# PMC-ND U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY (1.08.09.13) OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



## **RECIPIENT:** University of North Carolina at Charlotte

STATE: NC

**PROJECT**Resilient Community Microgrids with Dynamic Reconfiguration to Serve Critical Loads in the Aftermath**TITLE:**of Severe Events

Funding Opportunity Announcement NumberProcurement Instrument NumberNEPA Control NumberCID NumberDE-FOA-0002243DE-EE0009337GFO-0009337-001

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Policy 451.1), I have made the following determination:

## CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

A9 Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and information informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide funding University of North Carolina at Charlotte (UNC) to develop a novel microgrid control architecture, which would integrate various advanced energy management capabilities, including coordination of bulk power grid coupling, distributed energy resources communication, and microgrid networking. Control software would be developed and tested in simulated environments and real-time, in a utility microgrid setting. The project would be completed over two Budget Periods (BPs), with a Go/No-Go Decision Point in between each BP.

Proposed project activities would include computer modeling, algorithm development, process control framework development, computer-based hardware-in-the-loop (HIL) testing, and stakeholder engagement. A "digital-twin" approach would be used for process control development in testing. This approach would consist of using real-time operational data from Duke Energy's Hot Springs microgrid (Hot Springs, NC), to create a computational model of the microgrid. UNC and its project partners would connect to the model virtually from separate laboratory locations and demonstrate the process control framework developed as part of the project.

UNC would coordinate all project activities and perform computer modeling, algorithm development, process control framework development, and HIL testing at its campus in Charlotte, NC. The National Renewable Energy Laboratory ('NREL' – Golden, CO), North Carolina State University ('NCSU' – Raleigh, NC), and Southern Methodist University (Dallas, TX) would assist in process control framework development. Quanta Technologies and Clemson University would perform HIL testing at real time digital simulation (RTDS) facilities operated by each entity. Quanta Technologies would perform HIL testing at its RTDS facilities in Raleigh or Ontario, Canada. Clemson University would perform HIL testing at its RTDS facility in Clemson, SC. Stakeholder engagement and resilience metric development would be performed by NCSU and NREL.

No hardware would be developed as part of the project. No physical modifications to existing facilities, ground disturbance, or changes to the use, mission, or operation of existing facilities would be required. No additional permits or authorizations would be required.

## NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination.

Notes:

Solar Energy Technologies Office This NEPA determination does not require a tailored NEPA provision. Review completed by Jonathan Hartman, 03/16/2021

## FOR CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATIONS

The proposed action (or the part of the proposal defined in the Rationale above) fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D. To fit within the classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, a proposal must be one that would not: (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders; (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities; (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to, those listed in paragraph B(4) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B; (5) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those listed in paragraph B(5) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposed action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposed action has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. This proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211 concerning limitations on actions during preparation of an environmental impact statement.

DOE has determined that work to be carried out outside of the United States, its territories and possessions is exempt from further review pursuant to Section 5.1.1 of the DOE Final Guidelines for Implementation of Executive Order 12114; "Environmental Effects Abroad of Major Federal Actions."

The proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

# SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.

NEPA Compliance Officer Signature:

NEPA Compliance Officer

FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION

Field Office Manager review not required ✓

Field Office Manager review required 

# BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO:

Field Office Manager's Signature:

Field Office Manager

Date:

Date: 3/17/2021