



DOE Bioenergy Technologies Office (BETO) 2021 Project Peer Review

Bio-C2G Model for Rapid, Agile Assessment of Biofuel and Co-product Routes

March 23, 2021 System Development and Integration

PI: Corinne D. Scown Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

Project Overview

Challenge: Feedstock availability, technoeconomic analysis, and life-cycle assessment are modeled on different platforms, difficult to integrate into a single model. Handoffs are clunky and involve proprietary, expensive, expert-only software

Goal: Develop a lightweight, flexible model capable of quantifying production costs, life-cycle emissions, water use, other relevant metrics for a hypothetical facility with identified organic/biomass feedstocks.





1 – Management





Corinne Scown (PI) TEA/LCA Expert

Tyler Huntington (Software Dev.) Tool Development

on Nawa Baral /.) (Proj Sci) ent TEA/LCA





Minliang Yang (Postdoc) TEA/LCA



Sarah Nordahl (PhD Student) LCA

All team members at Lawrence Berkeley National Lab Team located (in non-COVID times) at EmeryStation East, near Joint BioEnergy Institute & ABPDU





1 – Management



Risk	Mitigation
Limited adoption of tools	Frequent feedback from JBEI users, input from ABPDU and clients, freedom to shift emphasis based on user needs
Model too complex or inaccurate	Staff project with software developer + TEA/LCA experts to ensure robust code and accuracy, publish results in peer-reviewed articles



2 – Approach

- Get all biomass data in one place, including current USDA data
 and projected data from *Billion Ton report*
- Provide users ability to select biomass available around a site of interest, directly feed quantity and composition into a TEA/LCA

e.	Q Search	Logand
Q Search		Material Recovery Facilities
Biomass Data Source:	Biomass Data Source: DOE Billion-Ton Biomass Data ✓	✓ ● WWT Anaerobic Digestors
USDA NASS Biomass Data		 W2E Anaerobic Digestors Combustion Plants
Data Filters		 District Energy Systems
Year: 2017 ✔	Year: 2020 ✔ Biomass Types:	Biorefineries
Biomass Types:	Agricultural Residues	County Biomass (BDT/Year)
	 Forest Residues Food Waste 	< 10 > 1,500,000
	 Energy Crops Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) 	
	Manure Scenario:	
	1% Yield Increase (Basecase) ✔	

Provide point source locations that may supply feedstocks or compete for types of biomass







Surrogate ML modeling, simplified python-based process modeling, & physical units IO LCA modeling



Challenges:

- Accurate energy balance
- Maintaining stable tool
- Relevance for industry w/out much cellulosic biofuel activity

Metrics: # users, accuracy (R²), completeness, geographic coverage, # production routes built in



3 – Impact

- Puts TEA and LCA in the hands of non-experts (but with "guardrails")
- Provides insight into how varying different parts of the process impacts overall cost and environmental impact results – enables lab scientists to see what matters, what doesn't
- 4 publications published in ES&T (IF=7.9), Current Opinions in Biotechnology (IF=8.5), ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering (IF=7.6)
- 6,825 visits
- 370 unique visitors
- ~10 min per visit



Tools & Resources







Milestone Name/Description	Criteria	End Date	Туре Р	retreatment:
Finalize and demonstrate automated documentation	Live demonstration of	12/31/2020	QPM Regular	 Ionic Liquid ([Ch][Lys])
filtering) tool, TEA, and LCA	auto-generate documentation			 Dilute Acid
	file			– AFEX
Complete integration of separations tool and	Case study documentation	3/31/2021	QPM Regular	– DMR
BioC2G tool	article		• P	roducts:
Build capability in Biositing tool to select at least 3	Live demonstration	6/30/2021	QPM Regular	– Ethanol
different types of plastics as a feedstock for conversion from MPEs				 Limonene + Limonane
				 Bisabolene + Bisabolane
Complete demonstration case study (defined in Task 4)	Preparation of journal article	9/30/2021	Annual Regular-	 Isopentenol (posted soon)
emissions, water consumption), and deliver completed			Project)	 DMCO (posted soon)
harmonization with other BETO-sponsored			• S	cenarios:
tools/models.			-	 State of Technology (today

- Baseline (50% theoretical yield)
- Optimal (90% theoretical yield)





- Tool allows users to define location, radius, and feedstock scenario
- Filter feedstock tyles of interest
- Carry over feedstock mix & quantity to TEA/LCA tool

Fee	idstock: ixed V
Fee	28.190 (metric tons/day) Reset To Default
Fee 114	Adstock Cost: 10531 (\$/metric ton)
Fee	edstock Moisture Content:
Fee	edstock Cellulose Content: 24529 (%) Reset To Default
Fee	edstock Hemicellulose Content: 19949 (%) Reset To Default
Fee 20.	2dstock Lignin Content: 30784 (%) Reset To Default
Fee	edstock Protein Content:

.....

BERKELEY LAE





Sample results





Separation tool built to give more flexibility specifically for sugar-based routes

Equipment Configuration & Costs



Product Specifications Microbe Properties **Boiling Point Specific Heat Capacity Microbial Host** Product Type/Application Fuel \$ 78.37 °C 2.46 J/g °C E. coli \mathbf{v} Market Value Solubility in Water Cell Diameter Vapor Pressure kPa Moderate (\$10 - \$100/kg 🗢 5.95 Soluble Insoluble 1.69 microns State of Matter Solid Content Crystallizable % Liquid \mathbf{v} 29.7 Yes Density **Required Purity** Product Accumulation % 789 g/mL 97 Extracellular Intracellular

Product Specifications & Microbe Properties

↓ Decanter Centrifuge	\rightarrow \downarrow \rightarrow Pressure Filter	Diafilter	→ + Distillation Column	→ → → → → → Molecular Sieve	→	Ethanol Total Product: 321,250,121 kg
				OPEX		
Equipment	Installed Cost	CAPEX	Materials	Energy	Consumables	Total OPEX
Decanter Centrifuge	\$524,000.00	\$2,576,993.00	\$0.00	\$25,076.00	\$0.00	\$25,076.00
Pressure Filter	\$6,502,170.00	\$10,985,140.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Diafilter	\$56,000.00	\$1,918,763.00	\$0.00	\$176.00	\$1,556.00	\$1,732.00
Distillation Column	\$34,318.00	\$1,888,268.00	\$0.00	\$3,905.00	\$0.00	\$3,905.00
Molecular Sieve	\$9,013,122.00	\$14,516,731.00	\$0.00	\$94,392.00	\$0.00	\$94,392.00
Storage Tank	\$4,099,966.00	\$7,606,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Totals	\$20,229,577.00	\$39,492,395.00	\$0.00	\$123,549.00	\$1,556.00	\$125,104.00

Minimum Selling Price Analysis



Process Parameters

Upstream Pro	cess Parameters		Recovery Pro	ocess Parameters	
Facility Working Ti	me	Solvent/Extractant	Price	Electricity Price	
7920	hr/yr	0.4	\$/kg	0.0572	\$/kWh
Flow Rate from Fer	rmentation Broth	Solvent/Extractant	Density	Onsite Storage Tim	ne
380000	kg/hr	655	%	7 days	\$
		Solvent/Extractant	Boiling Point		
		68.73	°C		



Summary

- **Goal:** Create an end-to-end feedstock assessment, TEA, & LCA tool usable by non-experts but still functional for experts.
- **Approach:** Combine fast, high-resolution feedstock mapping with lightweight process-based model and surrogate ML modeling, add physical units-based input-output life-cycle assessment model.
- Progress: Demonstrated for IL, DA, AFEX, DMR pretreatment methods, > 5 biofuels. Completed linked biositing tool, TEA, and LCA tool.
- **Potential Impact:** Early feedback for non-experts on key cost and environmental impact drivers, as well as screening of potential biorefinery sites.
- Future Work: Finish linking separations tool with conventional TEA/LCA and continue adding more routes and built-in products. Build user base and iterate on interface based on user feedback.



Source: Scown et al. 2021



Quad Chart Overview

Timeline Start: 10/01/2018 End: 09/30/2021 			Project Goal Develop a lightweight, flexible model capable of iterative quantification of production costs, life-cycle emissions, and water use: Bio-C2G	
	FY20	Active Project		
DOE Funding	(10/01/2020 – 9/30/2021)	\$325K	End of Project Milestone Complete demonstration case study (defined in Task 4) for cost and at least 2 environmental metrics (e.g. GHG emissions, water consumption), and deliver completed updating and maintenance plan for Bio-C2G including harmonization with other BETO- sponsored tools/models.	
Barrier	s addressed		Funding Mechanism	
Technolog	gy Uncertainty of Integratio	n and Scaling	BETO Lab Call, FY19	
Process I	ntegration		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

*Only fill out if applicable.



Additional Slides



Publications, Patents, Presentations, Awards, and Commercialization

- Yang, M., Baral, N. R., Anastasopoulou, A., Breunig, H. M., & Scown, C. D. (2020). Cost and Life-Cycle Greenhouse Gas Implications of Integrating Biogas Upgrading and Carbon Capture Technologies in Cellulosic Biorefineries. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 54(20), 12810-12819.
- Baral, N. R., Dahlberg, J., Putnam, D., Mortimer, J. C., & Scown, C. D. (2020). Supply Cost and Life-Cycle Greenhouse Gas Footprint of Dry and Ensiled Biomass Sorghum for Biofuel Production. *ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering*, 8(42), 15855-15864.
- Nordahl, S. L., Devkota, J. P., Amirebrahimi, J., Smith, S. J., Breunig, H. M., Preble, C. V., ... & Scown, C. D. (2020). Life-Cycle Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Human Health Trade-Offs of Organic Waste Management Strategies. *Environmental science & technology*, 54(15), 9200-9209.
- Scown, C. D., Baral, N. R., Yang, M., Vora, N., & Huntington, T. (2021). Technoeconomic analysis for biofuels and bioproducts. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, 67, 58-64.

