

PMC-ND

(1.08.09.13)

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY
NEPA DETERMINATION**



RECIPIENT: University of Washington

STATE: WA

PROJECT TITLE: 3rd Generation Integrated Instrumentation: Enhancements to the Adaptable Monitoring Package

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number	Procurement Instrument Number	NEPA Control Number	CID Number
DE-FOA-0001418	DE-EE0007827	GFO-0007827-005	G07827

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Policy 451.1), I have made the following determination:

CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

A9 Information gathering, analysis, and dissemination Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

B3.16 Research activities in aquatic environments Small-scale, temporary surveying, site characterization, and research activities in aquatic environments, limited to: (a) Acquisition of rights-of-way, easements, and temporary use permits; (b) Installation, operation, and removal of passive scientific measurement devices, including, but not limited to, antennae, tide gauges, flow testing equipment for existing wells, weighted hydrophones, salinity measurement devices, and water quality measurement devices; (c) Natural resource inventories, data and sample collection, environmental monitoring, and basic and applied research, excluding (1) large-scale vibratory coring techniques and (2) seismic activities other than passive techniques; and (d) Surveying and mapping. These activities would be conducted in accordance with, where applicable, an approved spill prevention, control, and response plan and would incorporate appropriate control technologies and best management practices. None of the activities listed above would occur within the boundary of an established marine sanctuary or wildlife refuge, a governmentally proposed marine sanctuary or wildlife refuge, or a governmentally recognized area of high biological sensitivity, unless authorized by the agency responsible for such refuge, sanctuary, or area (or after consultation with the responsible agency, if no authorization is required). If the proposed activities would occur outside such refuge, sanctuary, or area and if the activities would have the potential to cause impacts within such refuge, sanctuary, or area, then the responsible agency shall be consulted in order to determine whether authorization is required and whether such activities would have the potential to cause significant impacts on such refuge, sanctuary, or area. Areas of high biological sensitivity include, but are not limited to, areas of known ecological importance, whale and marine mammal mating and calving/pupping areas, and fish and invertebrate spawning and nursery areas recognized as being limited or unique and vulnerable to perturbation; these areas can occur in bays, estuaries, near shore, and far offshore, and may vary seasonally. No permanent facilities or devices would be constructed or installed. Covered actions do not include drilling of resource exploration or extraction wells.

Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide funding to the University of Washington (UW) to develop and field test an integrated instrumentation package (the iAMP) which is purpose built for environmental monitoring at marine hydrokinetic sites.

The proposed project would be divided into three Budget Periods (BP), with a Go/No Go decision point between each BP. DOE previously completed four NEPA reviews which reviewed BP1 (GFO-0007827-001 CX A9 and B3.16, 12/08/2016); Tasks 2, 3 and subtask 4.1 in BP2 (GFO-0007827-002 CX A9 and B3.6, 9/14/2017); subtasks 4.2 and 4.3 in BP2 (GFO-0007827-003 CX A9 and B3.16, 4/05/2018); modified testing in subtasks 3.2 and 4.2 in BP2 (GFO-0007827-004 CX B3.16, 2/11/2019). This NEPA review is for BP3 (Task 5). Task 5, Energetic Testing, would consist of deploying and operating the iAMP device in Kaneohe Bay, Hawaii.

The iAMP device is approximately 3 by 6 feet and integrates active acoustic, passive acoustic, and optical sensors. The active components would include an optical stereo camera, a multi beam sonar, a 38kHz – 200 kHz sonar (known as the EK80), and an acoustic camera. In BP3 UW would ship the iAMP to Honolulu, Hawaii. In Honolulu, UW would test the device to insure that all systems are functioning. UW would then deploy and operate the device at an active MHK site in Kaneohe Bay, Hawaii. Finally, UW would analyze data gathered from the device during the operation.

In March of 2019 Department of Navy (Navy), as lead agency, in conjunction with DOE (EERE, as well as PNNL) (jointly, the Federal partners) completed a Biological Assessment (BA) to evaluate potential impacts to Endangered Species Act listed species (T&E species) and Essential Fish Habitat Assessment (EFH) from six environmental study projects to be deployed at WETS, including this proposed project. The BA and EFH determined that the proposed projects, as a whole, would Not Likely Adversely Affect T&E species and may adversely affect EFH, but those effects would be minimal and temporary.

On April 4, 2019 the Federal partners engaged in informal consultation with the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) to seek concurrence on the determinations made by the Federal partners. On May 2, 2019 NMFS concurred with the determinations of the Federal partners.

NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination.

Notes:

This NEPA determination does not require a tailored NEPA provision
Water Power Program
NEPA review completed by Roak Parker 10/15/2019

FOR CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATIONS

The proposed action (or the part of the proposal defined in the Rationale above) fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D. To fit within the classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, a proposal must be one that would not: (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders; (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities; (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to, those listed in paragraph B(4) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B; (5) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those listed in paragraph B(5) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposed action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposed action has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. This proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211 concerning limitations on actions during preparation of an environmental impact statement.

The proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.

NEPA Compliance Officer Signature: _____

 Electronically
Signed By: **Roak Parker**
NEPA Compliance Officer

Date: 10/15/2019

FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION

- Field Office Manager review not required
 Field Office Manager review required

BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO :

Field Office Manager's Signature: _____

Field Office Manager

Date: _____