PMC-ND (1.08.09.13)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



STATE: WA

RECIPIENT: Washington State University

PROJECT Upcycling of CFRP Waste: Viable Eco-friendly Chemical Recycling and Manufacturing of Novel

TITLE: Repairable and Recyclable Composites

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number Procurement Instrument Number NEPA Control Number CID Number DE-FOA-0002029 DF-FF0008931 GFO-0008931-001

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Policy 451.1), I have made the following determination:

CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

A9 Information gathering,

Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information analysis, and dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and dissemination informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

B3.6 Smallscale **laboratory** operations, and pilot projects

Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and research and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) frequently conducted to verify a development, concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide funding to Washington State University (WSU) to develop and demonstrate a viable chemical recycling technology for carbon fiber reinforced epoxy composite (CFEP) wastes.

Proposed project activities would include the following:

Task 1 would consist of a demonstration of baseline metrics to DOE.

Tasks 2 – 5 would focus on the development of a pretreatment and decomposition treatment process for chemical recycling (decomposition) of thermoplastics and vitrimers (thermosets). Thermoplastics and thermosets are two classes of plastics commonly used in the automotive and aerospace industries. In these tasks WSU would utilize approximately 100 kilograms of waste product from the automotive and aerospace industries, as well as waste products from 3D printing and pavement marking. WSU and project partners would both build up vitrimers, as well as treat thermoplastics and vitrimers to encourage decomposition. Work would include treatment of these products with chemicals including zinc acetate and acetic acid.

Task 6 would consist of the preparation of a techno-economic analysis and final report to be issued to DOE.

Project activities would be conducted at three locations. Tasks 1 and 6, which would not include laboratory work, would occur at office facilities of WSU. Tasks 2-5 would include bench scale laboratory work and would occur at dedicated laboratory facilities located at WSU, as well as similar facilities located at North Dakota State University and at the Pacific Northwest National Lab (PNNL). No physical modifications to existing facilities, construction of new facilities, ground disturbing activities, or changes to the use, mission, or operation of existing facilities would be required. Likewise, no additional permits or authorizations would be required for completion of project activities.

The project would involve the use and handling of hazardous chemicals. All facilities in which work would be performed have established health and safety policies and procedures in place. Protocols would include adherence to established chemical safety guidelines, employee training, the use of personal protective equipment, engineering controls, monitoring, and internal/external assessments. Waste materials would all be disposed of by qualified waste management service providers. WSU and its project partners would adhere to applicable Federal, state, and local health, safety, and environmental regulations.

Any work proposed to be conducted at a federal facility may be subject to additional NEPA review by the cognizant federal official and must meet the applicable health and safety requirements of the facility.

NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination.

Notes:

Bioenergy Technologies Office This NEPA determination does not require a tailored NEPA provision. Review completed by Roak Parker, 11/13/2019

FOR CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATIONS

The proposed action (or the part of the proposal defined in the Rationale above) fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D. To fit within the classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, a proposal must be one that would not: (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders; (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities; (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to, those listed in paragraph B(4) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B; (5) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those listed in paragraph B(5) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposed action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposed action has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. This proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211 concerning limitations on actions during preparation of an environmental impact statement.

The proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.

NEPA Compliance Officer Signature:	Sound By: Casey Strickland	Date:	11/13/2019
	NEPA Compliance Officer		
FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMIN	NATION		
✓ Field Office Manager review not require☐ Field Office Manager review required	ed		
BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR W	ITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO	:	
Field Office Manager's Signature:		Date:	
	Field Office Manager		

