Date Received for Clearance Process (MM/DD/YYYY)				
09/27/2017	INFORMATION CLEARANCE FORM			
A. Information Category	B. Document Number			
Abstract Journal Article	DOE/CX-00079, Rev. 4			
Summary Internet	NEPA Review Screening Form - CHPRC			
Visual Aid Software	Support Buildings, September 2017 to September 2018			
Full Paper Report				
Other NEPA CX	D. Proposed Internet	onford sort/ross of /Orthonic		
E. Required Information (MANDATORY)	Address WWW.IIc	anford.gov/page.cfm/Categorical 7. Does Information Contain the Following:	Exclusions	
Is document potentially Classified?	Yes	a. New or Novel (Patentable) Subject Matter?	No Yes	
R.R. Nielson		If "Yes", OUO Exemption No. 3	© 140 O Tes	
Manager Required (Print and Sign)		If "Yes", Disclosure No.: N/A		
If Yes N/A		b. Commercial Proprietary Information Receive	ed in Confidence, Such	
ADC Required (Print and Sign)	No Yes Classified	as Proprietary and/or Inventions? No Yes If "Yes", OUO Exem	intion No. 4	
		c. Corporate Privileged Information?	No O Yes	
2. Official Use Only	Yes Exemption No.	If "Yes", OUO Exemption No. 4	110 0 103	
3. Export Controlled Information No	Yes OUO Exemption No. 3	d. Government Privileged Information?	No Yes	
4. UCNI No C) Yes	If "Yes", Exemption No. 5 e. Copyrights? No Yes If "Ye	es", Attach Permission,	
5. Applied Technology No	Yes OUO Exemption No. 5		es", Identify in Document.	
6. Other (Specify) N/A		8. Is Information requiring submission to OSTI?	No Yes	
		9. Release Level? Public Limited		
	F. Complete for a	a Journal Article		
1. Title of Journal N/A				
	G. Complete for	a Presentation		
Title for Conference or Meeting N/A				
Group Sponsoring N/A				
3. Date of Conference N/A		I. City/State N/A		
Will Information be Published in Proceeding		6. Will Material be Handed Out? No OY	/00	
H. Information Owner/Author/Requestor		Responsible Manager		
N. S. Cruz MLS	9/27/17	R.R. Nielson		
(Print and Sign)	0	(Print and Sign)		
Approval by Direct Report to President (Speec				
I. Reviewers Yes Print	(Print and		alia V/NI /ISNI aanamista II	
—	Swenson/E.D. Trotta	Signature Pub	blic Y/N (If N, complete J)	
Office of External Affairs	Wellboll/ B.D. ITOtta	2006	(Y) / N	
DOE .		-	Y / N	
	La mala 7	V Dublia See below	Y / N	
Other J.D. A	ardar	Y - Public, See below.	Y / N	
Other			Y / N	
J. Comments			Y / N	
DOE requested Information C	learance Form with N	Information Clearance App	proval	
categorical exclusion (RL-7		By Janis Aardal at 3:10 pr	n, Sep 27, 2017	
		Approved for Public		
		Further Disseminatio	n Unlimited	

NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM for Actions included in CXs

Document ID #: DOE/CX-00079Rev4

I. Project Title:

CH2MHill Plateau Remediation Company - Support Buildings, September 2017 to September 2018

ii. Describe the proposed action, including: location, time period over which proposed action will occur, project dimension (e.g., acres displaced/disturbed, excavation length/depth), area/location/number of buildings. Attach maps and drawings, as applicable. Describe existing environmental conditions and potential for environmental impacts from the proposed action. If the proposed action is not a project, describe the action or plan.

CH2MHill Plateau Remediation Company (PRC) and its subcontractors perform siting, construction or modification, and operation of support buildings and support structures (including, but not limited to, trailers and prefabricated and modular buildings) within or contiguous to an already developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Covered support buildings and structures include, but are not limited to, those for office purposes; parking; cafeteria services; education and training; visitor reception; computer and data processing services; health services or recreation activities; routine maintenance activities; storage of supplies and equipment for administrative services and routine maintenance activities; security (such as security posts); fire protection; small-scale fabrication (such as machine shop activities), assembly, and testing of non-nuclear equipment or components; and similar support purposes, but exclude facilities for nuclear weapons activities and waste storage activities.

Actions performed under this Annual CX include, but are not limited to, those actions listed in the PRC contract, DE-AC06-08RL14788, Section J.3., "Hanford Site Services and Interface Requirements Matrix" and implementing protocols, policies, and procedures. The buildings, structures, infrastructures, and equipment subject to this annual CX include, but are not limited to, those listed in Sections J.13, "Hanford Site Structures List" and J.14, "Hanford Waste Site Assignment List" where PRC is the assigned contractor or provides other services to other Hanford contractors. The PRC contract includes the original contract and subsequent modifications/amendments executed to adjust terms, conditions and other requirements contained therein.

Actions performed under this Annual CX shall not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This Annual CX shall only be applied to actions that meet the requirements (i.e., 10 CFR 1021.410) and conditions that are "integral elements" (i.e., 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B) for categorically excluding actions under the provisions of the NEPA regulations. There shall be no extraordinary circumstances where normally excluded actions may have significant effects on the human environment.

To avoid extraordinary circumstances potentially affecting ecological resources, ecological resources reviews shall be performed in accordance with established protocols, policies, and procedures to identify plant and animal species for protection under the Endangered Species Act, candidates for protection, or listing by federal or state agencies as threatened or endangered consistent with DOE/RL-96-32, "Hanford Site Biological Resources Management Plan" or other applicable guidance documents and agreements. Caution shall be exercised during the bird nesting season (mid-March to mid-July). If nesting birds. pair of birds of the same species, or bird defensive behaviors is observed, then work shall stop and a qualified Ecological Resources Specialist shall be contacted for guidance. Actions that potentially affect ecological resources shall require a resources review and clearance before proceeding. This includes, but may not be limited to, actions that require an excavation permit; disturb the ground; remove or modify dead or living vegetative cover; occur within a Bald Eagle exclusion zone; expand roadways/parking lots; require off-road travel; involve unusual noise, light, or chemicals that may affect wildlife; located on the Hanford Reach National Monument; located in a posted ecologically sensitive area; conducted on the outside of structures; conducted in abandoned structures; and have the potential to alter or affect the living environment. If an ecological resources review determines potentially adverse impacts, then appropriate mitigation actions shall be identified and implemented to avoid, minimize, eliminate, rectify, or compensate the impacts.

To avoid extraordinary circumstances potentially affecting cultural resources, cultural resources reviews shall be performed in accordance with established protocols, policies, and procedures to identify resource protection consistent with the "Programmatic Agreement among the U.S. Department of Energy, Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, and

NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM

for Actions Included in CXs (Continued)

Document ID #:

DOE/CX-00079Rev4

ii. Describe the proposed action, including: location, time period over which proposed action will occur, project dimension (e.g., acres displaced/disturbed, excavation length/depth), area/location/number of buildings. Attach maps and drawings, as applicable. Describe existing environmental conditions and potential for environmental impacts from the proposed action. If the proposed action is not a project, describe the action or plan.

Washington State Historic Preservation Office for Maintenance, Deactivation, Alteration, and Demolition of the Built Environment on the Hanford Site" (DOE/RL-96-77); the "Hanford Site Manhattan Project and Cold War Era Historic District Treatment Plan" (DOE/RL-97-56); the "Cultural Resources Management Plan" (DOE/RL-98-10), and other applicable guidance documents and agreements (e.g., "Gable Mountain and Gable Butte Management Plan" [DOE/RL-2008-17]). Cultural sensitivity shall be determined using site location topographic maps, geographic information system databases, and/or pedestrian surveys to identify proximity to cultural resources (i.e., historic buildings, traditional cultural properties, artifacts, and previously recorded archaeological sites).

Actions located within the geographic boundary of a significant cultural resource or historic property, Traditional Cultural Property (including but not limited to Rattlesnake Mountain, Gable Mountain, Gable Butte, Mooli Mooli, and other undocumented areas), cemetery or burial or within 400 meters of the Columbia River may be located in culturally sensitive areas.

DOE/RL-96-77 exempts from cultural resources review certain actions that take place indoors or do not affect certain facilities identified in Tables A.5 through A.7 of DOE/RL-97-56. These actions are listed in Stipulation III of DOE/RL-96-77 and include, but may not be limited to, routine maintenance; replacement in kind; refinishing in kind; energy conservation measures; security and personal safety systems; actions associated with post-cold war buildings and structures; asbestos abatement actions; and facility transition actions to deactivate, de-energize, or isolate systems. Exemptions are also provided for mobile trailers, modular buildings, subsurface structures, storage tanks, wells/boreholes, and towers. If the action affects a facility that appears in Tables A.5 or A.6 of DOE/RL-97-56 and the undertaking is not exempt based on Section III.B of DOE/RL-96-77, then a cultural resources review shall be performed.

Historic structures or locations that require cultural resources review and clearance include, but may not be limited to, the Hanford Site Manhattan Project National Historic Park, including the Bruggeman Agricultural Complex Warehouse, White Bluffs Bank, Hanford High School, B Reactor and Hanford Irrigation District Pump House. Other historic structures and locations include, the White Bluffs Log Cabin, Hanford Townsite, Hanford Substation, White Bluffs Townsite, AAA Military Camps, NIKE Missile Base, and selected historic buildings (303-A, 314, 305, 1116-N, 212-N, 181-B, 105-B, 116-B, 276-B, 222-T, 221-T, 291-T, 183-KW, 234-5Z, 291-Z, 232-Z, and 2736-Z).

Workers shall be directed to watch for cultural materials (i.e., bones, stone tools, mussel shells, cans, bottles, etc.). If encountered, then work near the discovery shall stop until a qualified Cultural Resource Specialist is contacted, the significance of the find determined, appropriate Tribes notified, and mitigation arranged and implemented, as needed.

CHPRC uses an Environmental Activity Screening Form (EASF) to review projects. It shall be incumbent upon the Environmental Compliance Officers, NEPA Subject Matter Experts, or other NEPA trained individuals to ensure that the requirements and conditions discussed herein are met prior to applying this Annual CX to actions. They shall also be responsible for ensuring that no extraordinary circumstances exist where normally excluded actions may have significant effects on the human environment.

This annual CX is approved pursuant to 10 CFR 1021.410(f) which states that "proposed recurring actions undertaken during a specified time period, such as routine maintenance for a year, may be addressed in a single categorical exclusion determination after considering the potential aggregated impacts" to ensure no extraordinary circumstances exist. This annual CX will expire one year from the date authorized by the Hanford NEPA Compliance Officer and will require results regarded in a single categories.

reauthorization on an a	nnual basis.		
III. Applicable Reviews (attac	h to NRSF):		
Biological Review Report #:			
Cultural Review Report #:			
Additional Attachments:			

	Desiment II	5.44.			
MEFA KEVIEW GOKEENING FOKMI		ocument ID #: DE/CX-00079Rev4			
IV: Existing Documentation:					
Are the impacts of the proposed action evaluated in a previous EA, EIS, or CERCLA document?	Yes Yes	⊠ No			
If "YES", use Site Form <u>A-6006-948,</u> Actions Adequately Evaluated in NEPA or CERCLA Document					
V. Categorical Exclusion:					
Does the proposed action fall within a category of actions that is listed in Appendixes A or B to Subpart D of 10 CFR 1021? If extraordinary circumstances or integral elements would preclude the use of a CX, check "N	lo". Xes	☐ No			
Are there extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?	Yes	⊠ No			
Is the proposal connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts or result in cumulatively significant impacts (not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211)?	ant Yes	⊠ No			
List CX to be applied and complete Categorical Exclusion Integral Elements (where an action might fit within multiple CXs, use the CX that best fits the proposed action): 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, B1.15, "Support Buildings"					
Categorical Exclusion integral Elements:					
Would the proposed action threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environmental, safety, or health, including DOE and/or Executive Orders?	Yes	⊠ No			
Would the proposed action require siting, construction, or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities?	☐ Yes	⊠ No			
Would the proposed action disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases?	Yes	⊠ No			
Would the proposed action adversely affect environmentally sensitive resources?	Yes	⊠ No			
Would the proposed action involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species such that the action is not contained or confined in a manner designed, operated, and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements to prevent unauthorized release into the environment?	Yes	⊠ No			
If "NO" to all Integral Elements questions above, complete Section VI, and provide NRSF to DOE NCO for review. If "YES" to any of the Categorical Exclusion integral Elements questions above, contact DOE NCO for additional NEPA Review.					

NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM for Actions included in CXs (Continued)	Document ID #: DOE/CX-00079Rev4				
VI. Responsible Contractor Signatures:					
Initiator:	0/20/0017				
Noah S. Cruz Name Print Signiture	8/30/2017 Date				
Name Print	Duto				
Cognizant Environmental Compliance Officer:	2				
Paul W. Martin Name Print Signature	8/30/2017				
Name Print Signature	Date				
VII. DOE Approval/Determination					
DOE NEPA Compliance Officer: Diori L. Kreske, NEPA Compliance Officer (NCO)					
Based on my review of information conveyed to me and in my possession (or attached) concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (as authorized under DOE Order 451.1B), the proposed action fits within the specified class of action:					
NCO Determination: ☐ CX *NCO Recommendation: ☐ EA ☐ EIS	3				
Dia Nesbe	/26/17 Date				
*NRSF A-6006-950 would be completed by responsible contractor					