PMC-ND

### (1.08.09.13)

# U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



**RECIPIENT:** Colorado School of Mines STATE: CO

**PROJECT** Understanding the Mechanism of Light and Elevated Temperature Induced Degradation of p-type

TITLE: Silicon Solar Cells

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number Procurement Instrument Number NEPA Control Number CID Number DE-FOA-0002064 DE-EE0008984 GFO-0008984-001 GO8984

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Policy 451.1), I have made the following determination:

#### CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

**A9** Information gathering,

Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information analysis, and dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and dissemination informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

B3.6 Smallscale **laboratory** operations, and pilot projects

Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and research and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) frequently conducted to verify a development, concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

#### Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide federal funding to the Colorado School of Mines (CSM) to characterize the chemical defects in silicon (Si) solar photovoltaic (PV) cells that lead to light- and elevated-temperature induced degradation.

The proposed project would involve the fabrication of Si water test structures that would be tested using advanced characterization tools, including quasi-steady-state photoconductance decay, photoluminescence, Raman spectroscopy, infrared spectroscopy, electron spin resonance, and quadrupole mass spectrometry. The technical scope of work would be limited to laboratory research and development activities conducted by CSM (Golden, CO) and subrecipient the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL; Golden, CO). No change in the use, mission, or operation of existing facilities would arise out of this effort.

Preparation and characterization of test structures would use and consume bench-scale quantities of commerciallyavailable materials, including various hazardous chemicals such as HF, H2O2, and other inorganic acids. The materials and methods that would be employed by the proposed project are consistent with standard operations at the purpose-built CSM and NREL laboratories in which project work would occur. Appropriate environmental health and safety policies and procedures are already in place and would be followed at all times by project personnel. Additional permits, licenses, and/or authorizations would not be required for the proposed activities.

Materials produced by the proposed project would include thin films grown at nanoscale. These films are non-toxic and remain attached to the wafer substrate, thus there are no known exposure risks associated with this form of nanotechnology. Solid waste generated by project activities would be limited to non-hazardous laboratory consumables that would be disposed of via existing facility services. No equipment would require decommissioning at the conclusion of the proposed project.

Any work proposed to be conducted at a DOE laboratory may be subject to additional NEPA review by the cognizant

DOE NEPA Compliance Officer for the specific DOE laboratory prior to initiating such work. Further, any work conducted at a DOE laboratory must meet the laboratory's health and safety requirements.

#### **NEPA PROVISION**

DOE has made a final NEPA determination.

Notes:

Solar Energy Technologies Office This NEPA determination does not require a tailored NEPA Provision. NEPA review completed by Whitney Doss Donoghue, 1/22/2020

#### FOR CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATIONS

The proposed action (or the part of the proposal defined in the Rationale above) fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D. To fit within the classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, a proposal must be one that would not: (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders; (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities; (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to, those listed in paragraph B(4) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B; (5) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those listed in paragraph B(5) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposed action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposed action has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. This proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211 concerning limitations on actions during preparation of an environmental impact statement.

The proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

## SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.

NEPA Compliance Officer Signature:		Rectronically Casey Strickland	Date:	1/23/2020
		NEPA Compliance Officer	_	
FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION				
<b>V</b>	Field Office Manager review not required Field Office Manager review required			
BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO:				
Field Office Manager's Signature:			Date:	
Field Office Manager				