Date Received for Clearance Process				
(MM/DD/YYYY)	INFORMATION CLEARANCE FORM			
09/27/2017	IN ORMATION CLEARANCE FORM			
A. Information Category	B. Document Number DOE/CX-00080, Rev. 4			
Abstract Journal Article	C. Title			
Summary Internet	NEPA Review Screening Form - CHPRC			
Visual Aid Software	Relocation of Build	dings, Septembe	er 2017 to September 2018	
Full Paper Report				
Other NEPA CX	D. Proposed Internet	anford gov/nag	e.cfm/CategoricalExclusions	
E. Required Information (MANDATORY)	Address WWW.na	7. Does Information (		
l	Yes		(Patentable) Subject Matter?	
R.R. Nielson		If "Yes", OUO E		
Manager Required (Print and Sign)		If "Yes", Disclos	•	
If Yes N/A	3.7		oprietary Information Received in Confidence, Such	
17.03	No		and/or Inventions?	
	O 100 Olassinea		Yes If "Yes", OUO Exemption No. 4	
2. Official Use Only    No (	Yes Exemption No.	I	leged Information?   No Yes  Exemption No. 4	
3. Export Controlled Information   No	Yes OUO Exemption No. 3		rivileged Information?   No Yes	
4. UCNI   No	) Yes	If "Yes", Exemp	0 0	
5. Applied Technology   No C	Yes OUO Exemption No. 5	e. Copyrights? f. Trademarks?	<ul> <li>No Yes If "Yes", Attach Permission.</li> <li>No Yes If "Yes", Identify in Document.</li> </ul>	
6. Other (Specify) N/A			iring submission to OSTI?   No Yes	
		· .	Public	
	F. Complete for a		9 / 45/15	
1. Title of Journal N/A	1. Complete for a	a oddinar Article		
The or oddinar				
	G. Complete for	a Presentation		
1. Title for Conference on Machine 37 / 7				
Title for Conference or Meeting N/A				
2. Group Sponsoring N/A				
3. Date of Conference N/A	4	I. City/State N/A		
5. Will Information be Published in Proceeding	gs?   No Yes 6	6. Will Material be Hand	led Out?   No Yes	
H. Information Owner/Author/Requestor	9,	Responsible Manager	///	
N. S. Cruz / 21117 R.R. Nielson				
(Print and Sign)	U	(Print and Sign)	-	
Approval by Direct Report to President (Speec	h/Articles Only) $\frac{N/A}{(Print and s)}$	Sian)		
I. Reviewers Yes Print	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Signature	Public Y/N (If N, complete J)	
General Counsel R.T. S	Swenson/E.D. Trotta	6-6	ON N	
Office of External Affairs			Y / N	
DOE		_		
Other J.D. A	ardal	Y - Public	See below. Y / N	
Other Other	INT UU I	rubite,		
Other			Y / N	
J. Comments			Y / N	
DOE requested Information C	learance Form with N	NEPA	Information Clearance Approval  APPROVED	
categorical exclusion (RL-721 Form).			APPROVED  By Janis Aardal at 3:11 pm, Sep 27, 2017	
			Approved for Public Release;	
			Further Dissemination Unlimited	

## NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM for Actions included in CXs

Document ID #:
DOE/CX-00080Rev4

## I. Project Title:

CH2MHill Plateau Remediation Company - Relocation of Buildings, September 2017 to September 2018

II. Describe the proposed action, including: location, time period over which proposed action will occur, project dimension (e.g., acres displaced/disturbed, excavation length/depth), area/location/number of buildings. Attach maps and drawings, as applicable. Describe existing environmental conditions and potential for environmental impacts from the proposed action, if the proposed action is not a project, describe the action or plan.

CH2MHill Plateau Remediation Company (PRC) and its subcontractors perform relocation of buildings (including, but not limited to, trailers and prefabricated buildings) to an already developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Mobile offices and trailers will be relocated and include installation of supports, tie down anchors, trailer skirting, stairways, walkways, ramps, and other support systems for the safe use of the office or trailer. Existing utilities (water lines, communications, power, fire suppression, and sewage systems) will be connected to the offices and trailers; utilities will be modified, as needed, to comply with current DOE orders, and federal and state regulations. Equipment and materials will be moved as necessary to affect relocation of the mobile offices and trailers. Relocation also is likely to generate materials and waste (surplus construction materials, construction debris, and sanitary waste). The materials and waste will be packaged, transported, and disposed at on-site or off-site facilities or existing sewage systems, as appropriate. Incidental materials may be recycled, reused, or disposed. Actions will be undertaken in compliance with DOE orders, and Federal and state regulations and guidelines.

Actions performed under this Annual CX include, but are not limited to, those actions listed in the PRC contract, DE-AC06-08RL14788, Section J.2., "Hanford Site Services and Interface Requirements Matrix" and implementing protocols, policies, and procedures. The buildings, structures, infrastructures, and equipment subject to this annual CX include, but are not limited to, those listed in Sections J.13, "Hanford Site Structures List" and J.14, "Hanford Waste Site Assignment List" where PRC is the assigned contractor or provides other services to other Hanford contractors. The PRC contract includes the original contract and subsequent modifications/amendments executed to adjust terms, conditions and other requirements contained therein.

Actions performed under this Annual CX shall not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This Annual CX shall only be applied to actions that meet the requirements (i.e., 10 CFR 1021.410) and conditions that are "integral elements" (i.e., 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B) for categorically excluding actions under the provisions of the NEPA regulations. There shall be no extraordinary circumstances where normally excluded actions may have significant effects on the human

To avoid extraordinary circumstances potentially affecting ecological resources, ecological resources reviews shall be performed in accordance with established protocols, policies, and procedures to identify plant and animal species for protection under the Endangered Species Act, candidates for protection, or listing by federal or state agencies as threatened or endangered consistent with DOE/RL-96-32, "Hanford Site Biological Resources Management Plan" or other applicable quidance documents and agreements. Caution shall be exercised during the bird nesting season (mid-March to mid-July). If nesting birds, pair of birds of the same species, or bird defensive behaviors is observed, then work shall stop and a qualified Ecological Resources Specialist shall be contacted for guidance. Actions that potentially affect ecological resources shall require a resources review and clearance before proceeding. This includes, but may not be limited to, actions that require an excavation permit; disturb the ground; remove or modify dead or living vegetative cover; occur within a Bald Eagle exclusion zone; expand roadways/parking lots; require off-road travel; involve unusual noise, light, or chemicals that may affect wildlife; located on the Hanford Reach National Monument; located in a posted ecologically sensitive area; conducted on the outside of structures; conducted in abandoned structures; and have the potential to alter or affect the living environment. If an ecological resources review determines potentially adverse impacts, then appropriate mitigation actions shall be identified and implemented to avoid, minimize, eliminate, rectify, or compensate the impacts.

To avoid extraordinary circumstances potentially affecting cultural resources, cultural

## **NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM**

for Actions included in CXs (Continued)

Document ID #:

DOE/CX-00080Rev4

il. Describe the proposed action, including: location, time period over which proposed action will occur, project dimension (e.g., acres displaced/disturbed, excavation length/depth), area/location/number of buildings. Attach maps and drawings, as applicable. Describe existing environmental conditions and potential for environmental impacts from the proposed action. If the proposed action is not a project, describe the action or plan.

resources reviews shall be performed in accordance with established protocols, policies, and procedures to identify resource protection consistent with the "Programmatic Agreement among the U.S. Department of Energy, Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, and Washington State Historic Preservation Office for Maintenance, Deactivation, Alteration, and Demolition of the Built Environment on the Hanford Site" (DOE/RL-96-77); the "Hanford Site Manhattan Project and Cold War Era Historic District Treatment Plan" (DOE/RL-97-56); the "Cultural Resources Management Plan" (DOE/RL-98-10), and other applicable guidance documents and agreements (e.g., "Gable Mountain and Gable Butte Management Plan" [DOE/RL-2008-17]). Cultural sensitivity shall be determined using site location topographic maps, geographic information system databases, and/or pedestrian surveys to identify proximity to cultural resources (i.e., historic buildings, traditional cultural properties, artifacts, and previously recorded archaeological sites).

Actions located within the geographic boundary of a significant cultural resource or historic property, Traditional Cultural Property (including but not limited to Rattlesnake Mountain, Gable Mountain, Gable Butte, Mooli Mooli, and other undocumented areas), cemetery or burial or within 400 meters of the Columbia River may be located in culturally sensitive areas.

DOE/RL-96-77 exempts from cultural resources review certain actions that take place indoors or do not affect certain facilities identified in Tables A.5 through A.7 of DOE/RL-97-56. These actions are listed in Stipulation III of DOE/RL-96-77 and include, but may not be limited to, routine maintenance; replacement in kind; refinishing in kind; energy conservation measures; security and personal safety systems; actions associated with post-cold war buildings and structures; asbestos abatement actions; and facility transition actions to deactivate, de-energize, or isolate systems. Exemptions are also provided for mobile trailers, modular buildings, subsurface structures, storage tanks, wells/boreholes, and towers. If the action affects a facility that appears in Tables A.5 or A.6 of DOE/RL-97-56 and the undertaking is not exempt based on Section III.B of DOE/RL-96-77, then a cultural resources review shall be performed.

Historic structures or locations that require cultural resources review and clearance include, but may not be limited to, the Hanford Site Manhattan Project National Historic Park, including the Bruggeman Agricultural Complex Warehouse, White Bluffs Bank, Hanford High School, B Reactor and Hanford Irrigation District Pump House. Other historic structures and locations include, the White Bluffs Log Cabin, Hanford Townsite, Hanford Substation, White Bluffs Townsite, AAA Military Camps, NIKE Missile Base, and selected historic buildings (303-A, 314, 305, 1116-N, 212-N, 181-B, 105-B, 116-B, 276-B, 222-T, 221-T, 291-T, 183-KW, 234-5Z, 291-Z, 232-Z, and 2736-Z).

Workers shall be directed to watch for cultural materials (i.e., bones, stone tools, mussel shells, cans, bottles, etc.). If encountered, then work near the discovery shall stop until a qualified Cultural Resource Specialist is contacted, the significance of the find determined, appropriate Tribes notified, and mitigation arranged and implemented, as needed.

CHPRC uses an Environmental Activity Screening Form (EASF) to review projects. It shall be incumbent upon the Environmental Compliance Officers, NEPA Subject Matter Experts, or other NEPA trained individuals to ensure that the requirements and conditions discussed herein are met prior to applying this Annual CX to actions. They shall also be responsible for ensuring that no extraordinary circumstances exist where normally excluded actions may have significant effects on the human environment.

This annual CX is approved pursuant to 10 CFR 1021.410(f) which states that "proposed recurring actions undertaken during a specified time period, such as routine maintenance for a year, may be addressed in a single categorical exclusion determination after considering the potential aggregated impacts" to ensure no extraordinary circumstances exist. This annual CX will expire one year from the date authorized by the Hanford NEPA Compliance Officer and will require reauthorization on an annual basis.

III. Applicable Reviews (attach to NRSF):

Biological Review Report #:

REPAREVIEW SCREENING FORM		ocument ID #: 0E/CX-00080Rev4				
Cultural Review Report #:						
Additional Attachments:	9					
·						
IV: Existing Documentation:  Are the impacts of the proposed action evaluated in a previous EA, EIS, or CERCLA document?	Yes	⊠ No				
If "YES", use Site Form A-6006-948, Actions Adequately Evaluated in NEPA or CERCLA Document						
V. Categorical Exclusion:						
Does the proposed action fall within a category of actions that is listed in Appendixes A or B to Subpart D of 10 CFR 1021? If extraordinary circumstances or integral elements would preclude the use of a CX, check "No	. X Yes	□ No				
Are there extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?	☐ Yes	⊠ No				
Is the proposal connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts or result in cumulatively significant impacts (not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211)?	The Yes	⊠ No				
List CX to be applied and complete Categorical Exclusion Integral Elements (where an action might fit within multiple CXs, use the CX that best fits the proposed action):  10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, B1, 22, "Relocation of Buildings"						
Categorical Exclusion integral Elements:						
Would the proposed action threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environmental, safety, or health, including DOE and/or Executive Orders?	Yes	⊠ No				
Would the proposed action require siting, construction, or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities?	Yes	⊠ No				
Would the proposed action disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases?	Yes	⊠ No				
Would the proposed action adversely affect environmentally sensitive resources?	Yes	⊠ No				
Would the proposed action involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species such that the action is not contained or confined in a manner designed, operated, and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements to prevent unauthorized release into the environment?	Yes	⊠No				
If "NO" to all Integral Elements questions above, complete Section VI, and provide NRSF to DOE NCO for review.  If "YES" to any of the Categorical Exclusion Integral Elements questions above, contact DOE NCO for additional NEPA Review.						

NEPA REVIEW SO for Actions Included	Document ID #: DOE/CX-00080Rev4				
VI. Responsible Contractor Signatures:					
Initiator: Noah S. Cruz	Mesco	8/30/2017			
Name Print	Signature	Date			
Cognizant Environmental Compliance Officer:	0 11 11				
Paul W. Martin	Paul la mario	8/30/2017			
Name Print	Signature	Date			
VII. DOE Approval/Determination  DOE NEPA Compliance Officer: Diori L. Kreske, NEPA Compliance Officer (NCO)					
Based on my review of information conveyed to me and in my possession (or attached) concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (as authorized under DOE Order 451.1B), the proposed action fits within the specified class of action:					
NCO Determination: CX	*NCO Recommendation: EA EIS	S			
Dir Theshe Signature	9/2	26 (17 Date			
*NRSF A-6008-950 would be completed by responsible contractor					