PMC-ND

(1.08.09.13)

# U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



STATE: MI **RECIPIENT:** Navitas Advanced Solutions Group, LLC

**PROJECT** 

High Throughput Solvent-free Manufacturing of Battery Electrodes, 1980-1791 TITLE:

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number Procurement Instrument Number NEPA Control Number CID Number DE-FOA-0001980 DF-FF0009109 GFO-0009109-001

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Policy 451.1), I have made the following determination:

# CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

**A9** Information gathering,

Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information analysis, and dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and dissemination informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

B3.6 Smallscale research and **laboratory** operations, and pilot projects

Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) frequently conducted to verify a development, concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

# Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy is proposing to provide funding to Navitas Advanced Solutions Group, LLC (Natvitas) to develop and demonstrate advanced lithium ion battery electrode manufacturing technology. This project would be completed over three Budget Periods (BP) with go/no-go decision points at the end of BPs 1 and 2.

Proposed project activities include the design, development, fabrication of lithium-ion battery electrodes through advanced dry process using twin screw extruder. A twin screw extruder would be set up in Navitas research and development facility in Ann Arbor, Michigan, Design, development, and fabrication of electrodes would occur at this facility. The design, development of various components, such as binders, carbon additives will be performed at project partners' sites.

The project would involve the use and handling of various hazardous materials, including inorganic powders, Li metal foil, organic solvents, lithium salt solutions, and nickel-containing carcinogens at bench scale on all sites. All such handling will occur in-lab and would follow proper hazardous material handling and disposal practices. All hazardous materials would be managed in accordance with federal, state, and local environmental regulations. Nanotubes and nanostructures would be used during the project. All handling of such materials would be managed in accordance with federal, state, and local environmental regulations and proper personal protective equipment would be employed. The generated waste would be accumulated by qualified personnel and be collected and disposed by an EPA-certified waste disposal company (contractor).

Based on the activities proposed and the locations where work would occur, DOE does not anticipate any impacts to resources of concern due to the proposed activities of the project. Any work proposed to be conducted at a federal facility may be subject to additional NEPA review by the cognizant federal official and must meet the applicable health and safety requirements of the facility.

#### **NEPA PROVISION**

DOE has made a final NEPA determination.

Notes:

Advanced Manufacturing Office K Kerwin 5/26/2020 This NEPA determination does not require a tailored NEPA provision.

### FOR CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATIONS

The proposed action (or the part of the proposal defined in the Rationale above) fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D. To fit within the classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, a proposal must be one that would not: (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders; (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities; (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to, those listed in paragraph B(4) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B; (5) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those listed in paragraph B(5) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposed action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposed action has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. This proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211 concerning limitations on actions during preparation of an environmental impact statement.

The proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

# SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.

NEI	PA Compliance Officer Signature:	Signed By: Kristin Kerwin	Date:	5/26/2020
		NEPA Compliance Officer		
FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION				
	Field Office Manager review not required Field Office Manager review required			
BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO:				
Field Office Manager's Signature:			Date:	

Field Office Manager