Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Toledo-Wendson No. 1 Transmission Line Access Road Maintenance

PP&A No.: 2865

Project Manager: Todd Wehner – TELF-TPP-3

Location: Lincoln and Lane counties, Oregon

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3 - Routine Maintenance

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: BPA proposes to repair approximately 10.5 miles of access road along the first 28 miles of the Toledo-Wendson transmission line. Proposed road work would include; blading, shaping, grading, brushing, and placing surface rock on new and existing road prisms to ensure safe access to structures. In addition the proposed road work would include; the replacement of 4 cross-drain culverts, and installation of drainage features such as water bars and drain dips. General equipment used for this type of road maintenance includes: graders, rollers, bull-dozers, brush hogs, excavators, and dump trucks.

Findings: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Date: June 18, 2018

/s/ <u>Laura Roberts</u> Laura Roberts Biological Scientist (Environmental)

Concur:

/s/ <u>Sarah T. Biegel</u> Sarah Biegel NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Toledo-Wendson No. 1 Transmission Line Access Road Maintenance

Project Site Description

The project is located along the western Oregon coast and consists primarily of upland forest lands.

Township, Range, Section	County, State	Ownership
11S,10W, Section 15,16,17,21,28-31	Lincoln, OR	Private
11S, 11W, Section36	Lincoln, OR	Private
12S, 11W, Section 1,2,10,11,15,22,27,34	Lincoln, OR	Private
13S, 11W, Section 3,10,11,14,23,26,34,35	Lincoln, OR	Private & Siuslaw NF
14S, 11W, Section 3,10,15,22,23,25,26,36	Lincoln, OR	Private & Siuslaw NF

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions			
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources	~				
	Explanation: BPA conducted cultural resource field surveys for the proposed project. No cultural resources were identified within the Area of Potential Effect. BPA sent Letters of Determination that no historic properties would be affected by the project to Oregon SHPO, the Confederated Tribes of the Grand Rhonde and the Siletz Tribe on July 6, 2017. BPA received one response consisting of Oregon SHPO concurrence on August 3, 2017.					
2.	Geology and Soils	~				
	<u>Explanation</u> : During construction all appropriate specific erosion and sediment control. All disturb	-				
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)					
	Explanation: No special-status species present. Way (ROW). Any un-rocked disturbed areas wou appropriate seed mix.					

4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)		V		
	Explanation: The project area traverses marbled murrel The proposed project would adhere to timing restriction (April 1st-September 23rd), and additionally would not impact marbled murrelet. Northern spotted owl and so	ns and work outside the marbled morequire any tree removal, therefore	urrelet nesting season the project would not		
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)				
	<u>Explanation</u> Coho found within the project area would n project. Additionally, construction Best Management Pr project to ensure localized soil disturbance does not cau	actices (BMP's) would be implemen	ited throughout the		
6.	Wetlands	V			
	Explanation: No wetland would be impacted by the proj	ect.			
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	V			
	Explanation: Spill prevention measures will be utilized or provide a pathway for groundwater contamination.	luring construction activities. The p	roject would not		
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas				
	Explanation: No change in land use would occur and project activities would not impact land use.				
9.	Visual Quality				
9.	Visual Quality Explanation: There would be no change to the visual quality		roposed activities.		
			roposed activities.		
	Explanation: There would be no change to the visual qu	ality of the area as a result of the p			
10.	Explanation: There would be no change to the visual quality Explanation: The project would have no significant imparts.	ality of the area as a result of the p			
10.	Explanation: There would be no change to the visual quality Explanation: The project would have no significant imparemissions and dust may occur during construction.	ality of the area as a result of the p cts on air quality; however a small	amount of vehicle		
10.	Explanation: There would be no change to the visual quality Explanation: The project would have no significant important emissions and dust may occur during construction. Noise Explanation: Some temporary construction noise would	ality of the area as a result of the p cts on air quality; however a small	amount of vehicle		
10.	Explanation: There would be no change to the visual quality Explanation: The project would have no significant important emissions and dust may occur during construction. Noise Explanation: Some temporary construction noise would transmission line would not change.	ality of the area as a result of the p cats on air quality; however a small coccur during daylight hours. The o	amount of vehicle perational noise of the		
10.	Explanation: There would be no change to the visual quality Explanation: The project would have no significant important emissions and dust may occur during construction. Noise Explanation: Some temporary construction noise would transmission line would not change. Human Health and Safety Explanation: During project activity all standard safety projects.	ality of the area as a result of the posts on air quality; however a small occur during daylight hours. The occur during daylight hours. The occur during daylight hours.	amount of vehicle perational noise of the		
10.	Explanation: There would be no change to the visual quality Explanation: The project would have no significant imparemissions and dust may occur during construction. Noise Explanation: Some temporary construction noise would transmission line would not change. Human Health and Safety Explanation: During project activity all standard safety primate human health or safety.	ality of the area as a result of the p acts on air quality; however a small coccur during daylight hours. The o crotocols would be followed. Project	amount of vehicle perational noise of the tractivities would not		
10.	Explanation: There would be no change to the visual quality Explanation: The project would have no significant important emissions and dust may occur during construction. Noise Explanation: Some temporary construction noise would transmission line would not change. Human Health and Safety Explanation: During project activity all standard safety primpact human health or safety. Evaluation of Other Interproposed project would also meet conditions that are interproposed.	ality of the area as a result of the p acts on air quality; however a small coccur during daylight hours. The o crotocols would be followed. Project ategral Elements ategral elements of the categorical elements for environ	amount of vehicle perational noise of the t activities would not exclusion. The		

Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.

Explanation, if necessary: NA

Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.

Explanation, if necessary: NA

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary: NA

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

<u>Description</u>: All activities would be coordinated with landowners prior to beginning work.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Signed: /s/ <u>Laura Roberts</u> Date: <u>June 18, 2018</u>

Laura Roberts
Biological Scientist (Environmental)