

Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services

Type of Review Requested: New

Title: Three-year State Plan for Independent Living (IL) Rehabilitation Services under Title VII (Part A) of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended

Agency Form Number: ED (RSA)—SPIL

Frequency: Annually; 3 year cycle

Affected Public: State or Local Governments

Reporting Burden: Responses: 83; Burden Hours: 1,660

Recordkeeping Burden: Recordkeepers: 83; Burden Hours: 7

Abstract: Title VII, Part A, of the Rehabilitation Act authorizes grants to assist State Vocational Rehabilitation (VR) agencies (a total of 83 State agencies) in providing Independent Living rehabilitation services to the severely handicapped who do not have potential for gainful employment but may receive VR services to help them function independently. Each state submits a State plan in order to receive Federal funds. (29 U.S.C. 796d)

Office of Postsecondary Education

Type of Review Requested: Reinstatement

Title: Program Announcement—Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education (FIPSE): Comprehensive Program Final Year Dissemination Competition

Agency Form Number: ED 0003

Frequency: Annually

Affected Public: State or local governments; Non-profit institutions; Small businesses or organizations

Reporting Burden: Responses: 63; Burden Hours: 264

Recordkeeping Burden: Recordkeepers: 63; Burden Hours: 264

Abstract: This is a grant competition for awards with a limited eligibility requirement—a current FIPSE grantee under the comprehensive Program whose projects are in its final year of funding may apply, or recipients of single-year grants may apply within one year following the termination of its project. The purpose of these awards is to disseminate FIPSE project results or ideas.

[FR Doc. 85-4539 Filed 2-22-85; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Office of the Secretary

Compliance With the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA); Amendments to the DOE NEPA Guidelines

AGENCY: Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice of Proposed Amendments to the Department of Energy's NEPA Guidelines.

SUMMARY: The Department of Energy proposes to amend Section D of its NEPA guidelines by adding, modifying, and deleting typical classes of action. Public comment is invited on this proposal. Pending final adoption of the proposed amendments, the Department of Energy will use the amendments on an interim basis.

DATES: Comments by: March 27, 1985.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dr. Robert J. Stern, Director, Office of Environmental Compliance, U.S.

• Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Rm. 3G-092, Washington, D.C. 20585, (202) 252-4600.

Henry Garson, Esq., Assistant General Counsel for Environment, GC-11, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Rm. 6A-113, Washington, D.C. 20585, (202) 252-6947.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Background

On March 28, 1980, the Department of Energy (DOE) published in the *Federal Register* (45 FR 20694) final guidelines for compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), as required by the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations (40 CFR 1500-1508). In accordance with 40 CFR 1507.3(b)(2), Section D of the guidelines lists typical classes of agency action: (1) Which normally require environmental impact statements (EIS); (2) which normally require environmental assessments but not necessarily environmental impact statements; and (3) which normally do not require either environmental assessments or environmental impact statements.

Under Paragraph A.3(d) of the guidelines, the Department may amend Section D based on experience gained during implementation of the CEQ regulations and the DOE guidelines. The last amendments to Section D were published in the *Federal Register* on January 6, 1983, (48 FR 685).

B. Proposed Amendments

The Department proposes to further amend Section D of the guidelines by adding 8 new typical classes of actions, by modifying 4 existing typical classes of action, and by deleting 1 typical class of action.

The following categorical exclusions, i.e., actions which do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment and therefore for which neither an environmental assessment (EA) nor an EIS is required, are proposed:

1. Construction of tap lines (usually less than 6 miles in length) which are not for the integration of major new sources of generation into DOE's main transmission systems, and where such actions do not impact environmentally sensitive areas such as archeological sites, critical habitats, floodplains, wetlands, etc.

2. Construction of microwave towers and associated facilities where such actions do not impact environmentally sensitive areas such as archeological sites, critical habitats, floodplains, wetlands, etc., and where such actions do not prejudice future site selection decisions for substations or other transmission facilities.

3. Disposal of real property by the Department of Energy through the General Services Administration where the planned land-use is to remain unchanged.

4. Financial and technical assistance to individual (builders, owners, designers) and to state and local governments to promote energy-efficiency in new structures built in compliance with applicable, duly adopted building codes.

5. Small scale research and development projects designed to demonstrate potential electrical energy conservation associated with residential/commercial buildings, appliance/equipment efficiency standards, and manufacturing and industrial processes (e.g. insulation effectiveness, lighting efficiencies, appliance efficiency ratings, and development of manufacturing or industrial plant efficiencies).

6. Activities undertaken to restore existing fish and wildlife facilities, including minor habitat improvements or improvements to existing fish passage facilities at existing dams or diversion canals.

7. Power marketing services including storage, load factoring, seasonal exchanges, or other similar activities where the operations of hydroelectric projects remain within established

constraints and which do not alter the environmental status quo.

As a result of the addition of the new categorical exclusion number 2 listed above, it is necessary to modify an existing typical class of action normally requiring an EA. The typical class of action "Construction of new service facilities such as tap lines and substations," is modified to read as follows: "Construction of new substations and service facilities."

The following typical class of action is being added to those which normally require environmental assessments but not necessarily environmental impact statements:

1. Execution of contracts for the long term (greater than 1 year) allocation of existing or excess power resources to customers who can receive the resources over existing transmission facilities.

The following existing typical classes of action are being modified to add clarity.

1. "DOE actions which cause energy conservation on a substantial scale," is modified as follows: DOE actions which cause energy conservation on a substantial scale including those which cause effects on the indoor environment (indoor air quality, etc.).

2. "Execution of contracts for the short term or seasonal allocation of excess power resources to customers who can receive these resources over existing transmission systems," is modified as follows: Execution of contracts for the short-term or seasonal allocation (less than 1 year) of existing or excess power resources to customers who can receive these resources over existing transmission systems.

3. "Minor additions to a substation, transformer additions, or changes in transformer assignments that do not affect the area beyond the previously developed substation area," is modified as follows: Minor substation modifications, which do not involve the construction of new transmission lines or the intergration of a major new resource, and where such actions do not impact environmentally sensitive areas such as archeological sites, critical habitats, floodplains, wetlands, etc.

As a result of this modification, the following typical class of action normally requiring an EA but not necessarily an EIS is being deleted: "Modifications of existing facilities (e.g., substations, storage yards) where impacts extend beyond the previously developed facility area."

Comments concerning the proposed amendments to Section D of the Department's NEPA guidelines should

be submitted to Dr. Stern at the above cited address.

Pending final adoption, the Department of Energy will use the proposed typical classes of action on an interim basis.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on February 12, 1985.

William A. Vaughan,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Policy, Safety, and Environment.

[FR Doc. 85-4512 Filed 2-22-85; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

Office of Assistant Secretary for International Affairs and Energy Emergencies

International Atomic Energy Agreements; Civil Uses; Proposed Subsequent Arrangements; Canada

Pursuant to section 131 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2160) notice is hereby given of proposed "subsequent arrangements" under the Agreement for Cooperation Between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of Canada Concerning Civil Uses of Atomic Energy, as amended.

The subsequent arrangements to be carried out under the above mentioned agreement involves approval of the following sales:

Contract Number S-CA-370, to the University of Montreal, Montreal, Canada, 0.105 grams of uranium, enriched to 99.82% in U-235, and 26 grams of natural uranium metal, for use as standard reference material.

Contract Number S-CA-371, to Atomic Energy of Canada, Ltd., Chalk River, Canada, 148.8 grams of natural uranium, for use as standard reference material.

In accordance with section 131 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, it has been determined that these subsequent arrangements will not be inimical to the common defense and security.

These subsequent arrangements will take effect no sooner than fifteen days after the date of publication of this notice.

Dated: February 19, 1985.

For the Department of Energy.

George J. Bradley, Jr.

Deputy Assistant Secretary for International Affairs.

[FR Doc. 85-4518 Filed 2-22-85; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

International Atomic Energy Agreement; Civil Uses; Proposed Subsequent Arrangement; European Atomic Energy Community

Pursuant to section 131 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2160) notice is hereby given of a proposed "subsequent arrangement" under the Additional Agreement for Cooperation Between the Government of the United States of America and the European Atomic Energy Community (EURATOM) Concerning Peaceful Uses of Atomic Energy, as amended, and the Agreement for Cooperation Between the Government of United States of America and the Government of Japan Concerning Civil Uses of Atomic Energy, as amended.

The subsequent arrangement to be carried out under the above mentioned agreements involves approval of the following retransfer: RTD/JA (EU)-33, from the Federal Republic of Germany to Japan, 4.505 kilograms of uranium, enriched to approximately 19.95% in U-235, in the form of fuel elements for use in the Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute Oarai reactor.

In accordance with section 131 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, it has been determined that this subsequent arrangement will not be inimical to the common defense and security.

This subsequent arrangement will take effect no sooner than fifteen days after the date of publication of this notice.

Dated: February 19, 1985.

For the Department of Energy.

George J. Bradley, Jr.

Deputy Assistant Secretary for International Affairs.

[FR Doc. 85-4517 Filed 2-22-85; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

International Atomic Energy Agreements; Civil Uses; Proposed Subsequent Arrangement; Japan

Pursuant to section 131 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2160) notice is hereby given of a proposed "subsequent arrangement" under the Agreement for Cooperation Between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of Japan Concerning Civil Uses of Atomic Energy, as amended.

The subsequent arrangement to be carried out under the above mentioned agreement involves approval of the following sale: Contract Number S-JA-355, to the Nissho Iwai Corp., Tokyo,