

Award Fee Evaluation Period 4 Determination Scorecard

Contractor: Restoration Services, Inc.
Contract: DE-EM0002639

Award Fee Evaluation Period: Fiscal Year 2017 (October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017)

Basis of Evaluation: Performance Evaluation Management Plan for Restoration Services, Inc. (RSI), Award Fee Evaluation Period 4

Categories of Performance: \$442,558

Award Fee Available: \$442,558 Award Fee Earned: \$429,281.26 (97%)

Categories of Performance Award Fee

Award Fee Area Adjectival Ratings

Award Fee Available: \$442,558

- | | |
|---|-------------|
| 1. Quality and Effectiveness of Performing Administrative Support (20%) (CP-1): | ● Excellent |
| 2. Quality and Effectiveness of Performing Environmental, Safety Health (ES&H);
Quality Assurance (QA) and Field Support (20%) (CP-2): | ● Excellent |
| 3. Quality and Effectiveness of Performing Project Support (30%) (CP-3): | ● Very Good |
| 4. Quality and Effectiveness in Managing the Program (30%) (CP-4): | ● Excellent |
| 5. The overall fee awarded based on these grades is: \$429,281.26 | |

This amount takes into consideration RSI's overall performance.

RSI has provided excellent support throughout the fiscal year and has met contract requirements and exceeded almost all of the performance goals and objectives for the period.

Quality and Effectiveness of Performing Administrative Support: RSI has met contract requirements and exceeded almost all of the significant award fee criteria associated with CP-1. Strengths include:

- Excellent support provided for scheduling meetings, managing conference calls, and taking meeting notes.
- Numerous documents were processed through Livelink, and RSI provided excellent support.
- In regulatory and environmental compliance, RSI has continued to produce excellent quality reviews and input to processes and documents.
- Provided invaluable support and exceeded almost all expectations during the development of the TSCA Agreement between DOE and the U.S. EPA.
- Maintained the MTS database to ensure findings and observations were entered and tracked for closure.
- RSI recognized, adapted, and increased effort to relocate administrative support to the X-152H Trailer.
- Always looking to improve the service provided to DOE; deliverables are accurate and met in a timely manner.
- Maintained and utilized the RSI created Livelink Dashboard and Site Office Schedule to ensure due dates were met.
- Technical support was excellent in regard to the review and preparation of property transfer documentation.

Quality and Effectiveness of Performing ES&H; QA and Field Support: RSI has met contract requirements and exceeded almost all of the significant award fee criteria associated with CP-2. Strengths include:

- Excellent safety record, >3,000 days without a first aid, TRC, or DART.
- Provided excellent support service for oversight of environmental, safety, and health; quality assurance, and field support.
- Supported the Facility Representatives in completing all scheduled assessments and surveillances.
- Provided excellent support for review of NDA and Nuclear Safety documents.
- Obtained qualified personnel that assist in identifying safety issues and programmatic weakness and employs some of the highest qualified staff.
- Added value and responded to issues regarding the review of work packages, JHAs, procedures, training modules, engineering evaluations, RWPs, NDA reviews, radiological and hazardous material controls.
- Excellent QA support and feedback being provided to DOE.
- Provided critical help to D&D contractor in preparation for the U.S. EPA and Ohio EPA inspections.

Quality and Effectiveness of Performing Project Support: RSI has met contract requirements and exceeded many of the significant award fee criteria associated with CP-3. Strengths include:

- Invoice and overtime reviews are timely, very thorough, and go into great detail; very responsive to questions and concerns.
- Excellent preparation of monthly spend plan.
- Excelled in ability to conduct budget drills upon request.
- Excellent review of monthly reports from the D&D contractor; supplies DOE with valuable feedback and insight.
- Excellent flexibility in providing corporate reach-back and staff augmentation.
- A number of contract actions have been required during the evaluation period. RSI staff have been invaluable in assisting DOE in the review and comment on these submittals and proposals. Technical evaluations of the proposals have been very timely and of good quality.
- Invaluable in assisting with Subcontract Consent package technical evaluations.
- Integral in the development of the property transfer programmatic approach and development of the transfer protocol.
- RSI provided excellent support in the area of nuclear and criticality safety, as well as NDA.
- Provided timely reviews of nuclear and criticality safety documents vital to the ultimate success of the site D&D effort.
- The staff provided by RSI to perform the NDA functions is highly qualified and dedicated.

Quality and Effectiveness in Managing the Program: RSI met contract requirements and exceeded almost all of the significant award fee criteria associated with CP-4. Strengths include:

- Many of the Project Support (CP-3) comments above also apply to the Program area. Provided excellent assistance in all of the Program Management areas.
- Excellent cost performance; completed FY17 with 1.04 CPI.
- Excellent in preparation of special requests from PPPO, HQ, and the local PORTS DOE office.
- Provided excellent public affairs support; excellent document development, strategic thinking, and collaborative approach.
- Developed and utilized management dashboards/tools to provide DOE with information to make well informed decisions through review of objective data.
- Provided several cost and schedule savings concepts to DOE and the D&D contractor.
- Excellent support on outreach activities/education including the Science Bowl and Science Alliance.