PMC-ND

(1.08.09.13)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



RECIPIENT: University of Michigan STATE: MI

PROJECT

High-Temperature Linear Receiver Enabled by Multicomponent Aerogels TITLE:

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number Procurement Instrument Number NEPA Control Number CID Number DE-FOA-0002243 DF-FF0009376 GFO-0009376-001

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Policy 451.1), I have made the following determination:

CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

A9 Information gathering,

Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information analysis, and dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and dissemination informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

B3.6 Smallscale **laboratory** operations, and pilot projects

Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and research and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) frequently conducted to verify a development, concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide federal funding to University of Michigan (UM) to develop a novel solar receiver for condensing solar power (CSP) applications. The receiver would be developed using aerogel technologies to improve system efficiencies. As part of the project, a prototype receiver would be assembled and used for performance testing.

Proposed project activities would include computer modeling, data analysis, conceptual design work, aerogel synthesis and coating, material characterization, receiver prototype assembly, and performance testing. Aerogel coating would be performed using testing blocks measuring approximately 5". The receiver prototype would be a laboratory-scale device, which would include a receiver tube with an approximate length of 30", along with several aerogel blocks and a commercial solar absorber. Receiver performance testing would be conducted indoors, using laboratory equipment. No outdoor testing would be performed.

UM would perform all project activities at purpose-built laboratory facilities at its campus in Ann Arbor, MI. No physical modifications to existing facilities, ground disturbing activities, or changes to the use, mission, or operation of existing facilities would be required. No additional permits or authorizations would be required.

Project work would involve the use and handling of chemical solvents, acids, bases, and precursors, as well as substances containing nanoscale materials. All such handling would be performed in controlled laboratory environments. To mitigate potential hazards, UM would adhere to established university health and safety policies and procedures. Protocols would include employee training, the use of personal protective equipment (PPE), engineering controls, monitoring, and internal assessments. Substances containing nanoscale materials would be handled primarily in aqueous solutions or aerogel monolith forms, with little risk of aerosolization. All such substances would be handled under fume hoods by personnel utilizing appropriate PPE. Chemicals and other waste products would be handled, stored, and transported in accordance with university waste management policies and existing permits. UM would observe all applicable Federal, state, and local health, safety, and environmental

regulations.

NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination.

Notes:

Solar Energy Technologies Office This NEPA determination does not require a tailored NEPA Provision. NEPA review completed by Jonathan Hartman, 01/21/2021

FOR CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATIONS

The proposed action (or the part of the proposal defined in the Rationale above) fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D. To fit within the classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, a proposal must be one that would not: (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders; (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities; (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to, those listed in paragraph B(4) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B; (5) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those listed in paragraph B(5) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposed action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposed action has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. This proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211 concerning limitations on actions during preparation of an environmental impact statement.

The proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.

NEPA Compliance Officer Signature:	Signed By: Kristin Kerwin	Date:	1/21/2021	
	NEPA Compliance Officer			
FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMIN	ATION			
✓ Field Office Manager review not require☐ Field Office Manager review required	bd.			
BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WI	TH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO):		
Field Office Manager's Signature:		Date:		
	Field Office Manager			