> - 151.3	<b>Met</b> - 163.1	TBD	TBD				
Endpoint Target	Continually ensure th	e reliability of the elec	trical grid by attaining	a NERC CPS1 rating	of equal to or greater	than 100 percent eac	h vear.				
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	BPA achieved the CPS1 standard with a result of 163.1% against a target of no less than 100%. Meeting this target demonstrates BPA's ongoing commitment and ability to provide reliable transmission for the region.										
Comment	CPS1 measures gen	eration/load balance	on one-minute interva	ls.							
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Documented in the Q validate the quarterly and vice presidents,	uarterly Findings Mer results before they ar and then by senior ex	no (from BPA Chief O e declared final throu ecutives.	perating Officer to BP, gh a process of check	A Administrator). This ks and review, first by s	memo is used to deso ubject matter experts	cribe, document, and , then by managers				

Program	Bonneville Power Administration										
Performance Goal (Measure)	<b>BPA Hydropower G</b> Federal hydro-syster machine capacity ava	<b>3PA Hydropower Generation Efficiency Performance</b> - Achieve 97.5% Heavy-Load-Hour Availability (HLHA) through efficient performance of <sup>-</sup> ederal hydro-system processes and assets, including joint efforts of BPA, Army Corps of Engineers, and Bureau of Reclamation. HLHA is actual nachine capacity available during heavy-load hours (0700-2200 Monday-Saturday), divided by planned available capacity during heavy-load hours.									
Fiscal Year	2014	2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020									
Target	≥ 97.5 percent	≥97.5 percent	≥ 97.5 percent	≥97.5 percent	≥ 97.5 percent	≥97.5 percent	≥97.5 percent				
Result	<b>Met</b> - 100.7	<b>Met</b> - 100.6	Met - 102.1	<b>Met</b> - 99.9	<b>Met</b> - 100.5	TBD	TBD				
Endpoint Target	Maintain at least 97.5	% Heavy-Load-Hour	Availability								
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	Bonneville and its Fe combined 31 hydro-e	Bonneville and its Federal Columbia River Power System (FCRPS) partners, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the Bureau of Reclamation with a combined 31 hydro-electric dams, met this operational goal for the hydropower system with a result of 100.5%.									
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	Documented in the Q and validate the quar managers and vice p	Documented in the Quarterly Findings Memo (from BPA Chief Operating Officer to BPA Administrator). This memo is used to describe, document, and validate the quarterly results before they are declared final through a process of checks and review, first by subject matter experts, then by managers and vice presidents, and then by senior executives.									

# Indian Energy Policy and Programs

## Indian Energy

Program	Indian Energy											
Performance Goal (Measure)	Generation Capacity - Increase total installed generation capacity from projects receiving Indian energy deployment grants (cumulative beginning in FY 2019, Megawatts, MW)											
Fiscal Year	2014	2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020										
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	4.4 MW	11 MW					
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD	TBD					
Endpoint Target	Installation of 100 MW	/ cumulative of new	generation capacity in	Indian Country by 203	30.							
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)												
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification												

Program	Indian Energy											
Performance Goal (Measure)	Savings - Increase energy cost savings to tribal communities co-funded by the Office of Indian Energy over the life of the installed generation system or efficiency measures (cumulative beginning in FY 2019, \$M)											
Fiscal Year	2014	2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020										
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$ 100 million	\$ 250 million					
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD	TBD					
Endpoint Target	Cumulative energy co	ost savings to funded	tribal communities ov	ver the life of the install	ed generation syste	ms of more than \$2 billi	on doll ars by 2030.					
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)												
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification												

# Office of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization

### Office of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization

Program	Office of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization										
Performance Goal (Measure)	Prime contracting awards - Advocate for small business set-asides and track the agency prime contracting awards to small businesses with the goal of ensuring DOE meets or exceeds the Small Business Administration's (SBA) determined percentage of DOE projected Federal Spend for primes.										
Fiscal Year	2014         2015         2016         2017         2018         2019         2020										
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	10.2 %	10.2 %	11.65 %	TBD				
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	Met - 12.02 %	Met - 13.76 %	TBD	TBD				
Endpoint Target	Meet or exceed SBA's	determined percen	tage of DOE project	ed Federal spend for pri	ime SB contracts (i nclu	usive of first-tier M&O	subcontracts).				
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	OSDBU depends on the Small Business Administration (SBA) for the final goal achievement numbers (for the previous FY, i.e. FY18). Historically and to date, there is significant lag time in getting this data due to end of year contracting closeout and reporting system lag. We traditionally get this information from SBA sometime in spring and there is no set date, therefore the FY18 results may not be final.										
Comment	DOE OSDBU does not unilaterally set the agency's goals. Goals are determined by the DOE OSDBU internally collaborating with program elements and externally with the SBA. Small business utilization goals for a given fiscal year are typically available by the end of November (of that fiscal year).										
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	The data systems are	the Federal Procure	ment Data System (	FPDS) and Managemer	nt and Operating Subc	ontracting Reporting	Capabil ity (MOSRC).				

Program	Office of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization										
Performance Goal (Measure)	Subcontracting awards - Advocate for small business subcontracting and track the subcontracting awards with the goal of ensuring DOE meets or exceeds the Small Business Administration's (SBA) determined percentage of DOE projected Federal Spend for subcontracting.										
Fiscal Year	2014         2015         2016         2017         2018         2019         2020										
Target	N/A	N/A	N/A	40 %	42 %	45 %	N/A				
Result	N/A	N/A	N/A	Met - 43.3%	Not Met - 24.57 %	TBD	N/A				
Endpoint Target	Meet or exceed SBA's	s determined percent	tage of DOE projected	d Federal spend for pr	rime SB subcontracts (	not including first-tier	M&O subcontracts).				
Commentary on 2018 Results (Action Plan if Not Met)	OSDBU depends on the Small Business Administration (SBA) for the final goal achievement numbers (for the previous FY, i.e. FY18). Historically and to date, there is significant lag time in getting this data due to end of year contracting closeout and reporting system lag. We traditionally get this information from SBA sometime in spring and there is no set date, therefore the FY18 results may not be final.										
Comment	DOE OSDBU does not unilaterally set the agency's goals. Goals are determined by the DOE OSDBU internally collaborating with program elements and externally with the SBA. Small business utilization goals for a given fiscal year are typically available by the end of November (of that fiscal year).										
Documentation, Limitations, Methodology, Validation, and Verification	The data systems is o	called the Electronic S	Subcontracting Repo	rting System (ESRS). I	ESRS is a national sys	tem used by all Feder	al agencies.				

# **APPENDIX 1: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

## **Strategic Review Summary of Progress**

The following table provides a summary of DOE's progress, as of the end of FY 2018, toward meeting its Strategic Objectives and indicates the objectives that were designated as areas of Noteworthy Progress or in need of focused improvement.

**Strategic Goal 1: Promote American Energy Dominance** - Pursue energy innovation to achieve American energy dominance through the production and use of affordable and reliable energy from a variety of resources, which will drive economic growth, job creation, and energy security; ensure responsible environmental stewardship; and improve Americans' quality of life.

**Strategic Objective 1:** Develop Energy Technologies that Increase the Affordability of Domestic Energy Resources

#### Key Accomplishments:

Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy

- Vehicles: Reduced modeled electric vehicle battery pack cost to \$197/kWh.
- Vehicles: Developed and validated lithium ion battery technology that requires only 200g/kWh of cobalt (40% reduction since 2012).
- Fuel Cells: Reduced modeled hydrogen fueling station capital cost by up to 40% through the development of innovative pressure consolidation approaches to fueling station operation that reduce the cost of hydrogen dispensing.
- Bioenergy: Decreased the modeled fuel selling price (\$/gge) for the catalytic fast pyrolysis pathway from 4.34 in FY 2017 to 3.46.
- Manufacturing: 2.5% reduction in energy intensity among Better Plants partners. These partners represent 15% of the total U.S. Manufacturing footprint in diverse industries.
- Buildings: Increased the power conversion efficiency (as measured in a laboratory prototype) to 16% for amber light, a key step towards achieving 350 lm/W for mixed monochromatic white light.
- Federal Energy Management Program (FEMP): \$960 million federal investment in facilities energy conservation measures government-wide and over 40,000 hours of workforce development training facilitated by FEMP.
- Solar: Reduced the modeled cost of utility-scale photovoltaic energy (cents/kWh) to 5.2 (from 6 in FY 2017), reaching the Solar Office's 2020 goal three years early.
- Wind: Reduced the modeled levelized cost of energy from offshore wind (cents/kWh) to 11.9 (from 17.2 in FY 2017) and onshore wind to 4.8 (from 5.2 in FY 2017).
- Water: Reduced the modeled levelized cost of energy (cents/kWh) from Dams to 9.6 (from 9.7 in FY 2017), from Marine and Hydrokinetic (MHK) energy to 64 (from 66 in FY 2017), and from Streams to 11.4 (from 11.5 in FY 2017).
- Geothermal: Reduced the modeled levelized cost of energy (cents/kWh) for Enhanced Geothermal Systems to 21.75 (from 22 in FY 2017) using a new Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory Step-Rate Injection Method for Fracture In-Situ Properties (SIMFIP) tool, which uses stress measurements to improve stimulation.

Fossil Energy Research and Development

• The Petra Nova project, which received financial and project management support from DOE, is showing how carbon capture technologies, when coupled with enhanced oil recovery, can support the long-term viability of coal-fueled power plants. As of September 2018, Petra Nova has captured and sent for storage 2,020,610 short (US) tons of carbon dioxide, and West Ranch Oil Field has produced 2,156,442 barrels of oil through enhanced oil recovery (cumulative amounts since the beginning of operations in January 2017). Multiple projects were selected for award to develop sensors and controls technologies that will enable existing coal-fueled power plants to achieve higher efficiency, improved availability, increased reliability, lower electricity costs, and more responsive load cycling.

Strategic Objective 2: Reduce Regulatory Burdens on Domestic Energy Resources (Noteworthy Progress)

Key Accomplishments:

Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy

- Released a Funding Opportunity for MHK technologies research that included a \$1.6M topic area specifically targeted at reducing regulatory barriers by increasing access to newly developed scientific information on potential environmental impacts for state and federal regulators.
- Published a Request for Information (RFI) seeking public input on potential modifications to the Process Rule and sought further input through a public meeting. DOE received significant feedback on its RFI and in the public meeting, which informed the Notice of Proposed Rule Making published in the Federal Register on 12/18/2018. At present, this NPRM is currently out for comment.
- Released the second U.S. Hydropower Market report (first released in 2015), which contained new high-level information on the time, costs, and uncertainty associated with hydropower and pumped-storage licensing processes.

Fossil Energy Research and Development

- Initiated NETL research on material properties to determine the performance limits of alloys for natural gas pipelines and fuel transport.
- Announced a final rule, which took effect August 24, 2018, to enable DOE to give faster approvals to applications requesting small-scale exports to non-Free Trade Agreement countries.
   Office of Electricity.
- Office of Electricity
- Provided state utility regulators technical assistance and training needed to oversee modernization of the grid and approve utility cost recovery for prudent grid modernization investments.
- Provided state energy offices technical assistance and training to carry out state policies and programs related to grid infrastructure and improving engagement in Public Utility Commission regulatory proceedings in furtherance of state energy goals.
- DOE is on-track to update the Presidential permit process to require early pre-application coordination procedures by January 1, 2019.

#### Strategic Objective 3: Revitalize U.S. Nuclear Energy Sector

#### Key Accomplishments:

Nuclear Energy

- Inserted the first samples of accident tolerant fuel cladding in a commercial U.S. reactor in February 2018. Global Nuclear Fuels' iron-chrome-aluminum cladding was inserted in Southern Nuclear's Plant Hatch. The cladding was developed in a private-public partnership with the Department.
- The Advanced Test Reactor at Idaho National Laboratory began its latest irradiation cycle in June 2018 with a new test train that holds 26 accident tolerant fuel samples. The start of this long-term experiment is the culmination of three years of planning, design, engineering, and fabrication between the lab and the three industry teams that are developing accident tolerant fuel concepts.

• The Versatile Test Reactor (VATR) Research and Development Plan was completed in December 2017 and the Requirements document was completed in March 2018.

#### Strategic Objective 4: Improve Electric Grid Reliability and Resilience

#### Key Accomplishments:

Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy

- Working closely with the Office of Electricity and the Grid Modernization Laboratory Consortium (GMLC), EERE has developed over 90 projects since 2016 that cover grid modernization and address the reliability and resilience of the power system. These projects were presented and reviewed by subject matter experts at our Grid Modernization Peer Review in September 2018. Some of the results of that work are below.
- Developed an energy and infrastructure resiliency plan for New Orleans including local distributed generation, renewable energy sources, and cost-effective grid resilience enhancements which could serve as a model for similar U.S. coastal communities.
- In February 2018, Hawaiian Electric became the first U.S. utility to require distributed energy resources (DER) to provide services to support the bulk power system, following the recommendations of a GMLC Technical Report published by the project team, and the staff of the California Public Utilities Commission has recommended that California take a similar step.
- Hierarchical Engine for Large-scale Infrastructure Co-Simulation (HELICS) developed, enabling largescale interdependency studies across transmission, distribution, and communication infrastructures. <u>Fossil Energy Research and Development</u>

#### STEP 10 MW sCO2 Pilot Plant facility: Completed cycle definition and environmental assessment, which found "No Significant Impact".

- Successfully completed the factory test of the first fully integrated 200 kWe Solid Oxide Fuel Cell prototype system. Upon completion of the test, the system was installed on site at the NRG facility in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania and is awaiting the completion of several municipal inspections prior to being placed in operation. The satisfactory operation of this system is necessary to validate the technology at large scale prior to embarking on a MWe-class pilot-scale demonstration.
- Awarded a project to create a model of a regional generating and transmission system to evaluate the potential benefits that an engine-based power plant fueled with coal-derived syngas could offer to the local electrical grid in central Alaska.

Office of Electricity

- Released the *Energy Resilience Solutions for the Puerto Rico Grid* report, which outlines recommendations for resilience improvements to energy infrastructures that should be considered by the Government of Puerto Rico in their recovery plans.
- Completed the development of an open-source Advanced Distribution Management System (ADMS) application development software platform.
- Lowered the cost of grid-scale (over 1 MW) energy storage technologies to demonstrate \$250/kWh for a 4-hour system (aqueous soluble organic electrolyte).

Cybersecurity, Energy Security, and Emergency Response

- Developed a hands-on workshop, called "Cyber Strike," for energy sector owners and operators to walk through a simulated cyber-attack on energy control systems. This workshop leveraged lessons learned from the 2015 and 2016 attacks on Ukraine's electric system.
- Infrastructure Security and Energy Restoration (ISER) staff, with the assistance of Carnegie Mellon University's Software Engineering Institute, held a Cybersecurity Capability Maturity Model (C2M2)

Update Stakeholders Forum at DOE Headquarters. The C2M2 program is a public-private partnership effort that was established to improve electricity subsector cybersecurity capabilities and to understand the cybersecurity posture of the grid.

- ISER conducted Clear Path VI, the Department's flagship exercise series designed to help energy sector stakeholders prepare for the 2018 hurricane season. The exercise brought together industry and interagency partners to test and validate pre-landfall, response, and mutual assistance plans in response to a significant hurricane impacting the Mid-Atlantic region.
- On August 20–23, Idaho National Laboratory (INL) and CESER/ISER hosted Resilience Week 2018 in Denver, Colorado. The goal of Resilience Week is to discuss mechanisms that will foster a cohesive multidisciplinary community that advances risk analysis research to aid resilient decision-making processes.

#### Strategic Objective 5: Increase Domestic and International Accessibility to American Energy Resources Key Accomplishments:

Fossil Energy Research and Development

- Recovered rare earth element (REE) concentrates exceeding 80wt% from coal-based resources.
- Completed construction of bench-scale facility to recover REEs from acid mine drainage sludge.
- Initiated conceptual designs for pilot plants that can recover ten pounds per day of rare earth oxides from coal-based resources.
- Identified a site within the Prudhoe Bay Unit for a methane hydrate stratigraphic well test on the Arctic North Slope that meets both the program's and the operator's criteria as high-value site. Indian Energy Policy and Programs
- Issued a fuel and technology neutral Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA) estimated to result in 6 to 15 tribal energy projects valued at up to \$22 million. DOE's investment of between \$5.5 million and \$11.5 million is estimated to result in 4 MW of new installed generation and potential savings of up to \$100 million over the life of the energy generating systems.
- Held workshops in Unalaska, Kodiak, and Cordova completing a 4-year plan to conduct energy workshops across each of the regions in Alaska. Conducted 12 monthly webinars to educate Tribes on energy development; each was attended by an average of over 100 attendees. Office also completed 20 technical assistance requests with another 20 in process.

#### Strategic Objective 6: Protect the U.S. Economy from Severe Petroleum Supply Disruptions Key Accomplishments:

Petroleum Reserves

- Completed the FY 2018 Congressionally-mandated oil sale to fund the Strategic Petroleum Reserve (SPR) Modernization Program, which will address the aging SPR infrastructure through systems upgrades and equipment replacement.
- Made progress on the Life Extension Phase II Critical Decisions (CD), including submittal of CD-3A Long Lead Time Equipment Procurement Items.

**Strategic Goal 2:** Advance Science Discovery and National Laboratory Innovation - DOE will advance American pre-eminence in scientific discovery through cutting-edge research, primacy in highperformance computing, and operation of world-class scientific facilities. The Department will take steps to improve access to its national laboratory portfolio of innovation and enable greater opportunities for commercialization of Lab-developed intellectual property.

#### Strategic Objective 7 - Conduct Discovery-Focused Research to Increase our Understanding of Matter, Materials, and their Properties

#### Key Accomplishments:

<u>Science</u>

- Improved handling of vast amounts of simulation data: Researchers at LBNL have developed and deployed in situtechniques for cosmology simulations. By saving only the analysis results rather than the full simulation data, the application saw a one thousand fold decrease in data volume exported from the simulation without loss of scientifically valuable information. (ASCR)
- New capabilities to probe ultrafast phenomena, such as light activated processes occurring on timescales of attoseconds to nanoseconds: The new experimental technique promises to lead to insights on the ultrafast response of other organic molecules to light, including processes relevant to photosynthesis and human vision. (BES)
- Insights into the functioning of biological systems: Researchers at MIT have designed a yeast strain that accumulates 25% more lipids than control strains, useful for renewable biodiesel fuel production, using an innovative combination of computational hypothesis generation and experimental testing to guide metabolic engineering techniques, an important approach for systematizing biosystems design techniques. (BER)
- Heating the core of fusion reactors leads to sheared rotation that can improve plasma performance: New measurements and simulations of plasma rotation at the DIII-D tokamak facility at General Atomics (GA) show that self-organized "intrinsic rotation" in tokamaks is generated by turbulence. Such self-organized flow can be beneficial for fusion reactor performance because it suppresses turbulent energy loss and magnetohydrodynamic instabilities. The experimental measurements show that simply heating the plasma core can cause it to generate a sheared flow. The computer modeling provides a quantitative understanding of the amount of sheared flow that can be generated with the use of this self-generated intrinsic torque. (FES)
- COHERENT experiment uses the world's smallest neutrino detector to make the first observation of coherent scattering of low energy neutrinos off nuclei (Intensity Frontier): Understanding coherent scattering will improve the scientific reach of future neutrino and dark matter experiments. (HEP)
- New Measurement of the Neutron Lifetime with Unprecedented Precision: Astrophysicists need to know the precise value of the free neutron lifetime to calculate the rate of nucleosynthesis during the "Big Bang", and nuclear and particle physicists need a precise value of the neutron lifetime to constrain fundamental parameters of the Standard Model. Researchers at Los Alamos National Laboratory determined the lifetime to within an uncertainty of 1 second, significantly improving the previous uncertainty of approximately 8 seconds in the neutron lifetime. (NP)

Strategic Objective 8: Provide the Nation's researchers with World-Class Scientific User Facilities that Enable Research and Advance Scientific Discovery

### (Noteworthy Progress)

Key Accomplishments:

<u>Science</u>

- Upgraded the Oak Ridge Leadership Computing Facility to a 200 petaflop IBM/NVIDIA system (Summit), ranked as the fastest supercomputer in the world, according to the TOP500 List, a semiannual ranking of the world's fastest computing systems.
- Completed the 12 GeV Continuous Electron Beam Accelerator Facility (CEBAF) Upgrade Project within approved cost, schedule, and scope baseline, and began formal start of operations.

FY 2018 DOE Annual Performance Report / FY 2020 DOE Annual Performance Plan
- Refurbishment and upgrades to the Jupiter Laser Facility (JLF) at the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) are underway. They will enable new scientific discovery in laser-driven ion acceleration, X-ray and Gamma ray sources, and relativistic laser-plasma interactions (LPI).
- The Molecular Foundry scientists developed a new electron microscopy imaging technique that greatly improves images of light elements while using fewer electrons. The MIDI-STEM method may solve the challenge of seeing structures with a mixture of heavy and light elements in close proximity, thereby allowing scientists to use high resolution electron microscopy on a broader set of hard and soft material combinations. The high resolution, speed, and non-invasiveness could transform the way key biomolecular interactions are studied for sensors, biology, and biomedicine.
- The Large Hadron Collider (LHC) is the highest energy particle collider in the world and continues to break performance records and exceed its goals for producing particle collisions. The CMS experiment produced the first direct observation of the Higgs boson decaying to tau leptons, the heaviest known cousin of an electron. The ATLAS experiment measured the mass of the W boson, a carrier particle of the weak nuclear force, to a precision of 2.4%, matching the precision of the best previous measurement. This new measurement enables important tests of the self-consistency of the Standard Model.

#### Strategic Objective 9: Advance High-Performance and Future Computing Technologies and the Potential of Artificial Intelligence Technologies to Ensure American Primacy in Computing and to Meet National Research, Security, and Economic Objectives

### Key Accomplishments:

<u>Science</u>

• The Exascale Computing Project (ECP) Interoperable Design of Extreme-scale Application Software project released the first version of its Extreme-scale Scientific Software Development Kit (xSDK) to improve ECP developer productivity and software sustainability while ensuring continued scientific success. The xSDK toolkit provides a superior solution for application developers using libraries by enabling turnkey installation, compatible builds, and interoperability, which is especially important for multi-scale and multi-physics projects that rely upon this functionality. The current xSDK packages include four numerical libraries, two domain components, and nine others being staged as part of future releases. The explicit ECP investment in developing, adapting, and adopting new and better software practices will improve developer productivity and software sustainability at a time when such improvements are essential for transforming capabilities for new platforms, coupling multiscale and multi-physics, and improving the effectiveness of DOE's highly skilled computational scientists.

## Strategic Objective 10: Enable Commercialization of National Laboratory Innovation

## Key Accomplishments:

Technology Transitions

- On October 31, 2017, DOE removed barriers for business to engage the National Labs through a flexible mechanism, known as Agreements for Commercializing Technology, and extended it to projects that receive federal funding.
- Launched the Lab Partnering Service (LPS) in July 2018. The LPS is a web tool for providing streamlined access to the expertise and intellectual property developed at the National Labs. It was developed in consultation with the investor and business communities.
- The Technology Commercialization Fund supported 54 projects across 12 National Laboratories involving more than 30 private-sector partners.
- An inventory of the Department's existing commercialization programs, initiatives, and activities led by the DOE Programs and Laboratories was assembled. The inventory, together with a recently

completed survey of relevant statutory authorities as well as best practices, will inform future activities and policies.

**Strategic Goal 3: Ensure America's Nuclear Security** - DOE will strengthen national security by maintaining and modernizing the nuclear stockpile and nuclear security infrastructure, reducing global nuclear threats, providing for naval nuclear propulsion, improving physical and cybersecurity, and strengthening key science, technology, and engineering capabilities.

Strategic Objective 11: Maintain the Safety, Security and Effectiveness of the Nation's Nuclear Deterrent

Key Accomplishments:

National Nuclear Security Administration

- As of September 2018, NNSA completed over 95 percent of the total production units of the W76-1 LEP, and delivered more than 90 percent of the total warheads to the Navy.
- The B61-12 Life Extension Program (LEP), a nuclear gravity bomb for the Air Force, is currently in production engineering. System qualification of the B61-12 continues with the completion of over 45 system tests since the start of the final development phase, including qualification flight tests using F-16, F-15, and B-2A aircraft at the Tonopah Test Range.

Strategic Objective 12: Strengthen Key Science, Technology, and Engineering Capabilities and Modernize the National Security Infrastructure

#### Key Accomplishments:

National Nuclear Security Administration

- Conducted two plutonium strength experiments at the National Ignition Facility (NIF) to compare with weapons performance assessment models and generated highest fusion yield-to-date at NIF (54 kilojoule [kJ] total fusion yield) by advancing hohlraum drive symmetry control and reducing fill-tube degradation effects.
- Achieved a record yield for cryogenic deuterium-tritium laser-direct-drive implosions on the Omega Laser Facility.
- Set new Z facility records for less than 20 kilo-electron volt (keV) x-ray output (increase of greater than 50 percent) and peak current to a radiation source for vulnerability and hardening studies.
- Initiated construction of the NNSA Albuquerque Complex on May 18, 2018. Took tenancy at the John C. Drummond Complex (formerly the Pantex Administrative Complex) at the Pantex Plant.
- In April 2018, NNSA published the 2018 Master Asset Plan, an enterprise-wide infrastructure plan that lays out NNSA's infrastructure vision and highlights strategic investments being made to achieve it.
- Through FY 2018, completed 80 disposition and recapitalization projects, and an additional 226 projects are underway. In addition, ~\$373.6M of maintenance and repair activities have been completed, which exceeds the \$279.4 million completed in all of FY 2017 by 34%. NNSA also completed 15 Roof Asset Management Program (RAMP) projects in FY 2018.

# Strategic Objective 13: Reduce Global Nuclear and Radiological Security Threats and Strengthen the Nuclear Enterprise

#### Key Accomplishments:

National Nuclear Security Administration

- Converted 2 facilities from the use of highly enriched uranium (HEU) fuel to low enriched uranium (LEU) fuel for a cumulative total of 102; removed or confirmed the disposition of HEU or plutonium for a cumulative total of 6,725.3 kilograms; dispositioned the cumulative total of 160 MT of surplus weapon-grade uranium; and converted 100 kg of plutonium to an oxide in preparation for final disposition.
- Engaged with over 100 countries to build capacity in nuclear and radiological security; secured 84 buildings containing radiological material for a cumulative total of 2,283; equipped 24 sites/ports with detection systems; deployed 24 mobile detection systems (MDS); and transitioned 56 sites/ports/MDS to indigenous partner country responsibility.
- Transferred 6 safeguards tools to international partners to address identified safeguards deficiencies.
- Conducted 6,000 technical reviews of U.S. Export Control Licenses for Nuclear and Dual Use Commodities and provided 3,000 Technical Analyses for interdiction cases.
- Executed the PHOENIX proliferation detection campaign with 100 interagency participants, validating sensors and methods, and providing a realistic training environment; delivered a Global Burst Detector to the USAF in support of the U.S. Nuclear Detonation Detection System; completed high fidelity, archival, radiation signature measurements of the B61 and B83 under the Warhead Measurement Campaign; and improved U.S. capabilities in low-yield nuclear test monitoring by executing the Source Physics Experiment, DAG-1, at the Nevada Nuclear Security Site.
- Provided policy makers unprecedented options for the final, fully verified denuclearization (FFVD) of North Korea, leading preparations for a key aspect of denuclearization and engaging other departments and agencies to form comprehensive plans addressing the technical, logistical, and policy aspects of FFVD. Staff engaged state and territorial governments at highest risk from North Korean missile threats to better prepare for radiological or nuclear incidents in their region. Experts used atmospheric modeling and state-of-the-art tools, techniques, and practices to enhance the readiness in this critical region
- Conducted four exercises, four workshops, and two training events to continue modernizing nuclear forensics capabilities to adapt to current threats and identify needed organizational and policy changes across the U.S. Government to enhance future capabilities.

# Strategic Objective 14: Provide Safe and Effective Integrated Nuclear Propulsion Systems for the U.S. Navy

### Key Accomplishments:

National Nuclear Security Administration

- Achieved target of 65% design complete for the Columbia-Class reactor plant.
- Maintained Department of Defense and DOE funding alignment necessary to meet the COLUMBIA-Class project schedule and lead-ship delivery. DOE funded reactor plant component design/development continued on cost and schedule. Navy funded ship design continued on schedule.
- Commenced overhaul efforts and progressed refueling preparations for the S8G Prototype.
- Completed manufacturing of four COLUMBIA like advanced material fuel modules to be inserted in the S8G Prototype reactor plant as part of upcoming refueling. Currently, manufacturing runs for Columbia-Class specific design parameters are being completed to further refine the productionscale processes.

• Established performance baseline for the Spent Fuel Handling Recapitalization Project.

**Strategic Goal 4: Advance National Nuclear Waste Management** - DOE will make progress on fulfilling the Federal Government's obligations to address commercial spent nuclear fuel and the environmental legacy of the Manhattan Project and Cold War.

# Strategic Objective 15: Continue Environmental Remediation of DOE Legacy and Active Nuclear Waste Sites

## Key Accomplishments:

Environmental Management

- Waste Isolation Pilot Plant received its 12,270th shipment and ramped up the number of shipments to 8-10 per week. Mining of Panel 8 began in January 2018. When combined with the completion of the new ventilation system, this will lead to increased shipments and emplacements by the end of FY 2021.
- Savannah River Site tank waste program replaced a 3rd melter in the Defense Waste Processing Facility (DWPF) and accepted a 30 million gallon Salt Disposal Unit for processing.
- Hanford Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant (WTP) is making progress in completing facilities
  required for the Direct Feed Low Activity Waste (DFLAW) approach. More than 50% of all WTP
  systems and components needed for DFLAW completed construction, startup, and testing phases
  and have been transitioned into commissioning, the final step before operation. Modifications in the
  tank farms are being made to support DFLAW. Significant progress has been made in advancing
  toward commissioning of the LAW facility, including approval of the facility's Documented Safety
  Analysis ahead of schedule.
- Early site preparation for the Outfall 200 Mercury Treatment Facility at Y-12 construction activities were initiated in December 2017 and are on track for completion by end of calendar year 2018.
- EM completed treatment of 2.2 billion gallons of contaminated groundwater at Hanford, is on track to produce 40 canisters of High-Level Waste at DWPF, complete Deactivation and Decommissioning activities at the Separations Process Research Unit, and dispose of 1.2 million cubic feet of (Mixed) Low-Level Waste at Nevada by the end of FY 2018.

**Strategic Goal 5: Enhance Cybersecurity across U.S. Energy Sector and DOE Infrastructure** - DOE will leverage science and technology support from the national laboratories to enhance the cybersecurity and resilience of the Nation's energy infrastructure and DOE's enterprise infrastructure.

Strategic Objective 16: Enhance Energy Infrastructure Situational Awareness, Strengthen Cyber Incident Response Capabilities, and Leverage the National Laboratories to Drive Cybersecurity Innovation

Key Accomplishments:

Cybersecurity, Energy Security, and Emergency Response

- The Cybersecurity for Energy Delivery Systems (CEDS)-supported Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL) "Enabling Situational Awareness" project developed a cybersecurity situation awareness visualization dashboard to bridge the communication gap between transmission control room operators and cybersecurity professionals during cyber events.
- Through a partnership with the CEDS program, Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) is leveraging the capabilities of quantum communications to transmit secret keys for use in traditional cryptographic algorithms and reveal any attempted interception of the secret key as it is exchanged between trusted parties operating critical energy delivery control systems at the moment the adversarial intrusion is attempted. LANL's breakthrough will substantially reduce unit costs of

quantum key exchange systems and will thereby lower the barrier to widespread deployment of this technology.

Strengthened DOE's cyber incident response capabilities - ISER developed a pre-cooked checklist to
streamline government-industry information exchange and sector technical assistance during cyber
incident response. ISER also facilitated the industry-led effort with the Department of Homeland
Security and the Federal Bureau of Investigation to update the Request for Technical Assistance
(RTA) agreement that organizations have to sign if they request government engagement during
cyber incidents. This included adding a provision to allow for a standing request model for technical
assistance.

Strategic Objective 17: Modernize DOE IT Infrastructure to Deliver Effective Services Supporting Smart, Efficient Cybersecurity and Enhance DOE's Cybersecurity Risk Management Structure to Create Transparency across the Enterprise

Key Accomplishments

Office of the Chief Information Officer

Enhance DOE Cybersecurity

- DOE directed that the entire Department will participate in the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Continuous Diagnostics and Mitigation (CDM) program as opposed to the previous direction which included only the DOE federal sites. As CDM tools are implemented across the remainder of DOE, we will realize dramatic improvements in our Information Security Continuous Monitoring (ISCM) capabilities.
- Made significant progress toward CDM Phases 1 and 2 capability deployment for DOE and Office of Science headquarters.
- Secured Department of Homeland Security funding and released the DOE implementation plan supporting CDM Phase 1 capability deployment to include DOE sites not previously covered.
- Developed Concept of Operations for Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) Cybersecurity Work Streams for Governance and Situational Awareness.
- Released a Cybersecurity Strategy and Implementation Plan for 2018-2020, established an Integrated Project Team (IPT) to re-write the DOE Cybersecurity Order, and drafted a DOE Incident Response Plan.
- Completed testing and accreditation for the DOE big data platform (BDP) which, when fully matured, will significantly improve cybersecurity incident response and hunting for stakeholders across the DOE enterprise.
- Made significant investments in the Cooperative Protection Program (CPP). CPP enables cyber defenders through the deployment and management of sensor platforms; provides analytics and reports to the DOE enterprise, and enables secure methods for data sharing and collaboration. *Modernize DOE IT Infrastructure*
- Completed Phases 1 and 2 of the DOE HQ Network Refresh initiative, and made progress on phase 3 (50%). The effort is 82% complete overall.
- Eliminated the dependency on the legacy Nortel Phone switch for Voice-over-IP (VoIP) traffic at HQ Germantown. Completed migration of analog phone customers to VoIP at 950 L'Enfant Plaza and Corporate 270 buildings. Disconnected legacy telecomm circuits at 950 L'Enfant Plaza and decommissioned the Nortel phone switch supporting that location. Established a solution for a single virtual view of the multiple HQ user directories for VoIP. The migration of HQ analog phone customers to VoIP and decommissioning of the HQ legacy analog phone switch is 70% complete.
- Completed all fiber cable plant work in the HQ Forrestal and Germantown buildings. Transition to the new fiber is scheduled for completion over 4 weekends in October and November 2018.

• Two new 10 Gb telecommunications circuits have been installed between the HQ Germantown and Forrestal buildings to provide carrier-diversity and in preparation for retiring the legacy SONET Ring when the VoIP migration has been completed.

National Nuclear Security Administration

Enhance DOE Cybersecurity

- Designed and deployed a new sensor platform that will capture data feeds to improve situational awareness across the DOE/NNSA Enterprise as part of NNSA's Information Assurance Response Center (IARC). To date, the new sensor platforms have been deployed to the four federal unclassified networks.
- Completed phase 1 of the Integrated Joint Cybersecurity Coordination Center (iJC3) implementation for federal networks. NNSA has complete coverage of the NNSA environment, to include unclassified, classified, and mission space. NNSA has developed the approach and standards to provide situational awareness of the nuclear security enterprise (NSE) to the DOE CIO.
- Worked in collaboration with the DOE CIO to establish the Department's Data Taxonomy Framework. This effort was used to expand DOE HQ visibility across the DOE enterprise in relation to the response to cybersecurity incidents.
- In partnership with Sandia National Laboratories, created a Center of Excellence (CoE) to improve and enhance the situational awareness, incident response, and incident management throughout the NSE.

# **Fiscal Year 2017 Unmet Performance Targets**

The following table displays performance measures where the FY 2017 target was not met, the FY 2018 status, and whether the measure was discontinued.

Program	FY 2017 Performance Goal	FY 2018 Performance Status
NNSA Weapons Activities/ Directed Stockpile Work	<ul> <li>Steady State W-76-1 LEP Production - The percentage of planned builds equal to the percentage of allocated funding as represented in the annual Selected Acquisition Report (SAR).</li> <li>FY 2017 Target: 100% of scheduled unit builds, Result: 95%</li> </ul>	<b>Exceeded</b> FY 2018 Target: 100% Result: 127%
NNSA Weapons Activities/ Infrastructure and Operations	Construction Projects (formerly Major Construction Projects) - Execute construction projects within approved costs and schedules, as measured by the total percentage of projects with total estimated cost (TEC) greater than \$20 million with a schedule performance index (ratio of budgeted cost of work performed to budgeted cost of work scheduled) and a cost performance index (ratio of budgeted cost of work performed to actual cost of work performed) between 0.9-1.15. FY 2017 Target: 90% of projects, Result: 89%	Not Met FY 2018 Target: 90% Result: 83%
	Recapitalization - Percentage of NNSA assets rated as adequate (by Replacement Plant Value FY 2017 Target: 37% of assets, Result: 35%	<b>Exceeded</b> FY 2018 Target: 35.5% Result: 37.9%
NNSA Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation / Material Management	<ul> <li>Highly Enriched Uranium (HEU) Reactors Converted or Shutdown - Cumulative number of HEU reactors and isotope production facilities converted or verified as shutdown prior to conversion.</li> <li>FY 2017 Target: 101 facilities, Result: 100</li> </ul>	Not Met FY 2018 Target: 103 Result: 102
and Minimization	<b>U.S. Surplus Plutonium Disposition</b> - Cumulative kilograms (kg) of plutonium metal converted to oxide in preparation for final disposition.	<b>Exceeded</b> FY 2018 Target: 867

Program	FY 2017 Performance Goal	FY 2018 Performance Status
	FY 2017 Target: 767 kg, Result: 688.6	Result: 900.9
NNSA Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation/ Nuclear Counterterrorism and Incident Response	Incident Response Readiness Index (IRRI) - Annual overall organizational readiness to respond to and mitigate radiological or nuclear incidents worldwide.       Not Met         FY 2017 Target: 91 IRRI, Result: 89       FY 2017 Target: 91 IRRI, Result: 89	
Fossil Energy Research and Development Coal	<ul> <li>CCS Demonstrations - Initiate operation of CCS demonstration projects - Initiating operation of CCS demonstration projects will help to establish that carbon capture, compression of CO2 and injection, combined with long term monitoring, verification, accounting, and assessment (MVAA), can be performed at commercial scale at both power plants and industrial sites while continuing to maintain reliable plant operations.</li> <li>FY 2017 Target: 4 CCS projects initiated operation, Result: 3</li> </ul>	<b>Not Applicable</b> FY 2018 Target: N/A Measure ended
Fossil Energy Research and Development Petroleum Reserves	Sustained (90 day) Drawdown Rate - Maintain the capability to drawdown the SPR at the design drawdown rate of 4.415 million barrels per day. FY 2017 Target: 4.2 MMB/Day drawdown readiness rate, Result: 4.17	<b>Not Met</b> FY 2018 Target: 4.13 Result: 4.11
Nuclear Energy Nuclear Infrastructure	<ul> <li>Facility Availability - Idaho Facilities Management Program - Enable nuclear research and development activities by providing operational facilities and capabilities, as measured by availability percentages.</li> <li>FY 2017 Target: 80% availability, Result: 76%</li> </ul>	<b>Exceeded</b> FY 2018 Target: 80% Result: 86%

Program	FY 2017 Performance Goal	FY 2018 Performance Status
Environmental Management Nuclear Materials and	<b>Depleted and Other Uranium (DU&amp;U) Packaged for Disposition</b> - Increase the cumulative amount of DU&U packaged in a form suitable for disposition FY 2017 Target: 88,721 metric tons, Result: 88,306	Not Met FY 2018 Target: 113,306 Result: 93,698
Tank Waste	Liquid Waste Eliminated - Increase the cumulative volume of radioactive liquid waste (including other forms such as sludge) eliminated from inventory. FY 2017 Target: 7,684 thousand gallons, Result: 7,414	<b>Not Met</b> FY 2018 Target: 7,867 Result: 7,523
Environmental Management Site Restoration	Industrial Facilities Completed - Increase the cumulative number of industrial facilities completed. FY 2017 Target: 2,162 facilities, Result: 2,157	<b>Exceeded</b> FY 2018 Target: 2,184 Result: 2,241
	Nuclear Facilities Completed - Increase the cumulative number of nuclear facilities completed.FY 2017 Target: 157 facilities, Result: 152	<b>Not Met</b> FY 2018 Target: 157 Result: 152
	Radioactive Facilities Completed - Increase the cumulative number of radioactivefacilities completed.FY 2017 Target: 577 facilities, Result: 571	<b>Exceeded</b> FY 2018 Target: 579 Result: 584
Legacy Management	<ul> <li>Environmental Remedies - Conduct surveillance and maintenance activities to ensure the effectiveness of cleanup remedies in accordance with legal agreements or identify sites subject to additional remedial action in order to ensure effectiveness at all sites within Legacy Management's responsibility.</li> <li>FY 2017 Target: 93 sites, Result: 92</li> </ul>	Not Met FY 2018 Target: 97 Result: 92

Program	FY 2017 Performance Goal	FY 2018 Performance Status
Chief Information Office	Identify - Hardware Asset Management - Achieve performance of 95% or greater for both Hardware Asset Management metrics (asset detection and asset meta data collection)	FY 2018 Target: N/A Measure discontinued
	Identify - Software Asset Management - Achieve performance of greater than or equal to 95% for both Software Asset Management metrics (software inventory and software white-listing) FY 2017 Target: ≥ 95%, Result: 91	
	<b>Protect - Federated Identity Management Infrastructure</b> - Implement Federated Identity Management Infrastructure linking identity sources across DOE to OneID FY 2017 Target: 75%, Result: 62	<b>Exceeded</b> FY 2018 Target:≥95% Result:97%
	<ul> <li>Protect - High-Priority Application Authentication - Conduct a role-based risk assessment for all applications supporting high priority (FISMA) systems, identify the proper credential for each role within the application in accordance with the revised NIST 800-63 standard, and require the use of the proper credential for role-based access to the application.</li> <li>FY 2017 Target: 10%, Result: 0</li> </ul>	<b>Exceeded</b> FY 2018 Target:≥30% Result:34%
	<b>Protect - MFA - Privileged Network Account performance</b> - Privileged Network Accounts that use a PIV credential or other NIST 800-63 r3 IAL3/AAL3/FAL3 must be equal to 100%.	<b>Not Met</b> FY 2018 Target: 100% Result: 96%
	equal to 100%. FY 2017 Target: 100%, Result: 96%	Result:96%

Program	FY 2017 Performance Goal	FY 2018 Performance Status
	<b>Protect - MFA - Unprivileged Network Account performance</b> - Unprivileged Network Accounts that use a PIV credential or other NIST 800-63 r3 IAL3/AAL3/FAL3 must be equal to 85%.	<b>Not Met</b> FY 2018 Target: 85% Result: 70%
	FY 2017 Target: 85%, Result: 66%	
Office of Management	<b>Reduce FOIA backlog</b> - Reduce Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) backlog FY 2017 Target: 10%, Result: 24% increase	<b>Not Met</b> FY 2018 Target: 3% Result: 74% increase
Office of Project Management	<ul> <li>Project Management Success - Complete 90% of the construction projects at the original scope and within 10% of cost baseline established at Critical Decision (CD)-2, approve performance baseline.</li> <li>FY 2017 Target: 90%, Result: 88%</li> </ul>	Met FY 2018 Target: 90% Result:93%
Human Capital Management	<ul> <li>Annual reductions in the average time-to-hire - Annual reductions in the average time-to-hire from 174 days in FY 09 to 100 days or less by end of FY 2011, and further to an annual average of 80 days.</li> <li>FY 2017 Target: ≤ 80 calendar days, Result: 119.3</li> </ul>	Not Met FY 2018 Target: ≤80 Result: 128.7
Loan Programs Office	ATVM Reduction in Petroleum Usage - Reduction in petroleum usage achieved through the use of advanced technology vehicles manufactured (at least in part) with funding provided through the ATVM loan program as compared to vehicles available in the base year.	Exceeded FY 2018 Target:≥270 Result:280
	r 2017 Target: 290 million gallons, kesult: 285	

# **Performance Goals Discontinued as of Fiscal Year 2018**

The following table displays the performance measures which were discontinued following the close of FY 2017 and the reason for their discontinuation.

Program	Performance Goal Discontinued as of FY 2018	Rationale
Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy / Bioenergy Technologies	Thermochemical - Reduce modeled thermochemical conversion cost of a combined gasoline and diesel production (\$/gge) FY 2017 Target: \$2.47/gge, Result:\$2.47/gge	Measure is being discontinued in FY 2018 as overarching verification goal was met by the end of FY 2017.
Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy / Hydrogen and Fuel Cell Technologies	Fuel Cell Power - Improve the catalyst specific power of fuel cells (kW/gram of platinum group metal). FY 2017 Target: 7.1 kW/g, Result: 8 kW/g	Measure discontinued in FY 2018 due to the strategic decision to shift towards earlier stage research on non-PGM catalysts
Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy / Advanced Manufacturing	R&D Consortia - Number of Manufacturing Research and Development Consortia selected for negotiation to demonstrate advanced material and process technologies, leading to commercialization FY 2017 Target: 2 Consortia, Result: 2	Measure discontinued in FY 2018 due to a shift in focus towards early-stage R&D.
Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy / Building Technologies	Lighting - Decrease the manufacturing cost of a warm white LED package. (Lumens/\$) FY 2017 Target: 210 lm/\$ , Result: 210 lm/\$	Measure discontinued in FY 2018 due to shift in focus towards early-stage R&D.
Electricity Delivery / Transmission Reliability and Resilience	Advanced Modeling Grid Research - Development of capabilities in understanding, modeling, and predicting grid behavior in real- time. FY 2017 Target: Develop and test advanced computational capabilities for simulating power system behavior in a real-world environment, Result: Met	The Advanced Modeling and Grid Research and Transmission Reliability activities were consolidated under Transmission Reliability as of FY 2018.
	Energy Systems Risk and Predictive Capability - Provide Federal agencies, states, and sector stakeholders with independent and	Measure discontinued because DOE discontinued support for

Program	Performance Goal Discontinued as of FY 2018	Rationale
	transparent analyses of risks to energy infrastructure systems and supply chain impacts. FY 2017 Target: Deploy initial analytical	this activity beginning in FY 2018.
	products assessing risk and improving decisions for energy infrastructure systems, Result: Met	
Cybersecurity, Energy Security, and Emergency Response / Infrastructure Security and Energy Reliability (ISER)	ISER - Situational Awareness - Improve awareness of near real-time monitoring situational awareness tool, across the Federal Government ensuring that this tool is available to interagency partners for use in their operations centers and other appropriate situations.	This measure has been replaced by the ISER – Situational Awareness Capability measure beginning in FY 2019, which is a more appropriate measure of the program's activities.
	FY 2017 Target: 80% situational awareness capability availability, Result: Met	
Fossil Energy Research and Development / Coal	CCS Demonstrations - Initiate operation of CCS demonstration projects - Initiating operation of CCS demonstration projects will help to establish that carbon capture, compression of CO2 and injection, combined with long term monitoring, verification, accounting, and assessment (MVAA), can be performed at commercial scale at both power plants and industrial sites while continuing to maintain reliable plant operations. FY 2017 Target: 4 CCS projects initiated operation. Result: 3	This goal will be completed in FY 2017 and will no longer will be tracked in FY 2018 and beyond since this no longer aligns with the program's efforts focused on early stage R&D.
	Carbon Capture and Advanced Energy Systems - Achieving the target signifies that the Carbon Capture & Advanced Energy Systems programs are continuing to make progress in meeting the goal of developing cost-effective, reliable carbon capture technologies for pre- combustion, post-combustion, natural gas carbon capture and advanced combustion capture applications. FY 2017 Target: 47 \$ per tonne CO2 captured Result: 46.6	The goal was not continued due to a refocusing of program efforts away from 2nd generation technology to early stage R&D.

Program	Performance Goal Discontinued as of FY 2018	Rationale
	Carbon Storage - Inject CO2 in large-volume field test sites to demonstrate the formations' capacity to permanently and safely store carbon dioxide. FY 2017 Target: 8 MMTs injected (since 2009), Result: 14	This program goal is no longer relevant as the program has shifted to early-stage R&D and the RCSP will be terminated starting in 2018.
Nuclear Energy	NEET- Mod & Sim Hub - Complete 90% of annual research and development milestones to support the wider applicability and deployment of virtual reactor modeling and simulation tools set for predictive simulation of Light Water Reactors by 2020. FY 2017 Target: 90% annual milestones met, Result: 100%	Performance milestones associated with this goal were integrated into one overall modeling and simulation program. This goal has been replaced by the Advanced Modeling and Simulation goal.
	<ul> <li>SMR - Licensing Technical Support Program - Enable the submission of license application documentation to the Nuclear Regulatory</li> <li>Commission (NRC) by SMR vendors and utility partners by supporting design, engineering, certification, and licensing efforts for selected</li> <li>SMR projects.</li> <li>FY 2017 Target: Complete program milestones, Result: Met</li> </ul>	The SMR Licensing Technical Support Program concluded at the end of FY 2017.

Program	Performance Goal Discontinued as of FY 2018	Rationale
Office of Science / Basic Energy Sciences	<ul> <li>BES Energy Storage - Deliver two high- performance research energy storage prototypes for transportation and the grid that project at the battery pack level to be five times the energy density at 1/5 the cost of the 2011 commercial baseline.</li> <li>FY 2017 Target: Demonstrate energy storage research prototypes that are scalable for transportation and grid applications using concepts beyond lithium ion (multivalent ions, chemical transformation, and non-aqueous redox flow), as identified through materials discovery and techno-economic modeling, Result: Met</li> </ul>	The performance goal was accomplished at the end of FY 2017. Beginning in FY 2018 the BES research performance goal is: Conduct discovery-focused research to increase our understanding of matter, materials, and their properties.
Office of Management	Un-assessed DOE Buildings - Decrease percentage of un-assessed DOE Buildings, OSFs and Trailers with "active" status (excluding FERC, LM, NR and PMAs). FY 2017 Target: 5% reduction of un-assessed buildings, Result: 11%	This measure has been replaced by the Functional Assessments measure, which is a more appropriate measure of the program's activities.
Loan Program Office	ATVM Battery Production Capacity - Battery production capacity of 100,000 lithium-ion EV batteries (2,400,000 kWh) established FY 2017 Target: ≥ 100,000 Batteries, Result: 100,000	The borrower has repaid the direct loan used to increase the production capacity of lithium- ion EV batteries. As a result, the program will no longer monitor the performance outputs for battery production capacity.

Program	Performance Goal Discontinued as of FY 2018	Rationale
Southwestern Power Administration	SWPA Annual Operating Cost Performance - Provide power at the lowest possible cost by keeping total operation and maintenance expense per kilowatt-hour generated below the national median for public power. (\$/kilowatt hour, kWh) FY 2017 Target: < 0.065 \$/kWh, Result: 0.017	Prior information that was available is no longer supplied by utilities. As a result, this measure has been replaced by a new operating cost measure.

# **Evaluations Completed in Fiscal Year 2018**

The following table displays the independent program evaluations that were completed in FY 2018 and their location (where available).

Program, Topic or Area	Brief Description of Study	Evaluators and Hyperlink to
Evaluated and Name of		Completed Evaluation
Study		
Annual Assessment of the	The assessment concluded that while the active NNSA	Nuclear Science Advisory
NNSA Material Management	Cooperative Agreement projects have incurred delays, it is	Committee
and Minimization	probable that one or more of the NNSA supported projects will	https://science.energy.gov/~/med
Molybdenum-99 Program	enter the Mo-99 market, perhaps as early as the first half of	ia/np/nsac/pdf/docs/2018/Mo-
	2018. NNSA is progressing towards meeting the goals of the	99_2018_postNSAC_final.pdf
	Mo-99 program. It included one recommendation for future	
	implementation of the Uranium Lease and Take-Back (ULTB)	
	program.	
DNN Research and	Provided a technical and programmatic enterprise assessment	Aerospace Corporation
Development (R&D),	of DNN R&D. The assessment focused on alignment with and	Hyperlink not available
technical and programmatic	contribution to Department and Administration strategic	
enterprise assessment	goals, adequacy of management approach, adequacy of	
	technical approach, adequacy of the budgetary estimates and	
	funding strategy, adequacy and availability of resources other	
	than budget, adequacy of self-assessment approach, and	
	adequacy of the risk management approach.	
Mo-99 Program: National	The final proceedings documented the presentations	National Academies of Sciences
Academies of Sciences and	discussed at an international symposium on "Opportunities	https://www.nap.edu/catalog/249
the Russian Academy of	and Approaches for Supplying Molybdenum-99 and Associated	09/opportunities-and-approaches-
Sciences Symposium	Isotopes to Global Markets", which was hosted by the National	for-supplying-molybdenum-99-
	Academies of Sciences and the Russian Academy of Sciences at	and-associated-medical-isotopes-
	the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) in July 2017.	to-global-markets

#### National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA): Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation (DNN)

National Nuclear Security	Administration: Office of Counterterrorism and Counterproliferation

Program, Topic or Area Evaluated and Name of Study	Brief Description of Study	Evaluators and Hyperlink to Completed Evaluation
U.S. Nuclear and Radiological Terrorism Prevention Strategy: Assessment of Nuclear Counterterrorism and Counterproliferation Capabilities	This JASON study examined critical parameters for nuclear device assessments, and whether available information and diagnostic data is being used effectively. Based on these needs JASON will make recommendations for investment in new technologies, modifications to current processes, and deployment of existing technologies by interagency and international partners to improve both the quality and speed of NNSA assessments.	JASON Program Office Hyperlink not available Classified Report

### Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE)

Program, Topic or Area Evaluated and Name of Study	Brief Description of Study	Evaluators and Hyperlink to Completed Evaluation
EERE Advanced Manufacturing Office: Reducing Embodied-energy And Decreasing Emissions in Materials Manufacturing Institute Peer Review, August 28-29, 2018	Review of the R&D and technical partnerships supported by the office.	Panels of independent external subject matter expert reviewers from industry, academia, and federal agencies Report available upon request
EERE Advanced Manufacturing Office: Institute for Advanced Composite Manufacturing Innovation Peer Review, August 15-16, 2018	Review of the R&D and technical partnerships supported by the office.	Panels of independent external subject matter expert reviewers from industry, academia, and federal agencies Report available upon request
EERE Advanced Manufacturing Office: 2018 Advanced Manufacturing Office Program Peer Review, July 17-19, 2018	Review of the R&D and technical partnerships supported by the office.	Panels of independent external subject matter expert reviewers from industry, academia, and federal agencies <u>https://www.energy.gov/eere/amo/events/2018- advanced-manufacturing-office-program-peer-</u> review
EERE Advanced Manufacturing Office: Rapid Advancement in Process Intensification Deployment Institute Peer Review, July 11-12, 2018	Review of the R&D and technical partnerships supported by the office.	Panels of independent external subject matter expert reviewers from industry, academia, and federal agencies Report available upon request
EERE Advanced Manufacturing Office: Power America Institute Peer Review, June 12-13, 2018	Review of the R&D and technical partnerships supported by the office.	Panels of independent external subject matter expert reviewers from industry, academia, and federal agencies Report available upon request
EERE Advanced Manufacturing Office: Critical Materials Institute Peer Review, May 15-16, 2018	Review of the R&D and technical partnerships supported by the office.	Panels of independent external subject matter expert reviewers from industry, academia, and federal agencies Report available upon request

Program, Topic or Area Evaluated and	Brief Description of Study	Evaluators and Hyperlink to Completed
Name of Study		Evaluation
EERE Advanced Manufacturing Office: Manufacturing Demonstration Facility Peer Review, April 5-6, 2018	Review of the R&D and technical partnerships supported by the office.	Panels of independent external subject matter expert reviewers from industry, academia, and federal agencies
EERE Building Technologies Office: Evaluation of Home Energy Score Deployment: New Jersey Natural Gas & Wisconsin Focus on Energy Final Report September 2018	Impact evaluation of Home Energy Score's deployment in New Jersey Natural Gas & Wisconsin Focus on Energy's efficiency incentive	Third party evaluators from The Energy to energy (E2e) Project. Report available upon request
EERE Building Technologies Office: 2018 Building Technologies Office (BTO) Peer Review, April 30-May 3, 2018	Review of the R&D and technical partnerships supported by the office.	Panels of independent external subject matter expert reviewers from industry, academia, and federal agencies <u>https://www.energy.gov/eere/buildings/building-</u> technologies-office-2018-peer-review
EERE Building Technologies Office: Evaluation of Building America and Selected Building Energy Codes Program Activities, February 2018	Impact evaluation of Building America and Selected Building Energy Codes Program Activities.	Industrial Economics, Incorporated <u>https://www.energy.gov/eere/buildings/downloa</u> <u>ds/evaluation-building-america-and-selected-</u> <u>building-energy-codes-program</u>
EERE Solar Energy Technologies Office: 2018 Solar Energy Technologies Office Peer Review, February 12-14, 2018	Review of the R&D and technical partnerships supported by the office.	Panels of independent external subject matter expert reviewers from industry, academia, and federal agencies https://www.energy.gov/eere/solar/downloads/2
EERE Vehicle Technologies Office (VTO): Vehicle Technologies Office Annual Merit Review and Peer Evaluation, June 18-21, 2018	Review of the technical progress and merit of VTO-funded projects	O18-seto-portfolio-reviewPanels of independent external subject matter expert reviewers from industry, academia, and federal agencieshttps://www.energy.gov/eere/vehicles/annual- merit-review
EERE Hydrogen and Fuel Cells Program:	Review of the technical progress and merit of Fuel Cell Technologies Office-funded projects	Panels of independent external subject matter expert reviewers from industry, academia, and federal agencies

Program, Topic or Area Evaluated and Name of Study	Brief Description of Study	Evaluators and Hyperlink to Completed Evaluation
2018 Hydrogen and Fuel Cells Program Annual Merit Review and Peer Evaluation, June 13–15, 2018		https://www.hydrogen.energy.gov/annual_revie w18_proceedings.html
EERE Office of Strategic Programs Tech- to-Market: Second-Year Impact	Determine preliminary early stage impacts	Research Into Action Inc., NMR Group Inc., Gretchen Jordan, Al Link, and East Mountain IP
Evaluation of the U.S. DOE EnergyI- Corps Program, Final Report, March 2018		Report available upon request
EERE Office of Strategic Programs Tech- to-Market: Small Business Vouchers	Determine preliminary early stage impacts for Round 2	Research Into Action Inc., NMR Group Inc., Gretchen Jordan, Al Link, and East Mountain IP
Evaluation Round 2 Awardees Preliminary Results, February 28, 2018		Report available upon request
EERE Office of Strategic Programs Tech- to-Market: Energy I-Corps Program:	Case studies of Energy I-Corps successes	Research Into Action Inc., NMR Group Inc., and Gretchen Jordan
2017 Case Studies, February 2018		https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2018/0 2/f49/energy_i- corps_program_2017_case_studies_0.pdf

### Office of Electricity (OE)

Program, Topic or Area Evaluated and Name of Study	Brief Description of Study	Evaluators and Hyperlink to Completed Evaluation
Grid Modernization Initiative (GMI): Foundational Projects and Technical Area Portfolio Peer Review of the Grid Modernization Laboratory Consortium	The Grid Modernization Initiative includes a portfolio of work to help better integrate all sources of electricity, improve the security of our Nation's grid, solve challenges of energy storage and distributed generation, and provide a critical platform for U.S. competitiveness and innovation in a global energy economy. Results of the peer review will be used to enhance the effectiveness of existing efforts and to better design future projects.	Subject matter experts from utilities, industry, academia, , nonprofit organizations, and government <u>https://www.energy.gov/grid-modernization- initiative-0/2018-grid-modernization- initiative-peer-review</u>
2018 Transmission Reliability Program Peer Review	Peer reviewers assessed whether a project is a good use of DOE funds, how the project could be improved, and whether a project should be continued or terminated. Results inform programmatic decisions.	Representatives from the electric power industry <u>https://energy.gov/oe/downloads/2017-</u> <u>transmission-reliability-program-peer-review-</u> june-13-presentations
2018 Microgrid Research & Development Program Peer Review	Peer review results were used to inform programmatic decision making, including modifying/continuing/ discontinuing ongoing projects, and guiding areas for future development and support.	DOE Microgrid Program Steering Committee members <u>https://drive.google.com/open?id=1KmJPYgQ</u> <u>ao9QzTQYMCAQfiGBKSWIJXxnC</u>

Program, Topic or Area Evaluated and Name of Study	Brief Description of Study	Evaluators and Hyperlink to Completed Evaluation
Energy Storage Program: 2018 Energy Storage Program Peer Review,	Peer reviewers assessed whether a project is a good use of DOE funds, how the project could be improved, and whether a project should be continued or	International panel of 20 experts drawn from academia, industry, utilities, and the regulatory community.
September 25–27, 2018	terminated. Results are used to inform programmatic decisions.	Presentations included in the Peer Review are available to the public at: <u>https://www.sandia.gov/ess-</u> <u>ssl/lab_pubs/conference-archives/2018-</u> <u>program-peer-review-and-update-meeting-2/</u> . The reviews of individual projects are confidential. A summary of the reviewer comments will be made available to the public.

### Office of Cybersecurity, Energy Security, and Emergency Response (CESER)

Program, Topic or Area Evaluated and Name of Study	Brief Description of Study	Evaluators and Hyperlink to Completed Evaluation
Cybersecurity for Energy Delivery	CEDS Research & Development (R&D) investments in tools and technologies designed to prevent, detect, mitigate, and survive cyber	Various end-users of CEDS technologies
Systems (CEDS): CEDS From Innovation to Practice	have successfully transitioned to the energy sector. The report includes a description of CEDS-funded technology, how it works, and how it advanced the state-of-the-art. Reviewers determined that CEDS technologies were worthy of adopting to reduce cyber-risk, resulting in the transition of these technologies to practice in the energy sector.	https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/ files/2018/09/f55/CEDS%20From%20 Innovation%20to%20Practice%20 FINAL_0.pdf

## Fossil Energy

Program, Topic or Area Evaluated and Name of Study	Brief Description	Evaluators and Hyperlink to Completed Evaluation
Oil and Gas Peer Review	Peer Review of select projects in the Oil & Gas programarea. Specifically, project objectives, work progress, and planned activities.	Independent panel of experts convened by KeyLogic Systems <u>https://www.netl.doe.gov/coal/peerrevi</u> <u>ews</u>
Solid Oxide Fuel Cell (SOFC) Program Peer Review	Peer Review of the Solid Oxide Fuel Cell (SOFC) program.	Independent panel of experts convened by KeyLogic Systems <u>https://www.netl.doe.gov/coal/peerr</u> <u>eviews</u>
Rare Earth Elements Peer Review	Peer Review of select projects in the Rare Earth Elements program area. Specifically, project objectives, work progress, and planned activities.	Independent panel of experts convened by KeyLogic Systems <u>https://www.netl.doe.gov/coal/peerr</u> <u>eviews</u>
Crosscutting (Sensors and Controls) Peer Review	Peer Review of select projects in the Sensors and Controls program area. Specifically, project objectives, work progress, and planned activities.	Independent panel of experts convened by KeyLogic Systems <u>https://www.netl.doe.gov/coal/peerr</u> <u>eviews</u>

### Office of Science

Program, Topic or Area Evaluated and Name of Study	Brief Description of Study	Evaluators and Hyperlink to Completed Evaluation
Advanced Scientific Computing Research: Committee of Visitors (COV) review of Advanced Scientific Computing Research FY 2013-FY 2015	Committee of Visitors (COV) review of the management processes for the research programs in Applied Mathematics, Computer Science, and Computational Partnerships called Scientific Discovery through Advanced Computing (SciDAC) within in the Advanced Scientific Computing Research (ASCR) Program during the fiscal years 2013-2015.	Advanced Scientific Computing Advisory Committee (ASCAC) <u>https://science.energy.gov/</u> ~/media/sc-2/pdf/cov- ascr/2017/ASCA_COV_Rep ort on ASCR_Research_FY 13-FY15.pdf
Biological and Environmental Research: Committee of Visitors (COV) review of the current Biological Systems Science Division (BSSD)	The Committee of Visitors (COV) reviewed several components of the current Biological Systems Science Division (BSSD) science portfolio that were active during the 2014–2017 period.	Biological and Environmental Research Advisory Committee (BERAC) Hyperlink – https://science.energy.gov/ ~/media/sc-2/pdf/cov- ber/2017/BER_COV_2017 BSSD_Report.pdf

Program, Topic or Area Evaluated and Name of Study	Brief Description of Study	Evaluators and Hyperlink to Completed Evaluation
Evaluation to help LM reduce budget expenditures and improve our stakeholder confidence	DOE Office of Science, Office of Environmental Management, and Office of Legacy Management are integrating resources to evaluate technical needs and develop innovative approaches or solutions to advance environmental remediation protectiveness, cost effectiveness, and compliance. In 2018 the Office of Science	Savannah River National Lab: Luke Reid, Carol Eddy- Dilek, and Brian Looney.
	<ul> <li>performed the following targeted evaluations on behalf of Legacy Management.</li> <li>Rocky Flats Site, Colorado</li> <li>Fernald Preserve Site, Ohio</li> <li>Tuba City Site, Arizona</li> </ul>	Hyperlink not available

### Legacy Management (LM)

### Office of Indian Energy Policy and Programs

Program, Topic or Area Evaluated and Name of Study	Brief Description of Study	Evaluators and Hyperlink to Completed Evaluation
Techno-Economic Renewable Energy Potential on Tribal Lands	This study was developed to support American Indian tribes and Alaska Natives in decision-making as they evaluate technologies, potential scales of development, and economic viability.	Panels of independent external subject matter expert reviewers from industry, academia, and federal agencies
		https://www.nrel.gov/do cs/fy18osti/70807.pdf

# **Goals to Address Management Priorities**

DOE's Agency Financial Report, available at <u>https://energy.gov/cfo/listings/agency-financial-reports</u>, provides a complete description of DOE's Management Priorities as well as a discussion of progress to date and planned actions to address these priorities. The table below provides a summary of each challenge along with the related performance goals and milestones, and the responsible DOE official.

	FY 2018 Related	FY 2019 / 2020 Related
Management Priority	Performance Goals /	Performance Goals /
	Indicators / Milestones	Indicators / Milestones
Contract and Major Project Management:	Project Management Success:	Project Management Success:
	Complete 90% of the	Complete 90% of the
Responsible Officials:	construction projects at the	construction projects at the
Under Secretary of Energy	original scope and within 10%	original scope and within 10%
Director, Office of Project Management	of cost baseline established at	of cost baseline established at
	Critical Decision (CD)-2,	Critical Decision (CD)-2,
The Department is the largest civilian contracting agency in the Federal	approve performance baseline.	approve performance baseline.
Government and spends approximately 90% of its annual budget on contracts to		
operate its scientific laboratories, engineering and production facilities, and	Result: Exceeded. 93%	
environmental restoration sites and to acquire capital assets. Contractors at DOE		
sites and laboratories perform critical missions that include maintaining the		
nuclear weapons stockpile, cleaning up radioactive and hazardous waste		
resulting from the legacy of the Manhattan Project, and conducting some of the		
world's most sophisticated basic and applied energy and scientific research		
activities. To conduct these missions, the Department must manage some of the		
largest, most complex capital asset projects in either the public or private sector.		
The Department's portfolio of construction projects includes over 90 projects at		
a value of \$103 billion. Within the portfolio, there are 32 construction projects in		
execution, or post-CD-2, totaling \$32 billion. These projects are tracked to CD-4,		
or project completion, and performance is measured and reported against this		
particular agency goal. The remaining projects in the portfolio are in planning		
and design.		

Management	FY 2018 Related Performance Goals / Indicators /	FY 2019 / 2020 Related Performance Goals / Indicators
Priority	Milestones	/ Milestones
Security:	Implement an insider threat program to detect, deter, and mitigate insider threat actions by federal and contractor	Implement an insider threat program to detect, deter, and mitigate insider threat actions by federal and contractor
Responsible Official:	employees.	employees.
Associate Under		
Secretary for	Development of Departmental Insider Threat Program	FY 2019 Performance Measures:
Environment, Health,	Training/Communication/Awareness/Education material	Fulfill 90% of Local Insider Threat Working Group
Safety and Security	for DOE general population and other groups such as practitioners and supervisors.	(LITWIG) training and technical support requests.
Safeguarding and		• Train 60% of all DOE Senior Executives on workforce
protecting national	Result: Met. In 2018 the Insider Threat Program	stressors and indicators.
assets entrusted to	developed an annual briefing and a briefing for new	
DOE in an effective	supervisors and employees.	FY 2020 Performance Measures:
and efficient manner		Conduct four or more Site Assistance Visits, as requested
that supports DOE	Conduct of quarterly Site Assistance Visits to assist Local	by the sites, to assist Local Insider Threat Working
mission success. The	Insider Threat Working Groups in the establishment and	Groups in the establishment and administration of their
protection of national	administration of their programs.	programs.
assets entrusted to	Result: Met The Insider Threat Program has conducted	Turin a dditional 2004 of all DOE Canier Eventities on
DOE are vital to	Site Assistance Visits at 16 of 31 sites.	<ul> <li>Train additional 20% of all DOE senior Executives on workforce strossors and indicators, when compared with</li> </ul>
preserving the highest		provious year training
ideals of America's	Improve electrical grid resiliency and security through	previous year training.
way of life.	partnerships with the Power Marketing Administrations,	Support cost effective implementation of the Department's
	the North American Electric Reliability Corporation, and the	Design Basis Threat Order to address credible and emerging
	Department of Defense's Counter-terrorism Technology.	threats to personnel, assets, facilities, and missions.
	• Completion and validation of the Power SURGE (Security	FY 2019 Performance Measure:
	Upgrades for Reliable Grid Enhancements) Asset	Complete 80% of DBT Implementation Milestones on
	Protection matrix and publication of Power SURGE	time and within current cost projections. and Site
	Technology Transfer Manual.	Master Security Plans
	Result: Met. The Asset Protection Matrix, along with	FY 2020 Performance Measures:
	instructions for its use and applicable training materials,	

Management	FY 2018 Related Performance Goals / Indicators /	FY 2019 / 2020 Related Performance Goals / Indicators
Priority	Milestones	/ Milestones
	were completed and provided to the DOE Power Marketing Administrations.	Complete 90% of site assistance visits provided within 60 days of initial field request
	<ul> <li>Adoption and use of new electric grid risk assessment methodology by Power Marketing Administrations.</li> </ul>	• Complete 90% of security waivers and exemptions received within 60 days of Program Office initial request.
	Result: Met. All of the Power Marketing Administrations have been trained in the use of the Power SURGE risk assessment tool and they are adopting it for use in physical security risk assessments.	Update information classification policy and guidance to stay abreast of emerging programs, technologies, and threats in order to protect national security interests.
		FY 2019 Performance Measures:
	<ul> <li>Recognition by the North American Electric Reliability Corporation that the new DOE risk assessment is acceptable to use to meet their standards.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reduce Incidents of Security Concerns by 30% from previous year reporting across the DOE Enterprise as reported in the Safeguards and Security Information Management System (SIMMS)</li> </ul>
	Result: Met. EHSS staff briefed NERC, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and other electric utility regulators on the Power SURGE risk assessment tool. While the regulators, by internal policy, cannot officially endorse a specific risk assessment tool or methodology, they unanimously affirmed that the EHSS risk assessment tool would meet the intent of their risk	<ul> <li>Train 90% of all Officially Designated Federal Security Authority (ODFSAs), Classification Officers, and Security Specialists on compliance objectives listed in DOE Order 473.3A, Chg. 1, Protection Programs Operations</li> <li>Manage information declassification actions within 90</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>assessment requirements.</li> <li>Completion and implementation of TINCAP</li> </ul>	days of initial notification from site on all Technical Evaluation Panel reports.
	(Transmission Incident Notification system for Critical Asset Protection) as a means to provide real-time situational awareness of coordinated attacks on the grid. Result: Not Met - TINCAP received zero funding in FY 2018 and all work on this project was curtailed.	<ul> <li>FY 2020 Performance Measures:</li> <li>Manage information declassification actions within 90 days of initial notification from site on all Technical Evaluation Panel reports.</li> </ul>

Management	FY 2018 Related Performance Goals / Indicators /	FY 2019 / 2020 Related Performance Goals / Indicators
Priority	Milestones	/ Milestones
	Support cost effective implementation of the Department's	
	Design Basis Threat Order to address credible and emerging	
	threats to personnel, assets, facilities, and missions.	
	<ul> <li>Site assistance visits provided within 30 days of field</li> </ul>	
	request	
	Result: Met. EHSS conducted all site requests for DBT	
	implementation assistance within 30 days. Support	
	included: Scenario Development Review Feam (SDRT)	
	VISILS to Savannan River Site (SRS) and ruano National	
	assistance reviews at V 12 and Pantov: and technical	
	consultations at Oak Pidgo National Laboratory (OPNL)	
	and SRS for characterization processing disposition and	
	nrotection strategies for excess accountable special	
	nuclear materials. Additionally, EHSS conducted two	
	broad scale DBT implementation assistance activities	
	including 1) a workshop with key stakeholders to	
	provide requested detailed guidance for implementation	
	of the Material Risk Review Committee (MRRC)	
	requirements under the 2016 DBT policy, and 2) a	
	Vulnerability Assessment Technical Working Group	
	(VATWG) workshop for Departmental program offices	
	and sites to assist with and answer key questions toward	
	implementation of 2016 DBT policy.	
	• Waivers and exemptions processed within 60 days of	
	program office request	
	Result: Met. EHSS processed all DBT waiver and	
	exemption requests within 60 days of notification.	
	Support included Material Risk Review Committee	

Management	FY 2018 Related Performance Goals / Indicators /	FY 2019 / 2020 Related Performance Goals / Indicators
Priority	Milestones	/ Milestones
	(MRRC) requests from INL and SRS for security material risk assessments related to multi-year special nuclear material disposition campaigns.	
	<ul> <li>Update information classification policy and guidance to stay abreast of emerging programs, technologies, and threats in order to protect national security interests.</li> <li>Develop a policy guidance bulletin for procurement activities.</li> </ul>	
	Result: Met. Developed final draft of classification policy bulletin for procurement activities for coordination with program offices. EHSS will finalize the document of the coordination effort is completed.	
	<ul> <li>Manage information declassification actions to ensure coordination within 90 days of Technical Evaluation Panel recommendations.</li> </ul>	
	Result: Met. All actions coordinated within 90 days of receipt of TEP recommendations.	
	• Examine Unclassified Controlled Nuclear Information scope for expanded use in weapons information. Result: Met. Ongoing effort – Solicited Field input for changes to UCNI regulation to decrease cost of use.	
	• Update at least ten guides and bulletins.	
	Result: Met. EHSS completed 16 HQ classification guides and issued 13 bulletins.	

Managament Drievity	FY 2018 Related Performance Goals / Indicators /	FY 2019 / 2020 Related Performance Goals
Management Priority	Milestones	/ Indicators / Milestones
Environmental Cleanup:	Safely clean up the environmental legacy brought about by six decades of nuclear weapons development and government-	Safely clean up the environmental legacy brought about by six decades of nuclear
Responsible Official: Environmental Management	sponsored nuclear energy research.	weapons development and government- sponsored nuclear energy research.
	FY 2018 milestones:	
For over 25 years, EM has worked to clean up the environmental legacy of six decades of nuclear weapons production and government- sponsored energy research. While significant progress has been made, some of the highest risk and most technically complex work still remains.	<ul> <li>Resume mining operations at the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) Result: Met. WIPP mining resumed in January 2018.</li> <li>Complete treatment of legacy Remote-Handled Transuranic waste at the Idaho Site Result: Met. Treatment of the legacy Remote-Handled Transuranic waste identified in the Idaho Settlement Agreement is complete. The waste now requires certification to the Waste Acceptance Criteria Revision 8 for disposal at the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant, but there are no</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>FY 2019 milestones:</li> <li>Continue efforts to commission the Savannah River Site (SRS) Salt Waste Processing Facility (SWPF) to support startup in FY 2020.</li> <li>Submit the fifth WIPP Compliance Recertification Application to the Environmental Protection Agency</li> <li>Complete demolition of the C-400 Cleaning Building at the Paducah Site</li> </ul>
	certified to.	<ul> <li>Start up the SRS SWPF with planned processing rates of 3,800,000 gallons</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Declare first process building (X-326) demolition ready at Portsmouth</li> <li>Result: Not Met. The milestone for the X-326 process building to be demolition ready was not met in FY 2018.</li> <li>However, the site has initiated limited pre-demolition</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>per year.</li> <li>Issue draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) for Phase 2 Decommissioning at West Valley.</li> </ul>
	activities, including asbestos abatement, which are being done concurrently with final deactivation activities. The milestone is expected to be completed in Q4 FY 2019.	In addition to the above milestones, cleanup progress is measured by the EM corporate performance measures reported in the annual performance plan/report.
	<ul> <li>West Valley Demonstration Project Vitrification Facility - Demolished to Grade and removed.</li> </ul>	

Management Priority	FY 2018 Related Performance Goals / Indicators / Milestones	FY 2019 / 2020 Related Performance Goals / Indicators / Milestones
	Result: Met. Demolished to grade and removed in September 2018.	
Management	FY 2018 Related Performance	FY 2019 / 2020 Related Performance Goals /
---	--	--
Priority	Goals/Indicators/Milestones	Indicators / Milestones
<b>Cybersecurity:</b> Responsible Official: Chief Information Officer	<ul> <li>Protect - MFA - Unprivileged Network Account performance</li> <li>Unprivileged Network Accounts that use a PIV credential or other NIST 800-63 r3 IAL3/AAL3/FAL3 must be equal to 85%. Result: Not Met – 70%</li> </ul>	<ul> <li><u>Protect - MFA - Unprivileged Network Account performance</u></li> <li>Unprivileged Network Accounts that use a PIV credential or other NIST 800-63 r3 IAL3/AAL3/FAL3 must be equal to 85%. FY 2019 Target: 85%, FY 2020 Target: 85%</li> </ul>
evolving cybersecurity landscape presents unprecedented opportunities and challenges. Achieving a safe, secure, and resilient cyber environment requires DOE to continually pursue cost effective investments and activities to reduce cyber risk. Cyber is an enterprise-wide responsibility that demands an expanded view to encompass the broad scope of information sharing and information safeguarding.	<ul> <li>Implement Federated Identity Management infrastructure linking identity sources across DOE to OneID. Target: ≥ 95%, Result: Exceeded – 97%</li> <li>Protect - Standards Based Fed Access Mgmt Infrastructure</li> <li>Implement Standards Based Fed Access Mgmt Infrastructure</li> <li>Implement Standards Based Federated Access Management Infrastructure across DOE to enable single sign-on Target: 95%, Result: Not Met – 90%</li> <li>Protect - High-Priority Application Authentication</li> <li>Conduct a role-based risk assessment for all applications supporting high priority (FISMA) systems, identify the proper credential for each role within the application in accordance with the revised NIST 800-63 standard, and require the use of the proper credential for role-based access to the application. Target: ≥ 30%, Result: Exceeded – 34%</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Implement Federated Identity Management infrastructure linking identity sources across DOE to OneID. FY 2019 Target: 100%, FY 2020 Target: 100%</li> <li>Protect - Standards Based Fed Access Mgmt Infrastructure</li> <li>Implement Standards Based Federated Access Management Infrastructure across DOE to enable single sign-on FY 2019 Target: ≥ 95%, FY 2020 Target: ≥ 95%</li> <li>Protect - High-Priority Application Authentication</li> <li>Conduct a role-based risk assessment for all applications supporting high priority (FISMA) systems, identify the proper credential for each role within the application in accordance with the revised NIST 800-63 standard, and require the use of the proper credential for role-based access to the application. FY 2019 Target: ≥ 50%, FY 2020 Target: ≥ 60%</li> </ul>

Management Brierity	FY 2018 Related Performance	FY 2019 / 2020 Related Performance Goals /	
	Goals/Indicators/Milestones	Indicators / Milestones	
Human Capital Management:	Annual Reductions in Average time to	Annual Reductions in Average time to hire.	
Responsible Official: Chief Human Capital	hire.	FY 2019 Target:≤80 calendar days.	
Officer	Target:≤80 calendar days.	FY 2020 Target: ≤ 80 calendar days.	
	Result: Not Met: 128.7 days		
DOE requires an engaged and high-performing		<ul> <li>Retention of a high performing workforce -</li> </ul>	
federal workforce to accomplish its mission.	<ul> <li>Implement a framework for</li> </ul>	Increase the retention of a high performing	
Key human capital challenges include:	performance-based culture - Percent	workforce	
	of SES with compliant plans.	FY 2019 Target: ≤38 % of all attrition is made up	
<ul> <li>Competition for highly skilled talent;</li> </ul>	Target:≥90%	of High Performing Employees	
Risk to institutional knowledge due to	Result: Exceeded – 93.6%	FY 2020 Target: ≤ 36 % of all attrition is made up	
retirement eligibility of the workforce;		of High Performing Employees	
<ul> <li>Vulnerability to unplanned attrition;</li> </ul>			
Workforce and leadership development			
gaps; and			
Employee Engagement.			

Managament Driavity	FY 2018 Related Performance	FY 2019 / 2020 Related Performance Goals /
Management Priority	Goals/Indicators/Milestones	Indicators / Milestones
Safety:	Assist program offices in continuing	Assist program offices in continuing DOE's excellent
	DOE's excellent safety performance at	safety performance at levels exceeding industry
Responsible Official: Associate Under Secretary	levels exceeding industry performance.	performance.
for Environment, Health, Safety and Security		
	<ul> <li>DOE occupational illness and injury</li> </ul>	FY 2019 and FY 2020 Performance Measures:
Maintain the safety and health of the DOE	incidence rates and days away from	DOE occupational illness and injury incidence rates
workforce and ensure the safety of the public	work due to illness and injury cases	and days away from work due to illness and injury
and the environment from Departmental	less than industry.	cases less than industry.
operations while striving to enhance the		
Department's productivity to achieve mission	Result: Met. DOE occupational	Improve DOE's safety culture by establishing a safety
objectives.	illness and injury incidence rates and	culture community of interest to share best practices,
	days away from work due to illness	performing safety culture self-assessments, and
	and injury cases were less than	implementing methods to monitor safety culture
	industry.	performance.
	Improve DOE's safety culture by	FY 2019 and FY 2020 Performance Measures:
	establishing a safety culture community	Conduct 10 or more Safety Culture Improvement
	of interest to share best practices,	Panel Webex meetings and one training workshop
	performing safety culture self-	to share best practices and lessons learned for
	assessments, and implementing	building a robust safety culture.
	methods to monitor safety culture	
	performance.	Provide training on safety culture at 90% of the
		new SES Orientation training sessions.
	• The number of lessons learned/best	
	practices shared	Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (DNFSB)
		Provide effective cross organizational leadership in
	Result: Met. DOE's contractors	prioritizing, managing, and resolving DNFSB technical
	developed and shared lessons	and management issues to ensure adequate
	learned, best practices, and	protection of public health and safety at the
	penchmarking results throughout FY	Department's defense nuclear facilities.
	2018. The subjects included key	FY 2019 and FY 2020 Performance Measures:
	elements of safety culture such as	

Managament Brievity	FY 2018 Related Performance	FY 2019 / 2020 Related Performance Goals /	
Management Phonty	Goals/Indicators/Milestones	Indicators / Milestones	
	<ul> <li>organizational culture, contractor assurance, employee engagement, electrical safety, occupational medicine, and work planning and control. This was an on-going activity that varied greatly from informal discussions in meetings and conferences to formal documents that were posted on web sites.</li> <li>The number of lessons/practices</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Complete 80% of Departmental actions on time, including DNFSB Recommendations, Implementation Plans, Correspondence, and Reporting Requirements.</li> <li>Environmental Protection Provide training and technical consultation on key environmental protection and natural resource management topics to address DOE site and program needs.</li> </ul>	
	adopted by sites. Result: Met. Lessons learned, best practices, and benchmarking results shared throughout FY 2018 have been adopted by contractors and Federal offices at DOE sites. Adoption was an on-going activity that varied greatly from employee workgroup initiatives to organization- wide surveys and resultant senior management initiatives.	<ul> <li>FY 2019 and FY 2020 Performance Measures:</li> <li>Issue updated Technical Standards on Derived Concentration Standards and Evaluating Radiation Doses to Biota, to improve process efficiencies and environmental protection outcomes.</li> <li>Health and Safety</li> <li>FY 2019 and FY 2020 Performance Measures:</li> <li>Perform 6 Voluntary Protection Program assessments assisting the site in maintaining excellence in protecting worker safety and health execution through evaluation and recommendations on opportunities to improve</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>The number of self-assessments conducted</li> <li>Result: Met. All Federal offices used relevant elements of the OPM's</li> <li>Federal Employee Viewpoint survey for safety culture self-assessments and most contractors perform self- assessments consistent with DOE's</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>formal site Worker Safety and Health Programs.</li> <li>Nuclear Safety</li> <li>FY 2019 Performance Measure:</li> <li>Complete development of a new Standard for Hazard Category 3 facilities that will clarify an</li> </ul>	

Management Priority	FY 2018 Related Performance	FY 2019 / 2020 Related Performance Goals /
	Goals/Indicators/Milestones	Indicators / Milestones
	Energy Facility Contractors Group's Best Practices.	acceptable graded-approach to meet safety requirements in FY 2019.
	<ul> <li>The number of sites actively measuring safety culture performance.</li> <li>Result: Met. All Federal offices are actively measuring safety culture performance since it is an element of the annual OPM Federal Employee Viewpoint survey. Most contractors, especially the large prime contractors, are actively measuring safety culture performance. Some of these large prime contractors include their subcontractors.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>FY 2020 Performance Measure:</li> <li>Complete rulemaking to revise 10 CFR Part 830, Nuclear Safety Management, to reduce unnecessary regulatory burden and help ensure an effective and efficient nuclear safety framework no later than the end of FY 2020.</li> </ul>
	Develop, pilot and deliver safety culture courses for DOE for each of the following three audiences: senior managers, front line managers, and employees.	
	<ul> <li>The number of individuals in each category trained per year.</li> <li>Result: Met. Supported DOE (including EHSS) with employee engagement and workplace culture improvement initiatives</li> </ul>	

Management Drievity	FY 2018 Related Performance	FY 2019 / 2020 Related Performance
Management Priority	Goals/Indicators/Milestones	Goals / Indicators / Milestones
Infrastructure:	Condition – Increase the percent of	Condition – Increase the percent of DOE
	DOE owned and "active" buildings,	owned and "active" buildings, trailers, and
Responsible Official: Director, Office of Management	trailers, and structures (excluding	structures (excluding FERC, LM, NR, and
	FERC, LM, NR and PMAs) assessed	PMAs) assessed as "adequate" based on
DOE is responsible for a vast portfolio of world-leading	as "adequate" based on	replacement plan value (RPV) and a
scientific and production assets as well as the general	replacement plant value (RPV) and	completed assessment.
purpose infrastructure that supports the Department to	a completed assessment.	
operate and use those assets. While the Department has	FY 2018 Target: 58%	FY 2019 Performance Target: 58.25%
made significant investments in its world-class mission	Result: Met-58%	FY 2020 Performance Target: 58.50%
facilities, much of the supporting infrastructure, including		
office space, general laboratory spaces, maintenance shops,	Energy and Water Sustainability	Energy and Water Sustainability
and utilities that enables the mission and forms the backbone	Performance - In accordance with	Performance - In accordance with
of the laboratory and production plant sites, is beyond its	statutory and executive order	statutory and executive order
design life, and is in need of greater attention. Based on	requirements DOE will perform a	requirements DOE will perform a sufficient
Department-wide facility assessments and data analyses, the	sufficient number of building	number of building evaluations, such that,
Department is facing a systemic challenge of degrading	evaluations, such that, in a four-year	in a four-year period, at least 90% of
infrastructure and high levels of deferred maintenance.	period, at least 90% of owned buildings	owned buildings and/or square footage
	and/or square footage will be assessed	will be assessed for energy & water
In addition to a degrading infrastructure, excess	for energy & water efficiency	efficiency opportunities and incorporation
contaminated facilities can pose a risk to safety, security, and	opportunities and incorporation of	of sustainability principles as required.
programmatic objectives. The Department faces a significant	sustainability principles as required.	
challenge with the number of aging excess facilities		FY 2019 Performance Target: 90%
throughout the complex and need to deactivate,	FY 2018 Target: 90%	FY 2020 Performance Target: 90%
decontaminate, decommission, and demolish those facilities	Result: Not Met – 85%	
in the near term.		