

National Nuclear Security Administration Categorical Exclusion Determination Form



NEPA ID#: NA-19-0003

<u>Proposed Action Title:</u> Financial Assistance for the Consortium for Enabling Technologies and Innovation (ETI)

<u>Program or Field Office:</u> NNSA/Office of Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation Research & Development (DNN R&D), NA-22

Location(s) (City/County/State): Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA

Proposed Action Description:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) Office of Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation Research & Development proposes to provide financial assistance, over a period of 5 years, to the Georgia Institute of Technology (Georgia Tech) to establish the Consortium for Enabling Technologies and Innovation (ETI). The scope of this consortium covers improvements and innovative concepts for enabling technologies that support the nonproliferation mission. Research would be focused on the three thrust areas: (1) advanced computing techniques (2) advanced manufacturing techniques to predict and understand unique signatures of potential proliferation, and (3) novel instrumentation to leverage modern capabilities.

The ETI Consortium would be composed of twelve institutions of higher education (IHE) and ten national laboratories. The core of the research expenditures is directed at student education and support in order to grow the next generation of nonproliferation scientists with strong connections to national laboratories. The financial assistance would be used for travel, fringe and indirect costs, supplies and materials, equipment, user fees, publication costs, tuition, stipends and salaries to support staff, undergraduate, graduate, postdoctoral students, research professors, their principle investigator(s) and other universities' support (collaborators). Funded research would be conducted in existing research facilities.

Outdoor work would include environmental sampling, environmental monitoring, and utilizing unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs), fixed wing aircraft, and satellites to test sensors/imaging systems. Researchers in microbiology would generally be focused on development of fungal biosensors and other biologically-based sensors.

Categorical Exclusion(s) Applied:

- A 9 Information gathering, analysis, and dissemination
- B 3.1 Site characterization and environmental monitoring
- B 3.2 Aviation activities
- B 3.6 Small-scale research and development, laboratory operations, and pilot projects
- B 3.11 Outdoor tests and experiments on materials and equipment components
- B 3.12 Microbiological and biomedical facilities

For the complete DOE National Environmental Policy Act regulations regarding categorical exclusions including the full text of each categorical exclusion, see Subpart D of 10 CFR 1021.

Regulatory Requirements in 10 CFR 1021.410(b): (See full text in regulation) The proposal fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CPR Part 1021, Subpart D. To fit within the classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, a proposal must be one that would not: (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders; (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities; (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to, those listed in paragraph B(4) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B; (5) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species) unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

Institutes of Health.

The proposal has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. This proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211 concerning limitations on actions during preparation of an environmental impact statement.

Based on my review of information conveyed to me and in my possession concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (as authorized under NNSA NAP 451.1 and DOE P 451.1), I have determined that the proposed action fits within the specified class(es) of action and that other-regulatory requirements set forth above are met. Therefore, the application of a categorical exclusion is appropriate.

NEPA Compliance Officer: Amy Miller Date Determined: 2/14/2019