PMC-ND

(1.08.09.13)

# U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



STATE: PA

**RECIPIENT:** Pennsylvania State University

**PROJECT** TITLE:

Characterization of Mechanical Biomass Particle-Particle and Particle-Wall Interactions

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number Procurement Instrument Number NEPA Control Number CID Number DE-FOA-0002029 DE-EE0008936 GFO-0008936-001 GO8936

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Policy 451.1), I have made the following determination:

### CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

**A9** Information gathering,

Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information analysis, and dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and dissemination informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

B3.6 Smallscale **laboratory** operations, and pilot projects

Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and research and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) frequently conducted to verify a development, concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

### Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide funding to The Pennsylvania State University (PSU) to contribute to the understanding of key characteristics of southern pine and corn stover anatomical fractions and their variabilities to enable engineering of biomass supply systems to handle, store, and deliver conversion-ready feedstocks reliably.

After an initial verification of baseline parameters, PSU would obtain samples of southern pine forest residues and baled corn stover. Samples would be obtained from Forest Concepts, LLC, a corporation which collects and mills such biomass in its ordinary course of business.

PSU would then design and fabricate a laboratory scale Micro-Mechanical Extensometer (MME) for use in testing the biomass. The MME would be of a scale to handle biomass up to 30 mm in size (just over 1 inch). PSU would conduct numerous experiments designed to measure, correlate and analyze the friction and adhesion between 1) biomass particles and 2) biomass particles and a typical biomass handling system wall material. This would include:

- Testing and characterizing mechanical properties of corn stover and southern pine residue;
- Determining friction and adhesion properties;
- Testing friction and adhesion between biomass particles and a typical biomass handling system wall material;
- Testing and analyzing friction and adhesion between and among corn stover and southern pine residue from different origins and with different moisture contents; and,
- Testing and analyzing friction and adhesion between southern pine residue particles from the commingled mixture of different moisture contents and a wall material.

All tasks would be laboratory scale and would be conducted at PSU in the agricultural engineering building, a preexisting purpose built laboratory that engages in this type of work. Work would include the use of up to 300 kg of woody biomass and three large round baled corn stover. All project activities would be undertaken in controlled,

laboratory environments. No facility modifications or new permits would be required. All facilities in which work would be performed have established health and safety policies and procedures in place.

### **NEPA PROVISION**

DOE has made a final NEPA determination.

Notes:

Bioenergy Technologies Office This NEPA determination does not require a tailored NEPA provision. Review completed by Roak Parker 1/27/2020

### FOR CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATIONS

The proposed action (or the part of the proposal defined in the Rationale above) fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D. To fit within the classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, a proposal must be one that would not: (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders; (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities; (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to, those listed in paragraph B(4) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B; (5) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those listed in paragraph B(5) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposed action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposed action has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. This proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211 concerning limitations on actions during preparation of an environmental impact statement.

The proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

## SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.

NEPA Compliance Officer Signature:		Signed By: Casey Strickland	Date:	1/27/2020
		NEPA Compliance Officer		
FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION				
<b>V</b>	Field Office Manager review not required Field Office Manager review required			
BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO:				
Field Office Manager's Signature:			Date:	
Field Office Manager				