PMC-ND

(1.08.09.13)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



STATE: GA

RECIPIENT: Georgia Institute of Technology

PROJECT TITLE:

High-Performance AEM LTE with Advanced Membranes, Ionomers and PGM-Free Electrodes

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number Procurement Instrument Number NEPA Control Number CID Number DE-FOA-002022 DE-EE0008833 GFO-0008833-001

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Policy 451.1), I have made the following determination:

CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

A9 Information gathering,

Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information analysis, and dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and dissemination informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

B3.6 Smallscale research and **laboratory** operations, and pilot projects

Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) frequently conducted to verify a development, concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide federal funding to the Georgia Institute of Technology (Georgia Tech) to combine state-of-the-art alkaline polymer electrolyzer components into one optimized membrane electrode assembly (MEA) system to achieve DOE low temperature electrolysis (LTE) goals. Project work would occur within existing laboratories at Georgia Tech (Atlanta, GA), Pajarito Powder (Albuguerque, NM), NEL Hydrogen - PEM (Wallingford, CT), and the University of South Carolina (Columbia, SC). Additionally, collaboration is expected to occur with the HydroGEN Energy Materials Network National Laboratory consortium. The project would be completed over three Budget Periods (BPs), with a Go/No-Go Decision Point in between each BP.

Project activities would include implementing best materials and establishing best-practices in various component areas (membranes, catalysts, ionomers, electrodes, and MEAs); performing single cell system scale-up, as well as evaluating electrolyzer performance and durability relative to goals and adding detailed MEA understanding; scaleup to multi-cell stacks, detailed degradation modeling and mitigation (if needed), stack demonstrations, and commercialization evaluation. All activities would occur in existing laboratories designed for this type of work that would utilize standard laboratory equipment; therefore no modifications, new permits, additional licenses and/or authorizations would be necessary. No ground disturbing activities, no changes in the operation of existing facilities, and no installation of equipment outdoors would occur for project activities. The project would involve the use and handling of various hazardous materials, including organic solvents and catalysts. All such handling would occur in a secured laboratory setting utilizing proper hazardous material handling and disposal practices to ensure the project activities would pose no risk to the public. Processes would be performed in accordance with federal, state, and local environmental regulations and would utilize appropriate measures (e.g. fume hoods, PPE, etc.) for safety. All participants have extensive training in chemical safety procedures. Disposal of all chemicals and wastes would occur either through University safety departments or handled by professional services. DOE does not anticipate any impacts to resources of concern due to the proposed activities of the project.

NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination.

Notes:

Fuel Cell Technologies Office
This NEPA determination does not require a tailored NEPA provision.

FOR CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATIONS

The proposed action (or the part of the proposal defined in the Rationale above) fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D. To fit within the classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, a proposal must be one that would not: (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders; (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities; (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to, those listed in paragraph B(4) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B; (5) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those listed in paragraph B(5) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposed action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposed action has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. This proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211 concerning limitations on actions during preparation of an environmental impact statement.

The proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.

NE	PA Compliance Officer Signature:	Region By: Casey Strickland	Date:	10/25/2019	
		NEPA Compliance Officer			
FIE	CLD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINA	ATION			
V	Field Office Manager review not required Field Office Manager review required	I			
BA	SED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WIT	TH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO	:		
Field Office Manager's Signature:			Date:		

Field Office Manager