PMC-ND (1.08.09.13)

# U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



STATE: OR **RECIPIENT: University of Oregon** 

**PROJECT** PURE HYDROGEN PRODUCTION THROUGH PRECIOUS-METAL-FREE MEMBRANE

TITLE: **ELECTROLYSIS OF DIRTY WATER** 

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number Procurement Instrument Number NEPA Control Number CID Number DE-FOA-0002022 DE-EE0008841 GFO-0008841-001 GO8841

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Policy 451.1), I have made the following determination:

### CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

**A9** Information gathering,

Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information analysis, and dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and dissemination informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

B3.6 Smallscale research and **laboratory** operations, and pilot projects

Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) frequently conducted to verify a development, concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

**B3.15 Small**scale indoor projects using nanoscale materials

Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for indoor small-scale research research and and development projects and small-scale pilot projects using nanoscale materials in accordance with **development** applicable requirements (such as engineering, worker safety, procedural, and administrative regulations) necessary to ensure the containment of any hazardous materials. Construction and modification activities would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible).

## Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide federal funding to the University of Oregon (UO) to advance the understanding of, and develop mitigation strategies for, the negative effects of using low-purity feed water in membrane electrolyzers by controlling the flow of ions in the membranes and incorporating selective and impurity-tolerant electrocatalysts. Project work would occur within UO laboratory facilities in Eugene, OR. Additionally, collaboration is expected to occur with multiple laboratories within the HydroGEN Energy Materials Network Consortium.

The project is focused on identifying degradation modes of alkaline exchange membrane (AEM) and/or bipolar membrane electrolyzers working not only with pure water but also tap water, grey water and seawater feedstock. The team would also develop an AEM membrane electrolyzer with an engineered catalyst, membrane and water feed (using tap, grey and/or seawater). Computer modeling would also be employed to aid in performance optimization/degradation mitigation work. All activities would occur in existing laboratories designed for this type of work that would utilize standard laboratory equipment; therefore no modifications, new permits, additional licenses and/or authorizations would be necessary. No ground disturbing activities, no changes in the operation of existing facilities, and no installation of equipment outdoors would occur for project activities. The project would involve the use and handling of various hazardous materials, including nanoparticles, organic solvents, and hydrogen gas

produced by the electrolyzer. All such handling would occur in-lab with dedicated proper hazardous material handling and disposal practices to ensure project activities that involve these materials would pose no risk to the public. All hazardous materials would be managed in accordance with Federal, state, and local environmental regulations. Existing university health and safety policies and procedures would be followed, including employee/student training, proper personal protective equipment, engineering controls, monitoring, and internal assessments. Additional policies and procedures would be implemented as necessary as new health and safety risks are identified to help ensure compliance with applicable health and safety regulations, and minimize health and safety risks to employees and the public. Nanoparticle catalysts of oxides and metals would be used in fully exhausted fume hoods and face masks would be used when these materials are transferred outside of the hood. These materials would be disposed of as solid waste according to UO standards for chemical waste disposal. All waste would be disposed of according to established UO waste disposal protocols and mechanisms. DOE does not anticipate any impacts to resources of concern due to the proposed activities of the project.

### NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination.

Notes:

Fuel Cell Technologies Office
This NEPA determination does not require a tailored NEPA provision.

#### FOR CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATIONS

The proposed action (or the part of the proposal defined in the Rationale above) fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D. To fit within the classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, a proposal must be one that would not: (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders; (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities; (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to, those listed in paragraph B(4) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B; (5) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those listed in paragraph B(5) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposed action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposed action has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. This proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211 concerning limitations on actions during preparation of an environmental impact statement.

The proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

#### SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.

NEP	A Compliance Officer Signature:	Signed By: Casey Strickland  NEPA Compliance Officer		2/3/2020						
FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION										
	Field Office Manager review not required Field Office Manager review required									

Field Office Manager's Signature:	1	Field Office Manager		
		_		

U.S. DOE: Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy - Environmental Questionnaire