

## Department of Energy

Washington, DC 20585

March 3, 2021

### MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

FROM: CARMELO MELENDEZ

DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF LEGACY MANAGEMENT

SUBJECT: Third Quarter Post Competition Accountability

Report - Office of Legacy Management's High

Performing Organization for FY 2020

In June 2017, the Office of Legacy Management's (LM) published its High Performing Organization (HPO) Plan to continue LM's commitment in sustaining its performance as an HPO for the third five-year period (FY 2017-2021).

This memorandum transmits the Office of Legacy Management's (LM) Third Quarter Post Competition Accountability Report (PCAR) for FY 2020. The report provides the quarterly data associated with LM's June 2017 HPO Plan.

Attachment

## U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Legacy Management Post Competition Accountability Quarterly Report: High Performing Organization Plan 2017

This report serves as an official record of the quarterly cost, personnel, and performance information for the Office of Legacy Management to satisfy the post competition accountability requirements.

## Fiscal Years (FY) 2017 – 2021: Reporting Period: Third Quarter, FY 2020

#### I. MANAGEMENT EXCELLENCE GOALS

### Cutting Waste (Improving Efficiency)

- 1. Limit Program Direction increases to levels allowed by OMB for inflation.
- 2. Comply with OMB guidance, OMB-M-12-12, *Promoting Efficient Spending*, regarding mission-related travel.

Quarterly Cost Report						
Funded Activity	НР	O Plan Cost	Adjusted Budget Cost (ABC)	Estimated Actual Cost (EAC)	Explanation for Differences	
Mission Travel*	1Q	\$207,500	\$207,500	\$234,707		
	2Q	\$415,000	\$415,000	\$350,500	The EAC is 40% below the HPO Plan Cost due to the suspension of	
	3Q	\$622,500	\$622,500	\$371,141	travel as a result of COVID-19.	
	4Q	\$830,000				
Program Direction**	1Q	\$4,815,500	\$4,815,500	\$4,228,119	The EAC is 11% below the HPO Plan Cost below the HPO Plan Cost	
	2Q	\$9,631,000	\$9,631,000	\$8,673,785	as spending was conservative due to two Continuing Resolutions, the	
	3Q	\$14,446,500	\$14,446,500	\$12,874,898	enactment of an appropriation bill on December 20, 2019, and not reaching the planned Federal	
	4Q	\$19,262,000			staffing level of 75 FTEs.	

<sup>\*</sup>Mission-related travel is \$830K.

- 3. Manage increase in scope by raising Federal staff levels by 4 FTEs for a total of 75 in FY 2020. The FTE allotment in FY 2019 is 71.
- 4. Maintain LM's average grade level at or below GS 13.0.

Personnel Report				
<b>HPO Planned</b>	HPO Actual		Explanation for Difference	
Personnel (FTE)				
75	3Q	68	LM should reach the goal of 75 FTE by the end of FY 2020.	
Average Grade Level				
13.0	3Q	13.09	LM is slightly above the 13.0 average due to site manager increase.	

<sup>\*\*</sup>The FY 2020 HPO Plan Cost is \$19,262M.

## U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Legacy Management Post Competition Accountability Quarterly Report: High Performing Organization Plan 2017

This report serves as an official record of the quarterly cost, personnel, and performance information for the Office of Legacy Management to satisfy the post competition accountability requirements.

5. Maintain a safety record better than the DOE average based on the number of incidents/accidents per total work hours. The total reportable cases (TRC) rate uses a rolling average as determined by the DOE Office of Health, Safety and Security.

Safety Record			
LM TRC	DOE TRC	Explanation for Difference	
0.19	0.8	The LM TRC rate through June 2020 (rolling 12 months) is 0.19, or 1 reportable cases for 1,062,121 hours worked. (1 x 200,000)/1,062,121)	

#### **PROGRAM PERFORMANCE GOALS**

### Cutting Waste (Improving Efficiency)

1. Reduce the cost of long-term surveillance and maintenance (LTS&M) by 2 percent per year based on an independently reviewed baseline. (Goal 1)

	LTS&M Report				
LTS&M Baseline/BAC		EAC	Explanation for Difference		
1Q	\$64,013,837	\$64,351,732	The June EAC of \$56,507,365 is \$1,846,827 or 3.2% less		
2Q	\$63,918,020	\$62,857,791	than the LTS&M Baseline or BAC of\$58,354,192. LM continues to evaluate planned work scope and is striving to achieve cost savings at or above the 2% cost reduction goal by the end of the fiscal year.		
3Q	\$58,354,192	\$56,507,365			
4Q	\$	\$			

# U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Legacy Management Post Competition Accountability Quarterly Report: High Performing Organization Plan 2017

This report serves as an official record of the quarterly cost, personnel, and performance information for the Office of Legacy Management to satisfy the post competition accountability requirements.

## Sustain Management Excellence (Be a Leader among DOE Offices in Sustainability)

1. Achieve EMS Sustainability Goals (normalized to the number of legacy sites). (Goal 5)

Environmental Program Management Report			
Primary Goal Areas	Status towards Goals		Explanation for Difference
	1Q	On target	The quarterly Environmental Program Management
	2Q	On target	report provides details of LM's sustainability activities. The following is a summary-
	3Q	On target	These goal areas are on target: solid waste
• Greenhouse Gas Reduction • Energy Management • Sustainable Buildings • Renewable Energy • Water Management • Fleet Management • Sustainable Acquisition • Waste Management • Electronics Stewardship • Resilience • Ecosystem Management	4Q		diversion, sustainable acquisition, sustainable buildings, energy management, renewable energy, resilience and fleet management.  • These goal areas are evaluated annually based on year end data, therefore no status has yet been assessed: Ecosystem management, electronic stewardship, waste diversion, recycling and non-potable water use  • GHG data from FY 2019 is unavailable from the DOE sustainability dashboard; therefore, we cannot yet assess our progress in FY 2020.  Note: In November 2019, DOE issued the 2019 Sustainability Report and Implementation Plan identifying departmental goals in response to the 2018 Executive Order 13834- Efficient Federal Operations. The list of Primary Goal Areas (to the left) has been revised accordingly; the revision of the goals may result in changes in progress in future status reports.

Prepared by John Chinkhota: P: 2021/Team/LM-10.3 FACS/Performance LM Measures/PCAR/ 2021/Annual/PCAR Third Quarter FY 2021 memo.doc Document typed: 2/25/2021

LM-12 J. Chinkhota 3/3/21

LM-12 I. Colbert 3/3/21

LM-10 T. Smith Taylor 3/ /21

LM-2 P. O'Konski 3/ /21

## **OFFICIAL FILE COPY**

## **Record Note:**

Is this letter/communication an environment-related relevant communication?

The letter/communication	tion should be consid	lered relevant if it is-
(a) addressing (b) changing a	a complaint, or	an external stakeholder and
(2) Summarizing a sta	keholder meeting.	
If "Yes", the informati part of the tracking pro		cument should be entered into the E-Comm system a
□ Yes	$\square$ No	
Does this document co	omplete the commun	ication? (i.e., Can it be closed in tracking?)
□ Yes	□ No	Entered into E-Comm: