High efficiency flammable refrigerant leak event risk mitigation







Oak Ridge National Laboratory Viral K. Patel, R&D Staff member Phone: 865-576-5992 Email: patelvk@ornl.gov

Project Summary

Timeline:

Start date: 10/01/2019 Planned end date: 09/30/2021 Kev Milestones

- 1. Complete the experimental campaign and suggest modifications if needed, 03/31/2021
- 2. Complete the evaluation of the final improved leak mitigation solutions to determine their effectiveness in reducing refrigerant concentration to below LFL 5 min after release, 03/31/2021

Budget:

Total Project \$ to Date:

- DOE: \$400,000
- Cost Share: \$240,000

Total Project \$:

- DOE: \$400,000
- Cost Share: \$240,000

Key Partners:

Foundation of the National Automatic Merchandising Association (NAMA Foundation)



Project Outcome:

To support the refrigerated vending machine (RVM) industry in transitioning to the low-GWP R-290 refrigerant by:

- 1. Identifying viable flammability risk mitigation techniques and verifying their effectiveness in the laboratory
- Providing NAMA with experimental data to support their efforts to reassess usage restrictions on RVMs using R-290

The work will support the RVM transition from high-GWP refrigerant ($GWP_{R-134a} = 1430$) to low-GWP refrigerant ($GWP_{R-290} = 3$).

Team

• ORNL R&D staff: Viral Patel



R&D Staff - project coordination and planning, performing experiments, data analysis, report preparation, communication with project team and partners Tugba Turnaoglu



Postdoctoral Research Associate – performing experimental work, data analysis, report preparation Ahmad Abu-Heiba



R&D Staff – performing experimental work, data analysis, communication with project team and partners Anthony Gehl



Technical Senior Staff – experimental setup, hardware and software for data acquisition

- ORNL facilities and operations staff, craft personnel
- NAMA members
 - Represents manufacturers and distributors of automatic vending machines in North America

Challenge

- Most current RVMs in US use R-134a (A1 refrigerant¹) which is deemed unacceptable in new equipment as of January 1, 2019, by the (EPA SNAP Rule, Substitutes in Vending Machines) and banned by California Air Resources Board (CARB) regulations
- For technical and economic reasons, the RVM industry has selected R-290 (A3 refrigerant¹) as the alternative to R-134a.
- Safety standards have dictated that only A1 refrigerants allowed in RVMs in common areas of commercial buildings (represent 75% of all applications for RVMs). ASHRAE 15 formed a working group to assist with information on possibly allowing A3 refrigerants in those locations:
 - ASHRAE 15 and UL have updated their standards with input from NAMA to allow up to 114 g of an A3 refrigerant in previously restricted area; current machines using an A3 refrigerant are thus in compliance with ASHRAE and UL
- The RVM industry, through NAMA, needs assistance in identifying ways to reduce the risk of leaks which may have safety implications, assist the industry in finding ways to build these machines and remain competitive and in compliance with DOE energy efficiency rules and to address the mitigation that will be necessary when quantities of A3 refrigerants above 114 g are used (e.g., in larger systems).





¹ASHRAE Standard 15-2019: Safety Standard for Refrigeration Systems

Approach

- Develop a mitigation technique that can reduce the risk of flammability.
 - Criteria for successful mitigation was set forth by NAMA: bring the local concentration around the machine below LFL within 5 minutes of full-bore leak under any operating condition
- Verify the effectiveness of the developed technique experimentally under different working conditions:
 - Machine state: ON or OFF
 - Release location: high or low side
 - $\circ~$ Release rate: fast or slow



- Evaluation
- Evaluate the top mitigation technique candidates experimentally



 Characterize, qualitatively and/or quantitatively, the effectiveness of each technique Impact



In FY20:

- A survey was sent out to manufacturers to compile data on most common types of RVMs and most common arrangements
 - Four machines were selected for this work that covered most variations
- Results of related work in the literature on flammable refrigerant risk mitigation were reviewed
- NAMA members were asked to rank several solutions for flammable refrigerant leak mitigation
 - The two highest ranking solutions were selected for investigation
- A test matrix was developed for testing of the four different RVM samples
- A lab setup was built to conduct tests



• Tests involved release of R-290 and study of effectiveness of mitigation techniques for four RVMs:



- Parameters varied:
 - Mitigation method (Additional fans, CO₂)
 - R-290 charge (114 g, 150 g)
 - Equipment operation (on, off)
 - Leak location (high-side, low-side)
 - Leak rate (fast, slow)
 - Other (door opening, machine fill level)
- Measurements:
 - R-290 concentration
 - Pressure, temperature, power consumption



- Refrigerant release tests performed inside 7 ft x 8 ft x 8 ft enclosure to simulate the installation of RVMs in small break room
- Enclosure structure entirely covered with heavy-weight, flame- and heat-resistant fiberglass cloth
- Test enclosure located inside engine test cell with adjacent control room
- All sensors and controls including electronic refrigerant release valve wired to data acquisition computer in control room
- Tests initiated, and all measurements monitored safely by researchers in control room





Example • 25 results are shown for one unit under test 20 LFL and UFL •



6

5



•2



15

10





 59 experiments completed in total for all machines



Stakeholder Engagement

- The project is a late-stage cooperative R&D agreement (CRADA) between ORNL and NAMA
- Relevant safety standards bodies (ASHRAE, UL) will be engaged through NAMA
- Diverse set of OEMs that are part of NAMA have been engaged in every step to discuss approach and results and have provided guidance on relevant test parameters and test matrix
 - Represents most of the manufacturers and distributors of automatic vending machines in North America.

Remaining Project Work

- Milestones met this FY:
 - Complete the experimental campaign and suggest modifications if needed
 - Complete the evaluation of the final improved leak mitigation solutions to determine their effectiveness in reducing refrigerant concentration to below LFL 5 min after release
- In progress:
 - Prepare report of experimental data
- Further potential work to be done in FY22 (CRADA extension request in progress):
 - Low-cost reliable flammable refrigerant sensors
 - Reduction in energy consumption of RVMs through component and charge optimization

Thank you

Oak Ridge National Laboratory Viral K. Patel, R&D Staff member (865)-576-5992 | patelvk@ornl.gov



ORNL's Building Technologies Research and Integration Center (BTRIC) has supported DOE BTO since 1993. BTRIC is comprised of 50,000+ ft² of lab facilities conducting RD&D to support the DOE mission to equitably transition America to a carbon pollution-free electricity sector by 2035 and carbon free economy by 2050.

Scientific and Economic Results

238 publications in FY20
125 industry partners
27 university partners
10 R&D 100 awards
42 active CRADAs

BTRIC is a DOE-Designated National User Facility

REFERENCE SLIDES

Project Budget

Project Budget: DOE: \$400,000, Cost Share: \$240,000 Variances: None Cost to Date: \$370,211 Additional Funding: None

Budget History											
10/01/2019 – FY 2020 (past)		FY 2021 (current)		FY 2022 – (planned)							
DOE	Cost-share	DOE	Cost-share	DOE	Cost-share						
\$200,000	\$120,000	\$200,000	\$120,000								

Project Plan and Schedule

Project Start: 10/01/2019		Completed Work						
Projected End: 09/30/2021		Active Task (in progress work)						
		Regular Milestone/Deliverable						
		Go/No-Go Milestone/Deliverab						rable
		FY2020			FY2021			
Task	Q1 (Oct-Dec)	Q2 (Jan-Mar)	Q3 (Apr-Jun)	Q4 (Jul-Sep)	Q1 (Oct-Dec)	Q2 (Jan-Mar)	Q3 (Apr-Jun)	Q4 (Jul-Sep)
Past Work								
Complete the review of refrigerated vending machines and UL541 requirements								
Report the Task 2 review results and make multiple suggestions for risk mitigation								
Complete the lab setup for evaluation, and submit the summary overview of the past								
research to identify the safety measures to prevent refrigerant built-up								
Complete the screening studies and proposed the two highest potential solutions								
Report the two highest potential solutions after incorporating Participant's input								
Complete the integration of the top two solutions to RVM and initiate the evaluation								
Complete the experimental campaign and suggest modifications if needed.								
Complete the evaluation of the final improved leak mitigation solutions to determine								
their effectiveness in reducing refrigerant concentration to below LFL 5 min after release								
Current/Future Work								
Submit the final report								